

Concavity of the auxiliary function appearing in quantum reliability function in classical-quantum channels

Jun Ichi Fujii ^{*}, Ritsuo Nakamoto [†] and Kenjiro Yanagi [‡]

Abstract. Concavity of the auxiliary function which appears in the random coding exponent as the lower bound of the quantum reliability function for general quantum states is proven for $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

Running Head: Concavity of the auxiliary function in quantum reliability function

Keywords: Quantum reliability function, random coding exponent and quantum information theory.

1 Introduction

In quantum information theory, it is important to study the properties of the auxiliary function $E_q(\pi, s)$, which will be defined in the below, appearing in the lower bound with respect to the random coding in the reliability function for general quantum states. In classical information theory [7], the random coding exponent $E_r^c(R)$, the lower bound of the reliability function, is defined by

$$E_r^c(R) = \max_{p,s} [E_c(p, s) - sR].$$

As for the classical auxiliary function $E_c(p, s)$, it is well-known the following properties [7].

- (a) $E_c(p, 0) = 0$.
- (b) $\frac{\partial E_c(p, s)}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} = I(X; Y)$, where $I(X; Y)$ presents the classical mutual information.
- (c) $E_c(p, s) > 0$ ($0 < s \leq 1$). $E_c(p, s) < 0$ ($-1 < s \leq 0$).
- (d) $\frac{\partial E_c(p, s)}{\partial s} > 0$, ($-1 < s \leq 1$).

^{*}Department of Arts and Sciences (Information Science), Osaka Kyoiku University, Kashiwara-city, Osaka, 582-8582, Japan. e-mail: fujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

[†]Faculty of Engineering, Ibaraki University, Hitachi-city, 316-8511, Japan. e-mail: nakamoto@base.ibaraki.ac.jp

[‡]Department of Applied Science, Faculty of Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Ube city, 755-8611, Japan. e-mail: yanagi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

$$(e) \quad \frac{\partial^2 E_c(p, s)}{\partial s^2} \leq 0, \quad (-1 < s \leq 1).$$

In quantum case, the corresponding properties to (a),(b),(c) and (d) have been shown in [11, 10]. Also the concavity of the auxiliary function $E_q(\pi, s)$ is shown in the case when the signal states are pure [3], and when the expurgation method is adopted [10]. However, for general signal states, the concavity of the function $E_q(\pi, s)$ which corresponds to (e) in the above has remained as an open question [11] and still unsolved conjecture [10].

2 Quantum reliability function

The reliability function of classical-quantum channel is defined by

$$E(R) \equiv -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_e(2^{nR}, n), \quad 0 < R < C, \quad (1)$$

where C is a classical-quantum capacity, R is a transmission rate $R = \frac{\log_2 M}{n}$ (n and M represent the length and the number of the code words, respectively), $P_e(M, n)$ can be taken any minimal error probabilities of $\min_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}} \bar{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X})$ or $\min_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}} P_{\max}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X})$. These error probabilities are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M P_j(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}), \\ P_{\max}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}) &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} P_j(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_j(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}) = 1 - \text{Tr} S_{w^j} X_j$$

is the usual error probability associated with the positive operator valued measurement $\mathcal{X} = \{X_j\}$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^M X_j \leq I$. Here we note S_{w^j} represents the density operator corresponding to the code word w^j chosen from the code(block) $\mathcal{W} = \{w^1, w^2, \dots, w^M\}$. For details, see [9, 11, 10].

The lower bound for the quantum reliability function defined in Eq.(1), when we use random coding, is given by

$$E(R) \geq E_r^q(R) \equiv \max_{\pi} \sup_{0 < s \leq 1} [E_q(\pi, s) - sR],$$

where $\pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_a\}$ is *a priori* probability distribution satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i = 1$ and

$$E_q(\pi, s) = -\log \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i S_i^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \right)^{1+s} \right], \quad (2)$$

where each S_i is a non-degenerate density operator which corresponds to the output state of the classical-quantum channel $i \rightarrow S_i$ from the set of the input alphabet $A = \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ to the set of the output quantum states in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For the problem stated in previous section, a sufficient condition on concavity of the auxiliary function was given in the following.

Proposition 2.1 ([6]) *If the trace inequality*

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left[A(s)^s \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^a \pi_j S_j^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \left(\log S_j^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \right)^2 \right\} - A(s)^{-1+s} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^a \pi_j H \left(S_j^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \right) \right\}^2 \right] \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

holds for any real number s ($-1 < s \leq 1$), any density matrices S_i ($i = 1, \dots, a$) and any probability distributions $\pi = \{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^a$, under the assumption that $A(s) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i S_i^{\frac{1}{1+s}}$ is invertible, then the auxiliary function $E_q(\pi, s)$ defined by Eq.(2) is concave for all s ($-1 < s \leq 1$). Where $H(x) = -x \log x$ is the matrix entropy.

We note that our assumption “ $A(s)$ is invertible” is not so special condition, because $A(s)$ becomes invertible if we have one invertible S_i at least. Moreover, we have the possibility such that $A(s)$ becomes invertible even if all S_i is not invertible for all $\pi_i \neq 0$.

In [12], Yanagi, Furuichi and Kuriyama proved the concavity of $E_q(\pi, s)$ in the special case $a = 2$ with $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ under the assumption that the dimension of \mathcal{H} is two by proving the trace inequality (3). And recently in [5], Fujii proved (3) in the case $a = 2$ with $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ under any dimension of \mathcal{H} . In this paper we prove (3) for any a under any dimension of \mathcal{H} . Then it is shown that $E_q(\pi, \cdot)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$.

3 Main Results

We need several results in order to state the main theorem.

Definition 3.1 ([1],[2]) *Let f, g be real valued continuous functions. Then (f, g) is called a monotone (resp. antimonotone) pair of functions on the domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ if*

$$(f(a) - f(b))(g(a) - g(b)) \geq 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \leq)$$

for any $a, b \in D$.

Proposition 3.2 ([1],[2],[5]) *If (f, g) is a monotone (resp. antimonotone) pair, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr} [f(A)Xg(A)X] \leq \mathrm{Tr} [f(A)g(A)X^2] \quad (\text{resp. } \geq)$$

for selfadjoint matrices A and X whose spectra are included in D .

Proposition 3.3 ([5]) *Let $S_1^{\frac{1}{1+s}} = A, S_2^{\frac{1}{1+s}} = B$ and $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr} [(A + B)^s (A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2) - (A + B)^{s-1} (A \log A + B \log B)^2] \geq 0,$$

for $s \geq 0$.

Now we state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.4 Let $S_i^{\frac{1}{1+s}} = A_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, a$). Then

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^s \sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i (\log A_i)^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{s-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right)^2 \right] \geq 0,$$

for $s \geq 0$.

Proof. We recall the following Jensen's inequality (e.g. [8, 4]): If $\sum_{i=1}^a C_i^* C_i = I$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^a C_i^* X_i^2 C_i \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^a C_i^* X_i C_i \right)^2$$

holds for any Hermitian operators X_i , since $f(x) = x^2$ is operator convex on any interval. We put

$$X_i = \log A_i, \quad C_i = (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, a).$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^a C_i^* C_i = I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^a \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} (\log A_i)^2 (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \\ & \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} \log A_i (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

And so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^a (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} (\log A_i)^2 (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \\ & \geq \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1/2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^a (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} (\log A_i)^2 (\pi_i A_i)^{1/2} \\ & \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{s/2} \sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i (\log A_i)^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{s/2} \\ & \geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{s/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{s/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^s \sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i (\log A_i)^2 \right] \\ & \geq \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x) = x^s$ ($s \geq 0$) and $g(x) = x^{-1}$, it is clear that (f, g) is antimonotone pair. By Proposition 3.2,

$$\text{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^s \sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i (\log A_i)^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^a \pi_k A_k \right)^{s-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \pi_i A_i \log A_i \right)^2 \right] \geq 0.$$

q.e.d.

We conclude that in this paper we finally solved the open problem given by [10] [11] that $E_q(\pi, \cdot)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$.

References

- [1] J.C.Bourin, Some inequalities for norms on matrices and operators, Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol.292, pp.139–154, 1999.
- [2] J.C.Bourin, Compressions, Dilations and matrix inequalities, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University 2004.
- [3] M.V.Burnashev and A.S.Holevo, On the reliability function of for a quantum communication channel, Problems of Information Transmission, vol.34, no.2, pp.97–107, 1998.
- [4] J.I.Fujii and M.Fujii, Jensen's Inequalities on any interval for operators, Proc. of the 3rd Int. Conf. on Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis. pp.29–39, 2004.
- [5] J.I.Fujii, A trace inequality arising from quantum information theory, to appear in Linear Algebra and its Applications.
- [6] S.Furuichi, K.Yanagi and K.Kuriyama, A sufficient condition on concavity of the auxiliary function appearing in quantum reliability function, INFORMATION, vol.6, no.1, pp.71–76, 2003.
- [7] R.G.Gallager, Information theory and reliable communication, John Wiley and Sons, 1968.
- [8] F.Hansen and G.K.Pedersen, Jensen's operator inequality, Bull. London Math. Soc. vol.35, pp.553–564, 2003.
- [9] A.S.Holevo, The capacity of quantum channel with general signal states, IEEE. Trans. IT, vol.44, no.1, pp.269–273, 1998.

- [10] A.S.Holevo, Reliability function of general classical-quantum channel, IEEE. Trans. IT, vol.46, no.6, pp.2256–2261, 2000.
- [11] T.Ogawa and H.Nagaoka, Strong converse to the quantum channel coding theorem, IEEE. Trans. IT, vol.45, no.7, pp.2486–2489, 1999.
- [12] K.Yanagi, S.Furuichi and K.Kuriyama, On trace inequalities and their applications to noncommutative communication theory, Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol.395, pp.351–359, 2005.