

Role of a parallel magnetic field in two dimensional disordered clusters containing a few correlated electrons

Franck Selva and Jean-Louis Pichard

CEA, Service de Physique de l'Etat Condensé, Centre d'Etudes de Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
(November 21, 2018)

An ensemble of $2d$ disordered clusters with a few electrons is studied as a function of the Coulomb energy to kinetic energy ratio r_s . Between the Fermi system (small r_s) and the Wigner molecule (large r_s), an interaction induced delocalization of the ground state takes place which is suppressed when the spins are aligned by a parallel magnetic field. Our results confirm the existence of an intermediate regime where the Wigner antiferromagnetism defavors the Stoner ferromagnetism and where the enhancement of the Landé g factor observed in dilute electron systems is reproduced.

PACS: 71.10.Hf, 71.10.-w, 71.30.+h, 73.23.-b

The magnetization of quantum dots is the subject of recent studies [1,2] since their Ohmic resistances are measured as a function of a gate voltage in the Coulomb blockade regime. The Pauli rule (electrons populating the orbital states of a dot in a sequence of spin up - spin down electrons) leads to a bimodal distribution of the conductance peak spacings. The possibility of a spontaneous magnetization S of their ground state due to electron-electron interactions has been proposed to explain the absence of such a distribution in the experiments. Since the Coulomb energy to Fermi energy ratios r_s are not too large in those dots, the interactions are mainly described assuming the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation. A break-down of the Pauli rule is obtained if, by placing electrons at higher orbitals, the gain in exchange energy exceeds the loss in kinetic energy. This is the Stoner mechanism [3] which eventually gives a ferromagnetic ground state. The mesoscopic fluctuations of S are usually studied [4] assuming some random matrix toy model valid in the zero dimensional limit. We numerically study in this letter another limit where the $2d$ character of the dot is kept and where the interactions are exactly taken into account. Therefore, our study is directly relevant for quantum dots created from dilute $2d$ electron gases and may give insights into the physics of the dilute $2d$ electron gas (strongly correlated limit).

The dilute limit is opening a new frontier for experiment and theory. $2d$ electron gases can be created [5,6] in field effect devices at low carrier densities n_s and large ratios $r_s \propto n_s^{-1/2}$. The dependence of the $2d$ resistance R as a function of the temperature T and the applied magnetic field B has been studied up to large values of r_s . Wigner crystallization, which remains to be confirmed in the experiments, is seen in computer simulations for values of r_s where a metal-insulator transition (MIT) is observed [5,7]. One has an insulator when r_s is large and a metal when r_s is smaller. The MIT occurs [7] at $r_s \approx 10$ in disordered devices, at larger r_s in cleaner devices. Since Anderson localization takes place when

$r_s \rightarrow 0$, the metallic behavior of $R(T)$ observed for intermediate values of r_s suggests the possible existence of an intermediate metallic phase between two insulating limits of different nature: a Fermi system of Anderson localized states on one side and a pinned Wigner crystal on the other side. The existence of such a phase is supported by exact studies [8,9] of small disordered clusters where three different regimes are observed for the persistent currents. Nevertheless it remains unclear that the observed intermediate regime is not a simple finite size effect, and that it does correspond to a new kind of metal. An analogy with the physics of 3He has been recently discussed [10].

Renormalization group equations [11] obtained for weak disorder and weak interaction underline the role of the spins and suggest that a $2d$ metal might exist. The behavior of R when a parallel magnetic field B is applied (no orbital effects) confirms the role of the electronic spins. For the intermediate r_s where $R(T)$ behaves as in a metal, a positive magnetoresistance [5] saturating at a large value for $B > B_{sat}$ is observed. Small-angle Shubnikov-de-Haas measurements [12] confirm that B_{sat} is the necessary field to polarize the electrons completely. Following ref. [13], $B_{sat} \propto (n_s - n_c)$ where n_c is the density where the MIT takes place at $B = 0$. This behavior is observed for densities n_s corresponding to $3 < r_s < 10$ and gives $g(n_s) \propto n_s(n_s - n_c)^{-1}$ for the Landé g factor. A shift of n_c (defined from the $I - V$ characteristics) is also observed [14]: n_c increases as a function of B before saturating when $B > B_{sat}$. This typically gives for the critical ratios r_s^c : $r_s^c(B = 0)/r_s^c(B > B_{sat}) \approx 1.2$.

Our study of an ensemble of small disordered clusters confirms that the intermediate regime observed [8] using spinless fermions remains when the spin degrees of freedom are included. When the electrons are not polarized by a parallel field B , the ground state begins to be delocalized by the interaction before forming the localized Wigner molecule. When the spins are aligned by B , this

delocalization effect is suppressed and the crystallization threshold is shifted by a small amount compatible with the experimental observations [14]. The polarization field $B_{sat} \propto r_s^{-2}$ found in our simulations is consistent with the law $B_{sat} \propto n_s$ found in Ref. [13] in a similar interval of intermediate values for r_s . Magnetic signatures of the intermediate regime are given. The local magnetic moments ($S = 1$) appearing above the mesoscopic Stoner threshold ($r_s \approx 0.35$ for the studied clusters) begin to disappear above a first threshold $r_s^{FS} \approx 2.2$ where they have a maximum probability. Above r_s^{FS} , the Stoner mechanism and hence the HF approximation break down, $B_{sat} \propto r_s^{-2}$ and the field B necessary to create a local moment ($S = 1$) in a $S = 0$ cluster becomes independent of r_s . Those behaviors disappear around $r_s^{WS} \approx 10$ where the electrons crystallize and form an antiferromagnetic ($S = 0$) Wigner molecule.

The clusters are described by an Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} of N electrons free to move on a $L \times L$ square lattice with on-site disorder and periodic boundary conditions (BCs).

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,\sigma} (-t \sum_{i'} c_{i',\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma} + v_i n_{i,\sigma}) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,i' \\ i \neq i'}} \frac{n_{i,\sigma} n_{i',\sigma'}}{|i-i'|} + 2U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}. \quad (1)$$

The operators $c_{i,\sigma}$ ($c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$) destroy (create) an electron of spin σ at the site i and $n_{i,\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$. The hopping term $-t$ couples nearest-neighbor sites and the random potentials v_i are uniformly distributed inside $[-W/2, W/2]$ with $W = 5$ (diffusive regime for non interacting particles). Two electrons on the same site costs a $2U$ Hubbard energy and a $U/|i-i'|$ Coulomb energy if they are on two sites i and i' separated by $|i-i'|$ (shortest length separating i and i' on a square lattice with periodic BCs). The use of the dimensionless ratio $r_s = U/(2t\sqrt{\pi n_s})$ where $n_s = N/L^2$ allows us to compare our results obtained as a function of U and the experimental results obtained as a function of n_s .

We denote \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_z the total spin and its component along an arbitrary direction z . Since $[\mathcal{S}^2, \mathcal{H}] = [\mathcal{S}_z, \mathcal{H}] = 0$, \mathcal{H} can be written in a block-diagonal form, with $N+1$ blocks where $S_z = -N/2, \dots, N/2$ respectively. The blocks with S_z and $-S_z$ are identical in the absence of a magnetic field B . When $B = 0$, there is no preferential direction and the groundstate energy E_0 does not depend on S_z . For a groundstate of total spin S , \mathcal{H} has $2S+1$ blocks with the same lowest eigenenergy $E_0(S^2)$ since $E_0(S^2) = E_0(S^2, S_z)$; $s_z = -s, -s+1, \dots, s-1, s$. Therefore, the number N_b of blocks of different S_z and of same lowest energy gives the total spin $S = (N_b - 1)/2$ of the groundstate. We consider $N = 4$ and $L = 6$, as in ref. [8]. $S_z = 2, 1, 0, -1, -2$ give two characteristic energy differences $\Delta_1 = E_0(S_z = 1) - E_0(S_z = 0)$ and $\Delta_2 = E_0(S_z = 2) - E_0(S_z = 0)$. The $E_0(S_z)$ energies are

exactly obtained using Lanczos algorithm. The size of the matrices to diagonalize are $N(S_z) = 396900, 257040$ and 58905 for $S_z = 0, 1, 2$ respectively.

A parallel magnetic field B defines the z -direction and removes the S_z degeneracy by the Zeeman energy $-g\mu B S_z$. The ground state energy and its magnetization are then given by the minimum of $E_0(S^2, S_z, B = 0) - g\mu B S_z$. For a $S = 0$ groundstate without field, the value B^* for which $E_0(S_z) - g\mu B^* S_z = E_0(S_z = 0)$ defines the field necessary to polarize the system to $S \geq S_z$. The total Δ_2 and partial Δ_1 polarization energies are the Zeeman energies necessary to yield $S = 2$ and $S = 1$ respectively for a cluster with $S = 0$.

When $r_s = 0$, the two one body states of lowest energy are doubly occupied and $S = 0$ ($S = 1/2$ if N is odd). To polarize the $S = 0$ ground state to $S = 1$ corresponds to the transition of one electron at the Fermi energy and costs an energy equal to the one body level spacing. $p(\Delta_1)$ is then given by the spacing distribution $p(\delta)$ between consecutive one body levels, the Wigner surmise $(\pi\delta/2) \exp(-\pi\delta^2/4)$ in the diffusive regime. Δ_2 corresponds to the sum of a few one body excitations.

When r_s is large, the 4 electrons occupy the four sites $c(j)$ $j = 1, \dots, 4$ of the square configuration of side $a = 3$ with the lowest substrate energy $\sum_{j=1}^4 v_{c(j)}$. If $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuum state, the ground state in this limit becomes $|\Psi_c\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^4 c_{c(j),\sigma_j}^\dagger |0\rangle$ with a spin independent energy E_c . This square can support $2^N = 16$ spin configurations. We summarize the main results of a perturbative expansion of E_c in powers of t/U . The spin degeneracy of E_c is removed by terms of order $t(t/U)^{2a-1}$, which is the smallest order where the 16 spin configurations can be coupled via intermediate configurations allowing a double occupancy of the same site. Therefore, $2a-1$ is the order where the perturbation begins to depend on S_z and Δ_1 as $\Delta_2 \propto t(t/U)^{2a-1} \rightarrow 0$ when $t/U \rightarrow 0$ (we have numerically checked this decay when $r_s > 100$). Moreover, the correction to E_c depending on S_z and $\propto t(t/U)^{2a-1}$ is given by an effective antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian. The $S = 0$ ground state for large r_s correspond to 4 electrons forming an antiferromagnetic square Wigner molecule. This does not reproduce the ferromagnetic ground state suggested in Ref. [15]. However Δ_2 is very small when r_s is large, and the antiferromagnetic behavior can be an artefact due to the square lattice. Without impurities, a quasi-classical WKB expansion [16] shows that 3 particle exchanges dominate in the continuous limit, leading to ferromagnetism. Recent Monte-Carlo calculations [17] suggest that the crystal becomes a frustrated antiferromagnet closer to the melting point.

The perturbative corrections $\propto t(t/U)^{2a-1}$ depend on the random variables v_i via $\prod_{J=1}^{2a-1} (E_c - E_J)^{-1}$ where the E_J are the classical energies of the intermediate configurations. E_J is the sum of an electrostatic energy and of

a substrate energy $E_s(J) = \sum_{k=1}^4 v_{J(k)}$. Due to the high order $2a-1$ of the correction, a normal distribution for E_J leads to a log-normal distribution for $\prod_{J=1}^{2a-1} (E_c - E_J)^{-1}$. Therefore $p(\Delta_1)$ and $p(\Delta_2)$ should be log-normal when r_s is large.




FIG. 1. Distributions of Δ_1 and Δ_2 at different values of r_s . Upper left: $p(\delta_1)$ at $r_s = 0$ (circle) and 2.5 (square) where $\delta_1 = \Delta_1 / \langle \Delta_1 \rangle$. The continuous line is the Wigner surmise. $p(-\log \Delta_1)$ (circle) and $p(-\log \Delta_2)$ (diamond) at $r_s = 2.5$ (upper right) 5.8 (lower left) and 16.8 (lower right) respectively. The thick bars (put at right edge of the figures) give the peaks $\delta(\Delta_1)$ of the bimodal $p(\Delta_1)$. The continuous lines are normal fits.

$p(\Delta_1)$ is given in Fig. 1 for different r_s . The expected Wigner surmise takes place for $r_s = 0$. A small interaction quickly drives $p(\Delta_1)$ towards a bimodal distribution, with a delta peak at $\Delta_1 = 0$ and a main peak centered around a non zero value of Δ_1 . The delta peak gives the probability to have spontaneously magnetized clusters with $S = 1$. The main peak gives the field B necessary to create $S = 1$ in a cluster with $S = 0$. The logarithmic scale used in Fig. 1 underlines the bimodal character of the distribution and confirms that the main peak becomes log-normal when r_s is large. The distribution of Δ_2 is not bimodal: a fully polarized cluster has never been seen when $B = 0$. Δ_2 becomes also log-normally distributed when r_s is large.

In Fig. 2, the fraction M of clusters with $S = 1$ at $B = 0$ is given as a function of r_s . One can see the mesoscopic Stoner instability [3] taking place at $r_s \approx 0.35$. The Stoner mechanism should eventually give fully polarized electrons. This is not the case, the increase of M breaks down when $r_s = r_s^{FS} \approx 2.2$, a value where the Stoner mechanism and hence the HF approximation break down. In the same clusters, the HF approximation fails [18] to describe the persistent currents of 4 spin-

less fermions when $r_s > r_s^{FP} \approx 5$. r_s^{FP} takes a smaller value r_s^{FS} when the spin degrees of freedom are included. Above r_s^{FS} , M regularly decreases to reach a zero value for $r_s^{WS} \approx 9$ where an antiferromagnetic square molecule is formed. In the intermediate regime, there is a competition between the Stoner ferromagnetism and the Wigner antiferromagnetism. Since the $S = 0$ clusters are characterized by log-normal distributions, the ensemble averages $\langle \log \Delta_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \log \Delta_2 \rangle$ (without taking into account the $S = 1$ spontaneously magnetized clusters) define the typical fields B necessary to yield $S = 1$ or $S = 2$ in a $S = 0$ cluster. Fig. 2 provides two magnetic signatures confirming the existence of a novel intermediate regime between the Fermi glass ($r_s < r_s^{FS}$) and the Wigner glass ($r_s > r_s^{WS}$): $\langle \log \Delta_1 \rangle$ becomes roughly independent of r_s , while $\Delta_2 \propto r_s^{-2}$. Very remarkably, this r_s^{-2} dependence is consistent with the n_s dependence of B_{sat} seen in the experiments [13].




FIG. 2. As a function of r_s , fraction M (diamond) of clusters with $S = 1$ at $B = 0$, partial $\langle \log \Delta_1 \rangle$ (circle) and total $\langle \log \Delta_2 \rangle$ (triangle) energies required to polarize $S = 0$ clusters to $S = 1$ and $S = 2$. The straight line corresponds to $0.25 - 2 \log r_s$.

We now study three quantities calculated from the three eigenstates $|\Psi_0(S_z)\rangle$ of lowest energy with $S_z = 2, 1, 0$ respectively: (i) the number $\xi(S_z) = N^2 (\sum_i \rho_i^2(S_z))^{-1}$ of occupied lattice sites ($\rho_i(S_z) = \langle \Psi_0(S_z) | \sum_\sigma n_{i,\sigma} | \Psi_0(S_z) \rangle$); (ii) the crystallization parameter $\gamma(S_z) = \max_r C(r, S_z) - \min_r C(r, S_z)$ where $C(r, S_z) = N^{-1} \sum_i \rho_i(S_z) \rho_{i+r}(S_z)$. $\gamma(S_z) = 0$ (1) if the state is a liquid (a crystal); (iii) the longitudinal $I_l(S_z)$ and transverse $I_t(S_z)$ components of the total persistent current driven by an Aharonov-Bohm flux $\phi = \pi/2$ enclosing the $2d$ torus along the longitudinal direction ($\phi = \pi$ corresponds to anti-periodic longitudinal BCs

and periodic transverse BCs, see Ref. [9]).

$\xi(S_z)$ (shown for a single cluster in Fig. 3) depends on S_z for small r_s and becomes independent of S_z for large r_s . At $r_s = 0$, $\Psi_0(S_z = 2, 1, 0)$ occupy respectively 4, 3, 2 one body states while the Wigner molecule occupies 4 sites only at large r_s . The ensemble average $\langle \xi(S_z = 2) \rangle$ is maximum when $r_s = 0$ and decays as r_s increases, suggesting the absence of delocalization for the polarized system. The non polarized system behaves differently, since $\langle \xi(S_z = 1, 0) \rangle$ first increase to reach a maximum $\approx \langle \xi(S_z = 2, r_s = 0) \rangle$ before decreasing.




FIG. 3. As a function of r_s , numbers $\xi(S_z)$ of occupied sites for a typical sample (upper left); ensemble averages $\langle \xi(S_z) \rangle$ (upper right) and crystallization parameter $\langle \gamma(S_z) \rangle$ (lower left) for the lower energy states with $S_z = 0$ (circle), $S_z = 1$ (square) and $S_z = 2$ (triangle); Average longitudinal $\langle I_l(S_z = 0) \rangle$ (circle) and transverse (diamond) $\langle I_t(S_z = 0) \rangle$ components of the total persistent current (lower right).

In the lower left part of Fig.3 one can see that charge crystallization is easier when the clusters are polarized than otherwise. The shift of the critical threshold r_s^W is consistent with the shift of the critical density reported in Ref. [14]. The arrows indicated in Fig. 3 underline two consequences of a parallel field B : smaller number of occupied sites and smaller crystallization threshold. This is qualitatively consistent with the large magnetoresistance observed in the metallic phase for intermediate r_s . Fig. 3 (lower right) gives the total longitudinal $\langle I_l(r_s) \rangle$ and transverse $\langle I_t(r_s) \rangle$ currents for $S_z = 0$. When the spin degrees of freedom are included, the conclusions previously obtained for spinless fermions [8,9] remain valid: there are a Fermi regime where longitudinal and transverse currents coexist, an intermediate regime where the transverse current is suppressed while the longitudinal

current persists, and a Wigner regime with vanishing persistent currents [19].

In summary, our study suggests that Coulomb repulsion by itself provides a qualitative mechanism able to give together a delocalization of the electrons (“metallic behavior”) and a large positive magneto-resistance for intermediate value of r_s . The shift of the critical threshold as a function of B and the dependence of B_{sat} as a function of r_s are reproduced. Two important questions remain opened. Do the finite size behaviors which we have observed persist in the thermodynamic limit? What are the physical explanations of the interplay between the Stoner ferromagnetism and the Wigner antiferromagnetism seen for intermediate values of r_s . An intermediate regime where a floppy antiferromagnetic Wigner molecule with vacancies coexists [20] with a ferromagnetic liquid of interstitial particles is suggested as a possible explanation.

-
- [1] R. Berkovits, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2128 (1998).
 - [2] J. A. Folk et al, cond-mat/0010441, to appear in Proceedings of the Nobel symposium 2000 (Physica Scripta); P. Jacquod and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3938 (2000).
 - [3] E. C. Stoner, Rept. Prog. Phys. **11**, 43 (1947); I. L. Kurland, I. L. Aleiner and B. L. Altshuler, cond-mat/0004205.
 - [4] P. W. Brouwer, Y. Oreg and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. **B60**, R13977 (1999); Y. Alhassid, Ph. Jacquod and A. Wobst, Phys. Rev. B **61**, R13357 (2000).
 - [5] E. Abrahams, S. V. Kravchenko and M. P. Sarachik, cond-mat/0006055, to be published in Rev. Mod. Phys.
 - [6] J. H. Schön, S. Berg, Ch. Kloc and B. Batlogg, Science **287**, 1022 (2000).
 - [7] J. Yoon et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** 1744 (1999).
 - [8] G. Benenti, X. Waintal and J.-L. Pichard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1826 (1999).
 - [9] G. Benenti, X. Waintal and J.-L. Pichard, Europhys. Lett. **51**, 89 (2000).
 - [10] B. Spivak, cond-mat/0005328.
 - [11] A. M. Finkelshtein, Sov. Phys. JETP **57**, 98 (1983).
 - [12] S. A. Vitkalov et al, cond-mat/0004201.
 - [13] A. A. Shashkin et al, cond-mat/0007402.
 - [14] A. A. Shashkin et al, cond-mat/0009180.
 - [15] S. A. Vitkalov et al, cond-mat/0009454.
 - [16] M. Roger, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 6432 (1984).
 - [17] B. Bernu, Ladir Candido and D. M. Ceperley, cond-mat/0008062.
 - [18] G. Benenti et al, in preparation.
 - [19] F. Selva and D. Weinmann, Eur. Phys. J. B **18**, 137 (2000).
 - [20] G. Katomeris and J.-L. Pichard, in preparation; A. Andreev and I. M. Lifshitz, Sov. Phys. JETP **29**, 1107 (1969).