

Relativistic Quantum Scattering on a Cone

J Spinally, E R Bezerra de Mello and V B Bezerra

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba
Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970 João Pessoa, PB, Brazil.

Abstract

In this paper we study the relativistic quantum mechanical scattering of a bosonic particle by an infinite straight cosmic string, considering the non-minimal coupling between the bosonic field and the scalar curvature. For the idealized cosmic string, an effective two-dimensional delta-function interaction takes place besides the usual topological scattering. In this case the dimensionless coupling parameter must be renormalized in order to make this problem consistent.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Pm, 98.80.Cq

1 Introduction

The non-relativistic classical and quantum scattering of a massive particle in a locally flat conical two-geometry was developed a few years ago by Deser and Jackiw [1]. They showed that there is a topological quantum scattering amplitude which presents a singular behavior for scattering angles close to $w = \pi(\alpha^{-1} - 1)$, where α is a parameter smaller than unity which codify the presence of a conical geometry. These results were extended by allowing the source or the test particle, or both, to carry angular momentum[2]. In another paper by Gibbons and others[3], the non-relativistic scattering problem on a cone was also analyzed but at this time considering a charged particle and its Coulomb self-interaction.

In this paper we analyze the relativistic scattering problem for a bosonic spin zero particle in a conical space-time, considering the covariant coupling between the scalar field $\psi(x)$ with the geometry, the so-called non-minimal coupling. This term, which is an invariant one, is expressed by $\xi R\psi$, ξ being a dimensionless arbitrary parameter and R the scalar curvature. Because the scalar curvature for an idealized cosmic string space-time is proportional to a two-dimensional delta-function, this quantum mechanical problem possess a non-trivial dynamics: as we shall see, only the s-wave component interacts with this delta-potential which indicates that this analysis should be made in a more systematic way.

The analysis of the non-relativistic quantum mechanical problem of a particle in the presence of a delta-function potential in two and three dimensions has been done by Jackiw [4], who pointed out that a dimensionless bare cou-

pling constant must be cut-off dependent and that it is necessary to introduce a renormalized coupling constant in order to make this problem consistent. Also analyzing the fermion-fermion effective potential for a Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory [5] and a massive Thirring model [6] in a (2+1)-dimensional space-time, a two-dimensional delta-function potential emerges in both models under specific circumstances, and also a systematic approach to provide a self-adjoint extension of the respective Hamiltonians operators were performed. The inclusion in our system of the non-minimal coupling between the scalar field and scalar curvature of an idealized cosmic string space-time, as far as we know, is the first example where an effective two-dimensional delta function interaction naturally occurs in a relativistic quantum mechanical problem. Moreover, our analysis has as a purpose to consider the scattering problem in a more general case and also to present a delta-potential interaction under the geometrical point of view.

This paper is organized as follows. In the Sec. 2, we analyze the relativistic scattering problem of a scalar particle by an idealized cosmic string considering the non-minimal coupling between this field and the scalar curvature. In Sec. 3, we consider the scattering of a charged particle, taking into account its repulsive induced self-interaction. As we shall see the inclusion of this term produces a complete changing in the analysis developed in the previous section. Finally, we leave for Sec. 4 our conclusions and discussion.

2 Relativistic Quantum Scattering by a Cosmic String

In this section we study the scattering of a scalar particle in the space-time of a cosmic string. We analyze the relativistic scattering considering a non-minimal coupling in the Klein-Gordon equation, $\xi R\psi$, between the particle and geometry. The coefficient ξ is an arbitrary dimensionless coupling constant and R the scalar curvature.

The line element corresponding to the space-time of a cosmic string is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + \alpha^2 r^2 d\theta^2 + dz^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha = 1 - 4\mu < 1$, μ being the linear mass density of the cosmic string. (Here we are considering $G = c = 1$).

The Klein-Gordon equation in a general space-time with a non-minimal coupling can be written as

$$\left[\square^2 - \left(\frac{m}{\hbar} \right)^2 - \xi R \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where \square is the covariant d'Alembertian operator, and m is the mass of the particle.

In the space-time of a cosmic string described by (1), the above equation turns into

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \left(\frac{m}{\hbar} \right)^2 - \xi R \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where the scalar curvature is given by $R = R(r) = 2(1 - \alpha) \delta(r) / \alpha r$.

As the space-time under consideration is static and invariant under translations in the z direction, the wave function that solves eq.(3) can be written as

$$\psi_n(r, \theta, z, t) = u_n(r, \theta) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \exp(ik_z z), \quad (4)$$

k_z being the propagation vector along the z direction and E the energy of the particle.

Substituting (4) into (3), our problem turns into an effective bidimensional one given by the following equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + k^2 \right] u_n(r, \theta) = V(r) u_n(r, \theta), \quad (5)$$

where $V(r) = \xi R(r)$ and $k^2 = \frac{E^2 - m^2}{\hbar^2} - k_z^2$.

The most efficient procedure to deal with a differential equation that presents a delta distribution, is to transform it into an integral equation, for example, the Lippman-Schwinger equation, which is the appropriate one in our case. Let us consider that the two-dimensional incident wave in the conical space can be decomposed by combining Bessel and exponential functions as $J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr) e^{in\theta}$, where n is an integer number [1]. In this way the Lippman-Schwinger equation for the n -component wave function becomes

$$u_n(r, \theta) = J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr) \exp(in\theta) + \alpha \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r' dr' d\theta' G_n(r, \theta; r', \theta') V(r') u_n(r', \theta'), \quad (6)$$

where $G_n(r, \theta; r', \theta')$ is the n -th component of the Green function associated with the operator in the l.h.s. of eq.(5).

Due to the fact that the solution of the homogeneous equation associated with (5) is given as a product of Bessel and exponential functions, we can conclude that the general solution for this equation can be obtained considering

$$u_n(r, \theta) = F_n(k; r) \exp(in\theta). \quad (7)$$

Therefore, substituting (7) into (6), we get

$$F_n(k; r) = J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr) + \alpha \exp(-in\theta) \times \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r' dr' d\theta' G_n(r, \theta; r', \theta') V(r') F_n(k; r') \exp(in\theta'), \quad (8)$$

where the Green function $G_n(r, \theta; r', \theta')$ satisfies the following equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + k^2 \right] G_n(r, \theta; r', \theta') = \frac{1}{\alpha r} \delta(r - r') \delta(\theta - \theta'). \quad (9)$$

Using the representation of the delta function in the angular variable by

$$\delta(\theta - \theta') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n \exp[in(\theta - \theta')], \quad (10)$$

we can write the Green function using the *ansatz*

$$G(r, \theta; r', \theta') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n \exp[in(\theta - \theta')] g_n(r, r'), \quad (11)$$

where $g_n(r, r')$ is an unknown function which obeys the following radial differential equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{n^2}{\alpha^2} \frac{1}{r^2} + k^2 \right] g_n(r, r') = \frac{1}{\alpha r} \delta(r - r'). \quad (12)$$

The solution of the above equation can be obtained by the standard procedure, and is given by

$$g(r, r') = -\frac{i\pi}{2\alpha} J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr_{<}) H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr_{>}), \quad (13)$$

where $r_{<}$ ($r_{>}$) represents the smaller (greater) value between r e r' , and $H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z)$ is the Hankel function.

Finally, substituting (13) into (11), we get

$$G(r, \theta; r', \theta') = \sum_n \left(-\frac{i}{4\alpha} \right) \exp[in(\theta - \theta')] J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr_{<}) H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr_{>}), \quad (14)$$

and consequently we can write

$$G_n(r, \theta; r', \theta') = -\frac{i}{4\alpha} \exp[in(\theta - \theta')] J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr_{<}) H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr_{>}). \quad (15)$$

Therefore using the result given by eq.(15), eq.(8) turns into

$$F_n(k; r) = J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr) + i\vartheta \int_0^\infty dr' J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr_{<}) H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr_{>}) \delta(r') F_n(k; r'), \quad (16)$$

with $\vartheta = \xi(1 - \alpha)\pi/\alpha$. (We see from (16) that in the absence of a cosmic string, $\alpha = 1$, there is no scattering at all.)

In order to compute the integral in the above expression, let us divide the interval of integration $[0, \infty)$ as $[0, r > 0] \cup [r > 0, \infty)$. Then, we have

$$F_n(k; r) = J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr) + i\vartheta H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr) J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(0) F_n(k; 0). \quad (17)$$

As the behavior of the Bessel function at the origin depends on its order, we have to analyze the above equation in two different cases as follows:

i) For $n = 0$ (s wave) we have,

$$F_0(k; r) = J_0(kr) + i\vartheta H_0^{(1)}(kr) F_0(k; 0). \quad (18)$$

ii) For $n \neq 0$

$$F_n(k; r) = J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr). \quad (19)$$

Equations (18) and (19) permit us to conclude that for $n \neq 0$, the wave function does not interact with the delta function, so this term does not interfere in the scattering process in this case.

Now, let us analyze the case $n = 0$ in more detail. Taking $r = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$ into eq.(18), we have

$$F_0(k; 1/\Lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\vartheta}{\pi} \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{k} \right) + i\pi \right]}, \quad (20)$$

where the regularized expression for $H_0^{(1)}(k/\Lambda)$ [7] has been used.

From eq.(20) we conclude that $F_0(k; 1/\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$ when $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, substituting this result into eq.(18), we find that $F_0(k; r) = J_0(kr)$, which in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, gives us $F_0(k; 0) = 1$. This result contradicts the previous one. As was pointed out in[4], this happens because of the presence of a delta-potential in our effective two-dimensional Schrödinger equation, eq.(5), which makes the system non-trivial. It is necessary to construct a self-adjoint extension of the Hamiltonian operator, $H_{l=0}$, in order to have a consistent theory. This procedure is equivalent to consider the bare coupling to be cut-off dependent, $\vartheta(\Lambda)$, and to introduce a renormalized coupling constant, $\eta(M)$. In order to do that, let us proceed as follows: let us rewrite eq.(20) in the form

$$\vartheta(\Lambda) F_0(k; 1/\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\eta(M)} - \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{M}{k}\right) - i}, \quad (21)$$

where the renormalized parameter $\eta(M)$ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{\eta(M)} = \frac{1}{\vartheta(\Lambda)} - \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{M}\right). \quad (22)$$

Here M is a subtraction point. Let us assume also that $\vartheta(\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$ when $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, in such way that the right hand side of eq.(22) remains finite. We also assume that $F_0(k; 1/\Lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ in this limit, and that the product $\vartheta(\Lambda) F_0(k; 1/\Lambda)$ is finite. Therefore, from (18), we find

$$F_0(k; r) = J_0(kr) + i \frac{H_0^{(1)}(kr)}{\frac{1}{\eta(M)} - \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{M}{k}\right) - i}, \quad (23)$$

and as a consequence this incompatibility is ruled out.

Notice that in this regularization procedure, the dimensionless coupling parameter ξ becomes cut-off dependent. In fact this parameter is related with ϑ by $\vartheta(\Lambda) = \xi(\Lambda)(1 - \alpha)\pi/\alpha$.

The coupling term that appears into eq.(2), can also be interpreted as a correction to the mass of the particle. In this way, eq.(2) can be viewed as the equation that describes the relativistic quantum behavior of a free particle in the conical space-time with effective mass $m(r) = (m^2 + \xi(\Lambda)R(r))^{1/2}$,

Now, let us study the scattering problem in this geometry and show up the contribution of the non-minimal coupling term. In accordance with eq.(4), the complete solution of eq.(3) is given by

$$\psi(r, \theta, z, t) = \sum_n a_n u_n(r, \theta) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \exp(ik_z z), \quad (24)$$

where a_n is a constant. As the energy of the scattered particle does not depend on the quantum number n , we can write eq.(24) as

$$\psi(r, \theta, z, t) = \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \exp(ik_z z) \sum_n a_n u_n(r, \theta). \quad (25)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &= \sum_n a_n u_n(r, \theta) \\ &= \sum_n a_n J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(kr) \\ &+ i\vartheta(\Lambda) \sum_n a_n H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr) J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(0) F_n(k; 0) \exp(in\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, using the standard procedure we have

$$u(r, \theta)_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \exp[ikr \cos(\theta)] + \sqrt{\frac{i}{r}} f(\theta) \exp(ikr), \quad (27)$$

or

$$u(r, \theta)_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \sum_n (i)^n \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} \cos\left(kr - \frac{n\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \exp(in\theta) + \sqrt{\frac{i}{r}} f(\theta) \exp(ikr), \quad (28)$$

where $f(\theta)$ is the scattering amplitude.

Let us consider the asymptotic behavior of eq.(26) which is given by

$$u(r, \theta)_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \sum_n a_n \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} \left\{ \cos\left(kr - \frac{|n|\pi}{2\alpha} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + i\vartheta(\Lambda) J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(0) F_n(0) \exp\left(kr - \frac{|n|\pi}{2\alpha} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right\} \exp(in\theta). \quad (29)$$

From results given by eqs.(28) and (29), we get

$$a_n = \exp\left(-\frac{i|n|\pi}{2\alpha} + i|n|\pi\right) \quad (30)$$

and

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\pi k}} \sum_n \left\{ [\exp(-i|n|\omega) - 1] + i\vartheta(\Lambda) J_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}(0) F_n(0) \exp(-i|n|\omega) \right\} \exp(in\theta), \quad (31)$$

where $\omega = (\alpha^{-1} - 1)\pi$. In order to realize the sum that appears in the first term of the above equation, we will follow the same procedure used in [1].

The second term gives a contribution only in the case $n = 0$ (s-wave). In this way, eq.(31) turns into

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} \left\{ \frac{\sin(\omega)}{\cos(\omega) - \cos(\theta)} - i\pi[\delta(\theta - \omega - 2\pi n) + \delta(\theta + \omega - 2\pi n) - 2\delta(\theta - 2\pi n)] + \vartheta(\Lambda) F_0(0) \right\}. \quad (32)$$

Considering the portion of the scattered wave that leads to the delta function contribution in $f(\theta)$ as belonging to the asymptote of a plane wave, we can move its contribution to the incoming wave, so that we can redefine the scattering amplitude as

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} \left\{ \frac{\sin(\omega)}{\cos(\omega) - \cos(\theta)} + \left[\frac{1}{\eta(M)} - \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{M}{k}\right) - i \right]^{-1} \right\}. \quad (33)$$

The second term in the above equation is due to the non-minimal coupling $\xi(\Lambda) R(r)$. It is evident that in the absence of the coupling term, the scattering amplitude will be given by

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} \frac{\sin \omega}{\cos \omega - \cos \theta}, \quad (34)$$

which is the same result obtained in[1] for the non-relativistic case. Note that when $\alpha = 1$, the scattering amplitude vanishes, which is a consistent result.

3 Relativistic Quantum Scattering of a Charged Particle

In this section, we want to study the scattering problem of a charged scalar particle in the space-time of a cosmic string, taking into account the self-interaction term which is given by $V_{ext.} = K/r$, where r is the radial distance from the particle to the cosmic string and K a positive constant[8]. Therefore, in order to solve this problem, we have to introduce an additional term into the Klein-Gordon equation corresponding to this self-interaction. To do this, there are two different approaches: the first one considers[9], this term as the temporal component of the four vector electromagnetic potential A_μ . So, the self-interaction will produce the following change in the energy $E \rightarrow E + V_{ext.}$. The second one, considered in [10], takes this self-energy as a scalar potential. This is equivalent to do a correction in the mass term as $m \rightarrow m + V_{ext.}$. In this paper we shall adopt of the second approach in order to simplify our problem. Then, following the second approach, the equation that describes the behavior of the scalar particle is given by

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \left(\frac{m}{\hbar} \right)^2 - \frac{2mK}{r} - \frac{K^2}{r^2} + \xi R \right] \psi_n(\vec{r}, t) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Using the same arguments of the previous section, we can write the solution of the above equation as

$$\psi_n(r, \theta, z, t) = P_n(r, \theta) \exp\left(-\frac{Et}{\hbar}\right) \exp(ik_z z). \quad (36)$$

Substituting (36) into (35), we get the following differential equation for the function $P_n(r, \theta)$

$$\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + k^2 - \frac{2mK}{r} - \frac{K^2}{r^2} \right] P_n(r, \theta) = V(r) P_n(r, \theta) \quad (37)$$

where $V(r) = -\xi R(r)$ and $k^2 = \frac{E^2 - m^2}{\hbar^2} - k_z^2$.

In order to obtain the solution of eq.(37), we shall adopt the previous procedure and write $P_n(r, \theta)$ as

$$P_n(r, \theta) = \frac{M_{\sigma, \gamma}(2ikr)}{\sqrt{r}} \exp(in\theta) + \alpha \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r' dr' d\theta' G_{n, K}(r, \theta; r', \theta') V(r') P_n(r', \theta'). \quad (38)$$

The solution of the homogeneous equation associated with eq.(37), which is regular at the origin is the Whittaker function $M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(2ikr)$, with $\sigma = \frac{imK}{k}$ and $\gamma_n = \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{\alpha^2} + K^2}$. The function that appears in eq.(37), $G_{n, K}(r, \theta; r', \theta')$ is the n -th component of the Green function, $G_K(r, \theta; r', \theta')$, associated with the operator in the l.h.s. of eq.(37), and must satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + k^2 - \frac{2mK}{r} - \frac{K^2}{r^2} \right] G_K(r, \theta; r', \theta') \\ = \frac{1}{\alpha r} \delta(r - r') \delta(\theta - \theta'). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Writing the solution of eq.(37) as

$$P_n(r, \theta) = H_n(k; r) \exp(in\theta), \quad (40)$$

and substituting into (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(k; r) &= \frac{M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr)}{\sqrt{r}} + \alpha e^{-in\theta} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r' dr' d\theta' G_{n,K}(r, \theta; r', \theta') \{V(r') \\ &\times H_n(k; r') \exp(in\theta')\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Using (10) to represent the delta function in the angular variable, we also can write the Green function G_K as

$$G_K(r, \theta; r', \theta') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n \exp[in(\theta - \theta')] g_{n,K}(r, r'). \quad (42)$$

Putting eq.(42) into eq.(39), we have

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \left(\frac{\gamma_n^2}{r^2} + \frac{2mK}{r} - k^2 \right) \right] g_{n,K}(r; r') = \frac{1}{\alpha r} \delta(r - r'). \quad (43)$$

We can see that (43) reduces to (12) in the limit $K = 0$. Taking into account this fact, we can write the function $g_{n,K}(r; r')$ in such a way that it reduces to (13), when we take $K = 0$. Therefore, we can express this function in the following way: when $r \neq r'$, the solution of the above equation is given by $g_{n,K}^{(I)}(r, r') = A_{n,K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr)$ ($r < r'$) and $g_{n,K}^{(II)}(r, r') = B_{n,K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr)$ ($r > r'$), where $M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr)$ is the usual Whittaker function, and the function $\tilde{W}_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr)$ is a function appropriately constructed in such that in the limit $K \rightarrow 0$, we get the function $B_n H_{\frac{|n|}{\alpha}}^{(1)}(kr)$. The function $\tilde{W}_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) &= -\frac{\Gamma(-2\gamma_n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\gamma_n-K\right)}e^{-i2\pi\gamma_n}M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \\ &\quad -\frac{\Gamma(2\gamma_n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma_n-K\right)}M_{\sigma,-\gamma_n}(i2kr)\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

We can determine the constants $A_{n,K}$ and $B_{n,K}$, using the continuity of the function $g_{n,K}(r, r')$ at $r = r'$ and the discontinuity of the first derivative at this point. The continuity of $g_{n,K}(r, r')$ at $r = r'$ gives us

$$A_{n,K}M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr') = B_{n,K}\tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr'). \quad (45)$$

Integrating (43) between $r' - \varepsilon$ and $r' + \varepsilon$, we find the discontinuity of $g_{n,K}(r, r')$ at $r = r'$, which is given by

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{n,K}^{(II)}(r, r') - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{n,K}^{(I)}(r, r') \right]_{r=r'} = \frac{1}{\alpha r'}. \quad (46)$$

Therefore, substituting expressions for $g_{n,K}^{(I)}(r, r')$ and $g_{n,K}^{(II)}(r, r')$ into (46) and using (45), we get the following equation

$$B_{n,K}\mathcal{W}|_{r=r'} = \frac{1}{\alpha r'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r'}} M_{\sigma,\gamma}(i2kr'), \quad (47)$$

where \mathcal{W} is the Wronskian which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(r) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

In order to compute \mathcal{W} , we shall use the asymptotic expressions for $M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr)$ and $\tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr)$. These functions behave, near the origin as [7]:

$$\tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma_n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n - i\frac{mK}{k}\right)} (i2kr)^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n} \quad (49)$$

and

$$M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr) \underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\sim} (i2kr)^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n} \quad (50)$$

So, the Wronskian becomes

$$\mathcal{W} = i \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma_n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n - i\frac{mK}{k}\right)} \frac{4k\gamma_n}{r}. \quad (51)$$

Substituting the expression for the Wronskian into eq.(47), we get

$$B_{n,K} = \frac{i}{4k\gamma_n\varepsilon\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r'}} M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr') \quad (52)$$

and as a consequence

$$A_{n,K} = \frac{i}{4k\gamma_n\varepsilon\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r'}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr'), \quad (53)$$

where $\varepsilon = -\frac{\Gamma(2\gamma_n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n - i\frac{mK}{k}\right)}$. Therefore, $g_{n,K}(r; r')$ is given by

$$g_{n,K}(r; r') = \frac{i}{4k\gamma_n\varepsilon\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{>}r_{<}}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr_{>}) M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr_{<}). \quad (54)$$

Finally, the n -th component of the Green function, $G_{n,K}$, is

$$G_{n,K} = \frac{i}{8\pi k\gamma_n\varepsilon\alpha} e^{in(\theta - \theta')} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{>}r_{<}}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr_{>}) M_{\sigma,\gamma_n}(i2kr_{<}). \quad (55)$$

It is important to call attention to the fact that in the limit $K \rightarrow 0$, this Green function coincides with the previous one given by eq.(15). Using this Green function and the same procedure of the previous section, we have from eq.(41) that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_n(k; r) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr) - \frac{i(1-\alpha)\xi}{2k\varepsilon\gamma_n\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \tilde{W}_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty dr' \frac{1}{\sqrt{r'}} M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr) H_n(k; r') \delta(r'). \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

Writing the Whittaker function in terms of the hyper-geometric confluent function and assuming that the function $H_n(k; r)$ is well behaved at the origin, we can show that the second term of the above equation vanishes. Therefore, the radial part of the wave function $H_n(k; r)$ reduces to

$$H_n(k; r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} M_{\sigma, \gamma_n}(i2kr). \quad (57)$$

So, we can conclude that the delta function potential which appears into the Klein-Gordon equation due to non-minimal coupling does not contribute to the scattered wave function ψ . This occurs due to the fact that the self-interaction suppress the possibility of the particle be at the origin, even in the case when self-interaction coupling constant is very small. Assuming that $E^2 > m^2$, let us analyze the scattering process. To do this, let us write $H_n(k; r)$ in terms of the hyper-geometric confluent function $\Phi(a, b; i2kr)$

$$H_n(k; r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{ikr} (i2kr)^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n} \Phi(a, b; i2kr), \quad (58)$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n - i\frac{mK}{k}$ and $b = 1 + 2\gamma_n$. Using the asymptotic behavior of

$\Phi(a, b; z)$, given by[7]

$$\Phi(a, b; z) \xrightarrow{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(b)(-z)^{-a}}{\Gamma(b-a)} + \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)} e^z z^{a-b}, \quad (59)$$

we get the expression for the asymptotic behavior of the function $H_n(k; r)$

$$H_n(k; r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cos \left[kr - \frac{mK}{k} \ln(2kr) + \eta_n - \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n \right) \right], \quad (60)$$

where $\eta_n = \arg \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_n + i \frac{mK}{k} \right)$. From the above equation, we can obtain the phase shift δ_n , which is given by

$$\delta_n = \eta_n + \frac{\pi}{2} (n - \gamma_n). \quad (61)$$

Following the usual procedure, we get the following expression for the scattering amplitude

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\pi k}} \sum_n \left(e^{i[2\eta_n + \pi(n - \gamma_n)]} - 1 \right) e^{in\theta}. \quad (62)$$

As we can see, the scattering amplitude depends on the topology of the space-time and also on the self-interaction coupling constant. When we take $K = 0$ in this expression, we re-obtain the scattering amplitude computed in [1]. An unexpected fact is that we do not get the result of the previous section in this limit, given by eq.(33). This is associated with the fact that the self-interaction term suppress the effect of the delta function, and if we take the limit $K = 0$ after the integration in eq.(56), the final result vanishes. On the other hand, taking this limit before integration, our result would agree with the one given by eq.(33).

4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have analyzed the relativistic quantum scattering problem of a scalar particle by an idealized infinity straight cosmic string, take into account a non-minimal coupling between the bosonic particle and the geometry. In this context we have an effective two-dimensional system where naturally a delta-potential emerges. The way to deal with this problem is to consider the dimensionless coupling as a cut-off dependent parameter, $\xi(\Lambda)$, in such way that $\xi(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0$, and to introduce a renormalized coupling, $\xi(M)$, which is finite and depend on some renormalized point M . As a consequence the scattering amplitude acquires a logarithm dependence.

Considering an electrically charged particle in this cosmic string space-time, we have show that the situation is completely modified by the presence of the repulsive self-interaction. In this case the particle never reach the cosmic string, so the delta-interaction potential does not contribute to the scattering problem.

Finally, we want to make a brief comment about the inclusion of the self-interaction in the Klein-Gordon equation in the case of the scattering of a charged particle. If we assume that this self-interaction is the zeroth component of the four-potential A_μ , our effective two-dimensional Hamiltonian operator will present ill defined solution at origin, that is, at the localization of the cosmic string, and therefore, it is necessary to discard this solution in order to have a self-adjoint Hamiltonian operator. The others solutions are well defined, vanishing at the origin, and so they will not be affected by the delta-potential as well.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and CAPES for partial financial support. We are also very grateful to N. R. Khusnutdinov for helpful discussion during the course of this work.

References

- [1] Deser S and Jackiw R 1988 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** 495
- [2] P de Sousa Gerbert and R Jackiw 1989 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **124** 229
- [3] Gibbons G W, Ruiz F R and Vachaspati T 1990 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **127** 295
- [4] Jackiw R 1991 *M. A. B. Bég Memorial Volume* ed A Ali and P Hoodbhoy (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [5] Girotti H O, Gomes M and da Silva A J 1990 *Phys. Lett. B* **274** 357
- [6] Ribeiro R F and Bezerra de Mello E R 1994 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** 3143
- [7] Abramowitz M and Stegun 1972 *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* 9th edition (N.Y.: Dover Publications Inc.)
- [8] Linet B 1986 *Phys. Rev. D* **33** 1833

- [9] Bezerra V B and Araujo I G 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 1599; Rodrigues Sobreira A A and Bezerra de Mello E R 1999 *Grav. and Cosm.* **5** 177
- [10] Bordag M and Khusnutdinov N R 1996 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** 41