## Resistivity of Non-Fermi Liquid U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In Under Pressure

# P. Estrela<sup>a,\*</sup>, T. Naka<sup>a,b</sup>, A. de Visser<sup>a</sup>, F.R. de Boer<sup>a</sup>, L.C.J. Pereira<sup>c</sup>, M. Almeida<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Van der Waals-Zeeman Institute, University of Amsterdam, Valckenierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> National Research Institute for Metals, 1-2-1 Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Dep. Química, Instituto Tecnológico e Nuclear, Apartado 21, 2686-953 Sacavém, Portugal

## Abstract

Non-Fermi liquid behaviour in single-crystalline U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In has been studied by means of resistivity experiments (**I**||**c**) under hydrostatic pressure (P < 1.5 GPa). At ambient pressure the resistivity  $\rho(T)$  follows a power law  $\rho \sim T^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \sim 0.5$ . Upon applying pressure  $\alpha$  increases. For P > 1 GPa a minimum develops in  $\rho(T)$ . A study of the field dependence of the minimum confirms its magnetic origin. The ratio c/a is proposed as the effective control parameter, rather than the unit cell volume.

Keywords: U2Pt2In, Non-Fermi liquid, Pressure effects

The intermetallic compound U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In (tetragonal Zr<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>-type structure) is one of the few stoichiometric compounds known to exhibit non-Fermi liquid (NFL) properties [1] at ambient pressure, as evidenced by a pronounced  $c/T \sim -\ln T$  contribution to the specific heat below ~6 K [2] and a low temperature electrical resistivity described by a power law  $\rho \sim T^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \sim 1$ for  $\mathbf{I} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  and  $\alpha \sim 0.5$  for  $\mathbf{I} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  [3]. A broad maximum at  $T_{\text{max}}$ =8 K in the magnetization measured for **B**||**c** [3] and a rapid increase below  $T_{\rm max}$  of the line width of a dynamic component in the zero-field µSR spectra [2] indicate the presence of short-range magnetic correlations. µSR experiments confirm the absence of (weak) magnetic order. Although the residual resistivity of  $U_2Pt_2In$  is quite high ( $\rho_0 \sim 100 \ \mu\Omega cm$ ), X-ray [3] and neutron diffraction [4] experiments

carried out on single-crystalline samples rule out the possibility of significant crystallographic disorder (namely intersite exchange). The location of  $U_2Pt_2In$  at the border line of magnetic and non-magnetic compounds in a Doniach-type of diagram for the  $U_2T_2X$  family [5] suggests the proximity to a quantum critical point as the origin of the non-Fermi liquid behaviour in this compound.

Recently, polycrystalline samples of  $(U_{1-x}Th_x)_2Pt_2In$ were prepared for x≤0.1 [6] and studied by magnetization and µSR, which showed that Th doping stabilizes the magnetic state in U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In [7]. Assuming that the main role of Th doping is an expansion of the unit cell, applying hydrostatic pressure gives the opportunity to study the effects of a unit cell volume reduction and an eventual recovery of the Fermi liquid state.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +31-20-5255788; e-mail: estrela@wins.uva.nl

Resistivity measurements in the temperature range 0.3-300 K, under pressures up to 1.5 GPa and magnetic fields up to 8 T, were carried out in barshaped single-crystals of  $U_2Pt_2In$  using a standard four-probe method. A CuBe piston cylinder-type clamp cell was used with Fluorinert acting as pressure transmitting medium. The pressure values were corrected for an empirical efficiency of 80%.

The zero-pressure resistivity curve for **I**||**c** follows a power law of the type  $\rho \sim T^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \sim 0.5$  in the temperature range  $0.3 \leq T \leq 2.3$  K [3]. Upon increasing the pressure,  $\alpha$  gradually increases for P < 1 GPa (fig.1). However, as the pressure is increased further, a minimum in the resistivity develops, which shifts to higher temperatures and becomes more pronounced at higher pressure values:  $T_{\min} \sim 1.2$  K at P=1.2 GPa and  $T_{\min} \sim 2.1$  K at 1.45 GPa.

In order to investigate whether the observed minimum has a magnetic origin, measurements of  $\rho(T)$  under magnetic field (**B**||**I**) were performed at P=1.45 GPa (fig.2).  $T_{min}$  decreases smoothly with the strength of the applied magnetic field:  $T_{\min} \sim 1.7$  K for B=4 T and  $T_{min}\sim 1.2$  K for 8 T. Also the minimum becomes less pronounced with field, and is almost suppressed at 8 T. Due to the limited range of temperatures and fields available and the relative uncertainty in determing  $T_{\min}$  (especially for the higher fields where the minimum is very shallow), it is not possible at the moment to clearly trace the evolution of  $T_{\min}$  with B. The inset on fig.2 shows that the data can be equally fitted with a linear dependence  $(B = B_0(1 - T/T_0))$  with  $B_0 = 17.0 \pm 1.1$  T and  $T_0=2.20\pm0.05$  K) or a typical antiferromagnetic-like field dependence of the ordering temperature:  $B = B_0 [1 - (T/T_0)^2]^{\beta}$ with  $B_0 = 9.8 \pm 1.1$  T,  $T_0=2.14\pm0.03$  K and  $\beta=0.82\pm0.17$ . The field effect on the resistivity curves strongly suggests that  $T_{\min}$ has a magnetic origin. Whether  $T_{\min}$  is associated with magnetic ordering remains undecided at the moment.

The structure in  $\rho(T)$  at P=1.45 GPa resembles in part the one of an antiferromagnetic phase transition of the spin-density wave type, as observed e.g. in the heavy-fermion antiferromagnets URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> ( $T_N=14$  K) [8] and U(Pt<sub>0.95</sub>Pd<sub>0.05</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ( $T_N=5.8$  K) [9]. In these compounds  $\rho(T)$  rises below  $T_N$  because of the opening of the energy gap. At about  $0.9T_N \rho(T)$ develops a local maximum, where below the resistivity drops because of the ordered structure. The data in Fig.1 show that this local maximum is not observed at temperatures >0.15 $T_N$ , which might be related to the large residual resistance values of our samples.

The emergence of a magnetic component to  $\rho(T)$ upon applying pressure is quite surprising. Applying pressure on a compound at the magnetic instability normally leads to an increase of the control parameter J and brings the compound in the non-ordering Fermi-liquid regime. A possible explanation for this unusual behaviour might be offered by assuming that the control parameter J is not governed by the volume, but by the c/a ratio of the tetragonal unit cell. This is supported by the comparison of the unit cell volumes and c/a ratios of U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In and the antiferromagnets  $U_2Pd_2In$  ( $T_N=37$  K) and  $U_2Pt_2Sn$  $(T_{\rm N}=15 \text{ K})$  [10]. Whereas the unit-cell volume of  $U_2Pt_2In$  is smaller than the one of  $U_2Pd_2In$  and larger than the one of  $U_2Pt_2Sn$ , the c/a ratio is always smaller (for simplicity we have not taken into account the doubling of the crystal structure along the c-axis in single-crystalline  $U_2Pt_2In$  [11]. Thus the appearance of magnetic ordering under pressure might be the result of a c/a ratio increase. This in turn requires the compressibility to be anisotropic. X-ray diffraction experiments to determine the lattice parameters of U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In under pressure and of (U,Th)<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In are underway to investigate this hypothesis.

In summary, we have measured the resistivity of single-crystalline  $U_2Pt_2In$  under moderate pressures and conclude that  $U_2Pt_2In$  is easily driven away from its non-Fermi-liquid state, as expected for compounds at a quantum critical point.

### Acknowledgements:

P.E. acknowledges the European Commission for a Marie Curie Fellowship within the TMR programme.

#### References

- See e.g.: Proc. ITP Workshop on Non-Fermi-Liquid Behavior in Solids, Santa Barbara, in J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 (1996).
- [2] P. Estrela et al., Physica B 259-261 (1999) 409.
- [3] P. Estrela et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10 (1998) 9465.

- [4] A. Martin-Martin et al., Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 11818.
- [5] V.H. Tran et al., Solid State Commun. 101 (1997) 709.
- [6] L.C.J. Pereira et al., in Proc. 29<sup>ièmes</sup> Journées des Actinides (Luso, Portugal, 1999), p.107.
- [7] P. Estrela et al., to be published.
- [8] T.T.M. Palstra et al., Phys. Rev. B 33 (1986) 6527.
- [9] A. de Visser et al., Physica B 147 (1987) 81.
- [10] L. Havela et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 140-144 (1995) 1367.
- [11] L.C.J. Pereira, PhD Thesis, University of Lisbon, 1998.



Fig. 1 - Temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity (normalized to the respective room temperature values) at several pressures for  $\mathbf{I} \| \mathbf{c}$  in single-crystalline U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In.



Fig. 2 - Normalized  $\rho(T)$  at *P*=1.45 GPa for several magnetic fields applied parallel to the current direction (**B**||**I**||**c**). Inset: field dependence of  $T_{\min}$  (the lines are fits to the data).