

Table 1: Spectral fits to the ASCA data

model	Γ	$N_H(\times 10^{22}\text{cm}^{-2})$	$KT_1(\text{keV})$	$KT_2(\text{keV})$	R or n_{H2}	cov
single power-law	1.03 ± 0.35	0.06	-	-	-	
	$0.98^{+0.37}_{-0.34}$	$0^{+0.285}$	-	-	-	
	1.9	$0.54^{+0.46}_{-0.34}$	-	-	-	
“scatterer”	1.9	21^{+79}_{-18}	-	-	-	0.
Reflection	1.9	0.06	-	-	-	
	$3.5^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	0.06	-	-	-	
Reflection plus a scattered power-law	1.9	0.06	-	-	34.8^{+23}_{-19}	
Absorbed power-law plus thermal component	1.9	$1.8^{+2.0}_{-0.9}$	0.8	-	-	
	1.9	15	0.8	5.	0.8	
Two thermal plasma model	-	0.06	0.8	>12	-	

^aobserved 2-10 keV flux in units of $10^{-13}\text{erg sec}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}$.

^bunobscured 2-10 keV luminosity in units of $10^{42}\text{erg sec}^{-1}$

X–ray observations of the Ultraluminous infrared galaxy IRAS19254-7245 (The Superantennae)

A. Pappa¹, I. Georgantopoulos² and G.C. Stewart¹

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH

Astronomical Institute, National Observatory of Athens, Lofos Koufou, Palaia Penteli, 15236, Athens, Greece

15 April 2018

ABSTRACT

We present ROSAT HRI and ASCA observations of the well known ULIRG IRAS19254-7245 (the Superantennae). The object is not detected by ROSAT yielding a 3σ upper limit of $L_x \sim 8 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in the 0.1-2 keV band. However, we obtain a clear detection by ASCA yielding a luminosity in the 2-10 keV band of $2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Its X-ray spectrum is very hard, equivalent to a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.35$. We therefore, attempt to model the X-ray data with a "scatterer" model in which the intrinsic X-ray emission along our line of sight is obscured by an absorbing screen while some fraction, f , is scattered into our line of sight by an ionized medium; this is the standard model for the X-ray emission in obscured (but non Compton-thick) Seyfert galaxies. We obtain an absorbing column of $2 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for a power-law photon index of $\Gamma = 1.9$, an order of magnitude above the column estimated on the basis of optical observations; the percentage of the scattered emission is high (~ 20 per cent). Alternatively, a model where most of the X-ray emission comes from reflection on a Compton thick torus ($N_H > 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) cannot be ruled out. We do not detect an Fe line at 6.4 keV; however, the upper limit (90%) to the equivalent width of the 6.4 keV line is high (~ 3 keV). All the above suggest that most of the X-ray emission originates in an highly obscured Seyfert-2 nucleus.

Key words: galaxies: starburst - galaxies: active - galaxies: X-rays - galaxies: individual: superantennae

1 INTRODUCTION

The IRAS Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies (ULIRGs), $L_{IR} \geq 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, are among the most luminous objects in the Universe. ULIRGs emit most of their energy in the far-infrared (see Sanders & Mirabel 1996 for a recent review). The nature of this powerful emission has been hotly debated. The far-IR emission is clearly produced by thermal reradiation by dust. However, it remains unclear whether the heating of the dust is due to a hidden AGN and/or massive star-forming regions. Optical and near-IR imaging surveys (eg Duc, Mirabel & Maza 1997) show that most ULIRGs are close interacting or merging systems. Optical spectroscopic surveys show that a large fraction (about 30 per cent) of ULIRGs are associated with Seyfert nuclei (Kim 1995, Duc et al. 1997, Sanders et al. 1998). The rest appear to host either starforming or LINER nuclei. Lutz et al. (1999) have reached similar conclusions using far-IR spectroscopy from the ISO mission. The ULIRGs which have AGN like spectra, may be associated with the long sought population of type-2 QSOs, ie high redshift AGN with narrow-line Seyfert-2 type spectra but bolometric luminosities comparable with those

of QSOs. X–ray observations at high energies which remain largely unaffected by absorption are vital in checking for the presence of an AGN in the nuclei of the ULIRG.

Recently, a handful of ULIRGs have been observed with the ASCA X-ray satellite: IRAS09104+4109 (Fabian et al. 1994), IRAS15307+3252, IRAS 20460+1925 (Ogasaka et al. 1997), IRAS 23060+0505 (Brandt et al. 1997), NGC6240, Arp220, Mrk 273, and Mrk231 (Iwasawa & Comastri 1998). All have been detected apart from IRAS15307+3252. Most of the above ULIRGs have 2-10 keV luminosities $L_x > 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ clearly suggesting the presence of a buried AGN. These high X-ray luminosity objects have power-law spectra absorbed by large neutral hydrogen columns, typically $N_H > 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$; their spectra also show evidence for an Fe-K line at 6.4 keV which again suggests the presence of large amounts of neutral matter near the nucleus. However, the origin of the X-ray emission in Arp 220 and Mrk231 appears to be thermal (Iwasawa & Comastri 1998).

1.1 IRAS19254-7245 (The Superantennae)

The Superantennae ($L_{IR}=1.1 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$) is a remarkable ULIRG with a redshift of $z=0.0617$ (Mirabel, Lutz & Maza 1991). It presents giant tails extending to an unprecedented size of 350 kpc. These emanate from a merger of two giant gas-rich galaxies whose nuclei are separated by 10 kpc. The southern nucleus is heavily obscured ($A_V \sim 4 - 5$) and the optical observations give a Seyfert 2 classification (Mirabel et al. 1991). Mid-infrared spectroscopy (Lutz, Veilleux & Genzel 1999) of the superantennae again suggest an AGN classification. Despite the classification of the southern galaxy as a Seyfert-2 on the basis of optical and far-IR spectra, spectropolarimetric observations of the Superantennae (Heisler et al. 1997), revealed no scattered broad line component. The authors attributed this to geometric effects. According to their model the scattering particles, which produce the observed polarised lines, must lie very close to or within the plane of the torus (see also Miller & Goodrich 1990) so that if we see the galaxy edge-on, we can't see the polarised flux. At near-IR wavelengths the Seyfert nucleus dominates the emission; at $10\mu\text{m}$ it is more than 5 times brighter than the northern component (Sanders & Mirabel 1996) and it is likely to be the source of the far-infrared emission detected by IRAS (Mirabel et al. 1991). Melnick and Mirabel (1990) reported the presence of a huge amount of molecular gas $M_{CO} = 3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, 10 times larger than in our Galaxy. The mass of the ionised gas in the emission-line regions is $M_g = 1.1 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (Colina et al. 1991). The latter authors find that the starburst produces too few high energy photons to explain the observed line intensities and thus infer that the Superantennae must contain a luminous $> 10^{45}$ erg s $^{-1}$ AGN. Rush et al. (1996) presented results from the ROSAT All-Sky Survey observation of the Superantennae. They did not detect the object and the 2σ upper limit to the count rate is < 0.03 counts/sec. The estimated upper limit to the 0.1-2 keV luminosity is 4.5×10^{42} erg s $^{-1}$ (using a power-law of $\Gamma = 2.3$ and assuming Galactic absorption). Here, we present the analysis of ROSAT HRI and ASCA data of the Superantennae galaxy. The latter are the first observations in the hard X-ray band and as such are important in testing models featuring a highly obscured, high luminosity nucleus.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The Superantennae were observed with ASCA on 16/10/96. The net exposure time for each GIS is ~ 30 ksec, while the SIS0 net exposure time is ~ 22 ksec and the SIS1 is ~ 10 ksec. We have used the ‘‘Revision 2’’ processed data from the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) and data reduction was performed using FTOOLS. A circular extraction cell for the source of 3 arcminute radius has been used. Background counts were estimated from source-free annuli centered on the source cell. The observed flux in the 2-10 keV band is $f_{2-10\text{keV}} \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ while the one in the 1-2 keV band is $f_{1-2\text{keV}} = 3.2 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$, assuming the best-fit power-law model of section 3 ($\Gamma = 1$).

ROSAT observed the Superantennae with HRI for ~ 8 ksec between 17/04/1993 and 20/04/1993. There were no X-rays detected and the 3σ upper limit to emission from a

point source obtained in the 0.1-2 keV band is 3.2×10^{-3} counts/sec, which correspond to a flux of $f_{1-2\text{keV}} = 9.5 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$, well above the flux obtained by ASCA.

Throughout this paper we adopt $H_0 = 70$ kms $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$ and $q_0 = 0.5$.

3 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

The spectral analysis was carried out using XSPEC v10. We bin the data so that there are at least 20 counts in each bin (source plus background). Quoted errors to the best-fitting spectral parameters are 90 per cent confidence regions for one parameter of interest. We first performed spectral fitting, allowing the normalisation for each ASCA detector to vary and we obtained reasonably consistent results. We have therefore jointly fitted the spectra from all four detectors, tying their normalisations together. The results of the spectral fits are given in table 1. Entries with no associated uncertainties were fixed at this value during the spectral fit.

3.1 Obscured AGN Models

Given that the optical and mid-infrared observations of the Superantennae suggest an AGN classification we fit the GIS and SIS data with a simple power law model with absorption. We obtain a flat slope of 1.0 ± 0.35 with no requirement for absorption above the Galactic. The best-fit model together with the data points and the data to model ratio are plotted in Fig. 1 Data have been rebinned for clarity.

This slope is much flatter than is seen in radio quiet AGN and the low absorption is not consistent with the A_V estimates from the narrow lines or the absence of broad line emission. A hard continuum is, however, often seen in Seyfert 2 galaxies (e.g. Georgantopoulos et al. 1999 for Markarian 3). While the simple power-law model is formally acceptable we have therefore also tried a ‘‘scatterer’’ model in which the X-ray source is covered by an absorption screen and a fraction of the X-ray emission is scattered into our line of sight. Fixing the slope at the 1.9 value, which is typical of the underlying continuum of Seyfert galaxies, we obtain an absorbing column of 2×10^{23} cm $^{-2}$ and $\chi^2 = 36.8$ for 34 degrees of freedom (dof), a marginal improvement on the simple power-law model. Using this model we derive an intrinsic 2-10 keV luminosity of 4.3×10^{42} erg s $^{-1}$.

Most Seyfert galaxies show strong narrow iron K emission lines and these features are usually enhanced in Seyfert 2s. When we add a Gaussian emission line to the scatterer model, constraining its energy and width at 6.4 (rest-frame) and 0.01 keV, we do not obtain a significant detection of line emission but can only set a 90% upper limit to the equivalent width of such a feature of ~ 3 keV.

Alternatively, the flat power-law slope might suggest that Compton reflection dominate in the ASCA energy range (eg Matt et al. 1996). For that reason we have also tried a pure reflection model. This assumes that the reflection occurs from a slab of neutral material subtending a solid angle of 2π sr to an X-ray source located above the slab. Again fixing the intrinsic power-law slope at 1.9 we obtain a completely unacceptable fit with $\chi^2 = 75.3$ for 34 dof. Relaxing the constraint on the power-law slope does improve the statistical acceptability of this model ($\chi^2 = 39.9$

for 33 dof) but results in power-law slope of $\Gamma = 3.45_{-0.27}^{+0.42}$, which is much steeper than is seen in AGN other than some narrow-line Seyfert 1s.

Furthermore introducing a reflection model with a scattered power law when the absorption is fixed at the Galactic value and Γ at 1.9 gives a similar quality fit to the scatterer model with $\chi^2 = 36.4$ for 33 dof. We tie the spectral index of the two power-law components to have the same value, since this is what is expected by the elastic scattering of the primary emission by the warm plasma. The addition of a Gaussian line at 6.4 keV, (rest-frame), does not improve the fit ($\chi^2 = 35.5$ for 34 dof).

3.2 Thermal Models

While the optical emission line strengths are highly suggestive of a luminous AGN at the core of the Superantennae, some fraction of the X-ray emission must come from the numerous star-forming regions. Recent studies of starburst galaxies such as NGC 3690 (Zezas, Georgantopoulos & Ward 1998) provide evidence for a hard thermal component in the spectrum of luminous star-forming galaxies. For completeness therefore we have investigated a model in which the emission consists of two thermal (Raymond-Smith) components. We have fixed the temperature of the soft component to 0.8 keV following Zezas et al. (1998) while we assumed a Galactic absorption and a solar metallicity. This again provides a reasonable statistical description of the data ($\chi^2 = 41.0/34$ dof), albeit with a poorly constrained temperature for the hard component (> 12 keV). Fixing the temperatures of the two components to the values determined by Zezas et al but allowing the thermal components to be absorbed improves the fit of this model ($\chi^2 = 37.9$) and gives a column densities of $\sim 7 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$, similar to that inferred from the value of A_v , and $\sim 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}$.

3.3 Mixed Models

Given the evidence of a strong starburst in the object and the case for an AGN nucleus, it is not unnatural to investigate a model in which X-ray emission from both contribute to our ASCA spectrum. We adopt as a baseline for this model a power-law (with $\Gamma = 1.9$) to represent the nuclear emission and a Raymond-Smith thermal component to represent the starburst. We allow the power-law component to have additional absorption over and above that of the thermal component. Again we fix the temperature of the thermal component at 0.8 keV. This results in an acceptable fit with $\chi^2 = 43.2$ for 34 dof with a column of $N_H = 1.80 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the power-law. Again allowing the thermal component to be absorbed improves the fit ($\chi^2 = 36.7$ for 33 dof) with a column of $\sim 8 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$.

4 DISCUSSION

It becomes evident that the X-ray data alone are not sufficient to define the nature of this enigmatic object. The X-ray data are well fit by both power-law models and thermal models. The temperatures in the latter are not inconsistent with those found by Zezas et al. (1998) in the case of the luminous IRAS galaxy NGC3690 which clearly shows no sign of AGN

activity. The derived luminosity ($L_x \sim 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$) for the superantennae is again comparable with that of NGC3690.

However, as the optical spectrum reveals a Seyfert-2 nucleus in the southernmost of the two merging nuclei, (Colina et al. 1991), it is most probable that a large fraction of the X-ray emission comes from the active nucleus. Indeed, the hard X-ray spectrum observed ($\Gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.35$) is reminiscent of highly absorbed Seyfert 2 galaxies ($N_H \sim 10^{23-24}$) cm^{-2} such as Markarian 3 (Georgantopoulos et al. 1999). Alternatively, the possibility remains that even in the ASCA band we are not sensitive to the intrinsic nuclear emission of the Superantennae. This would require the source to be totally obscured by a Compton thick absorber ($N_H > 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}$) in a similar fashion to NGC1068 (Ueno et al. 1994) or the Circinus (Matt et al. 1996). Indeed, both the Compton thin (power-law and scatterer model) and Compton thick models (reflection plus a scattered power-law component) give comparable χ^2 values making it difficult to distinguish between the two possibilities. In the Compton-thin case, the hard X-ray emission should emerge from an optically thin screen (possibly in the form of the torus); the derived $N_H \sim 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}$ is comparable to those encountered in obscured Seyfert galaxies (see eg. Smith & Done 1996, Risaliti et al. 1999). The absorption inferred by the optical extinction is $9.3 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$, Colina et al. (1991); thus it appears that the X-ray absorbing column is at least one order of magnitude higher than the optical one. This discrepancy could then be explained either by assuming that there is a strong absorption inside the broad line region or that the IRAS19254-7245 gas-to-dust ratio is higher than our Galaxy's. In the Compton thin case we find that the power-law slope is compatible with the "canonical", intrinsic AGN spectral index, $\Gamma = 1.9$, (Nandra & Pounds 1994). The observed soft X-ray emission is then scattered radiation from a warm ionized medium (the temperature of this medium should be comparable with the energy of the soft X-ray photons, so that elastic scattering takes place). The above model has become the standard model for the X-ray emission in obscured Seyfert nuclei (Mushotzky, Done & Pounds 1993). Then the scattered component and the intrinsic hard X-ray emission together with high amounts of obscuration or reflection can easily mimic a flat spectrum (eg as in the case of IRAS 23060+0505, Brandt et al. 1997). In the case of IRAS 19254-7245, we find that the amount of the scattered emission towards our line of sight is about 20 per cent. This is higher than typically found for the obscured Seyfert (Seyfert 1.9-2.0) nuclei, where the scattered component is usually of the order of few percent. Colina et al. (1991) suggested that massive star formation should take place in order to explain the properties of the Superantennae (see also Mirabel et al. 1991). Under this scenario the excess in the soft X-ray emission could be explained by a star forming component. The inferred soft X-ray luminosity, in the 1-2 keV band $L_x \sim 10^{41} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ is quite typical of that expected in massive star-forming galaxies (eg Zezas et al. 1998). If instead we assume that all the soft X-ray emission is mainly due to scattering, we can derive interesting constraints on the location of the scattering medium. If we require the ionisation parameter of the scattering medium to be $\log \xi = 3$ and the electron density $n_e = 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$, comparable to the values encountered in Mrk 3 (Griffiths et al. 1998), we can derive the distance R of the scatter-




Figure 1. The best fit power-law of the ASCA X-ray spectrum of Superantennae. The top panel shows the data with the model and the bottom panel shows the data/model ratio.

ing medium from the continuum source. We obtain $R \sim 0.1$ pc suggesting that the scattering medium lies close to the nucleus.

In the Compton thick case, the X-ray emission comes from both a reflection and a scattered power-law component. The geometry is similar to the one described above in the case of the Compton thin model, with the exception that now the torus is optically thick ($N_H > 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). We note that in both the Compton thick and thin case we should detect a strong Fe line at 6.4 keV. Especially, in the Compton thick case, the equivalent width of the line could reach few keV as in eg the case of NGC6240 (Iwasawa & Comastri 1999). Our data do not show strong evidence for an Fe K emission line. However, the obtained upper limit to the equivalent width (3 keV) does not in this case rule out the Compton thick possibility. Vignati et al. (1999) recently showed, using *BeppoSAX* data that the ULIRG NGC6240 hosts a Compton thick ($N_H \sim 2 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) Seyfert-2 type nucleus. NGC6240 has a LINER classification in the optical while it is classified as an HII galaxy on the basis of IR spectroscopy (Lutz et al. 1999). The LINER classification most probably comes from low ionisation gas in a superwind. It is interesting that although the superantennae has similar X-ray properties to NGC6240, they present strong AGN characteristics in the optical. This difference may be related to the distribution of obscuring material in the galaxy.

Further clues on the nature of the hidden AGN can be given by studying the isotropic properties of the galaxy. Here, we will consider as isotropic emission the infrared (IR), the hard X-ray emission (in the case of Compton thin absorption) and the $[OIII]\lambda 5007$ line emission produced in the narrow line region, and thus free of viewing angle effects. The advantage of studying isotropic properties, is that they act as an indicator of the strength of the nuclear source. Maiolino et al. (1998) have proposed that the measurement of the unabsorbed hard X-ray flux (2-10 keV) against the

$[OIII]\lambda 5007$ flux, is indeed a powerful diagnostic. Of course, this tool may be less efficient in the case of ULIRGs which have large amounts of dust. Moreover, although the line is emitted on Narrow Line Region (NLR) scales, the host galaxy disk might obscure part of the NLR and should be corrected for the extinction deduced from the Balmer decrement (Maiolino & Rieke 1995). To estimate the corrected flux we used the observed $[OIII]\lambda 5007$ flux from Colina et al. (1991) corrected for the optical reddening using the following relation (Bassani et al. 1998):

$$F_{[OIII]cor} = F_{[OIII]obs} \times [(H_\alpha/H_\beta)/(H_\alpha/H_\beta)_0]^{2.94} \quad (1)$$

Assuming an intrinsic Balmer decrement $(H_\alpha/H_\beta)_0 = 3$, the $L_{x(2-10\text{keV})}/L_{[OIII]}$ ratio of Superantennae is ~ 0.01 favouring the Compton-thick interpretation for IRAS 19254-7245. Then on the basis of the mean $L_{x(2-10\text{keV})}/L_{[OIII]}$ ratio, the *intrinsic* luminosity of the Superantennae should exceed $10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ suggesting that obscuration in our line of sight prevents us from seeing any of the nuclear X-ray emission directly. Furthermore following Mulchaey et al. (1994) we use the IR to hard X-ray flux ratio as an indicator. The infrared flux is given by (Mulchaey et al. 1994):

$$F(IR) = S_{25\mu m} \times (\nu_{25\mu m}) + S_{60\mu m} \times (\nu_{60\mu m}) \quad (2)$$

where S_λ is the flux density at wavelength λ . They showed that the expected $\log(f_{IR}/f_x)$ (the IR to *unabsorbed* hard X-ray flux ratio) is ~ 0.9 . We find that for the superantennae this ratio is ~ 3 , clearly indicating a deficit in the hard X-ray emission compared to that expected from a Compton thin Seyfert 2 galaxy. This again suggests a high column density in our line of sight, which absorbs the photons in the *ASCA* band. We should note here, that the f_{IR}/f_x ratio in the ULIRGs may be high due to excess IR emission from a starburst component. However, ISO observations suggest an AGN classification for the Superantennae (Lutz et al.

1999), indicating that the AGN contribution to the infrared flux dominates over the star-forming one.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented *ROSAT* HRI and *ASCA* X-ray observations of the ULIRG IRAS 19254-7245 (the superantennae). This object is not detected by the *ROSAT* HRI. However, we detect hard X-ray emission with *ASCA* showing a very flat spectrum in the 1-10 keV band, reminiscent of the spectra of highly obscured AGN locally. Therefore, the X-ray data indirectly argue in favour of the existence of a super-massive black hole in IRAS 19254-7245. In particular, the X-ray data can be modeled with a power-law spectrum with a photon index slope of $\Gamma = 1.9$ emerging through a Compton thin torus ($N_H \sim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). A scattered component (of the order of 20 per cent of the nuclear component at 1 keV) or alternatively a large star-forming component ($L_x \sim 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) is also present. We do not detect any Fe line at 6.4 keV with the 90 per cent upper limit on the equivalent width being 3 keV. The X-ray data are also well modeled by a Compton thick, reflection dominated model with some fraction of the nuclear emission scattered into the line of sight. However, the limited quality of the present data does not allow us to distinguish between the above two models. Future observations with higher effective area missions such as *Chandra* and *XMM* will be able to provide much better constraints on the origin of the the soft X-ray emission as well as the geometry of the obscuring material thus shedding further light on the nature of ULIRGs.

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for many useful comments and suggestions. This research has made use of data obtained through the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center Online Service, provided by the NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center and the LEDAS online service, provided by the University of Leicester.

REFERENCES

- Bassani L. et al. , 1998, astro-ph/9811074
 Brandt W.N., Fabian A.C., Takahashi K., Fujimoto R., Yamashita A., Inoue H., Ogasaka Y., 1997, MNRAS, 290, 617
 Colina L., Lipari S., Macchetto F., 1991, ApJ, 379, 113
 DeGrijp M.H.K., Keel W.C., Miley G.K., Goudfrooij P., Lub J., 1992, A&A, 96, 389
 Duc P.A., Mirabel I.F., Maza J., 1997, A&ASS, 124, 533
 Fabian A.C. et al. , 1994, ApJ, 436, L51
 Georgantopoulos, I., Papadakis, I., Warwick, R.S., Smith, D.A., 1999, MNRAS, 307, 815
 Griffiths, R.G., Warwick, R.S., Georgantopoulos, I., Done, C., Smith, D.A., 1998, MNRAS, 298, 1159
 Heisler C.A, Lumsden S., Bailey J., 1997, Nature, 385, 700
 Iwasawa K., Comastri A., 1998, MNRAS, 297, 1219
 Kim D.-C., Sanders D.B., Veilleux S., Mazzarella J.M., Soifer, B.T., 1995, ApJS, 98, 129
 Lutz D., Veilleux S., Genzel R., 1999, ApJ, 517, 13
 Maiolino R., Salvati M., Bassani L., Dadina M., della Ceca R., Matt G., Risaliti G., Zamorani G., 1992, A&A, 338, 781

- Maiolino R., Rieke G.H., 1995, ApJ, 454, 95
 Matt G., Fiore, F., Perola, G.C., Piro, L., Fink, H.H., Grandi, P., Matsuoka, M., Oliva, E., Salvati, M., 1996, MNRAS, 281, L69
 Melnick J. & Mirabel I.F., 1990, A&A, 231, L19
 Miller J.S. & Goodrich R.W., 1990, ApJ, 355, 456
 Mirabel I.F., Lutz D., Maza J., 1991, A&A, 243, 367
 Mulchaey, J., Koratkar, A., Ward, M.J., Wilson, A., Whittle, M., Antonucci, R., Kinney, A.L., Hurt, T., 1994, ApJ, 436, 586
 Mushotzky R.F., Done C., Pounds K., 1993, ARA&A, 402, 432
 Nandra K. & Pounds K., 1994, MNRAS, 268, 405
 Ogasaka Y. et al. , 1997, PASJ, 49, 179
 Risaliti G. et al. , 1999, astro-ph/9902377
 Rush B., Malkan M. A., Fink H. H., Voges W., 1996, ApJ, 471, 190
 Soifer B.T. et al. , 1984, ApJ, 278, L71
 Sanders D.B., 1998, AAS, 192, 6206
 Sanders D.B. & Mirabel I.F., 1996, ARA, 34, 749
 Sanders D.B. et al. 1988a, ApJ, 325, 74
 Sanders D.B., Soifer B.T., Elias J.H., Neugebauer G., Matthews K., 1988b, ApJ, 328, L35
 Smith D., Done C., 1996, 280, 355
 Tanaka Y., Inoue H., Holt S., 1994, PASJ, 46, L37
 Ueno S., Mushotzky R., Koyama K., Iwasawa K., Awaki H., Hayashi I., 1994, PASJ, 46, L71
 Vignati, P., et al. 1999, A&A, 349, L57 R.A, 1998, ApJ, 324, 767
 Zezas A.L., Georgantopoulos I., Ward M.J., 1998, MNRAS, 301, 915