Cooper pair dispersion relation in two dimensions

S.K. Adhikari, ^a M. Casas, ^b A. Puente, ^b A. Rigo, ^b M. Fortes, ^c M.A. Solís, ^c M. de Llano, ^d A.A. Valladares, ^d and O. Rojo ^e

^aInstituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 01405-900 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^bDepartament de Física, Universitat de les Illes Balears, 07071 Palma de Mallorca, Spain

^cInstituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 20-364, 01000 México, DF, México

^dInstituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 70-360, 04510 México, DF, México

ePESTIC, Secretaría Académica & CINVESTAV, IPN, 04430 México DF, México

The Cooper pair binding energy vs. center-of-mass-momentum dispersion relation for Bose-Einstein condensation studies of superconductivity is found in two dimensions for a renormalized attractive delta interaction. It crosses over smoothly from a linear to a quadratic form as coupling varies from weak to strong.

For the attractive interfermion potential

$$V(r) = -v_0 \delta(\mathbf{r}),\tag{1}$$

where $v_0 \geq 0$ is the interaction strength, one can apply the Lippmann-Schwinger as well as the Cooper-pair (CP) equation in two dimensions (2D) for two fermions of mass m with momenta wavevectors \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_2 in free space (i.e., vacuum) and in the momentum space above the filled Fermi sea, respectively. Combining these two equations so as to eliminate (the regularized, infinitesimally small [1]) v_0 , one obtains the renormalized CP equation

$$\sum_{k} \frac{1}{B_2 + \hbar^2 k^2 / m} - \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\hbar^2 k^2 / m + \Delta_K - 2E_F + \hbar^2 K^2 / 4m} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{k} \equiv (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)/2$ is the relative, $\mathbf{K} \equiv \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2$ the center-of-mass momentum (CMM), usually taken as zero in BCS theory, E_F the Fermi energy, $B_2 \geq 0$ the (single-bound-state) pair binding energy in vacuum, and $2E_F - \Delta_K$ the total energy of the CP with $\Delta_K \geq 0$ its binding energy now simply a function of B_2 . The prime

on the second summation denotes the restriction $|\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{K}/2| > k_F$. In 2D one finds that $\Delta_K = B_2$ exactly, but only for $K \equiv 0$. Expanding Eq. (2) for small but nonzero K and subtracting from the expression for K = 0 gives a small-CMM expansion valid for any dimensionless coupling $B_2/E_F = \Delta_0/E_F$, namely

$$\varepsilon_K \equiv (\Delta_0 - \Delta_K) = \frac{2}{\pi} \hbar v_F K + \left[1 - \left\{ 2 - \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^2 \right\} \frac{E_F}{B_2} \right] \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2(2m)} + O(K^3), \quad (3)$$

where a nonnegative CP excitation energy ε_K has been defined, and the Fermi velocity v_F is given by $E_F/k_F = \hbar v_F/2$. It is this excitation energy that must be inserted into the Bose-Einstein (BE) distribution function to determine the critical temperature in a picture of superconductivity (or of superfluidity in, e.g., liquid ³He) as a BE condensation (BEC) of CPs [2,3].

The leading term in (3) is linear in the CMM, followed by a quadratic term; similar results hold in 3D. In the strong coupling limit $(B_2 = \Delta_0 \gg E_F)$ the quadratic term is exactly the CM kinetic energy of what was originally a CP (becoming

what is sometimes called a "local pair"), namely,

$$\lim_{\Delta_0 \gg E_F} \varepsilon_K = \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2(2m)},\tag{4}$$

the familiar nonrelativistic kinetic energy of the composite pair of mass 2m and CMM K in vacuum. It is this dispersion relation that has been assumed in virtually all BEC studies in 3D of superconductivity (see, e.g., [3,4] among others)—but which in 2D is well-known to give zero transition BEC temperature T_c . However, recent BCS-Bose crossover picture root-mean-square radii calculations compared with empirical coherence lengths of several typical 2D-like cuprates [5] suggest these superconductors to be well within the BCS or weak-coupling regime, implying that the (nearly) linear relation is appropriate for them rather than the quadratic one.

Fig. 1 displays exact numerical results obtained from (2) for different couplings of a dimensionless CP excitation energy ε_K/Δ_0 as function of K/k_F . For weak enough coupling (and/or high enough density) the exact dispersion relation is practically linear over almost the entire interval of K/k_F up to breakup—despite the divergence in (3) of the quadratic and possibly higher-order terms as $B_2 \to 0$. For stronger coupling the quadratic dispersion relation (4) begins to dominate, i.e., as $B_2/E_F \to \infty$, meaning that either $B_2 \to \infty$ or $E_F \to 0$, the latter implying that the Fermi sea vanishes and the vacuum is recovered as a limit.

In conclusion, the CP problem with nonzero CMM evolves with weak to strong zero-range pairwise interaction to give a linear dispersion relation in the CMM that gradually crosses over to a quadratic relation with increasing coupling. These results will play a critical role in a model of superconductivity (or superfluidity) based on BE condensation of CPs, particularly in 2D where only the linear relation can give rise to nonzero T_c 's.

Partial support from UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT (México) # IN102198, CONACyT (México) # 27828 E, DGES (Spain) # PB95-0492 and FAPESP (Brazil) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- P. Gosdzinsky and R. Tarrach, Am. J. Phys. 59, 70 (1991).
- M. Casas, A. Rigo, M. de Llano, O. Rojo and M.A. Solís, Phys. Lett. A 245, 55 (1998).
- V.V. Tolmachev, Phys. Lett. A (in press);
 R. Friedberg and T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. B
 40, 6745 (1989); P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low. Temp. Phys. 59, 195 (1985);
 J.M. Blatt, Theory of Superconductivity (Academic, NY, 1964) esp. p. 94, and references therein.
- R. Haussmann, Phys. Rev. B 49, 12975 (1994).
- M. Casas, J.M. Getino, M. de Llano, A. Puente, R.M. Quick, H. Rubio and D.M. van der Walt, Phys. Rev. B 50, 15945 (1994).

Figure Caption

1. Dimensionless exact CP excitation energy ε_K/Δ_0 vs K/k_F in 2D (full curves) calculated from (2) for different B_2/E_F . Dot-dashed line is linear approximation (virtually coincident with the exact curve for all $B_2/E_F \lesssim 0.1$) while dashed curve is exact finite range result for a potential more general than (1) and whose double Fourier transform is $V_{pq} \equiv -(v_0/L^2)[(1+p^2/p_0^2)(1+q^2/p_0^2)]^{-1/2}$ (see Nozières and Schmitt-Rink [3]), which for $p_0 \to \infty$ becomes (1). Dots mark values of CMM wavenumber where the CP breaks up, i.e., where Δ_K turns negative.

