# Dispersion management in optical fiber links: Integrability in leading nonlinear order

Yuri V. Lvov<sup>\*</sup>, Ildar R. Gabitov<sup>†</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Center for Nonlinear Studies, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544
 <sup>†</sup> Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544

**Abstract** We show that an integro-differential equation model for pulse propagation in optical transmission lines with dispersion management, is integrable at the *leading nonlinear order*. This equation can be transformed into the nonlinear Schroedinger equation by a near-identity canonical transformation for the case of weak dispersion. We also derive the next order (nonintegrable) correction.

### I. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN IDEAS

The impressive progress in high-bit-rate optical fiber communications achieved during the last few years was primarily due to the invention of the dispersion management (DM) technique [1], which has become the key technological component of fiber telecommunications. A recent example of just how successful this technique is the 1.02 Tbit/s data transmission achieved in standard optical fiber with dispersion management over 1000km [3].

The main factor that limits the bit-rate is pulsebroadening due to the chromatic dispersion of optical fiber. This broadening is characterized by the dispersion length,  $Z_{\rm dis} \sim (d \times (BR)^2)^{-1}$ . Here, d is the fiber chromatic dispersion and BR is the bit-rate. The dispersion length  $Z_{\rm dis}$  is the distance at which the pulse-width approximately doubles due to dispersive broadening. This distance decreases as a quadratic function of the bit-rate.

The basic idea of the DM technique is to compensate the fiber chromatic dispersion by periodically incorporating an additional element, such as a dispersion compensating fiber or fiber chirped gratings, whose sign of the chromatic dispersion coefficient is opposite to that of the main optical fiber. (See Fig.).



FIG. 1. A schematic of the dispersion management of an optical fiber link is presented on the upper part of the figure. The dependence of fiber chromatic dispersion D as a function of the distance z is presented below.

Modern optical transmission systems must satisfy very strict requirements for bit-error-rate (BER  $\simeq 10^{-12}$  to  $10^{-15}$ ). Therefore, the pulse amplitude should be large enough so that it can be effectively detectable. As a consequence, the Kerr nonlinearity of the fiber refractive index  $n = n_0 + \alpha I$  ( $n_0$  – linear part of the refractive index, I – pulse intensity, and  $\alpha$  – coefficient of Kerr nonlinearity) should be taken into account. The spectrum of an optical pulse with characteristic power  $P_0$  will experience noticeable nonlinear distortion at distances grater than the characteristic nonlinear length  $Z_{nl} = (\alpha P_0)^{-1}$ . A natural idea is to control the nonlinear spectral distortion by properly choosing the value of the residual dispersion over the period of the fiber link.

The dispersion management technique is currently the focus of intensive experimental, numerical and theoretical research [2–11] because of its excellent practical performance. Optical pulses in DM fiber links exhibit unexpected soliton-like properties, such as high stability and elastic interaction, despite the fact that the governing equation does not belong any known class of integrable equations.

In this paper, we demonstrate that the leading-order equation describing the slow dynamics [2] of optical pulses in such systems is close to integrable for the case of weak dispersion management. We also present the next order (nonintegrable) correction. This correction is small for weak dispersion management.

The problem of weak dispersion management was considered in [12] for the first time as a theoretical example of periodic variation of the dispersion coefficient in the model of transmission link. The power of Lie transform technique proposed by authors earlier in [13,14] was also shown in [12]. This paper was published long before dispersion compensation became popular and before its strength was proven experimentally. The main result of this paper was that pulse propagation in fiber links with weak variation of dispersion can be described in leading order by an unperturbed nonlinear Schroedinger equation. Using a formalism which is common in weak turbulence theory [15], we derived similar results and investigated its validity by calculating the higher order corrections.

#### **II. BASIC EQUATIONS**

The relevant equation for the electric field envelope E(z,t) is the Nonlinear Schroedinger equation (NLS) with periodically varying dispersion and an external force representing fiber losses and amplification:

$$iE_z + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{\rm nl}}{Z_{\rm dis}} d(z)E_{tt} + |E|^2 E = iR'(z)E \tag{1}$$

$$R'(z)E = Z_{\rm nl} \left[ -\gamma + r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(z - z_k) \right].$$
 (2)

Here,  $\gamma$  describes the fiber losses. The amplifiers that compensate the fiber losses are placed periodically at points  $z_k$  and separated by distances  $Z_a \sim 1/\gamma$ . The coefficient of amplification r is chosen to exactly compensate energy losses after passing the amplification distance  $Z_a$ . The function d(z) is defined by

$$d(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & \text{in the transmission fiber,} \\ -d_{comp}/d_{trans}, & \text{in the compensating fiber} \end{bmatrix}$$

Without loss of generality, we consider the case when the period of amplification is equal to the period of compensation. The theory can be trivially extended to the general case.

In practice,  $Z_{\rm nl}/Z_{\rm dis} \gg 1$  and  $\gamma Z_{\rm nl} \gg 1$ . Therefore, on the scale of the amplification distance  $Z_{\rm a}$ , the pulse dynamics is practically linear. On the other hand, the linear evolution of the signal preserves the Fourier spectrum of the pulse. One can consider optical pulse propagation over one period of a fiber link to be a mapping of the input pulse into the output pulse. To preserve the bit pattern, this mapping must be both stationary and stable. Stationarity can be achieved by a proper choice of the amplification coefficient of the amplification and by complete compensation of dispersion. In this case, stationarity will be achieved for any pulse shape. Stability, on the other hand, is more complicated, and can be investigated through the slow nonlinear pulse dynamics.

In order to consider slow nonlinear pulse dynamics it is therefore natural to transform the equations into the Fourier representation, and study the slow dependence of the spectrum on the coordinate z. One can see the separation of scales in the equation (1):  $E_z$  is dominated by the RHS and dispersion term. Let us remove the external force (RHS) from (1) by the transformation  $E = q(z, t) \exp(R(z))$ , and we obtain the equation

$$iq_z + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{\rm nl}}{Z_{\rm dis}} d(z)q_{tt} + c(z)|q|^2 q = 0,$$
(3)

where  $c(z) = \exp(2R(z))$ .

Let us decompose d(z) into the sum

$$d(z) = \langle d(z) \rangle + \tilde{d}(z), \quad \langle \tilde{d}(z) \rangle = 0,$$
(4)

where

$$\langle f(z) \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{Z_{\rm a}} \int_{0}^{Z_{\rm a}} f(z) dz.$$

Following [2], we represent q(t, z) as

$$q(z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega a_{\omega}(z) \exp\left(i\omega t + i\omega^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{\rm nl}}{Z_{\rm dis}} \int_0^z \tilde{d}(z) dz\right),$$

and compute the equation for the slow dynamics of the pulse's Fourier spectrum in Hamiltonian form

$$\frac{\partial a_{\omega}}{\partial z} + i \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta a_{\omega}^*} = 0.$$
(5)

with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{\rm nl}}{Z_{\rm dis}} \langle d(z) \rangle \omega^2 |a_{\omega}|^2 d\omega + \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 d\omega_4 \\ \times F^{\omega_1 \omega_2}_{\omega_3 \omega_4} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega_4) a^*_{\omega_1} a^*_{\omega_2} a_{\omega_3} a_{\omega_4}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$F_{\omega_{3}\omega_{4}}^{\omega_{1}\omega_{2}} = c(z) \exp\left(i(\omega_{1}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{3}^{2} - \omega_{4}^{2})\frac{1}{2}\frac{Z_{\mathrm{nl}}}{Z_{\mathrm{dis}}}\int_{o}^{z} \tilde{d}(\xi)d\xi\right).$$

Note that the kernel  $F_{\omega_3\omega_4}^{\omega_1\omega_2}$  depends only on the composed variable  $g = (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 - \omega_4^2)$ , which, as we will see later, leads to important consequences.

The leading-order approximation for the slow nonlinear dynamics can be obtained by averaging (6) over  $Z_a$ . The resulting equation is valid if the pulse spectrum does not change appreciably over the amplification distance. The next order correction to the averaged equation was calculated in [16] by using a Lie transform [13,14]. An alternative method for calculating these corrections was proposed in [17].

In the simple case of communication through one frequency channel, with the additional assumption that pulses do not interact with each other, one can use the quasi self-similar character of the single-pulse behavior [19], which was proposed for the first time by Talanov (lens transformation) [20]. As a result, the slow dynamics of a single pulse is described by the Nonlinear Schroedinger equation with a quadratic potential. This approach was extensively discussed in [11], [19], [21], and allows one to explicitly take into account the evolution of the single pulse spectra within the system period. Another advantage of the quasi self-similarity is that it also holds for very small values of the residual dispersion. It was shown in [11], [22] that stable single pulse propagation is possible for zero or even negative residual dispersion for special configurations of the dispersion map.

However Talanov's method cannot be applied to the case of several interacting pulses within one frequency channel, nor can it describe the evolution of an optical pulse propagating in a system that utilizes the wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) technique. The WDM technique exploits several frequency channels for data transmission. Optical pulse streams in systems that use this technique propagate in every channel with their own velocities and interact through the common refractive index.

In the fiber links with dispersion management, the nonlinear effects are smaller than the dispersive effects, therefore the Fourier spectrum of a pulse does not change much between amplifiers. Hence, equation (5) can be replaced by the equation averaged between the amplifiers. In the corresponding averaged Hamiltonian,  $F^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  is replaced by  $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} = \langle F^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} \rangle$ , so that this Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{\mathrm{nl}}}{Z_{\mathrm{dis}}} < d(z) > \omega^2 |a_\omega|^2 d\omega + \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 d\omega_4$$
$$\times T^{\omega_1 \omega_2}_{\omega_3 \omega_4} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega_4) a^*_{\omega_1} a^*_{\omega_2} a_{\omega_3} a_{\omega_4},$$

here

 $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}\equiv \frac{1}{z_a}\int_0^{z_a}dz c(z)$ 

$$\times \exp\left(i(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2})\frac{1}{2}\frac{Z_{\rm nl}}{Z_{\rm dis}}\int_{o}^{z}\tilde{d}(\xi)d\xi\right), \ (7)$$

This averaging is equivalent to assuming that higher order corrections in the Lie expansion are small compared to the leading order.

## III. INTEGRABILITY AT THE LEADING ORDER

In our further analysis we will utilize ideas that are well developed in the theory of weak turbulence [15]. We rewrite the Hamiltonian (7) as

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \mathcal{Q}_{\omega} a_{\omega} a_{\omega}^* d\omega + \int T_{\omega_3 \omega_4}^{\omega_1 \omega_2} a_{\omega_1}^* a_{\omega_2}^* a_{\omega_3} a_{\omega_4} \delta_{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega_4} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 d\omega_4,$$
(8)

where we define the leading order quadratic dispersion relation as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\omega} = \beta < d(z) > \omega^2, \quad \beta \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{\rm nl}}{Z_{\rm dis}},$$
(9)

Then  $a_{\omega}$  satisfies the canonical equation of motion:

$$\frac{\partial a_{\omega}}{\partial z} + i \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta a_{\omega}^*} = 0.$$
 (10)

If  $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  is a constant independent of the  $\omega$ 's, then equations (8-10) correspond to the usual NLS equation. With  $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  given by (7) [2], these equations describe the averaged, slow-time evolution of an optical pulse propagating in a fiber-optical link with periodic amplifiers, damping, and dispersion compensators.

To find the leading-order approximation to (8-10), we follow [15,23-25] and perform the transformation

$$a_{\omega} = b_{\omega} + \int B^{\omega\omega_1}_{\omega_2\omega_3} b^*_{\omega_1} b_{\omega_2} b_{\omega_3} \delta_{\omega+\omega_1-\omega_2-\omega_3} +$$
  
higher order interactions. (11)

The transformation (11) is canonical up to and including the cubic terms if the coefficients  $B^{\omega\omega_1}_{\omega_2\omega_3}$  satisfy the symmetry condition [15]

$$B^{\omega\omega_1}_{\omega_2\omega_3} = B^{\omega_1\omega}_{\omega_2\omega_3} = B^{\omega\omega_1}_{\omega_3\omega_2} = -(B^{\omega_2\omega_3}_{\omega\omega_1})^*.$$

Substitution of (11) into the Hamiltonian (8) transforms (8) into

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \mathcal{Q}_{\omega} b_{\omega} b_{\omega}^{*} d\omega + \int \left( T_{\omega_{3}\omega_{4}}^{\omega_{1}\omega_{2}} + (\mathcal{Q}_{\omega} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_{1}} - \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_{2}} - \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_{3}}) B_{\omega_{3}\omega_{4}}^{\omega_{1}\omega_{2}} \right) \\ b_{\omega_{1}}^{*} b_{\omega_{2}}^{*} b_{\omega_{3}} b_{\omega_{4}} \delta_{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}} d\omega_{1} d\omega_{2} d\omega_{3} d\omega_{4} \\ + \text{ higher order interactions.}$$
(12)

The main mechanism of wave interaction is four-wave scattering, which occurs when  $\omega$ 's and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\omega}$ 's satisfy the following resonant conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{\omega} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_1} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_2} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_3} \\
\omega + \omega_1 &= \omega_2 + \omega_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The set of  $\omega$ 's that satisfies these conditions is called the resonant manifold. The form of the transformed Hamiltonian (12) explains the importance of the notion of a resonant manifold. Indeed, at the points where (13) holds, in general, one cannot choose the arbitrary coefficients  $B^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  to exclude the terms  $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}a^*_{\omega_1}a^*_{\omega_2}a_{\omega_3}a_{\omega_4}$  from the Hamiltonian because of "small resonant denominators".

For the case of quadratic dispersion (9), equations (13) have only trivial solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2 &= \omega_1, \quad \omega_3 &= \omega, \\
or \quad \omega_3 &= \omega_1, \quad \omega_2 &= \omega.
\end{aligned}$$
(14)

In this case the value of  $T^{\omega\omega_1}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  on the resonant manifold (13),(14) is equal to a constant independent of  $\omega$ 's:  $T^{\omega\omega_1}_{\omega\omega_1} = T_0$ . We will show below that the leading nonlinear approximation the equation (10) with Hamiltonian (8) and quadratic dispersion relation (9) is the NLS, and hence it is integrable. Thus, we can integrate (10) at the leading nonlinear order.

For the case of interest, the interaction matrix element is a function of  $g \equiv (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 - \omega_4^2)$ :

$$T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} = T(g).$$

Now we choose the canonical transformation kernel as

$$B^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} = -\frac{T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} - T^{\omega_1\omega_1}_{\omega_1\omega_1}}{\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 - \omega_4^2},\tag{15}$$

or equivalently,

$$B^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} = -\frac{T(g) - T(0)}{g}.$$
 (16)

Note that this expression does not have a "small denominator" problem. It is not singular on trivial resonances, i.e. it is not singular for g = 0. This fact is obvious from an expansion of  $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  in powers of g:

$$T(g) = T(g=0) + g\frac{\partial T}{\partial g} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{g^k}{k!} \frac{\partial T^{\omega_1 \omega_1}_{\omega_1 \omega_1}}{\partial g}.$$
 (17)

Here  $T_{\omega_1\omega_1}^{\omega_1\omega_1} \equiv T(g=0) \equiv T_0$ , i.e. a constant independent of  $\omega_i$ . The transformed Hamiltonian acquires the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \mathcal{Q}_{\omega} b_{\omega} b_{\omega}^* d\omega + \int T_0 b_{\omega}^* b_{\omega_1}^* b_{\omega_2} b_{\omega_3} \delta_{\omega + \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3} d\omega d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 + \text{higher order interactions}, \qquad (18)$$

which is the Hamiltonian of the NLS equation to leading order. Thus equation (10) with Hamiltonian (6) and quadratic dispersion relation (9) is integrable at the leading nonlinear order.

The condition of quasi-identity of transformation (11) reads as

$$B^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} \ll 1. \tag{19}$$

Let us estimate the  $B^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  in (16). For the case of a lossless piece-wise constant dispersion map with alternating pieces of fibers of length  $l_1$  with dispersion  $< d > +d_1$  and of length  $l_2$  with dispersion  $< d > +d_2$ ,  $(l_1d_1+l_2d_2=0)$ ,  $T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4}$  acquires the form

$$T^{\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4} = \frac{\sin(\delta g)}{\delta g},\tag{20}$$

where  $\delta$  is the strength of a dispersion map. Thus condition (19) becomes

$$\delta^2 \ll \langle d \rangle,\tag{21}$$

so that the transformation (11) is quasi-identical for the so called weak dispersion maps, i.e. for the cases of small variation of the dispersion on top of big dispersion.

In this paper, we only study the sixth-order interaction in the averaged equations. V.E. Zakharov [17] recently pointed out that a canonical transformation similar to (11) can also be used to bring the original nonaveraged problem (6) into a form analogous to that developed here for the averaged equation, namely, the Nonlinear Schroedinger Equation with higher-order corrections. We believe that the present work is an important stepping stone in the proper development of a more sophisticated transformation, which will give us sufficient understanding to attack this much harder problem.

# IV. SIX-WAVE INTERACTIONS ON A RESONANT SURFACE

In this section, we calculate the sixth-order interaction matrix element for the Hamiltonian (18). The Hamiltonian (18) is the averaged Hamiltonian, and, including sixth-order terms, has the form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \int d\omega \mathcal{Q}_{\omega} |b_{\omega}|^2 + \int T_0 d\omega d\omega_1 d\omega_3 d\omega_4 b^*_{\omega_1} b^*_{\omega_2} b_{\omega_3} b_{\omega_4} + \int d\omega d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 d\omega_4 d\omega_5 T^{\omega,\omega_1,\omega_2}_{\omega_3,\omega_4,\omega_5} b^*_{\omega} b^*_{\omega_1} b^*_{\omega_2} b_{\omega_3} b_{\omega_4} b_{\omega_5} \delta^{\omega+\omega_1+\omega_2}_{\omega_3+\omega_4+\omega_5},$$
(22)

There are two ways of calculating the sixth-order interaction matrix element  $T^{\omega,\omega_1,\omega_2}_{\omega_3,\omega_4,\omega_5}$  for the Hamiltonian (22). The first way is to calculate the higher order terms in the canonical transformation (11). The second way, which is simpler and clearer, is to compute  $T^{\omega\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4\omega_5}$  involving standard Wyld diagram formalism [18] which is a generalization of the Feynman diagram technique for classical nonequilibrium systems.

Following [26] the matrix element  $T^{\omega\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4\omega_5}$  can be represented as sum of all topologically different irreduceable diagrams with no internal loops. Below we present, an example of such a diagram. The structure of this diagram can be understood as follows: This diagram consists of two vortices A and B. Each of these vortices forms a diagram which corresponds to four wave mixing. For computing of six order interaction term in the perturbation theory one should take two four-wave vortesces, connect them using all topologically possible configurations and then summ all of them. The number of such nonequvalent configurations is nine. The diagram presented below is one one of these nine terms.



The analytical expression corresponding to this diagram is

$$\frac{T^{\omega_1,\omega_2+\omega_3-\omega_6}_{\omega_4\omega_5}T^{\omega_2\omega_3}_{\omega_6,\omega_2+\omega_3-\omega_6}}{\mathcal{Q}_{\omega_2}+\mathcal{Q}_{\omega_3}-\mathcal{Q}_{\omega_6}-\mathcal{Q}_{\omega_2+\omega_3-\omega_6}}$$

After summing up all 9 diagrams, the sixth order matrix element  $T^{\omega\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4\omega_5}$  can be represented as

$$T^{\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3}_{\omega_4\omega_5\omega_6} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=9} \frac{|T(g_i)|^2}{g_i},$$
(23)

where the nine variables  $g_i$ , i = 1, ..., 9 are given by the following expressions

$$g_{i} = \{-2(\omega_{4} - \omega_{1})(\omega_{4} - \omega_{2}), -2(\omega_{4} - \omega_{1})(\omega_{4} - \omega_{3}), \\ -2(\omega_{4} - \omega_{2})(\omega_{4} - \omega_{3}), -2(\omega_{5} - \omega_{1})(\omega_{5} - \omega_{2}), \\ -2(\omega_{5} - \omega_{1})(\omega_{5} - \omega_{3}), -2(\omega_{5} - \omega_{2})(\omega_{5} - \omega_{3}), \\ -2(\omega_{6} - \omega_{1})(\omega_{6} - \omega_{2}), -2(\omega_{6} - \omega_{1})(\omega_{6} - \omega_{3}), \\ -2(\omega_{6} - \omega_{2})(\omega_{6} - \omega_{3})\}.$$

The resulting expression can be viewed as the measure of the "distance" of the perturbed system from its integrable analog. Indeed direct calculations show that for the case of NLS, when  $T^{\omega_1,\omega_2}_{\omega_3,\omega_4} = const$ , this sixth order matrix element is identically equal to zero on the resonant manifold

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\omega} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_1} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_2} = \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_3} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_4} + \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_5}$$
  
$$\omega + \omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_3 + \omega_4 + \omega_5.$$
(24)

In order to bring equation (8) as close to the integrable limit as possible, we must minimize  $T^{\omega\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4\omega_5}$  over all the tunable system parameters.

For the case of a lossless piece-wise constant dispersion map, when the fourth order matrix element is given by (20), we find (using Mathematica 3.0), that the six wave interaction matrix element

$$T^{\omega\omega_1\omega_2}_{\omega_3\omega_4\omega_5} \propto \delta^2 / \langle d \rangle,$$

on the 6-wave resonant manifold (24). Therefore the above perturbation technique is valid for the case of a weak dispersion map. In leading order, the slow pulse evolution in optical fiber is governed by the integrable NLS equation.

# V. CONCLUSION

We showed that the leading-order equation describing pulse dynamics in optical fiber links with a weak dispersion map is close to integrable. Using canonical transformations, we found a nonintegrable higher order correction to the integrable part of the model equation describing the slow evolution of optical pulses.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are very grateful to Roberto Camassa, Gary Doolen, Gregor Kovacic, Victor Lvov and Vladimir Zakharov for helpful discussions. This work was supported by DOE contract W-7-405-ENG-36 and the DOE Program Applied Mathematical Sciences KJ-01-01.

- \* Yu.V. Lvov's e-mail: lvov@lanl.gov
- <sup>†</sup> I.G. Gabitov e-mail: gabitov@lanl.gov
- C. Lin, H. Kogelnik and L.G. Cohen, Optics Letters; 5 p.476-8, (1980).
- [2] I.R. Gabitov, S.K. Turitsyn, Optics Letters, **21** 327-329, (1996).
- [3] D.Le. Guen, S. Del Burgo, M.L. Moulinard, D. Grot, M. Henry, F. Favre and T. Georges, PD4-1, Postdeadline Papers, OFC, 1999.
- [4] N.J. Smith, F.M. Knox, N.J. Doran, K.J. Blow, Electronics Letters; 32, p.54-5, (1996).
- [5] J.H.B. Nijhof, W. Forysiak, N.J. Doran, Optics Letters, 23, p.1674 (1998).
- [6] S.B. Alleston, P. Harper, I.S. Penketh, I. Bennion, N.J. Doran, Electronics Letters; 35, p.57-9 (1999)
- [7] S. Kawanishi, H. Takara, O. Kamatani, T. Morioka, M. Saruwatari, Electronics Letters; 32, p.470 (1996).
- [8] E.A. Golovchenko, A.N. Pilipetskii, C.R. Menyuk, Optics Letters; 22 p.1156 (1997).
- [9] M.J. Ablowitz, G. Biondini, G. Optics Letters; 23, p.1668 (1998).
- [10] I. Morita, K. Tanaka, N. Edagawa, S. Yamamoto, M. Suzuki, M. Electronics Letters; 34, p.1863-5 (1998).
- [11] A. Hasegawa, Y. Kodama, A. Maruta, Optical Fiber Technology: Materials, Devices and Systems; 3, p.197 ,(1997).
- [12] A. Hasegawa, Y. Kodama, Optics Letters; 16, p.1385-7 (1991).
- [13] A. Hasegawa, Y. Kodama, Optics Letters; 15, p.1443-5 (1990).

- [14] A. Hasegawa, Y Kodama, Physical Review Letters; 66, p.161-4 (1991).
- [15] V.E. Zakharov, V.S. L'vov, G. Falkovich, Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence I, v.1, (Springer-Verlag, 1992).
- [16] S. Burtsev, I.R. Gabitov and S. Turitsyn, submitted to Physics Letters A.
- [17] V.E. Zakharov, Private communications.
- [18] H.W. Wyld. Ann. Phys., 41:363, 1970.
- [19] S. Kumar, A. Hasegawa, A. Optics Letters; 22, p.372-4 (1997).
- [20] V.I. Talanov, Pis'ma v Zhurnal Eksperimental'noi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki; 11, p.303-5 (1970).
- [21] I. Gabitov, E.G. Shapiro, S.K. Turitsyn, Optics Communications; 134, p.317-29 (1997).
- [22] J.H.B. Nijhof, N.J. Doran, W. Forysiak, F.M. Knox, Electronics Letters; 33, p.1726-7 (1997).
- [23] V.E. Zakharov, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Radiofizika, 17, 431 (1974).
- [24] V.P. Krasitskii, Sov. Phys. JETP, 71, p921 (1990).
- [25] V.P. Krasitskii, *Fluid Mech.* **272**, p1 (1994).
- [26] A.I. Dyachenko, Y.V. Lvov and V.E. Zakharov, Physica D. Nonlinear Phenomena. 87, 233 (1995)
  Y.V. Lvov, Physics Letters A, 230, 38 (1997).
- [27] V.E. Zakharov, E. Shulman, What is Integrability?, Edtr. V.E.Zakharov, (Springer-Verlag, 1991), 190.
- [28] V.E. Zakharov, S.V. Manakov JETP, 42, (1975), 842.