

Hydrodynamic theory of an electron gas

I. Tokatly*, O. Pankratov

*Lehrstuhl für theoretische Festkörperphysik,
Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Staudtstr. 7/B2, 91054 Erlangen, Germany
(July 2, 2021)*

The generalised hydrodynamic theory of an electron gas, which does not rely on an assumption of a local equilibrium, is derived as the long-wave limit of a kinetic equation. Apart from the common hydrodynamics variables the theory includes the tensor fields of the higher moments of the distribution function. In contrast to the Bloch hydrodynamics, the theory leads to the correct plasmon dispersion and in the low frequency limit recovers the Navies-Stocks hydrodynamics. The linear approximation to the generalised hydrodynamics is closely related to the theory of highly viscous fluids.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Ca, 05.20.Dd, 47.10.+g, 62.10.+s

Hydrodynamic theory of an electron gas was heuristically introduced by Bloch in 1933 [1] as an extension of Thomas-Fermi model. Only macroscopic variables - electron density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$, velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, pressure P , and electrostatic potential $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, enter the theory. The set of equations (continuity equation, Euler and Poisson equations) becomes complete when the equation of state is added, and in the original paper [1] Bloch identified P with the kinetic pressure of a degenerate Fermi gas. The Bloch's hydrodynamic theory (BHT) has been applied to variety of kinetic problems [2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] with minor improvements (inclusion of exchange, correlation and quantum gradient corrections) [5,9].

From the microscopic point of view BHT cannot be a fully consistent theory since it extends the collision-dominated hydrodynamics to the electron gas where the collisionless (Vlasov) limit is most common. For example, in the plasmon dispersion law $\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + v_0^2 q^2$ BHT predicts for degenerate electron gas $v_0^2 = \frac{1}{3} v_F^2$ instead of a correct result $\frac{3}{5} v_F^2$ (v_F is the Fermi velocity) [4,6,7,8,11,12,13,14,15]. At arbitrary degeneracy the hydrodynamics gives $v_0^2 = v_s^2$, where v_s is velocity of sound, whereas in the kinetic theory v_0^2 equals to the mean square of the particle velocity $\langle v_p^2 \rangle$ [15]. It has been realized [11,12,13] that this discrepancy originates from the assumption of a local equilibrium, which underlies the common hydrodynamic theory [16]. The assumption allows to reduce the kinetic equation for the distribution function $f_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$

$$\partial_t f_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \nabla f_{\mathbf{p}} + e \nabla \varphi \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_{\mathbf{p}} [f_{\mathbf{p}}] \quad (1)$$

to equations for macroscopic variables $n(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and, in general case, temperature $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The requirement of the local equilibrium is fulfilled if the characteristic time of the process $\tau \sim 1/\omega$ is much longer than the inverse collision frequency $1/\nu$ and the typical length L is greater than the mean free path $l \sim u/\nu$ (u is the average particle

velocity) [17]

$$1/\tau\nu \sim \omega/\nu \ll 1, \quad u/L\nu \ll 1. \quad (2)$$

In a zero order with respect to parameters (2) Eq. (1) reduces to $I_{\mathbf{p}} [f_{\mathbf{p}}] = 0$ which means that $f_{\mathbf{p}}$ must have a locally equilibrium form. The stress tensor in the co-moving (Lagrange) frame

$$P_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} p_i p_j f_{\mathbf{p}+m\mathbf{v}}, \quad (3)$$

becomes diagonal $P_{ij} = P \delta_{ij}$ with P being the local pressure. As a result, the equations for the first three moments of the distribution function i.e. density n , current $\mathbf{j} = n\mathbf{v}$ and stress tensor P_{ij} , form the closed set of hydrodynamics equations for an ideal liquid.

Due to the high frequency of the plasma waves at least the first of inequalities (2) is strongly violated and the tensor structure of P_{ij} as well as that of higher moments becomes important. In a degenerate case these tensors describe deformation of the Fermi sphere - the effect completely ignored in the BHT. Within a linear response theory [18] this effect leads to the effective shear modulus of a liquid. As we shall see below, this shear modulus is directly related to the traceless part of P_{ij} .

In this paper we present a generalised hydrodynamics which remains valid under a strong violation of both conditions (2). The theory follows from the long-wave limit of the Boltzmann equation and requires inclusion of the tensors of higher moments. We show that this leads to correct plasmon dispersion.

The kinetic equation (1) can be transformed in an infinite chain of coupled equations for the moments of the distribution function [17]. For the first three moments: density n , current $\mathbf{j} = n\mathbf{v}$ and stress tensor P_{ij} we have

$$D_t n + n \nabla_k v_k = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$m n D_t v_i + \nabla_j P_{ij} - e n \nabla_i \varphi = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$D_t P_{ij} + P_{ij} \nabla_k v_k + P_{ik} \nabla_k v_j + P_{kj} \nabla_k v_i + \nabla_k Q_{ijk} = I_{ij}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$Q_{ijk} = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} p_i p_j p_k f_{\mathbf{p}+m\mathbf{v}} \quad (7)$$

is the third moment in the co-moving frame, $I_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} p_i p_j I_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the second moment of the collision integral and $D_t = \partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla$. In (4-7) the apparent consequences of the conservation of number of particles, momentum and energy in a collision process

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}} I_{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{p}} p_i I_{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 I_{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad (8)$$

have been used. For a charged liquid one has to add the Poisson equation for the scalar potential

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = 4\pi e n - 4\pi \rho_{ext}, \quad (9)$$

where ρ_{ext} is an external charge and φ is an electrostatic potential. The symmetric tensor P_{ij} (3) can be decomposed into the scalar and the traceless tensor part

$$P_{ij} = P \delta_{ij} + \pi_{ij}, \quad Tr \pi_{ij} = 0, \quad (10)$$

where $P = \frac{1}{3} Tr P_{ij}$ is the pressure of an electron gas.

Each equation in (4-6) is coupled to the next one through the higher moment. If conditions (2) are fulfilled, the higher moments are small and the infinite chain of equations can be decoupled (Chapman-Enskog or Grad methods, see [17]). For example, as π_{ij} and Q_{ijk} vanish for locally equilibrium form of the distribution function, they remain small under conditions (2). The two tensors describe, respectively, viscosity and thermal conductivity in a collision dominated liquid. However, if conditions (2) are violated, π_{ij} and Q_{ijk} as well as the higher-order moments are not small. Yet the infinite chain of equations can still be decoupled if all physical quantities are slow varying functions of \mathbf{r} . It is seen from Eq. (6) that the third moment Q_{ijk} enters only under a spatial derivative, hence it gives a correction proportional to $1/L$. This remains true for all higher moments. Thus the truncation of the chain can be justified by the smallness of the gradients of the moments instead of the smallness of the moments itself. The dimensionless parameter of this expansion is

$$\gamma \sim \frac{u}{L \max\{\omega, \nu\}} \ll 1. \quad (11)$$

It is clear that both inequalities (2) can be violated while the condition (11) is fulfilled.

To show the consistency of this decoupling procedure we consider equations for the moments up to an arbitrary order in a transparent case of a one dimensional motion.

Generalisation for a three-dimensional case is straightforward, but calculations are lengthy and will be published elsewhere.

Let the direction of the motion be along x -axis and hence $\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \partial_x$. Only diagonal x -components of all moments contribute and the moment $M^{(k)}$ of the k -th order in the laboratory frame is

$$M^{(k)} = m^{1-k} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} p_x^k f_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

To separate the macroscopic motion of liquid from the motion of particles we introduce the relative moment $L^{(k)}$ i.e. the moment in the co-moving frame

$$L^{(k)} = m^{1-k} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} p_x^k f_{\mathbf{p}+m\hat{\mathbf{x}}v}, \quad (13)$$

which is related to $M^{(k)}$ as

$$M^{(k)} = \sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l v^l L^{(k-l)}, \quad (14)$$

where $C_k^l = \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!}$ are binomial coefficients. Apparently $M^{(0)} = mn$, $M^{(1)} = mnv$, $L^{(2)} = P_{xx}$, $L^{(3)} = Q_{xxx}$ and $L^{(1)} \equiv 0$ by the definition.

For the k -th moment the Boltzmann equation gives

$$\partial_t M^{(k)} + \partial_x M^{(k+1)} - k \frac{e}{m} M^{(k-1)} \partial_x \varphi = I^{(k)}, \quad (15)$$

where $I^{(k)}$ is the k -th moment of the collision integral. According to (8), $I^{(0)} = I^{(1)} = 0$. Substitution of (14) in (15) gives

$$D_t L^{(0)} + L^{(0)} \partial_x v = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$L^{(0)} D_t v + \partial_x L^{(2)} - \frac{e}{m} L^{(0)} \partial_x \varphi = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$D_t L^{(2)} + 3L^{(2)} \partial_x v + \partial_x L^{(3)} = I^{(2)}, \quad (18)$$

$$D_t L^{(k)} + (k+1)L^{(k)} \partial_x v + \partial_x L^{(k+1)} - kL^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial_x L^{(2)}}{L^{(0)}} = I^{(k)}, \quad k \geq 3. \quad (19)$$

Let us estimate the order of magnitude of the terms in Eq. (19). According to Eq.(16), the spatial derivative $\partial_x v$ is of the order of the inverse characteristic time $1/\tau \sim \omega$ hence the first two terms in Eq. (19) are proportional to ω . The right hand side of this equation is evidently proportional to the collision frequency ν . The last two terms in the left hand side of Eq. (19) contain only spatial derivatives and are of the order of u/L . Thus contribution of $L^{(k)}$ in the equation for $L^{(k-1)}$ contains additional smallness γ (11), which means that the correction from $L^{(k)}$ to $L^{(0)}$ is of the order γ^k . To obtain a theory valid up to γ^k one should keep $k+1$ equations for $L^{(l)}$ ($0 \leq l \leq k$) with the contribution of the $k+1$ -th moment and the spatial derivative of $L^{(2)}$ being omitted in the

last equation. The resulting theory is a generalisation of hydrodynamics which is valid far from the equilibrium.

In a second order theory one should neglect Q_{ijk} in Eq.(6). Using (10) we obtain Eqs. (5) and (6) in terms of P and π_{ij} :

$$m n D_t v_i + \nabla_i P + \nabla_j \pi_{ij} - e n \nabla_i \varphi = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$D_t P + \frac{5}{3} P \nabla_k v_k + \frac{2}{3} \pi_{ik} \nabla_k v_i = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$D_t \pi_{ij} + \pi_{ij} \nabla_k v_k + \pi_{ik} \nabla_k v_j + \pi_{kj} \nabla_k v_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \pi_{\mu k} \nabla_k v_\mu + P \left(\nabla_i v_j + \nabla_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_k v_k \right) = I_{ij}, \quad (22)$$

where Eq.(21) is a trace of Eq.(6) and Eq.(22) is a difference of (6) and (21). The continuity equation (4), equations (20-22) and Poisson equation (9) are the generalised hydrodynamics equations which contain two scalars n and P , one vector \mathbf{v} and one traceless tensor π_{ij} . To make the system closed we also need an expression for I_{ij} . However, in a charged liquid contribution of I_{ij} to eigenmodes disappears since these modes (plasmons) lie in the high frequency region $\omega/\nu \gg 1$ where the collisionless limit is reached. In this limit the linearised equations are

$$\partial_t \delta n + n_0 \nabla_k v_k = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$m n_0 \partial_t v_i + \nabla_i \delta P + \nabla_j \pi_{ij} - e n_0 \nabla_i \varphi = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$\partial_t \delta P + \frac{5}{3} P_0 \nabla_k v_k = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\partial_t \pi_{ij} + P_0 \left(\nabla_i v_j + \nabla_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_k v_k \right) = 0, \quad (26)$$

where δn and δP are deviations from the equilibrium density n_0 and pressure P_0 . To obtain the plasmon dispersion we consider a plane-wave solution $e^{-i(\omega t - q x)}$. The velocity has only x -component ($\mathbf{v} = \hat{x} v$) and only diagonal component π_{xx} gives a contribution into Eq. (24). After differentiation with respect to x , Eq. (24) takes the form:

$$-\partial_t^2 \delta n + \frac{1}{m} \partial_x^2 \delta P + \frac{1}{m} \partial_x^2 \pi_{xx} - \frac{e n_0}{m} \partial_x^2 \varphi = 0 \quad (27)$$

Combining Eq. (23) and Eqs. (25,26), we express δP and π_{xx} in terms of the density fluctuations δn :

$$\delta P = \frac{5P_0}{3n_0} \delta n, \quad \delta \pi_{xx} = \frac{4P_0}{3n_0} \delta n. \quad (28)$$

Substitution of (28) into (27) together with the Poisson equation (9) gives the dispersion of the plasma waves:

$$\omega^2(q) = \omega_p^2 + v_0^2 q^2$$

where

$$v_0^2 = \frac{5P_0}{3mn_0} + \frac{4P_0}{3mn_0} = \frac{3P_0}{mn_0} \equiv \langle v_p^2 \rangle. \quad (29)$$

The first contribution in v_0^2 equals to the square of the sound velocity v_s^2 (the result of the ordinary hydrodynamics) and comes from the fluctuations of pressure δP . The second one arises from the traceless part of the stress tensor π_{ij} . The sum gives a correct coefficient which in case of a degenerate Fermi gas reduces to the well known result $\frac{3}{5} v_F^2$. It is straightforward to show that taking into account the third and fourth moments leads to the correct plasmon dispersion up to q^4 .

In the low frequency (or static) limit an expression for collision integral is needed. We take I_{ij} in a Krook-Bhatnager-Gross (KBG) approximation (see, i.e. [19]):

$$I_{\mathbf{p}}[f_{\mathbf{p}}] = -\nu (f_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}, t) - f_{\mathbf{p}}^F(\mathbf{r}, t)), \quad (30)$$

where $f_{\mathbf{p}}^F$ is a local equilibrium Fermi function with position-dependent velocity, chemical potential $\mu(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and temperature $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$. To satisfy the general properties (8) the function $f_{\mathbf{p}}^F$ should give the same values of velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and pressure $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$, as the exact distribution function $f_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

The second moment of (30) is equal to

$$I_{ij} = -\nu (P_{ij} - \delta_{ij} P_F(n, T)), \quad (31)$$

where $P_F(n, T)$ is the pressure of the Fermi gas with the distribution function $f_{\mathbf{p}}^F$. By definition, this pressure equals to the exact pressure, $P_F(n, T) = P \equiv Tr P_{ij}$ hence the expression in the brackets in (31) equals to π_{ij} . Consequently I_{ij} can be written as

$$I_{ij} = -\nu \pi_{ij}, \quad (32)$$

$$P = P_F(n, T). \quad (33)$$

Eqs. (4), (20-22) with I_{ij} from (32) and P from (33) constitute a closed set of equations of the generalised hydrodynamics in the second order of γ . Here Eq. (33) does not mean the assumption of the local equilibrium, but simply introduces the new variable $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$ instead of $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$. In the low frequency limit the system is in a local equilibrium and $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$ coincides with the real local temperature.

It is easy to see that Eqs.(20-22,32,33) transform into the common hydrodynamics in the limit $\omega/\nu \ll 1$. Indeed, in a zero order of ω/ν Eq. (22) leads to $\pi_{ij} = 0$, and Eqs. (20) and (21) become identical respectively to Euler equation and to the equation for the conservation of energy in an ideal liquid. In the first order of $\omega/\nu \ll 1$ Eq. (22) has a solution

$$\pi_{ij} = -\frac{P}{\nu} \left(\nabla_i v_j + \nabla_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_k v_k \right), \quad (34)$$

which is the viscosity tensor with a viscosity coefficient $\eta = P/\nu$. In this case Eqs.(20, 21) are equivalent to Navies-Stocks equation and the equation for the energy conservation in a viscous liquid.

Though the formulas (32, 33) were obtained using the KBG collision integral (30), they are more general than the KBG approximation itself. The reason is that in the low frequency range where collisions are important, the second moment of I is always a linear function of π_{ij} [17]. The coefficient ν can thus be considered as a phenomenological parameter, which is connected to viscosity as $\eta = P/\nu$.

Yet the system (4), (20-22) in a low frequency limit is not fully equivalent to classical hydrodynamics since the thermal conductivity contribution $\nabla^2 T$ [16] is missing in the energy conservation equation. Without this contribution the correct static limit $\nabla T(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ cannot be recovered. The term $\nabla^2 T$ corresponds to the correction of the fourth order of γ in the continuity equation. Thus to include the thermal conductivity one has to consider the third and the fourth moments and neglect the fifth moment. This calculation will be presented in a more detailed publication.

In the case of a dense degenerate Fermi gas $T/E_F \ll 1$ (E_F being the local Fermi energy) it is unnecessary to consider the third and the fourth moments. At $T = 0$ Eq. (33) reduces to $P = \frac{1}{5m}(3\pi^2)^{2/3}n^{5/3}$ and (21) transforms into condition $\pi_{ij}\nabla_j v_i = 0$. These two equations together with the system of differential equations

$$D_t n + n\nabla_k v_k = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$mnD_t v_i + \nabla_i P + \nabla_j \pi_{ij} - en\nabla_i \varphi = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$D_t \pi_{ij} + \pi_{ij}\nabla_k v_k + \pi_{ik}\nabla_k v_j + \pi_{kj}\nabla_k v_i + P\left(\nabla_i v_j + \nabla_j v_i - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\nabla_k v_k\right) = -\nu\pi_{ij}, \quad (37)$$

provide a complete system of equations of the generalised hydrodynamics for a degenerate Fermi gas.

It is interesting to note a relation of the linearised version of the generalised hydrodynamics in the high frequency (collisionless) limit (23-26) to the theory of elasticity. Let us introduce the displacement vector $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as $\delta n = -n_0\nabla\mathbf{u}$. The continuity equation (23) gives the usual relation between velocity and displacement $\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$. Introducing the stress tensor $\sigma_{ij} = -\delta P\delta_{ij} - \pi_{ij}$ one can rewrite Eq. (24) as

$$mn_0\partial_t^2 u_i - \nabla_j \sigma_{ij} - en_0\nabla_i \varphi = 0. \quad (38)$$

According to the Eqs.(25,26), the relationship of the tensor σ_{ij} to u_i is the same as in the elasticity theory [20]:

$$\sigma_{ij} = K\nabla_k u_k \delta_{ij} + \mu\left(\nabla_i u_j + \nabla_j u_i - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\nabla_k u_k\right), \quad (39)$$

where the bulk modulus K and the shear modulus μ of an electron gas are $K = \frac{5}{3}P_0$ and $\mu = P_0$.

The inclusion of collisions violates this one-to-one correspondence to the elasticity theory. In this case the shear stress tensor $\sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\sigma_{kk} = -\pi_{ij}$ should be determined from the equation:

$$\partial_t \pi_{ij} + \mu\partial_t\left(\nabla_i u_j + \nabla_j u_i - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\nabla_k u_k\right) = -\nu\pi_{ij}, \quad (40)$$

which follows from (26) and (32). As suggested in Ref. [18], one may interpret this equation as if it describes the medium with the complex frequency-dependent elastic constants. However, a correspondence to so called *highly viscous fluids* is more natural. These fluids behave as solids at short intervals of time, but as viscous liquids on the large time scale [20]. The equation for a shear stress tensor of such fluids has been phenomenologically introduced by Maxwell (see [20]) and exactly coincides with Eq.(40). Hence, in a linear approximation, an electron gas behaves as a kind of highly viscous fluid.

The work of one of the authors (I.T.) was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

* on leave from *Moscow Institute of Electronic Engineering, Zelenograd, 103498, Russia.*

- [1] F. Bloch, *Z. Phys.* **81**, 363 (1933).
- [2] R. H. Ritchie *Progr.Theor.Phys.(Kyoto)* **29**, 607 (1963).
- [3] A. J. Bennett, *Phys. Rev.* **B 1**, 203 (1970).
- [4] A. L. Fetter, *Ann. Phys.* **81**, 367 (1973).
- [5] A. Eguiluz, S. C. Ying, J. J. Quinn, *Phys. Rev.* **B 11**, 2118 (1975).
- [6] A. Eguiluz, *Phys. Rev.* **B 19**, 1689 (1979).
- [7] G. Barton, *Rep. Progr. Phys.* **42**, 963 (1979).
- [8] J. Dempsey, B. I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev.* **B 45**, 1719 (1992).
- [9] E. Zaremba, H. C. Tso, *Phys. Rev.* **B 49**, 8147 (1994).
- [10] A. Arnau, E. Zaremba, *Nuclear Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res.* **B 90**, 32 (1994).
- [11] S. Lundqvist, in *Theory of the Inhomogeneous Electron Gas*, edited by S. Lundqvist and N. H. March (Plenum, New York, 1983), p.149.
- [12] J. Harris, *Phys. Rev.* **B 4**, 1022 (1971).
- [13] L. Kleinman, *Phys. Rev.* **B 7**, 2288 (1973).
- [14] J. F. Dobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 2244 (1974).
- [15] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 2nd ed. (New York: Wiley, 1975), p.492.
- [16] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics of Fluids*, Vol. 6 of *Course of theoretical Physics*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon Press, 1987).
- [17] Yu. L. Klimontovich, *Statistical Physics*, (Harwood Academic Pub., 1986).
- [18] S. Conti and G. Vignale, cond-mat/9811214.
- [19] A. F. Alexandrov, L. S. Bogdankevich, A. A. Rukhadze *Principles of Plasma Electrodynamics*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- [20] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity*, Vol. 7 of *Course of theoretical Physics*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon Press, 1970).