

MAGNETISM AND ELECTRONIC STATES OF SYSTEMS WITH STRONG HUND COUPLING¹

K. Kubo,¹ D. M. Edwards,² A. C. M. Green,² T. Momoi¹ and H. Sakamoto¹

¹Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba,
Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

²Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ,
UK

INTRODUCTION

The fascinating physics of the perovskite manganites¹ is governed by electrons which hop among or are localized on Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} ions. It was pointed out already in 1951 by Zener² that the strong Hund coupling between e_g and t_{2g} electrons is essential in understanding the ferromagnetism³ caused by substitution of triply valent La with doubly valent elements such as Ca, Sr or Ba in LaMnO_3 . The two-fold degeneracy of e_g orbitals causes other important effects in the physics of manganites. The existence of the orbital degrees of freedom leads to orbital ordering in the insulating phases.⁴ Various charge and orbital ordered states are experimentally observed.⁵ Another important effect is the Jahn-Teller distortion, which causes strong correlations between lattice distortions and orbital and magnetic interactions.⁶⁻⁸ All these effects are entangled and give rise to the rich phase diagrams and peculiar transport phenomena of the manganites. Though it might be necessary to take into account all of these effects at the same time in order to explain experimental results quantitatively, it is useful to extract one of these important factors and study its effect in detail, in order to understand the physics of manganites in a profound way. In this paper we aim to clarify the effects of the Hund coupling by employing simplified models. First we study the ground state phase diagram of a doubly degenerate Hubbard model. Our main concern is the effectiveness of the Hund coupling on the ferromagnetism. We compare the result in one dimension with that in infinite dimensions, and examine common features and differences between them.

¹To appear in proceedings of the workshop "Physics of Manganites", Michigan State University, July 26-29, 1998, eds. by T.A. Kaplan and S.D. Mahanti, Plenum Publishing Corporation.

The second model we study is a simple ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model or double exchange model. We investigate one-particle states in this model using a single-site approximation and calculate the electrical resistivity. We treat the localized spins as quantum mechanical ones and study the quantum effects on the electronic states.

Doubly Degenerate Hubbard Model

We consider in this section a doubly degenerate Hubbard model described by the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & -t \sum_{\substack{m=1,2 \\ \sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in \text{N.N.}} (c_{im\sigma}^\dagger c_{jm\sigma} + h.c.) + U \sum_{i,m} n_{im\uparrow} n_{im\downarrow} + U' \sum_{i,\sigma,\sigma'} n_{i1\sigma} n_{i2\sigma'} \\
& - J \sum_{i,\sigma,\sigma'} c_{i1\sigma}^\dagger c_{i1\sigma'} c_{i2\sigma'}^\dagger c_{i2\sigma} - J' \sum_i (c_{i1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i2\uparrow} c_{i2\downarrow} + h.c.), \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{im\sigma}$ ($c_{im\sigma}^\dagger$) denotes the annihilation (creation) operator of the electron at site i with orbital m ($=1$ or 2) and spin σ . The number operators are denoted by $n_{im\sigma}$. Hoppings of electrons are assumed to occur between the same orbitals of nearest neighbor sites. In real systems, there are off-diagonal hoppings and also hopping integrals are anisotropic for e_g orbitals, that is, they are dependent on the directions of hoppings. This anisotropy may have an important effect on the orbital and antiferromagnetic ordering in manganites.^{9, 10} We take here, however, the simplest model which can take account of the effects of orbital degeneracy and Hund coupling. The interaction terms in eq. (1) originate from the Coulomb interaction between electrons at the same site. The last term, which transfers two electrons on one orbital to the other, is often neglected. But this term should be properly considered, since it enhances local quantum fluctuations and the coefficient J' is equal to that of the Hund coupling J if we assume the orbital wave functions are real. The interaction parameters satisfy the relation

$$U = U' + 2J \tag{2}$$

for e_g orbitals, and we assume this relation in the following.

The Hamiltonian (1) produces various magnetic correlations and effective ferromagnetic interactions between electrons on different sites. Let us first consider two electrons on one site. The spin-triplet states, where two electrons occupy different orbitals, are stabilized by the Hund coupling and have the lowest energy $U' - J$. There are three spin-singlet states; one with energy $U' + J$ where two electrons occupy different orbitals, and the other two with energies $U - J$ ($= U' + J$) and $U + J$ ($= U' + 3J$) where electrons occupy the same orbital. An effective spin interaction between neighboring sites is derived from this one-site spectrum in the strong correlation regime ($U' > J \gg t$). Let us consider two nearest neighbor sites each of which is occupied by a single electron in this regime. When two electrons with parallel spins sit on different orbitals, virtual hoppings of the electrons between two sites lower the energy by $-2t^2/(U' - J)$. When two electrons have antiparallel spins, the energy is lowered by $-2t^2U/(U^2 - J^2)$ or $-2t^2U'/(U'^2 - J^2)$ depending on whether they are on the same or different orbitals. Hence there is an effective interaction between neighboring sites which favors ferromagnetic spin alignment but alternating alignment of orbital degrees of freedom.¹¹ In a system with quarter-filled bands, i.e. for $n \equiv N_e/N = 1$, both ferromagnetic long-range order (LRO) and alternating orbital order are expected to coexist in the ground

state.^{11–15} Here N_e and N denote the total number of electrons and sites, respectively. The second-order perturbation from the atomic limit ($t = 0$) leads to the following effective Hamiltonian for spin operators \mathbf{S}_i and pseudo-spin operators $\boldsymbol{\tau}_i$ ^{12, 25}:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\text{eff}} = & -t^2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\frac{4U}{U^2 - J'^2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau_i^z \tau_j^z \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right) \right. \\
& - \frac{2J'}{U^2 - J'^2} (\tau_i^- \tau_j^- + \tau_i^+ \tau_j^+) \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right) \\
& + \frac{2U'}{U'^2 - J^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} - \tau_i^z \tau_j^z - 2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j - \tau_i^z \tau_j^z) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right) \right\} \\
& \left. + \frac{2J}{U'^2 - J^2} \left\{ \tau_i^z \tau_j^z - \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4} - \tau_i^z \tau_j^z \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right) \right\} \right]. \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

In strongly correlated systems with the filling $1 < n < 2$, each lattice site is either singly- or doubly-occupied, and electrons hop from doubly-occupied sites to singly-occupied ones. Doubly-occupied sites are almost necessarily in spin-triplet states due to the Hund coupling and the hopping probability is largest between pairs of sites with parallel spins. As a result the kinetic energy is lowered by ferromagnetic spin correlations. This mechanism favoring ferromagnetism is quite similar to that in the double exchange model of electrons, where electrons interacting with localized spins have lower kinetic energy when spins are aligned parallel.^{2, 16} In the following we call this mechanism which favors ferromagnetism the “double exchange mechanism” even when we are not treating localized spins. In the case with less-than-quarter filling ($n < 1$), the “double exchange mechanism” may not work for $U' - J \gg t$. Nevertheless the Hund coupling may lead to ferromagnetism even for $n < 1$, if $t/(U' - J)$ is not too small. The effective ferromagnetic interaction described by eq. (3) between nearest neighbor electrons may have a sizable effect and cause metallic ferromagnetism. Van Vleck argued that this mechanism may be operative in realizing ferromagnetism in Ni.¹⁷

Though the mechanism favoring ferromagnetism can be understood qualitatively as above, it is far from trivial whether ferromagnetic long-range order occurs in bulk systems. In the following, we present a numerical study of the model in one and infinite dimensions.

One-Dimensional Model

There are rigorous proofs for the ferromagnetic ground state of the one dimensional model in strong coupling limits.^{18–20} These proofs are valid in different limits of strong coupling. For the strong Hund coupling case ($J \rightarrow \infty$ and $U \rightarrow \infty$), existence of ferromagnetism is proved for arbitrary $U' (> 0)$ in $1 < n < 2$,^{18, 19} and also for $0 < n \leq 1$ in the special limit $J = U' \rightarrow \infty$ and $U \rightarrow \infty$.¹⁹ Shen obtained a rather general result that the ground state is fully spin-polarized for any n between 0 and 2 except for 1 if $U = \infty$, and $U' (> 0)$ and $J = J' (> 0)$ are finite.²⁰ (We note that this result cannot be applied naively to our case which assumes the relation (2).)

So far several numerical studies were done, and ferromagnetism was found for densities near quarter filling.^{21, 19, 22–24} These studies were done by diagonalizing relatively small systems with sizes up to 12, and size dependence was not studied yet. We thus need to study systems with larger sizes and examine size effects to obtain conclusive results. We note also that most previous studies did not take into account the J' -term, and assumed relations between U , U' and J which differ from eq. (2).

We report in the following a study of finite-size chains with up to 16 sites applying the exact diagonalization method as well as the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method. We employ open boundary conditions, since the periodic boundary condition causes very large size dependence in one dimension (e.g. even-odd oscillations). Remarkably we found little size dependence due to the use of the open boundary conditions. Details of this study will be published elsewhere.²⁶

First, we show the ground-state phase diagram for $n = 1$ in Fig. 1a. We obtained the ground state with full spin polarization for $J \simeq U' (\gtrsim 5t)$. The appearance of ferromagnetism in the $J < U'$ region can be well understood with the effective Hamiltonian (3). This ferromagnetic ground state has a strong alternating correlation in the orbital degrees of freedom. This is also consistent with the argument from the effective Hamiltonian. In the perfect ferromagnetic ground state, the orbital degrees of freedom have isotropic (Heisenberg) antiferromagnetic interaction with pseudo-spin and hence the alternating correlation decays in a power form. The phase boundary for $J < U'$ approaches an asymptote $J = \alpha U'$ with $\alpha \simeq 0.35$ for large U' . The asymptote corresponds to the ground-state phase boundary of the effective Hamiltonian.²⁶ The paramagnetic state for $0 \lesssim J < \alpha U'$ may have the same properties as the ground state of the $SU(4)$ model at $J = 0$.³⁰⁻³² The ferromagnetic phase extends to the parameter region $J > U'$, as well. Though this region $J > U'$ is unrealistic, it is of interest from the viewpoint of triplet superconductivity. An attractive force acts between electrons with parallel spins due to the Hund coupling and the present model might have some relevance to experimental results on organic superconductors.²⁷ For $J > U' \gg t$ two electrons are paired to form a hard-core boson with spin unity. The perturbation due to the hopping term in eq. (1) leads to the effective Hamiltonian for these bosons, which includes hopping, repulsion and antiferromagnetic spin interaction between nearest neighbor bosons. The effective Hamiltonian does not favor ferromagnetism and indeed the ferromagnetic phase does not extend to a region with large $J - U'$ in our numerical calculations. The slope of the phase boundary approaches unity for large U' . We note that only the systems with $N_e = \text{even}$ are used in determining the phase diagram. In fact we found a large difference in the phase boundaries for $J > U'$ between systems with even N_e and odd N_e . We consider that the results for small odd N_e are strongly affected by the existence of an unpaired electron and are not useful for extracting bulk properties.

Next we show the ground state phase diagram for $n = 0.5$ in Fig. 1b. The ferromagnetic phase expands compared to that in the quarter-filled case both for $J > U'$ and $J < U'$, and the size dependence is very weak. It is remarkable that ferromagnetism is realized for rather weak Hund coupling, that is, $J \simeq 2t$ for $U' \simeq 5$. Recently Hirsch²⁴ argued that the Hund coupling is not effective enough to realize ferromagnetism in systems with low density ($n < 1$) and that ferromagnetic exchange interactions between different sites are necessary to explain ferromagnetism in low density systems like Ni. From the present results, we expect that a moderate Hund coupling realizes ferromagnetism in a bulk system in one dimension. This behavior should be compared with the result for infinite dimensions where we could not find ferromagnetism for $n < 1$. (See next section.)

Finally, as an example of the case with $n > 1$, we show the result for $n = 1.25$ in Fig. 1c. In this case ferromagnetism appears in a wider region than in the quarter filled case especially for small J . The lower phase boundary apparently approaches the line $J = 0$ for large U' . This enhanced stability of the ferromagnetic state may be understood as the result of the ‘‘double exchange mechanism’’. On the other hand the phase boundary for $J > U'$ is almost same as that for $n = 1$.

For all densities we found ferromagnetism on the line $J = U'$ with strong $J (\gtrsim 5t)$.




Figure 1: Ground-state phase diagrams of the 1D doubly degenerate Hubbard model for the filling $n = 1$ (a), 0.5 (b) and 1.25 (c). We assume t to be unity.

This result is consistent with the rigorous result in the limit $J = U' \rightarrow \infty$ by Kusakabe and Aoki.¹⁹ We note that all the ferromagnetic ground states obtained above are fully polarized. Since the ferromagnetic state is fully polarized the spin degrees of freedom are completely suppressed. The orbital degrees of freedom in the ground state are mapped into the usual spin degrees of freedom in the single-band Hubbard model with the interaction parameter $U' - J$.²⁰ Then we learn that, for $U' - J > 0$, the pseudo-spin (orbital) correlation function decays with a power law as $\cos(|i-j|n\pi) \cdot |i-j|^{-\sigma}$. On the other hand, for $U' - J < 0$, it shows an exponential decay but the pair-pair correlation function of pseudospin-singlet (spin-triplet) pairs decays with a power law, which is a sign of quasi-long-range order of the triplet superconductivity.

Infinite Dimensional Model

Next we discuss the model (1) on a hypercubic lattice in infinite dimensions.²⁵ We

scale the hopping integrals between nearest neighbor sites as $t = \tilde{t}/2\sqrt{d}$ in d -dimensions and consider the limit $d = \infty$. Then the density of states (DOS) of each energy band has the Gaussian form $D(\varepsilon) = \exp(-\varepsilon^2/\tilde{t}^2)/\tilde{t}\sqrt{\pi}$. We assume $\tilde{t} = 1$ in the following. In this limit we can treat quantum fluctuations completely by taking local interactions into account and spatial correlations can be neglected.²⁸ The system is described in terms of a one-site effective action which is determined self-consistently. Generally one must rely on numerical methods to solve the effective action. In this study we approximated the action by that of a two-channel impurity model with finite (n_s) number of levels in each channel, and solved the impurity model by exact diagonalization. The energy levels and mixing parameters of the impurity model were determined self-consistently. We searched for ground states which are uniform in space as well as those with two-sublattice structures. Numerical calculations were done for $n_s = 5$ or 6. We mostly studied the system with $n_s = 5$ and confirmed phase boundaries by using the system with $n_s = 6$. We found that the results do not depend much on n_s . We studied the ground state mainly at the fillings $n = 1, 1.2$, and 0.8, controlling the chemical potential.




Figure 2: Ground state phase diagram of the $D = \infty$ doubly degenerate Hubbard model for $n = 1$ (a) and 1.2(b).

In the quarter-filling ($n = 1$) case we found paramagnetic and ferromagnetic ground states for $0 < J < U'$ as is shown in Fig. 2a. Near the phase boundary two solutions coexist and their energies cross over. We selected the ground state by comparing energies and determined the phase diagram. The paramagnetic state obtained is spatially uniform and metallic. On the other hand the ferromagnetic state has a two-sublattice structure with alternating orbital order, and is insulating. We found a narrow paramagnetic region for $J \simeq U'$. Therefore the ferromagnetic phase seems to be confined within the region $J < U'$, though we did not study the case with $J > U'$ in $d = \infty$. The ferromagnetic ground state appears even for $J \simeq 0$ for $U' \gtrsim 6t$. At $J = 0$ the model possesses an $SU(4)$ symmetry. We found the coexistence of several ground states in this case.

We show the phase diagram for $n = 1.2$ in Fig. 2b. At this filling we obtain a metallic ferromagnetic phase and a metallic paramagnetic one, both of which are spatially uniform. Both states have no orbital ordering. The area of the ferromagnetic phase is reduced in the phase diagram compared to that of the insulating ferromagnetic phase at $n = 1$. As a hole-doped case, we studied the ground state for $n = 0.8$. At this filling we found only metallic ground states which are uniform in space and could not find any




Figure 3: The number density as a function of the chemical potential μ for $U' = 10$ and $J = 4$. The flat parts indicate insulating states.

magnetically ordered phase for $U' \leq 20t$.

We show the variation of the number density as a function of the chemical potential for $U' = 10$ and $J = 4$ in Fig. 3. For these parameter values we have the paramagnetic metallic ground state for $0 < n < 0.82$. For $n = 1$ the ground state is a ferromagnetic insulator. The ferromagnetic metal is stable for $1.14 < n < 1.86$. The antiferromagnetic insulator is realized for $n = 2$. It is interesting that there are small jumps of n on both sides of quarter-filling. One is from $n = 0.82$ to 1 and the other is $n = 1$ to 1.14. There is another jump close to half-filling, i.e. between $n = 1.86$ and 2. They imply that phase separation occurs for n in these intervals. Occurrence of phase separation was found also in the double exchange model.²⁹

We show the kinetic and interaction energy per site as a function of U' on the line $J = 0.4U'$ for $n = 1.2$ in Fig. 4. For these parameters the ground state is ferromagnetic for $U' \gtrsim 6$. The kinetic energy increases linearly with U' for small U' where the ground state is paramagnetic. Then it starts to saturate and stays almost constant in the ferromagnetic phase. The interaction energy increases linearly with U' but its slope decreases as an effect of (local) correlations. The slope slightly increases again in the ferromagnetic region and the potential energy is nearly $(n - 1)(U' - J) = 0.12U'$ for large U' . The above result clearly shows that the ferromagnetism is caused by reduction of kinetic energy rather than interaction energy. That means that the “double exchange mechanism” is the cause of ferromagnetism for this density.

We have seen above that the Hund coupling is effective both in one and infinite dimensions. Especially for $n > 1$ the ferromagnetic ground state is realized in quite a large parameter region in both dimensions. This result suggests that the “double exchange mechanism” is quite effective in realizing the ferromagnetic state for $1 < n < 2$. We may expect that the situation is similar in two and three dimensions. For $n = 1$, ferromagnetism accompanied by alternating orbital order is realized for $J < U'$. We found that the state is destabilized for weak J in one dimension. This result may be understood as lower dimensionality stabilizing the paramagnetic liquid state and destabilizing alternating orbital order. (In fact we have only quasi-long-range orbital




Figure 4: The kinetic and the potential energy per site vs U' for $n = 1.2$ on the line $J = 0.4U'$.

order in one dimension.) The paramagnetic state for $J = 0$ is known to be an $SU(4)$ singlet state.^{30–32}

We found that the phase diagram is strongly dependent on the dimensionality for $n < 1$. Though ferromagnetism is realized in a large parameter region in one dimension, we could not find it in infinite dimensions. Although our study does not exhaust the whole parameter region, it seems likely that there is no ferromagnetism for $n < 1$ in $d = \infty$. There may be a general tendency for ferromagnetism in a low density system to be stabilized in one dimension. In a 1D Hubbard model with nearly flat bands low density was found to be favorable for ferromagnetism.³³ This tendency may be understood as a result of the diverging DOS at the zone boundary in one dimension. Since we have quite different results for $d = 1$ and $d = \infty$ for $n < 1$, a study of the ground states in two and three dimensions is desirable in order to answer the important question as to whether Hund coupling is effective in realizing ferromagnetism in low density systems like Ni. It should be noted that Ni has a fcc lattice structure, and its DOS has a sharp peak near the edge, which is similar to the one-dimensional one.

ELECTRONIC STATES IN THE DOUBLE EXCHANGE MODEL

In this section we consider the so-called double exchange model (DEM), which is composed of electrons in a single conduction band and localized spins of magnitude S at all lattice sites. The electrons and localized spins interact through intraatomic Hund coupling. The DEM may be the simplest lattice model for electrons in manganites. If we assume a single orbital instead of the doubly degenerate e_g orbitals and regard three electrons occupying t_{2g} orbitals as a localized spin in Mn^{3+} ions, we obtain the DEM with $S = 3/2$. We may consider also the DEM for arbitrary S . For example, we may consider a level separation Δ between two orbitals in the doubly degenerate Hubbard model. If both U' and Δ are much greater than t , then the model reduces to the DEM

with $S = 1/2$ for $n > 1$. The DEM is described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in \text{n.n.}, \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + h.c.) - J \sum_{i, \sigma, \sigma'} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma'}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z)$ and σ^α denotes the Pauli matrix. The parameter J in (4) corresponds to $2J$ in (1). Direct interactions between localized spins as well as the coupling between electronic and lattice degrees of freedom are neglected. For doped LaMnO₃ typical values of the conduction band width $2W$ and $J(2S + 1)/2$ are thought to be $1 \sim 2$ eV³⁴ and $2 \sim 3$ eV, respectively. For large JS the spin of an electron is always coupled parallel with the localized spin and forms a total spin of size $S + 1/2$. Since the original hopping term in (4) conserves the spin of the electron, the hopping probability between two neighboring sites is effectively reduced when localized spins at these sites are not parallel to each other. The factor of the reduction is given by $\cos\theta/2$ if the localized spins are classical and make the angle θ between them.¹⁶ In the paramagnetic state the localized spins are oriented randomly and as a result the conduction band is narrowed due to the reduction of the hopping integrals as well as decoherence effects due to scattering. Band narrowing increases the kinetic energy of the paramagnetic state and favors the ferromagnetic state. Ferromagnetism due to this “double exchange” mechanism was studied earlier.^{35, 36} The electronic states of the model with classical localized spins were studied by use of dynamical mean field theory by Furukawa.³⁷ Classical localized spins are not affected when they scatter conduction electrons. Quantum mechanical spins may be flipped during the scattering processes. The effect of this “spin exchange scattering” was studied earlier using the coherent potential approximation (CPA).^{38–40} The electronic states of the model with quantum spins in one and two dimensions were recently studied by using numerical methods extensively.^{41, 42}

The CPA theory mentioned above treated a single electron in a system with randomly oriented localized spins and did not take into account the presence of other electrons. As a result the theory is valid only in the low density limit, but in this limit it gives a qualitatively correct description of the change in the electronic states due to interactions with localized spins. The single conduction band is modified by the interactions and the density of states splits into two bands for $JS \gtrsim W$. The lower band corresponds to electronic states with electron spins parallel to the localized spins (we call them “parallel electrons”) and the upper band to those of “antiparallel electrons”. The relative weights of the lower and the upper bands are $(S + 1)/(2S + 1)$ and $S/(2S + 1)$, corresponding to the total spin of a site $S + 1/2$ and $S - 1/2$, respectively. If we naively consider these bands as a rigid one-particle density of states, the half-filled system ($n = N_e/N = 1$) cannot be an insulator since the lower band is not full. Surely the half-filled system should be an insulator if $JS \gg W$. We need a theory which realizes the insulating half-filled system for $JS \gg W$ in order to discuss the transport properties of the DEM.

Let us first consider the atomic limit, i.e. the case with $t = 0$. The energy spectrum of the Green function in this limit is composed of four levels. The lowest level at $\omega = -J(S + 1)/2$ corresponds to the process of creating a parallel electron at a site which is already occupied by an antiparallel one. The second one at $-JS/2$ comes from creating a parallel electron at an empty site. The levels at $JS/2$ and $J(S + 1)/2$ correspond to creating an antiparallel electron at an occupied and unoccupied site, respectively. The spectral weights of these four levels are $(Sn - 2\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle)/(2S + 1)$, $[(S + 1)(2 - n) + 2\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle]/(2S + 1)$, $[(S + 1)n + 2\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle]/(2S + 1)$ and $[S(2 - n) - 2\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle]/(2S + 1)$, respectively, where \mathbf{s}_i denotes the electron spin operator at the i -th site.

When the hopping term is turned on, these discrete levels will broaden and compose four separate bands for $t \ll J$. They broaden as t increases and will finally merge into a single band for $t \gg J$. We note that the first and third levels vanish in the low density limit ($n = 0$) and that the weights of the two bands of the spectrum in the earlier one-electron CPA theory reproduce those of the second and fourth levels correctly. As for the metal-insulator transition, we note that the two lower levels are fully occupied at $n = 1$ in the exact atomic limit Green function. Therefore, we can expect a Green function to reproduce the correct insulating behavior at $n = 1$ for $JS \gg W$, if it reduces to the exact one in the atomic limit. We report below on the derivation of a Green function in the one-site approximation which gives the correct atomic limit and also reduces to the one-electron CPA result in the low density limit. We calculate the resistivity ρ in the framework of this approximation. A brief account of this work has already appeared and full details will be published elsewhere.⁴³

In a single-site approximation the Green function $G_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(\omega)$ is written as

$$G_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(\omega) = \{\tilde{G}_\sigma(\omega)^{-1} - (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - J_\sigma(\omega))\}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$J_\sigma(\omega) = \omega - \Sigma_\sigma(\omega) - \tilde{G}_\sigma(\omega)^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Here $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\Sigma_\sigma(\omega)$ denote the free band energy and the self energy, respectively. The local Green function $\tilde{G}_\sigma(\omega)$ is related to the self-energy through the DOS of the free band energy $D_0(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ as

$$\tilde{G}_\sigma(\omega) = \int \frac{D_0(x)dx}{\omega - \Sigma_\sigma(\omega) - x}. \quad (7)$$

In order to close the above equations we need another equation for $\tilde{G}_\sigma(\omega)$. We follow the equation of motion of the Green function along the line of Hubbard.⁴⁴ We can close the equations for arbitrary S in the paramagnetic state. In the paramagnetic state we can omit the spin suffices and the self-consistent equation for $\tilde{G}(\omega)$ is written as

$$\tilde{G}(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \frac{(E(\omega) + \alpha J/2)(n/2)_\alpha + \alpha J/2 \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle}{(E(\omega) - \alpha S J/2)(E(\omega) + \alpha J(S+1)/2)}, \quad (8)$$

where $E(\omega) \equiv \omega - J(\omega)$ and $(n/2)_\alpha \equiv \delta_{\alpha-} + \alpha n/2$. The above set of equations reduces to that of the one-particle CPA at $n = 0$. We show in Fig. 5 the one-particle density of states for $J = 4W$ and $S = 3/2$ obtained from above set of equations by using the elliptic DOS given by

$$D_0(\epsilon) = 2/(\pi W^2) \sqrt{W^2 - \epsilon^2}. \quad (9)$$

At $n = 0$ the spectrum is composed of two bands centered at $\omega = -JS/2$ and $J(S+1)/2$, respectively. As n increases the third band centered at $\omega = JS/2$ emerges and correspondingly the weight of the band at $\omega = J(S+1)/2$ decreases. There should be a fourth band at $\omega = -J(S+1)/2$ as well, but its weight is very small for $JS \gtrsim W$, since $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle \simeq nS/2$ (In the calculation shown in Fig. 5 we approximated as $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \rangle = nS/2$ for simplicity). The third band grows with n and finally it takes over the second one at $n = 1$. At $n = 1$ the lower two bands (the lowest band in Fig. 5) are completely filled and the system becomes a Mott insulator at $T = 0$ as is expected. These three bands should be observable by photoemission experiments on manganites. We note that the




Figure 5: The density of states obtained from the approximate Green function in the paramagnetic state for $J = 4W$ and $S = 3/2$ at the filling $n = 0$, $n = 0.25$, $n = 0.5$, $n = 0.75$ and $n = 1.0$.

position of the band at $\omega \simeq JS/2$ will be shifted to $\omega \simeq JS/2 + U$ in the presence of the intraatomic Coulomb repulsion U . For $J = \infty$ the lowest band has width

$$2\bar{W} = 2W\sqrt{(S+1-n/2)/(2S+1)} \quad (10)$$

for the elliptic DOS. The band narrowing factor is a minimum at $n = 1$ with the value $1/\sqrt{2}$ independent of S . It should be noted that this factor may depend considerably on the choice of D_0 as was found for one-particle CPA.³⁸

We calculate the DC resistivity ρ by using the above paramagnetic Green function in the Kubo formula. Vertex corrections do not enter in the calculation of the conductivity in the single-site approximation. The expression of the static resistivity at $T = 0$ is simplified by assuming the cubic tight-binding form of the hopping term in H . We find

$$\rho^{-1} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{3a\hbar} \int \epsilon d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) D_0(\epsilon), \quad (11)$$

where $\frac{d\phi(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} = A_{\mathbf{k}}(\mu)^2|_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}=\epsilon}$ and $A_{\mathbf{k}}(\mu)$ is the spectral weight function of the Green function $G_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(\omega)$ at $\omega = \mu$. This expression is evaluated using the elliptical approximation to the DOS, both in $D_0(\epsilon)$ itself and in $\phi(\epsilon)$ via the Green function calculated above. In Fig. 6 we show the resistivity obtained for $J = \infty$ as a function of n for various values of S . We used 5\AA for the lattice constant a . Note that the correct insulating behavior is obtained for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. We find that ρ hardly depends on J for $JS \gtrsim 5W$. The resistivity ρ is of order of $\text{m}\Omega\text{cm}$ for $0.1 \lesssim n \lesssim 0.9$ and this is much smaller than typical experimental values for doped LaMnO_3 except for the case of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$




Figure 6: Resistivity at $T = 0$ (the paramagnetic state is assumed) is depicted versus n for $J = \infty$. Data for $S = 1/2 \sim 5/2$ and ∞ obtained by using the elliptic DOS are shown. Resistivity increases with increasing S .

with $x (= 1 - n) \simeq 0.3$.⁴⁵ The result shows also too weak a dependence on n compared to the experimental result. Furukawa³⁷ calculated ρ by using the Lorentzian DOS for $S = \infty$ and obtained good agreement with experimental result at $x = 0.2$. We also calculated ρ by using the Lorentzian DOS defined as

$$D_0(\epsilon) = W/[\pi(\epsilon^2 + W^2)] \quad (12)$$

to calculate $\phi(\epsilon)$ but retaining the elliptical approximation to $D_0(\epsilon)$ so that the integral in eq. (11) converges. The results are shown Fig. 7. They show much stronger dependence on n than those obtained for the elliptic DOS, and increase very rapidly when the fermi level approaches the band edge. The magnitude of ρ at $x \simeq 0.2$ is several tens of $\text{m}\Omega\text{cm}$, which is of the same order as the experimental data. However, the elliptic DOS is considered to be more realistic than the Lorentzian one, since the Lorentzian DOS gives divergent second moments. Hence the good agreement with experiment obtained for the Lorentzian DOS may be an artifact. Our results suggest that scattering by random localized spins is not enough to explain the correct order of magnitude of the resistivity in manganites. The present result is obtained by treating dynamical aspects of the scatterings approximately. Effects of finite temperature and short-range correlations between localized spins are also neglected, since our calculation has assumed complete Fermi degeneracy and completely random configurations of localized spins. These effects may modify the above result to some extent, but we do not expect that they will change the order of magnitude of the resistivity. Therefore some other effects should be taken into account to explain experimental results.^{7, 46, 47}

We also studied the magnetic properties of the system by using the Green function. The self-consistent equation for the general magnetization for $S = 1/2$ was obtained as

$$\tilde{G}_\uparrow(\omega) = \quad (13)$$




Figure 7: Resistivity at $T = 0$ for Lorentzian DOS.

$$\sum_{\alpha=\pm} \frac{\langle n_{i\downarrow}^{\alpha} \rangle \left(E_{\uparrow}^{\alpha}(\omega) E_{\downarrow}^{\alpha}(\omega) - J^2/8 \right) - (J/2) \left(\langle S_i^z n_{i\downarrow}^{\alpha} \rangle E_{\downarrow}^{-\alpha}(\omega) - \alpha \langle S_i^- s_i^+ \rangle E_{\uparrow}^{-\alpha}(\omega) \right)}{E_{\uparrow}^{-\alpha}(\omega) \left(E_{\uparrow}^{\alpha}(\omega) E_{\downarrow}^{\alpha}(\omega) - J^2/4 \right)},$$

where $E_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(\omega) = \omega - J_{\sigma}(\omega) + \alpha J/4$. We calculated the magnetic susceptibility χ for $J = \infty$ by including a magnetic field and expanding $\langle S_i^z + s_i^z \rangle$ about the paramagnetic state. We find that χ never diverges at a finite temperature for any $0 < n < 1$, i.e. there is no ferromagnetic transition. At $n = 0$ the correct Curie law $\chi = (g\mu_B)^2 \tilde{S}(\tilde{S} + 1)/(3k_B T)$ with $\tilde{S} = 1/2$ was obtained. On the other hand for $n = 1$ and $J = \infty$ χ correctly obeys the Curie law with $\tilde{S} = 1$ at high temperatures but it obeys the law with $\tilde{S}(\tilde{S} + 1) = 2/15$ at low temperatures.

In the DEM with $J = \infty$ the ground state is proven to be ferromagnetic in one dimension for any $0 < n < 1$.¹⁸ At present no reliable study of the ground state phase diagram of the DEM with $S = 1/2$ seems to be available in higher dimensions than one. In three dimensions a high-temperature series expansion analysis suggests a finite Curie temperature for all electron density between 0 and 1,⁵⁰ though the fully polarized state is not stable for $0.12 < n < 0.45$.⁴⁸ It is reasonable to expect that the ferromagnetic ground state is stable in three dimensions in some density region. Therefore we consider that our approximate Green function fails to reproduce the low temperature properties of the model correctly. It is known that the analogous CPA in the Hubbard model does not give ferromagnetism at any density⁴⁹ or the correct Curie law at $n = 1$.⁵¹ Our present approximation suffers from a similar failure and an improved treatment is necessary in order to discuss the magnetic properties. We believe, however, that the present theory gives a qualitatively correct picture of the system in the paramagnetic state and that the paramagnetic resistivity obtained above is of the correct order of magnitude. We need an improved approximation to the Green function to study the magnetic properties of the system. A similar approach to that of Kawabata⁵¹ for the strongly correlated Hubbard model might be useful.

SUMMARY

We discussed two topics on the Hund coupling in lattice systems employing simplified models. First we examined the effectiveness of the Hund coupling in realizing ferromagnetism in the doubly degenerate Hubbard model. In quarter-filled systems the insulating ferromagnetic state accompanied by alternating orbital order was found stable. In more-than-quarter filling case metallic ferromagnetism is stabilized by the “double exchange mechanism”. The above results are common to one and infinite dimensions and we expect them to hold in general dimensions. In less-than-quarter filled systems the ferromagnetic ground state is stable in one dimension but not in infinite dimensions. To study this case in two and three dimensions is an interesting future problem.

Secondly we examined the electronic states and the resistivity in the double exchange model by using the one-particle Green function. The splitting and narrowing of the one-particle spectrum due to the Hund coupling were clarified in the framework of a single-site approximation. The resistivity due to the scattering by random localized spins was shown to be too small to explain the experimental results of doped manganites. The present approximation failed to give the ferromagnetic state and we need an improved treatment to study the properties at low temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T.A. Kaplan, S.D. Mahanty, P. Horsch and N. Furukawa for useful discussions. K. K. and T. M. were supported by the JSPS Grant No. 09640453. K. K. was supported by the EPSRC Grant No. GR/L90804, and A. C. M. G. by an EPSRC studentship.

References

- [1] See papers in this volume and references therein.
- [2] C. Zener, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 440 (1951).
- [3] G.H. Jonker and J.H. Van Santen, *Physica*, **16** 337 (1950); J.H. Van Santen and G.H. Jonker, *Physica*, **16** 550 (1950).
- [4] Y. Murakami, H. Kawada, H. Kawata, M. Tanaka, T. Arima, Y. Moritomo and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1932 (1998).
- [5] Y. Tomioka, in this volume and references therein.
- [6] J.B. Goodenough, A. Wold, R.J. Arnett and N. Menyuk, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 373 (1961).
- [7] A.J. Millis, P.B. Littlewood, B.I. Shraiman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 5144 (1995).
- [8] G. Zhao, K. Conder, H. Keller and K.A. Müller, *Nature* **381** 676 (1996)
- [9] S. Ishihara, J. Inoue and S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 8280 (1997).
- [10] R. Shiina, T. Nishitani and H. Shiba, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66**, 3159 (1997).

- [11] L.M. Roth, Phys. Rev. **149**, 306 (1966).
- [12] K.L. Kugel' and D.I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. -J.E.T.P. **37** 725 (1973).
- [13] M. Cyrot and C. Lyon-Caen, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **6**, L247 (1973).
- [14] S. Inagaki and R. Kubo, Int. J. Magn. bf 4, 139 (1973).
- [15] S. Inagaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **39**, 596 (1975).
- [16] P.W. Anderson and H. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. **100**, 675 (1955).
- [17] J.M. Van Vleck, Rev. Mod. Phys. **25**, 220 (1953).
- [18] K. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51**, 782 (1982).
- [19] K. Kusakabe and H. Aoki, Physica B **194-196**, 217 (1994).
- [20] S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6474 (1998).
- [21] W. Gill and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 215 (1987).
- [22] K. Kusakabe and H. Aoki, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. **233**, 71 (1993).
- [23] J. Kuei and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 14968 (1997).
- [24] G. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 11022 (1997).
- [25] T. Momoi and K. Kubo, Phys. Rev. B **58**, R567 (1998).
- [26] H. Sakamoto, T. Momoi and K. Kubo, in preparation.
- [27] For example, L.J. Lee, M.J. Naughton, G.M. Danner and P.M. Chaikin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3555 (1997).
- [28] See for example, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth and M.J. Rozenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 13 (1996).
- [29] S. Yunoki, J. Hu, A.L. Malvezzi, A. Moreo, N. Furukawa and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 845 (1998).
- [30] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3795 (1975).
- [31] Y.Q. Li, M.M. Ma, D.N. Shi and F.C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3527 (1998).
- [32] Y. Yamashita, N. Shibata and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 9114 (1998).
- [33] H. Sakamoto and K. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **65**, 3732 (1996).
- [34] S. Satpathy, Z.S. Popović and F.R. Vulkavić, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 960 (1996).
- [35] P.G. de Gennes, Phys. Rev. **118**, 141 (1960).
- [36] K. Kubo and N. Ohata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **33**, 21 (1972).
- [37] N. Furukawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63**, 3214 (1994); *ibid* **64**, 2734 (1995).
- [38] K. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **33**, 929 (1972).
- [39] K. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **36**, 32 (1974).

- [40] M. Takahashi and K. Mitsui, Pys. Rev. B **54**, 11298 (1996).
- [41] P. Horsch, J. Jaklič and F. Mack, condmat/9708007.
- [42] E. Dagotto, S. Yunoki, A.L. Malvezzi, A. Moreo, J. Hu, S. Capponi, D. Poilblanc and N. Furukawa, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 6414 (1998).
- [43] D.M. Edwards, A.C.M. Green, and K. Kubo, Physica B, in press (1998).
- [44] J. Hubbard, Proc. Roy. Soc. **281**, 401 (1964).
- [45] A. Urushibara, Y. Moritomo, T. Arima, A. Asamitsu, G. Kido and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 14103 (1995).
- [46] H. Röder, J. Zang and A.R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1356 (1996).
- [47] A.J. Millis, B.I. Shiraiman and R. Mueller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 175 (1996).
- [48] R.E. Brunton and D.M. Edwards, J. Phys. Condens. Mattter **10**, 5421 (1998).
- [49] H. Fukuyama and H. Ehrenreich, Phys. Rev. B **7**, 3266 (1973).
- [50] H. Röder, R.R.P. Singh and J. Zang, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 5084 (1997).
- [51] A. Kawabata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **54**, 45 (1975).