# Static and dynamic Jahn-Teller effect in the alkali metal fulleride salts $A_4C_{60}$ (A = K, Rb, Cs)

G. Klupp<sup>\*</sup> and K. Kamarás<sup>†</sup>

Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 49, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary

N. M. Nemes<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> and C. M. Brown

NIST Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8562, USA and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

J. Leão

NIST Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8562, USA (Dated: October 9, 2018)

We report the temperature dependent mid- and near-infrared spectra of  $K_4C_{60}$ ,  $Rb_4C_{60}$  and  $Cs_4C_{60}$ . The splitting of the vibrational and electronic transitions indicates a molecular symmetry change of  $C_{60}^{4-}$  which brings the fulleride anion from  $D_{2h}$  to either a  $D_{3d}$  or a  $D_{5d}$  distortion. In contrast to  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , low temperature neutron diffraction measurements did not reveal a structural phase transition in either  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ . This proves that the molecular transition is driven by the molecular Jahn-Teller effect, which overrides the distorting potential field of the surrounding cations at high temperature. In  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  we suggest a transition from a static to a dynamic Jahn-Teller state without changing the average structure. We studied the librations of these two fullerides by temperature dependent inelastic neutron scattering and conclude that both pseudorotation and jump reorientation are present in the dynamic Jahn-Teller state.

PACS numbers: 61.48.+c, 71.70.Ch, 71.70.Ej, 78.30.Na

# I. INTRODUCTION

The insulating character of the  $A_4C_{60}$  (A = K, Rb, Cs) compounds has been a longstanding puzzle in fullerene science. The successful description involves a combination of the molecular Jahn-Teller (JT) effect and the Mott-Hubbard band picture resulting in the theory of the nonmagnetic Mott–Jahn–Teller insulating state.<sup>1</sup> This theory has been used effectively for the explanation of  $EELS^{2,3}$  and NMR<sup>4</sup> measurements on  $A_4C_{60}$ . Recently, a sophisticated experiment<sup>5</sup> by scanning tunneling microscopy has revealed JT distorted molecules in  $K_4C_{60}$  monolayers. In macroscopic crystals, however, the distortion could only be detected directly in one case: anions with  $D_{2h}$  symmetry were found in  $Cs_4C_{60}$  by neutron diffraction.<sup>6</sup> In  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , X-ray<sup>7</sup> and neutron diffraction measurements also found an orthorhombictetragonal (Immm to I4/mmm) phase transition between 300 and 623 K. The crystal structure of  $K_4C_{60}$ and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  was determined to be I4/mmm at room temperature,<sup>8,9</sup> although atomic positions were not refined. In the case of  $K_4C_{60}$  mid-infrared (MIR) and nearinfrared (NIR) measurements showed a splitting that indicated a JT distorted anion.<sup>10</sup> The distortion was found to be temperature dependent<sup>11</sup> and the possibility of a similar phase transition as that in  $Cs_4C_{60}$  has been put forward.

Vibrational spectroscopy is uniquely sensitive to the change in molecular symmetry (*i.e.* the exact shape of the molecule) through the splitting of vibrational bands. Because it detects the motion of atoms, it naturally goes beyond the spherical approximation used for crude models of the electronic structure. In this respect, molecular vibrations are more intimately connected to structural studies which show the average position of the atomic cores, than to methods probing magnetic and electronic excitations where an analogy to atomic orbitals is often sufficient to describe the results. In this paper, we follow the distortions of fulleride ions in three  $A_4C_{60}$  salts (A=K, Rb, Cs) with temperature. Our conclusions are mainly drawn from mid-infrared vibrational spectra, but we also study the effect of these distortions on electronic orbitals of the  $C_{60}^{4-}$  ions, through NIR spectra probing both intra- and intermolecular electronic excitations. To clarify whether the distortions are caused by crystal potential or molecular degrees of freedom, we performed temperature-dependent neutron diffraction studies, complemented by inelastic neutron scattering in order to detect molecular motion. We find no structural phase transition to a cooperative static Jahn-Teller state in either  $K_4C_{60}$  or  $Rb_4C_{60}$  down to 4K; changes in vibrational spectra reflect the change in molecular symmetry and thus a transition from static to dynamic Jahn-Teller state as the temperature is raised.

## II. THE JAHN-TELLER EFFECT IN FULLERIDE SALTS

To understand the precise nature of the distortions occurring in fulleride salts, we have to elaborate on the details of their crystal and molecular symmetry. An entire monograph has been devoted to this question,<sup>12</sup> here we will only repeat the main statements.

The Jahn-Teller effect is caused by the interaction of a degenerate electronic state with molecular vibrations.<sup>13</sup> In C<sub>60</sub> anions, the electronic states involved are those of the triply degenerate  $t_{1u}$  orbitals and the vibrations are the ten fivefold degenerate  $H_g$  modes. These interactions result in a change of shape of the molecule and consequently a change in the splitting of the electronic orbitals. Electrons will occupy the lowest-energy levels and thus, if the splitting is large enough, overcome Hund's rule and form nonmagnetic systems.

In most solids containing open-shell species, the energy bands are much broader than the JT splitting; this is why the  $A_3C_{60}$  salts are metals.<sup>14</sup> In this case the electrons cannot be assigned to individual molecules and therefore Jahn-Teller coupling is not possible. The insulating character of  $A_4C_{60}$  salts has been proposed to be caused by Mott localization which enables Jahn-Teller coupling between the localized electrons and the vibrational degrees of freedom. This state has been termed the "Mott-Jahn-Teller nonmagnetic insulator".<sup>1</sup> As we will see, our results fully support this picture, so we describe the  $A_4C_{60}$ systems in this framework.

In the atomic orbital-like classification used by Chancey and O'Brien,<sup>12</sup> the  $C_{60}^{4-}$  molecular ion is a  $p^4 \otimes h$ system, where the allowed Jahn-Teller distortions for isolated ions are  $D_{2h}$ ,  $D_{3d}$  and  $D_{5d}$ . The predicted shape of the distortions is "pancake-type": a flattening along a  $C_2, C_3$  or  $C_5$  molecular axis.<sup>12</sup> We illustrate these possible distortions in Figure 1a. The adiabatic potential energy surface (APES) of these systems has minima at either  $D_{3d}$  or  $D_{5d}$  symmetry, and saddle points at  $D_{2h}$ symmetry.<sup>15</sup> If the  $D_{3d}$  geometries are minima, then the  $D_{5d}$  are maxima and vice versa. There are six possible  $D_{5d}$  distortions and ten  $D_{3d}$  distortions in different directions; transitions between them occur through tunneling which results in a different molecular shape without the rotation of the molecule itself.<sup>16</sup> This motion is called pseudorotation. Proof of such dynamic distortions has indeed been presented recently by a sophisticated experiment on monoanions produced in a storage ring.<sup>17</sup> The  $D_{2h}$  distortion can only be realized when an external potential lowers the energy of this distortion. Forming a solid from fulleride ions with counterions creates such a potential field.

Apart from the intrinsic JT distortion, forcing an icosahedral  $C_{60}$  molecule into a crystal inevitably lowers its symmetry. For all crystal systems with orthogonal principal axes and one molecule per primitive unit cell the  $C_2$  symmetry axes of the molecule are aligned with the crystallographic axes, but the molecule can assume two



FIG. 1: (a) Possible Jahn-Teller distortions in fulleride ions. The axis along which the distortion occurs is perpendicular to the plane of the paper through the center of the molecule. The atoms above the plane of the paper are black while those under it are grey. (b) The two standard orientations in fullerene/fulleride solids. The z crystal axis coincides with the  $C_2$  molecular axis shown.

different orientations as shown in Figure 1b. These are the standard orientations originally defined for orientationally ordered C<sub>60</sub>.<sup>18</sup> Thus, for a C<sub>60</sub><sup>n-1</sup> anion the x and y molecular axes are not equivalent, reflecting the lack of a fourfold axis in icosahedral symmetry. Nevertheless, the misconception prevailed in the early fullerene literature that in a tetragonal distorting field, the *individual molecular ions* can be uniaxially distorted into the  $D_{4h}$  pointgroup with the c crystal axis as principal axis.<sup>19,20,21</sup> This approach treats the fulleride ions as a sphere<sup>22</sup> (a "giant atom"), and takes the effect of the distorting crystal field to be the same as the inherent JT distortion of the balls, leading to the conclusion that the two are indistinguishable. It is apparent from structural studies, however,<sup>8,9</sup> that in a tetragonal system the  $C_{60}$  molecules cannot be equivalent. Orbital overlap between cations and anions determines whether the balls are ordered or disordered. *i.e.* the crystal is tetragonal or orthorhombic,  $^{6,23,24}$  but the molecular symmetry is the same  $D_{2h}$  in both cases. The consequence is that the threefold degenerate orbitals will show a threefold splitting in both an orthorhombic and tetragonal environment. An orthorhombic crystal is formed by simply arranging the  $D_{2h}$  distorted molecules in an ordered fashion, while the overall tetragonal symmetry of the crystal can only be maintained as an average with some sort of disorder, either static or dynamic.<sup>9</sup> In the following we try to summarize the possible arrangements and relate them to the crystal structures as classified by Fabrizio and Tosatti.<sup>1</sup> Note that we consciously avoid the use of the term merohedral disorder throughout the discussion: this concept is correctly used for  $A_3C_{60}$ systems<sup>25</sup> but is incorrect for  $A_4C_{60}$ .



FIG. 2: Top: Some possible arrangements of fulleride ions in the crystal structures in  $A_4C_{60}$  systems and their molecular distortions. Ellipses with horizontal and vertical bars, respectively, represent the standard orientations in Figure 1b. O stands for orthorhombic, T for tetragonal crystal structure. Bottom: splitting of the HOMO  $(t_{1u})$  and the LUMO  $(t_{1g})$  molecular orbitals of  $C_{60}^{4-}$  in a  $D_{2h}$  (cooperative static, static) and  $D_{3d}$  or  $D_{5d}$  (staggered static, dynamic) distorted molecule, respectively. Infrared-active vibrations of  $T_{1u}$  symmetry show similar splitting. Arrows denote dipole allowed transitions between split states.

The ordered orthorhombic structure mentioned above is the so-called *cooperative static Jahn-Teller state*. For the overall symmetry to become tetragonal, we have to assume there exists an average (spatial or temporal) of several molecules. We summarize the situation in Figure 2. The static disorder means that all molecules align their  $C_2$  axes in the *c* direction, but the hexagon-hexagon bonds are randomly oriented along either the a or b axes (static Jahn-Teller state). This scenario is, however, not the only possible geometry whose spatial average results in a tetragonal crystal. Molecules distorted either along the  $C_3$  or the  $C_5$  axis can form an ordered array resulting in a fourfold axis in the c direction (staggered static Jahn-*Teller state*). The molecular principal axis of a  $D_{3d}$  or  $D_{5d}$  anion cannot be parallel with the crystallographic axes, but must be arranged such that the overall average gives an I4/mmm structure. The transition from static to staggered static state occurs through pseudorotation and vibration, *i.e.* the coordinates of the individual carbon atoms change only slightly and there is no reorientation of the molecule as a whole. If there are several configurations with small energy barriers between them (compared to the energy of thermal motion), the balls can assume many of these configurations dynamically and thus the *dynamic Jahn-Teller state* is formed. The significance of pseudorotation increases as the amplitude of thermal motion becomes larger.

The detection of the distortion by diffraction methods demands extreme sensitivity, as the magnitudes in question are small. Paul et al.<sup>26</sup> found a quasi-axial elongation of 0.04 Å in  $(PPN)_2C_{60}$ , where the symmetry of the  $C_{60}^{2-}$  dianion is lowered to  $C_2$ . In the monovalent decamethylnickelocenium salt,<sup>27</sup> the symmetry was found close to  $D_{2h}$ , with a difference between maximum and minimum radii of 0.05 Å. These are static distortions in which the role of the bulky organic counterions and the inherent JT effect cannot be separated.<sup>28</sup> The largest distortion (defined as the difference between the smallest and the largest distance from the center of the ball) so far has been found in the ordered orthorhombic phase of  $Cs_4C_{60}$ ; 0.076 Å at 300 K.<sup>6</sup> In  $K_4C_{60}$  Kuntscher et al.<sup>8</sup> put an upper limit of 0.04 Å on the difference between "equatorial" and "polar" radii. One must take into account, though, that in the case of a staggered static arrangement the directions of maximum and minimum radii are not necessarily the crystal axes, and in the dynamic case the difference is smeared out completely.

In Figure 2(bottom), we show the corresponding splitting of the molecular orbitals. In the icosahedral C<sub>60</sub> molecule the LUMO (which becomes the HOMO in the molecular ions) is a threefold degenerate  $t_{1u}$  orbital. We obtain a threefold splitting of this orbital in the cooperative static and static JT states and a twofold splitting in the staggered static and dynamic states. The lowest-

4



FIG. 3: (color online) Neutron diffraction profiles of (a)  $K_4C_{60}$  and (b)  $Rb_4C_{60}$  at two temperatures, showing only a small thermal contraction of the lattice but no change in symmetry or unit cell. LeBail fits for lattice parameter determination for (c)  $K_4C_{60}$  at 6 K and (d)  $Rb_4C_{60}$  at 4 K. The measured data on these latter two graphs are shown by blue dots, the fits by blue lines and the difference plots by black lines.

energy final states for dipole transitions also derive from a threefold degenerate orbital, the even-parity  $t_{1g}$  one. Incidentally, the four infrared-active vibrations of C<sub>60</sub> also show  $T_{1u}$  symmetry, therefore the discussion can proceed along the same lines.

Further complications arise if we take into account that the fullerene balls are capable of rotation around several axes. The simplest scenario would be that occasional reorientational jumps between the two standard orientations (around the  $C_2$  axis) would average out the symmetry from  $D_{2h}$  to  $D_{4h}$ . We know from inelastic neutron scattering in  $K_3C_{60}$ ,<sup>29</sup> however, that the rotation between standard orientations occurs with a much higher probability around a  $C_3$  axis. Structural studies and modeling<sup>8</sup> in  $A_4C_{60}$  indicated that rotation around the  $C_2$  axis is hindered because of unfavorable alkali atom – carbon distances. Thus, we suggest that dynamic disorder in  $A_4C_{60}$  salts is the result of reorientation around the threefold axes.

# III. EXPERIMENTAL

 $A_4C_{60}$  systems have been prepared previously either by solid-state synthesis<sup>30,31,32</sup> or by a liquid ammonia route.<sup>7</sup> We used a solid-state synthesis for all three alkali salts by reacting stoichiometric amounts of the alkali metal with  $C_{60}$  at 350 °C in a steel capsule. The reaction was followed using powder X-ray diffraction and MIR spectroscopy. The reaction mixture required heating for 10 to 14 days with one intermediate sample regrinding in the case of  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , and 20 days with three regrindings for  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , to achieve complete conversion. No impurities were observed in the  $K_4C_{60}$ and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  samples, while X-ray diffraction found less than 5 %  $Cs_6C_{60}$  in  $Cs_4C_{60}$ .

Since fullerides are air sensitive, the synthesis and sample preparation was conducted in a dry box. For the MIR and NIR measurements, KBr pellets were pressed and transmittance spectra measured with the sample inside a liquid nitrogen cooled flow-through cryostat under dynamic vacuum. Spectra were recorded with resolution of 1 or 2 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the MIR range using a Bruker IFS 28 spectrometer and 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the NIR using a Bruker IFS 66v/S spectrometer.

Neutron scattering measurements were performed at the NIST Center for Neutron Research. Large amounts of materials were prepared for these experiments (2.4 g of K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and 1.1 g of Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub>) to achieve good counting statistics. Temperature dependent neutron diffraction data were collected on the BT1 diffractometer using a wavelength of  $\lambda = 1.5403$  Å and a Q-range of 0.2 Å<sup>-1</sup>– 8.1 Å<sup>-1</sup> with the Cu(311) monochromator set at a 90 take-off angle and using in-pile collimation of 15 minutes of arc. Lattice parameters were extracted using the LeBail method.<sup>33,34,35</sup>

Low energy molecular librations were studied using the BT4 triple-axis spectrometer. We collected constant momentum transfer(Q) scans at Q=5.5 Å<sup>-1</sup> with a fixed incident energy of 28 meV. The incident beam was produced using a Cu(220) monochromator and a graphite filter for removal of higher order contamination. The scattered beam was analyzed using a graphite(004) crystal. The measured resolution with 60'-40'-40' collimation was 0.97 meV full width at half maximum. Samples were loaded in indium-wire-sealed aluminum and vanadium cylindrical cans. Sample temperature was controlled between 4 K and 300 K with a closed cycle helium refrigerator. In the analysis of librational spectra, background runs were first subtracted, the intensities were corrected for changes in the scattered energy contribution to the spectrometer resolution, and then the spectra were symmetrized for the thermal Bose factor. The corrected data were subsequently fitted using a Gaussian resolution function at zero energy transfer and two identical Lorentzians symmetrically located about the elastic line, and convoluted with the instrumental resolution function. Details of similar librational studies on other fullerides can be found in Ref. 29.

## IV. RESULTS

# A. Structure

Room temperature X-ray diffraction showed the crystal symmetries to be I4/mmm in  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , and Immm in  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , in agreement with published results.<sup>7,8</sup> Temperature-dependent structural studies were reported only for  $Cs_4C_{60}$ ,<sup>6</sup> revealing an orthorhombic-tetragonal transition between 300 K and 623 K. Previously, based on vibrational spectra in  $K_4C_{60}$ <sup>11</sup> we suggested a similar transition in the two other alkali compounds. In order to draw a definitive conclusion on this hypothesis, we performed lowtemperature neutron diffraction measurements on  $K_4C_{60}$ and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ . The resulting low- and high-temperature diffraction patterns are compared in Figure 3. We found that the structure of both  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  remain tetragonal down to the lowest temperatures measured. The 4 K and 300 K lattice parameter values from the LeBail analysis are given explicitly in Table I. The roomtemperature data agree with those of Kuntscher et al.<sup>8</sup> for  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ .

We investigated the  $K_4C_{60}$  salt in detail, at several temperatures, to make sure we did not miss a possible tetragonal-tetragonal phase transition, similar to the one found in  $Rb_4C_{60}$  with increasing pressure.<sup>36</sup> The lattice parameters extracted at each temperature and normalized to the room-temperature values are shown in Figure



FIG. 4: Temperature-dependent lattice parameters normalized to the 300 K values of  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ . The lowest and highest temperature values are given in Table I. The solid lines are guides to the eye.

TABLE I: Lattice parameters of  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  at the lowest and highest measured temperatures.

Т	Rb	$_{4}C_{60}$	$\mathrm{K}_4\mathrm{C}_{60}$		
	a (Å)	c (Å)	a (Å)	c (Å)	
$4-6 \mathrm{K}$	11.912(1)	11.007(1)	11.827(1)	10.746(1)	
$300 \mathrm{K}$	11.949(1)	11.011(1)	11.862(1)	10.757(1)	

4. As no significant change can be seen except for a small thermal contraction (an order of magnitude smaller than the pressure-induced change reported in Ref. 36), we can rule out even a tetragonal-tetragonal phase transition in  $K_4C_{60}$ .

#### B. Molecular vibrations

The MIR spectra of the three salts measured at room temperature and at characteristic temperatures unique for each salt are shown in Figure 5. Since  $C_{60}$  is an icosahedral molecule, it has only four infrared active vibrations (at 528, 577, 1183 and  $1429 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>37</sup>, all of which belong to the  $T_{1u}$  representation. The shift and the splitting of the highest frequency  $T_{1u}(4)$  mode has been used as the most sensitive indicator for charge transfer,<sup>38</sup> symmetry change<sup>39</sup> and bonding<sup>40</sup> in fullerene compounds. The most prominent feature of our spectra is the splitting of this mode (shifted to  $1350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  because of charge effects) indicating a lowering of symmetry from icosahedral. All spectra could be fitted with either two or three Lorentzians in this frequency range, and the results are summarized in Table II. The temperature dependence of the splitting of the  $T_{1u}(3)$  mode around 1182 cm<sup>-1</sup> was found to be the same as for the  $T_{1u}(4)$  mode. In contrast, the two lower-frequency modes were not split at our res-



FIG. 5: (color online) MIR spectrum of (a)  $K_4C_{60}$ , (b)  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , and (c)  $Cs_4C_{60}$  at selected temperatures above and below the change in symmetry (colored lines). The highest-frequency mode can be fitted with three Lorentzians at low temperature and two Lorentzians at high temperature (black lines). These splittings indicate a molecular symmetry change with temperature.

olution, instead, we observed a decrease in peak height and increase in linewidth of the  $T_{1u}(2)$  mode at 571 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 6). (We note that in pristine C<sub>60</sub> below the orientational phase transition<sup>41</sup> no splitting was observed in the  $T_{1u}(2)$  mode even at 0.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution and the splitting of the  $T_{1u}(1)$  mode was less than 1 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The latter mode is almost unobservable in the  $C_{60}^{4-}$  ion.<sup>38</sup>) The symmetry lowering from  $I_h$  also activates previously silent modes, appearing between 600-750 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The intensity of these peaks increases on cooling. The temperature dependence of this increase can also be used to follow the symmetry change of the  $C_{60}^{4-}$  anion.

From Figure 2, it follows that the  $T_{1u}$  modes split twofold in the  $D_{5d}$  and  $D_{3d}$  point groups, and threefold in the  $D_{2h}$  point group and since all split modes are IR active, this directly indicates the geometry. It is also clear that in all compounds, the distortion changes from the latter to the former upon warming. The temperature where this occurs depends on the counterion. From Figure 6 the transition temperatures for K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub>, Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and Cs<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> are approximately 270 K, 330 K and 400 K, respectively, increasing with increasing cation size.

#### C. Electronic transitions

MIR spectra indicated a molecular symmetry change from  $D_{2h}$  to  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  on heating in all of the three compounds and electronic transitions should exhibit similar splitting. A splitting has been reported in NIR spectra of  $K_4 C_{60}^{10}$  and was systematically investigated by transmission electron energy loss spectroscopy in a series of  $A_4C_{60}$  compounds.<sup>2,3</sup> The KBr pellet technique is not a particularly good method for quantitative evaluation in a broad frequency range, due to scattering effects in the pellets and inadequate determination of the optical path length. We nevertheless measured the NIR spectra of all the compounds at several temperatures and relate our findings to the EELS measurements by Knupfer and Fink.<sup>3</sup> Oscillator strengths in thin film transmission EELS studies can be compared more reliably between different materials; the frequency resolution of this method, on the other hand, is only  $928 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  compared to  $4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectra. Therefore, we concentrate on the number and position of electronic excitations and will not attempt to draw any conclusion regarding line width or intensity.

Figure 7 shows overall (MIR/NIR) spectra of the three salts and C<sub>60</sub>. It is apparent that there is a finite spectral weight even at low frequency, and its relative intensity decreases with increasing cation size. Knupfer and Fink<sup>3</sup> have identified this low-frequency excitation around 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (~ 0.5 eV), as a transition between Jahn-Teller split states (*e.g.*  $e_u \rightarrow a_{2u}$  in Figure 2) on different molecules. Intramolecular excitations between JT states are dipole forbidden, but in a Mott-Jahn-Teller picture, this energy, renormalized due to intermolecular interactions, becomes the effective Hubbard repulsion

	$K_4C_{60}$		$ m Rb_4C_{60}$			$Cs_4C_{60}$		
	118 K	$300 \mathrm{K}$	$102 \mathrm{K}$	300 K	$420 \mathrm{K}$	96 K	300 K	$475~{\rm K}$
$\nu_1^*(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$1326\pm1$	$1324\pm1$	$1324 \pm 1$	$1322\pm1$	$1330\pm7$	$1324\pm1$	$1321\pm1$	$1323\pm3$
$w_1(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$15 \pm 2$	$17 \pm 4$	$19 \pm 2$	$28\pm3$	$82 \pm 31$	$11 \pm 2$	$21\pm2$	$55 \pm 12$
$I_1$	$3 \pm 1$	$2 \pm 1$	$2 \pm 1$	$2 \pm 1$	$4.3\pm4.1$	$4 \pm 1$	$3\pm1$	$4\pm3$
$\nu_2^*(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$1350\pm1$	$1358\pm1$	$1352\pm1$	$1354\pm2$	$1361\pm1$	$1349\pm1$	$1354\pm1$	$1356\pm1$
$w_2(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$14 \pm 2$	$33 \pm 2$	$32 \pm 4$	$33 \pm 3$	$27\pm2$	$20 \pm 2$	$34 \pm 2$	$27\pm2$
$I_2$	$3\pm1$	$9\pm3$	$2\pm1$	$5\pm2$	$5\pm 2$	$9\pm2$	$15\pm2$	$10\pm1$
$\nu_3^*(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$1365\pm1$		$1365 \pm 1$	$1363\pm1$		$1366\pm1$	$1363\pm1$	
$w_3(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$12 \pm 2$		$15 \pm 2$	$17 \pm 2$		$12 \pm 2$	$10 \pm 2$	
$I_3$	$3\pm1$		$2\pm1$	$3\pm1$		$6\pm1$	$1.2{\pm}0.7$	

TABLE II: The parameters of the Lorentzians fitted to the  $T_{1u}(4)$  mode (wavenumber:  $\nu^*$ , full width at half maximum: w, integrated intensity: I). The intensities were normalized to the sum of intensities at 300 K.



FIG. 6: Temperature dependence of the peak heights of selected MIR lines in  $K_4C_{60}$ ,  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , and  $Cs_4C_{60}$ . Changes are apparent around 270, 330 and 400 K, respectively.



FIG. 7: Combined MIR-NIR spectra of  $K_4C_{60}$ ,  $Rb_4C_{60}$  and  $Cs_4C_{60}$  without background correction. The intermolecular transition at around 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (broad background) gets weaker with increasing cation size. (The sharp peaks around 3700 cm<sup>-1</sup>, denoted by asterisks, arise from atmospheric water absorption.)

term  $U_{eff}$ .<sup>1</sup> Such transitions have been observed in onedimensional organic conductors.<sup>42</sup>

In order to better resolve the split NIR lines, we performed a baseline correction between 6000 and 14000  $\rm cm^{-1}$  and fitted the remaining lines with Gaussians. The resulting fits are depicted in Figure 8, and the parameters summarized in Table III. Four dipole allowed intramolecular transitions are expected in the case of  $D_{2h}$ , and two in the case of  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  (see Figure 2). This is indeed seen in  $K_4C_{60}$  and in  $Cs_4C_{60}$  and corresponds to the MIR measurements at all temperatures. However, in  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , while the low-temperature spectra can be fitted with four Gaussians, the decomposition was not unambigous since these lines are broad and their splitting seems to be small. Comparing our parameters with those reported in Ref. 3 (Table III), we have the best agreement for  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , but instead of their three peaks we can identify four, as expected from symmetry. In the case of  $K_4C_{60}$  Ref. 3 found three similar lines as in  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , but we see two at low temperature and four at high temperature. We assume that the discrepancy originates in the baseline correction of the EELS data for the higherlying electronic transition of  $C_{60}^{4-}$ . (Visual inspection of the spectra shown in Ref. 3 reveals that the 1.5 eV peak is much less pronounced in  $\mathrm{K}_4\mathrm{C}_{60}$  than in  $\mathrm{Cs}_4\mathrm{C}_{60}$  and



FIG. 8: (color online) Baseline-corrected NIR spectrum of (a)  $K_4C_{60}$ , (b)  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , and (c)  $Cs_4C_{60}$  at selected temperatures (colored lines). The spectra were fitted with Gaussians, with the exception of the lowest frequency peak of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  at 151 K and 298 K where a Lorentzian produced a better fit. These fits are shown with black lines.

TABLE III: Peak positions (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the Gaussians fitted to the NIR spectra. Note that the lowest frequency peak of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  at 151 K and 298 K is a Lorentzian, which produced a better fit.

$ m K_4C_{60}$			$ m Rb_4C_{60}$			$Cs_4C_{60}$				
93 K	$309 \mathrm{K}$	Ref.3	89 K	$300 \mathrm{K}$	$480~{\rm K}$	Ref.3	$151 \mathrm{~K}$	$298 \mathrm{K}$	$513~{ m K}$	Ref.3
$7618 \pm 4$	$7464\pm3$	7421	$7275\pm7$	$7224\pm3$	$7006\pm6$	7904	$7730\pm3$	$7695 \pm 3$	$7071\pm 6$	7904
$9499{\pm}10$	$9692 \pm 3$	10082	$9671\pm7$	$9682 \pm 3$	$9439 \pm 3$	10324	$9733\pm22$	$9719{\pm}24$	$9552 \pm 4$	10324
$10727 \pm 8$							$10680{\pm}50$	$10606{\pm}67$		
$12982{\pm}15$		12582				12824	$12571{\pm}19$	$12570{\pm}17$		12824

 $Rb_4C_{60}$ .)

To summarize the above, vibrational and electronic spectra in all three salts indicate  $D_{2h}$  distorted  $C_{60}^{4-}$  ions at low temperature and  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distorted ones at higher temperature at the time scale of the optical measurements. These methods cannot distinguish between individual configurations in the static or the staggered static Jahn-Teller state, nor can they detect transitions between them. These transitions occur via librational motion, which can be studied by inelastic neutron scattering.

## D. Librations

Inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectra were measured as a function of momentum transfer, Q, and energy transfer, E, at several temperatures for K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub>. Figure 9a and b show spectra at 100 K, 200 K and 300 K for K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and at 100 K and 300 K for Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> at a constant momentum transfer of Q = 5.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The solid symbols are the corrected experimental data and the lines are fits as described in the experimental details section. Well-defined peaks are observed at non-zero energy transfer at all temperatures in both fullerides and may be assigned to librational modes of C<sup>4-</sup><sub>60</sub> ions based on the momentum transfer dependence of their intensities and peak widths. The Q-dependence of the integrated intensity of the librational modes in fullerides is characteristic of the form factor of the  $C_{60}$  molecule and has been studied in detail in many fullerides: it provides unambiguous evidence for the assignment as librations.<sup>43,44,45</sup> Figure 9c shows Q-dependent data at fixed energy transfers of 2.4 meV and 5 meV for  $K_4C_{60}$ . The momentum transfer spectrum at E = 2.4 meV is a reasonable substitute for the Q-dependent integrated intensity because the librational peak position and width are insensitive to Q according to our energy transfer spectra at a few other selected momentum transfers. The 2.4 meV peak displays the characteristic Q-dependence of the librational modes of fullerides with a small peak around  $Q = 3.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and a larger peak around Q = 5.7 Å<sup>-1</sup>. These peaks are attributed to the non-zero Legendre polynomials with coefficients of l = 10 and l = 18, respectively.<sup>46</sup> In contrast, the momentum transfer spectrum at 5 meV energy transfer does not show this behavior since it has much less librational character.

The main motivation for the low temperature diffraction experiments was to search for a possible structural phase transition similar to the order-disorder transition in  $Cs_4C_{60}$ .<sup>6</sup> Additional proof that there is no ordering of the  $C_{60}^{4-}$  between room temperature and 6 K in  $K_4C_{60}$ is presented by the large and temperature independent diffuse background. Figure 9c compares the diffuse background to the fixed energy transfer scans discussed above. As observed for other fullerides, the *Q*-dependence of the diffuse background of the diffraction is very similar to



FIG. 9: (color online) (a) Fixed momentum transfer scans of  $K_4C_{60}$  at 100, 200 and 300 K at Q = 5.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>. (b) Fixed momentum transfer scans of  $Rb_4C_{60}$  at 100 and 300 K at Q = 5.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>. Symbols are the measured data, lines are fits as described in the text. (c) Fixed energy transfer scans at E = 2.4 meV and E = 5 meV of  $K_4C_{60}$  along with the neutron diffraction pattern scaled to emphasize the diffuse background.

TABLE IV: Measured librational energies  $(E_{lib})$  of K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub>, calculated energy barriers of the reorientation  $(E_{barrier})$  and root mean square librational amplitudes  $(\Theta_{rms})$  at 100 K and 300 K.

	$E_{lib} (\mathrm{meV})$	$E_{barrier}$ (meV)	$\Theta_{rms}$ (°)
$K_4C_{60} \ 100 \ K$	$2.57 \pm 0.10$	$277 \pm 22$	$3.55\pm0.01$
$K_4C_{60} \ 300 \ K$	$2.00\pm0.10$	$168 \pm 17$	$7.85\pm0.02$
$Rb_4C_{60}\ 100\ K$	$2.65\pm0.10$	$294{\pm}22$	$3.44\pm0.07$
$\rm Rb_4C_{60}\ 300\ K$	$2.64\pm0.10$	$293 \pm 22$	$5.96\pm0.01$

that of the librational peak, indicating disorder of the  $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{4-}$  anions.  $^{47}$ 

The librational energies obtained by fitting the inelastic peaks can be found in Table IV. Following the arguments of Neumann *et al.*,<sup>43</sup> the rotational barrier between the two orientations can be estimated assuming that a simple sinusoidal hindrance potential can describe the rotational motion of the C<sub>60</sub> anion. For small amplitudes of libration, the potential barrier is calculated as

$$E_{barrier} = \frac{E_{lib}^2}{B} \left(\frac{\Theta_{jump}}{2\pi}\right)^2$$

where  $\Theta_{jump}$  is the reorientation angle between neighboring potential minima,  $B = 0.364 \ \mu eV$  is the rotational constant for  $C_{60}$ , and  $E_{lib}$  is the librational energy at a given Q and temperature. For  $\Theta_{jump}$  we assume 44.5°, meaning that a rotation about the  $C_3$  axis of the molecule – which is approximately in the [111] direction – brings the molecule from one standard orientation to the other. We obtain a value for the potential barrier  $E_{barrier} = 277 \text{ meV}$  for  $K_4C_{60}$  and 294 meV for  $Rb_4C_{60}$  based on the observed  $E_{lib}$  at 100 K. These estimated potential barriers are comparable to  $C_{60}$  and much smaller than in  $K_3C_{60}$  or  $Rb_6C_{60}$ ,<sup>29</sup> indicating smaller crystal fields in  $A_4C_{60}$ . The smaller crystal field is a consequence of the larger free volume in the  $A_4C_{60}$  compounds compared to  $A_3C_{60}$  or  $A_6C_{60}$ .<sup>36</sup>

The mean amplitude of the libration can also be calculated within the harmonic approximation from the librational energy via

$$\Theta_{rms} = \sqrt{\frac{4B}{E_{lib}}} \coth\left(\frac{E_{lib}}{2kT}\right)$$

The obtained  $\Theta_{rms}$  values are shown in Table IV. The  $\Theta_{rms} = 7.8^{\circ}$  value of  $K_4C_{60}$  at room temperature is fairly large, which is readily seen when comparing it with the 7° value of  $C_{60}$  near its phase transition.<sup>46</sup> For  $C_{60}$ , 7° is considered the critical angle for orientational melting. There are additional similarities between the temperature dependence of the librational peak in  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $C_{60}$ . The librational mode of  $K_4C_{60}$  softens and widens with increasing temperature as in  $C_{60}^{46}$  and in the monomer phase of Na<sub>2</sub>RbC<sub>60</sub> below their phase transition temperature during which the rotation of the molecules becomes free.<sup>46,47,48</sup> In Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> at room temperature, the librations increase only to 6° and the other trends are also absent.

Based on the above similarities in the temperature dependence of the librations between  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $C_{60}$  we raise the possibility that  $K_4C_{60}$  is close to an orientational melting transition at room temperature. This transition would be in accordance with the observed change of symmetry in the motion of  $C_{60}^{4-}$  found at 250 K by NMR in  $K_4C_{60}$ .<sup>49</sup> High temperature INS experiments are planned in order to search for this transition.

## V. DISCUSSION

Two separate effects determine the distortion of fulleride anions in a lattice: the JT effect of the molecule and the crystal field of the external potential caused by the counterions. Our structural and spectroscopic results help to determine the relative importance of these two effects depending on cation size and temperature. We also discuss the importance of the two dynamic processes, pseudorotation and molecular reorientation, based on spectroscopy and neutron scattering.

The low-temperature phase of all three  $A_4C_{60}$  salts studied can be modeled by the constructive interaction of the JT effect and the external potential, resulting in  $D_{2h}$  distorted fulleride ions. The  $D_{2h}$  molecular point group is identical to the crystal space group Immm  $(D_{2h}^{25})$ of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  and the largest common subgroup of  $I_h$  and I4/mmm  $(D_{4h}^{17})$  of K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub>. Accordingly,  $Cs_4C_{60}$  forms a true cooperative static Jahn-Teller state and the other two salts a static Jahn-Teller state with distorted ions randomly occupying the two standard orientations. Since the molecular symmetry is identical and the molecules are static at the time scale of the spectroscopic measurements, vibrational and electronic spectra are independent of the crystal structure. The reason why these structures are different has been given by Dahlke et  $al.^6$  following Yildirim et  $al.:^{24}$  to minimize repulsive interaction between cations and anions due to orbital overlap.<sup>23</sup> According to this model, the orientational order in the orthorhombic phase of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  appears to avoid close Cs–C contacts, which would arise in the disordered structure.<sup>6</sup> In the other two compounds where the free volume is larger, the two standard orientations remain but reorientation between them slows down. Dahlke et $al.^{6}$  estimated the critical value of the controlling parameter (closest cation-anion center distance minus the cation radius) to fall between the low-temperature phase of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ . With increasing temperature,  $Cs_4C_{60}$  reaches this critical value and a phase transition to a tetragonal phase happens between 300 K and  $623 \text{ K.}^6$  The crystal structure of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  at high temperature and  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  at all temperatures are similar.<sup>6,8</sup> According to our infrared results, the molecu*lar* point group in each compound is changing from  $D_{2h}$ to  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  upon warming, the transition temperature increasing with cation size. Lacking structural data at intermediate temperatures, we cannot tell whether the symmetry change in  $Cs_4C_{60}$  coincides with the structural transition, but in  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ , we definitely observe a change of molecular geometry without changing the crystal structure.

The  $D_{2h}$  distortion can only be realized when an external potential, like that of the surrounding cations, lowers its energy. As the temperature is raised, the lattice expands, and at the same time pseudorotation becomes more probable, both contributing to a competition between the molecular Jahn-Teller effect and the external potential. As the molecular ions decouple from the lattice, the tendency to behave as isolated ions gets stronger and thus the possibility of  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions increases. The estimated distortion of  $C_{60}^{4-}$  ions is the largest among the fulleride ions, larger than in  $C_{60}^{3-}$ , <sup>6</sup> which further explains the difference in electronic properties between the two types of compounds. The significance of this effect relative to the crystal field increases with increasing temperature and increasing cation – anion distance. The scaling of the transition temperatures with cation size



FIG. 10: (color online) Possible structural models of Jahn-Teller distorted fulleride ions (light blue) in a crystal field. The dark background symbolizes the volume in which the molecule is confined by the crystal field. The figures within each column depict fulleride ions on different lattice points. The magnitude of the distortion of the fulleride ions is overemphasized for clarity. (1) Static  $D_{2h}$  distortion, following the shape of the crystal field. (2) Static  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortion. The direction of the distortions can be ordered (staggered static Jahn-Teller state) or disordered. (3) Dynamic distortion in preferred directions appearing in an anisotropic crystal field. Both pancake-shaped  $D_{2h}$  and  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions are realized with time. (4) Free pseudorotation of the  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$ distortion in a weak isotropic crystal field. The deeper blue of the molecules in models (3) and (4) represents a temporal average. This picture illustrates only the distortion of the anions; for their orientation see Figure 2.

corroborates this assumption.

In the following we consider four possible structural models, depicted schematically in Figure 10. The dark background symbolizes the volume into which the molecule is confined by the crystal field: the growth of this area from model (1) to (4) indicates a decreasing strength of the crystal field due to heating or smaller cation size. The light blue areas represent the fulleride ions; the direction of the minor axis of an ellipse refers to the direction of the principal molecular axis. In the case of a  $D_{2h}$  distortion this principal axis intercepts two hexagon-hexagon bonds, while in the case of  $D_{3d}$  and  $D_{5d}$ distortions it goes through the centers of two hexagons and two pentagons, respectively (see Figure 1a). Thus the direction of the principal axis determines the point group of the molecule standing in a given orientation. This way the horizontal ellipse in the figure of model (3) corresponds to the pancake-shaped  $D_{2h}$  distortion. Ellipses in other directions should be considered as representing  $D_{3d}$  or  $D_{5d}$  distortions.

If the crystal field is very strong (model (1)), it causes a static  $D_{2h}$  distortion. It is possible, although not probable, that this distortion is identical to the pancake shape corresponding to the saddle point on the APES of isolated molecules. In a general case – like that of  $Cs_4C_{60}$  – the distorted molecule has a different shape. The clover shape of the light blue region in the figure represents that of the anion found in  $Cs_4C_{60}$  by neutron diffraction.<sup>6</sup> Model (1) works for both orthorhombic and tetragonal crystal field since the molecular point group is the same in both cases. The tetragonal crystal structure requires the average of the atomic positions over the crystal to exhibit  $D_{4h}$  symmetry. As it has been mentioned earlier, one molecule cannot distort into this point group, but the spatial average of  $D_{2h}$  molecules randomly distributed over two standard orientations will produce the required fourfold axis.

In models (2) and (3), the crystal field is weaker than in the previous case, thus it allows the appearance of the squeezed  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions, which are the minima of the molecules' APES. The conversion between equivalent  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions with the principal axis pointing in different directions could take place by pseudorotation through a pancake-shaped  $D_{2h}$  distortion.

In model (2), the intermediate  $D_{2h}$  distortion still leads to too short A–C distances, thus pseudorotation is not possible and the distortion is static. The distorted molecules can be arranged in the crystal either ordered in some way (staggered static state) or totally disordered regarding the direction of their principal axis.

In model (3) the crystal field is considerably weaker in some directions (e.g. in a and b) than in others, thus molecules can extend in these directions. This way distortions can appear not only in different directions but also with different point groups. The pancake-shaped  $D_{2h}$  distortion is present as the most favored distortion of the crystal field and the  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions are present because they are preferred by the molecular JT effect. Although the molecule is not free to take up distortions in any direction, the allowed distortions can convert dynamically among themselves. The MIR spectrum of this state would contain five lines originating from each  $T_{1u}$ molecular mode: three corresponding to  $D_{2h}$  molecules and two to  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  molecules. Similarly, sixfold splitting should appear in the NIR spectrum. Since fitting spectra with many more parameters invariably yields a better fit, we cannot distinguish between states with only  $D_{2h}$  and with both  $D_{2h}$  and  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions.

In model (4) the crystal field is very weak, thus the molecule can perform free pseudorotation in the crystal just like an isolated molecule. As the potential is very nearly isotropic, the pancake-shaped  $D_{2h}$  distortion is no longer favored, and only the  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions appear.

Models (3) and (4) contain dynamical disorder of distorted molecules. IR spectroscopy only detects the individual distortions and not their average if the timescale of the spectroscopic excitations is smaller than the time scale of pseudorotation.

The low-temperature phase of the three  $A_4C_{60}$  salts corresponds to model (1), containing statically  $D_{2h}$  distorted molecules due to the strong crystal field. In  $Cs_4C_{60}$  the abrupt change of the crystal field at the phase transition can result in a simultaneous change of the molecular distortion, to any of the models (2), (3)or (4). Further heating will lead to states with gradually weakening crystal field, in the order: model (2)  $\longrightarrow$  model (3)  $\longrightarrow$  model (4). In K<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> and Rb<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub> the absence of a phase transition indicates a continuous transition from model (1) to models with  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$ molecules. Such a continuous transition cannot lead from model (1) to model (2), though. The explanation is as follows. The possible configurations of a molecule in a crystal are those of the isolated molecule (corresponding to the lowest energy points of the warped trough of the APES), and those preferred by the crystal field  $(D_{2h}$  distortions with the shape preferred by the surroundings of the molecule). A continuous transition can lead from the former to the latter only if there is no high energy barrier between them. The intermediate configurations are the  $D_{2h}$  saddle points on the trough of the APES. As these configurations have high energy in model (2), no continuous transition can lead to this state. Because model (3) and (4) contain dynamically distorted molecules, we conclude that on heating a static-to-dynamic transition takes place in  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ .

Pseudorotation is not to be confused with molecular reorientation which we studied by inelastic neutron scattering. From the molecular point of view this motion is an abrupt rotation of the crystal field. During the rotation the distortion of the molecule should follow the change of the crystal field. Thus in the two standard orientations the direction of the distortions is different. INS data complement the spectroscopic results in two ways: they emphasize the possibility of the rotational motion around a  $C_3$  axis, thus stressing its importance, and they prove the weakening of the crystal field with increasing temperature, through increasing librational amplitudes.

The results shown here are in good agreement with the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of Ref. 4. Above 150 K the reorientational motion observed in our NIS measurement could correspond to a rotation around one of the four  $C_3$  axes of the molecule on the long time scale of NMR measurements. Thus when the axis of this rotation changes with a lower frequency than that of the NMR measurement, it causes the observed NMR lineshape characteristic of uniaxial motion.<sup>4</sup> Below 150 K the reorientational motion could be static on the NMR time scale leading to the observed line broadening. Around 250 K the shape of the NMR line changes, which could correspond to the changing of the molecular symmetry from model (1) to model (3) or (4).

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

MIR and NIR measurements showed that the same molecular geometry change is present in  $K_4C_{60}$ ,  $Rb_4C_{60}$ and  $Cs_4C_{60}$ : the point group of the  $C_{60}^{4-}$  molecular ion changes from  $D_{2h}$  to  $D_{5d}$  or  $D_{3d}$  on heating. Contrary to  $Cs_4C_{60}$ , where an orthorhombic-tetragonal transition takes place, we did not find a structural phase transition in  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$ . The absence of a phase transition can be explained by the smaller cation-anion overlap which does not stabilize the orthorhombic structure.

Since the molecular geometry change in  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  is not coupled to a phase transition, the fundamental role of the molecular Jahn–Teller effect in the transition is obvious. On heating, the importance of the Jahn–Teller effect is increasing as the  $D_{2h}$  potential of the surrounding cations decreases and the number of accessible degrees of freedom increases. The weakening of the crystal field on heating is also indicated by the INS results.

Because of the dominance of the crystal potential in the  $D_{2h}$  distortion, this distortion is static. In the case

- \* klupp@szfki.hu
- <sup>†</sup> kamaras@szfki.hu
- <sup>‡</sup> Present address: Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM-CSIC), 28049 Cantoblanco, Madrid, Spain
- $^1\,$  M. Fabrizio and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B 55, 13465 (1997).
- <sup>2</sup> M. Knupfer, J. Fink, and J. F. Armbruster, Z. Phys. B 101, 57 (1996).
   <sup>3</sup> M. K. G. L. E. L. D. D. L. H. **70**, 2714 (1997).
- <sup>3</sup> M. Knupfer and J. Fink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2714 (1997).
- <sup>4</sup> V. Brouet, H. Alloul, S. Garaj, and L. Forró, Phys. Rev. B 66, 155122 (2002).
- <sup>5</sup> A. Wachowiak, R. Yamachika, K. H. Khoo, Y. Wang, M. Grobis, D.-H. Lee, S. G. Louie, and M. F. Crommie, Science **310**, 468 (2005).
- <sup>6</sup> P. Dahlke and M. J. Rosseinsky, Chem. Mater. **14**, 1285 (2002).
- <sup>7</sup> P. Dahlke, P. F. Henry, and M. J. Rosseinsky, J. Mater. Chem. 8, 1571 (1998).
- <sup>8</sup> C. A. Kuntscher, G. M. Bendele, and P. W. Stephens, Phys. Rev. B **55**, R3366 (1997).
- <sup>9</sup> G. M. Bendele, C. A. Kuntscher, and P. W. Stephens, in *Molecular nanostructures*, edited by H. Kuzmany, J. Fink, M. Mehring, and S. Roth (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998), p. 258.
- <sup>10</sup> Y. Iwasa and T. Kaneyasu, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 3678 (1995).
- <sup>11</sup> K. Kamarás, G. Klupp, D. B. Tanner, A. F. Hebard, N. M. Nemes, and J. E. Fischer, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 052103 (2002).
- <sup>12</sup> C. C. Chancey and M. C. M. O'Brien, The Jahn-Teller Effect in C<sub>60</sub> and Other Icosahedral Complexes (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997).
- <sup>13</sup> H. A. Jahn and E. Teller, Proc. Roy. London Soc. Ser. A 191, 220 (1937).
- <sup>14</sup> A. F. Hebard, M. J. Rosseinsky, R. C. Haddon, D. W. Murphy, S. H. Glarum, T. T. M. Palstra, A. P. Ramirez, and A. R. Kortan, Nature **350**, 600 (1991).
- <sup>15</sup> M. C. M. O'Brien, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 3775 (1996).

of  $K_4C_{60}$  and  $Rb_4C_{60}$  we suggest that a dynamic Jahn-Teller state develops as the  $D_{3d}/D_{5d}$  distortions appear.

From the splitting of the electronic transition around 1 eV we conclude that the energy bands in the solid reflect the Jahn-Teller distortion of the molecular ions; the presence of the 0.5 eV feature, which is forbidden in the molecule and therefore must be assigned to intermolecular excitations, signals the importance of electronelectron correlations in the solid. We regard the simultaneous appearance of these two features as experimental proof of the Mott-Jahn-Teller insulator state.<sup>1</sup>

## Acknowledgments

We thank Gábor Oszlányi for his invaluable help with characterizing the samples by X-ray diffraction and most enlightening conversations. We also gratefully acknowledge useful discussions with Dan Neumann and Terrence Udovic. Financial support was provided by OTKA grants T 034198 and T 049338 and NSF-INT grant 9902050.

- <sup>16</sup> J. L. Dunn and C. A. Bates, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 5996 (1995).
- <sup>17</sup> S. Tomita, J. U. Andersen, E. Bonderup, P. Hvelplund, B. Liu, S. B. Nielsen, U. V. Pedersen, J. Rangama, K. Hansen, and O. Echt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 053002 (2005).
- <sup>18</sup> A. B. Harris and R. Sachidanandam, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4944 (1992).
- <sup>19</sup> I. Lukyanchuk, N. Kirova, F. Rachdi, C. Goze, P. Molinie, and M. Mehring, Phys. Rev. B **51**, R3978 (1995).
- <sup>20</sup> L. Forró and L. Mihály, Rep. Progr. Phys. **64**, 649 (2001).
- <sup>21</sup> R. Kerkoud, P. Auban-Senzier, D. Jérome, S. Brazovskii, N. Kirova, I. Luk'yanchuk, F. Rachdi, and C. Goze, Synth. Met. **77**, 205 (1996).
- <sup>22</sup> M. Ozaki and A. Takahashi, Chem. Phys. Lett. **127**, 242 (1986).
- <sup>23</sup> J. E. Fischer and P. A. Heiney, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 54, 1725 (1993).
- <sup>24</sup> T. Yildirim, S. Hong, A. B. Harris, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. B 48, 12262 (1993).
- <sup>25</sup> P. W. Stephens, L. Mihaly, P. L. Lee, R. L. Whetten, S.-M. Huang, R. Kaner, F. Diederich, and K. Holczer, Nature **351**, 632 (1991).
- <sup>26</sup> P. Paul, Z. Xie, R. Bau, P. D. W. Boyd, and C. A. Reed, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **116**, 4145 (1994).
- <sup>27</sup> W. C. Wan, X. Liu, G. M. Sweeney, and W. E.Broderick, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **117**, 9580 (1995).
- <sup>28</sup> C. A. Reed and R. D. Bolskar, Chem. Rev. **100**, 1075 (2000).
- <sup>29</sup> D. A. Neumann, J. R. D. Copley, D. Reznik, W. A. Kamitakahara, J. J. Rush, R. L. Paul, and R. M. Lindstrom, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **54**, 1699 (1993).
- <sup>30</sup> R. M. Fleming, M. J.Rosseinsky, A. P. Ramirez, D. W. Murphy, J. C. Tully, R. C. Haddon, T. Siegrist, R. Tycko, S. H. Glarum, P. Marsh, et al., Nature **352**, 701 (1991).
- <sup>31</sup> D. W. Murphy, M. J. Rosseinsky, R. M. Fleming, R. Tycko,

<sup>42</sup> J. B. Torrance, B. A. Scott, and F. B. Kaufman, Solid

A. P. Ramirez, R. C. Haddon, T. Siegrist, G. Dabbagh, J. C. Tully, and R. E. Walstedt, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 53, 1321 (1992).

- <sup>32</sup> D. M. Poirier, D. W. Owens, and J. H. Weaver, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 1830 (1995).
- <sup>33</sup> A. Larson and R. V. Dreele, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR pp. 86–748 (2000).
- <sup>34</sup> B. H. Toby, J. Appl. Cryst. **34**, 210 (2001).
- <sup>35</sup> H. D. A. Le Bail and J. L. Fourquet, Mat. Res. Bul. 23, 447 (1988).
- <sup>36</sup> A. A. Sabouri-Dodaran, M. Marangolo, C. Bellin, F. Mauri, G. Fiquet, G. Loupias, N. Mezouar, W. Crichton, C. Herold, R. Rachdi, et al., Phys. Rev. B **70**, 174114 (2004).
- <sup>37</sup> W. Krätschmer, K. Fostiropoulos, and D. R. Huffman, Chem. Phys. Lett. **170**, 167 (1990).
- <sup>38</sup> T. Pichler, R. Winkler, and H. Kuzmany, Phys. Rev. B 49, 15879 (1994).
- <sup>39</sup> K. Kamarás, Y. Iwasa, and L. Forró, Phys. Rev. B 55, 10999 (1997).
- <sup>40</sup> A. M. Rao, P. C. Eklund, J.-L. Hodeau, L. Marques, and M. Nunez-Regueiro, Phys. Rev. B 55, 4766 (1997).
- <sup>41</sup> C. C. Homes, P. J. Horoyski, M. L. W. Thewalt, and B. P. Clayman, Phys. Rev. B **49**, R7052 (1994).

- State Commun. 17, 1369 (1975).
  <sup>43</sup> D. A. Neumann, J. R. Copley, W. A. Kamitakahara, J. J. Rush, R. L. Cappelletti, N. Coustel, J. E. Fischer, J. P.
- Rush, R. L. Cappelletti, N. Coustel, J. E. Fischer, J. P. McCauley, A. B. Smith, III, K. M. Creegan, et al., J. Chem. Phys. 96, 8631 (1992).
  <sup>44</sup> C. Christides, K. Prassides, D. A. Neumann, J. R. Copley,
- J. Mizuki, K. Tanigaki, I. Hirosawa, and T. W. Ebbesen, Europhys. Lett. 24, 755 (1993).
- <sup>45</sup> D. Reznik, W. A. Kamitakahara, D. A. Neumann, J. R. D. Copley, J. E. Fischer, R. M. Strongin, M. A. Cichy, and A. B. Smith, III, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 1005 (1994).
- <sup>46</sup> J. R. D. Copley, D. A. Neumann, R. L. Cappelletti, and W. A. Kamitakahara, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **53**, 1353 (1992).
- <sup>47</sup> C. Christides, D. A. Neumann, K. Prassides, J. R. D. Copley, J. J. Rush, M. J. Rosseinsky, D. W. Murphy, and R. C. Haddon, Phys. Rev. B 46, R12088 (1992).
- <sup>48</sup> K. Tanigaki, I. Hirosawa, T. Manako, J. S. Tsai, J. Mizuki, and T. W. Ebbesen, Phys. Rev. B 49, 12307 (1994).
- <sup>49</sup> G. Zimmer, M. Helmle, M. Mehring, and F. Rachdi, Europhys. Lett. **27**, 543 (1994).