International Journal of Modern Physics A c World Scientific Publishing Company

# PRODUCTION OF  $\eta$  MESONS IN PROTON-PROTON COLLISIONS CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

R. CZYŻYKIEWICZ $^{\alpha,\beta},$  H-H. ADAM $^\gamma,$  A. BUDZANOWSKI $^\delta,$  D. GRZONKA $^\beta,$  M. JANUSZ $^\alpha,$ L. JARCZYK $^\alpha,$  B. KAMYS $^\alpha,$  A. KHOUKAZ $^\gamma,$  K. KILIAN $^\beta,$  P. KLAJA $^\alpha,$  P. KOWINA $^\epsilon,$ P. MOSKAL $^{\alpha,\beta},$  W. OELERT $^\beta,$  C. PISKOR-IGNATOWICZ $^\alpha,$  J. PRZERWA $^\alpha,$  T. ROZEK $^{\beta,\zeta},$ R. SANTO<sup>γ</sup>, T. SEFZICK $^\beta$ , M. SIEMASZKO<sup>ζ</sup>, J. SMYRSKI<sup>α</sup>, A. TÄSCHNER<sup>γ</sup>, P. WINTER $^{\beta}$ , M. WOLKE $^{\beta,\eta}$ , P. WÜSTNER $^{\theta}$ , W. ZIPPER $^{\zeta}$ (COSY-11 Collaboration)

<sup>α</sup>Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, Cracow, PL-30-059, Poland  $\beta$ IKP, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, D-52425, Germany  $\gamma$ IKP, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster, D-48149, Germany δ Institute of Nuclear Physics, Cracow, Poland  $\epsilon$  Accelerator Division, Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany ζ Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland <sup>η</sup>Svedberg Laboratory, Uppsala, Sweden  ${}^{\theta}$ ZEL, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

A brief experimental overview on the close-to-threshold  $\eta$  meson production in protonproton interactions is presented and the available observables in measurements with unpolarized and polarized beam and target are discussed.

Keywords: eta, close-to-threshold meson production, eta-N interaction, analysing power.

#### 1. Measured observables

The still not well established production mechanism of the  $\eta$  meson as well as its interaction with protons can be investigated via measurements of the following observables available in proton-proton scattering:

## 1.1. Total cross section

Measurements of the total cross section for the  $pp \to pp\eta$  reaction have been performed at various excess energies in many laboratories worldwide [1](#page-3-0),[2](#page-3-1),[3](#page-3-2),[4](#page-3-3),[5.](#page-3-4) The existing data, gathered utilizing different experimental techniques are in excellent agreement with each other <sup>a</sup>. Theoretical considerations of the excitation function led in most cases to the conclusion that close-to-threshold production of the  $\eta$ mesons in proton-proton collisions proceeds predominantly via the excitation and deexcitation of the negative parity  $S_{11}(1535)$  resonance <sup>b</sup>. Despite the very good

<sup>a</sup>Due to limited space we are not presenting here the figure of the excitation function for the  $pp \rightarrow pp\eta$  reaction. For an overview picture see for instance Fig. 8a in  $^6$  $^6$ 

 $\rm{^{b}For}$  an overview of theoretical models see section 7.3 of  $\rm{^{10}}$  $\rm{^{10}}$  $\rm{^{10}}$  and references therein.

### 2 R. Czyżykiewicz et al.

agreement between different theoretical models as far as the leading  $\eta$  creation mechanism is concerned, there are a lot of discrepancies when trying to explain which out of the considered exchanged mesons plays the dominant role in the excitation of the  $S_{11}$ . As it was shown by Nakayama et al.  $\delta$ , both pseudoscalar and vector meson exchanges result in equally well descriptions of the excitation function for the  $pp \to pp\eta$  reaction close to threshold.

## 1.2. Angular distributions

Measurements of the close-to-threshold angular distributions of the  $\eta$  meson emission in the centre-of-mass system at excess energies of  $Q=15^3$ , 15.5<sup>4</sup>, 37<sup>2</sup>, and 41 MeV  $3$  showed rather flat distributions. It is concluded from these data that in the lower excess energy range the  $\eta$  meson is mainly produced in the s-wave, while at higher excess energies the influence of the p-wave starts to play an important role  $9$ . The contribution from the  $d$ -wave seems to be negligible. Also the proton's angular distributions of the centre-of-mass emission angle  $3\overline{.4}$  $3\overline{.4}$  $3\overline{.4}$  $3\overline{.4}$  show an isotropic behaviour. At Q=15 MeV the data indicate dominance of the  ${}^{3}P_0 \rightarrow {}^{1}S_0s$  transition distorted slightly by the contribution from the <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$ <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub>s. At Q=40 MeV there is visible an additional presence of the  ${}^{1}D_2 \rightarrow {}^{3}P_2s$  transition  ${}^{9}$ . Contributions from the other partial waves were found to be of minor importance. In contradiction to these results, the emission plane of the  $pp \to pp\eta$  reaction was found to be anisotropic <sup>[4](#page-3-3)</sup> <sup>c</sup>. This effect has not been explained theoretically yet.

### 1.3. Invariant mass distributions

The high statistics production of  $\eta$  mesons in proton-proton collisions at  $Q =$ 15.5 MeV allowed to perform a Dalitz plot analysis of the 3-body final state  $4$ . Surprisingly, beside the clear enhancement seen in the lower range of the invariant mass distribution of the pp subsystem, originating in the strong proton-proton final state interaction, the presence of a wide bump in the upper range of this plot has been observed. Different approaches have been applied in order to explain the origin of this wide bump. Nakayama et. al.  $9$  described the distribution by introducing P-waves in the pp subsystem. This approach, although in agreement with the shapes of both  $pp$  and  $pp$  invariant masses, fails when explaining the shape of the excitation function for the  $pp \to pp\eta$  reaction in the range below Q=40 MeV. It is also worth to mention that an indication of such a bump was also seen in the pp invariant mass distribution at  $Q=4.5$  MeV  $^4$ , where one expects the presence of S-waves only. A three-body calculation performed by Fix and Arenhövel  $^{12}$  $^{12}$  $^{12}$  could also describe the bump in the  $pp$  invariant mass at  $Q=15.5$  MeV well, however it fails in explaning the origin of the peak in the low range of pp invariant mass. It also doesn't reproduce the shape of the pp invariant mass at  $Q=41$  MeV. The p $\eta$  invariant mass distributions were not described in this approach. Solution based on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Which is in line with the result by  $11$ 

parametrization of the reaction amplitude proposed by Deloff  $^{13}$  $^{13}$  $^{13}$  resulted in a good description of the pp and p<sub>n</sub> invariant masses at  $Q=15.5$  MeV. However, it fails at Q=40 MeV. Another model proposed by the same author, based on a three-particle pair-wise approach via the hyperspherical harmonics  $^{14}$ , led to a good description of pp and p<sub>n</sub> invariant mass distributions at  $Q=15.5$  MeV. Solutions at  $Q=40$  MeV as well as the excitation function were not discussed in frame of this model.

### 1.4. Analysing power

Polarisation observables should provide a more detailed information on the dynamics of the  $\eta$  meson creation in hadronic collisions, due to their sensitivity to the interference terms between  $Ps$  and  $Pp$  waves. The first attempt to measure the proton analysing power for the  $\vec{p}p \rightarrow pp\eta$  has been undertaken by the COSY-11 group, with a set of data at  $Q=40$  MeV  $^{15}$ . The data, within their rather large error bars, are consistent with zero, which indicates the absence of higher-than-s partial waves in  $\eta$  production at Q=40 MeV. The Ps and Pp interference term as well as the sum of the  $(Pp)^2$  and  $SsSd$  interference terms were extracted in the analysis and are equal to  $(0.003 \pm 0.004)\mu$ b and  $(-0.005 \pm 0.005)\mu$ b, respectively. In particular, this may indicate that there is no interference between the  $Ps$  and  $Pp$ waves. Recently, the DISTO collaboration has obtained the set of  $A_y$  data at excess energies far from threshold, which surprisingly also turned out to be consistent with zero within the error bars  $5$ .

## 2. Prospectives

Beside the upcoming data from the COSY-11 collaboration for the proton analysing power in the  $\vec{p}p \rightarrow pp\eta$  reaction at Q=10 and 37 MeV <sup>d</sup> it was proposed to measure the spin correlation function <sup>e</sup> for the  $\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow pp\eta$  reaction at COSY <sup>18</sup>. The latter would be possible at an external target station at COSY with a frozen spin target. For a high efficiencies in the *ppn* event separation the WASA detector  $^{19}$ , which will be moved to COSY in the near future, would be at best suited. The spin correlation function is an model-independent direct measure of the contribution of the  ${}^3P_0 \rightarrow {}^1S_0s$  transition in the creation of the  $\eta$  meson  ${}^{9,10}$  ${}^{9,10}$  ${}^{9,10}$ . Performing this experiment in the range of the excess energies, where the only contributions to the production amplitude are those from the  ${}^3P_0 \rightarrow {}^1S_0s$  and  ${}^1S_0 \rightarrow {}^3P_0s$  transitions, one will be able to exctract contributions from the both transitions in this excess energy range.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Status of the analysis will be reported in  $^{16}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Theoretical predictions for the  $C_{xx}$  values are given for instance in <sup>[9](#page-3-8),17</sup>.

## 4 R. Czyżykiewicz et al.

## Acknowledgments

The work has been supported by the European Community - Access to Research Infrastructure action of the Improving Human Potential Programme, by the DAAD Exchange Programme (PPP-Polen), by the Polish State Committe for Scientific Research (grants No. 2P03B07123 and PB1060/P03/2004/26), and by the Research Centre Jülich.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>References

- 1. E. Chiavassa et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B322**, 270 (1994); H. Calén et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B366**, 39 (1996); H. Calén et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2642 (1997); F. Hibou et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B438**, 41 (1998); H. Calén et al., *Phys. Rev. C* 58, 2667 (1998); J. Smyrski et al., *Phys.* Lett. **B474**, 180 (2000).
- <span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>2. H. Calén et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B458** 190 (1999).
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>3. M. Abdel-Bary et al., Eur. Phys. J. A16 127 (2003).
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>4. P. Moskal et al., Phys. Rev. C 69, 025203 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>5. F. Balestra et al., Phys. Rev. C 69, 064003 (2004).
- 6. P. Moskal, M. Wolke, A. Khoukaz and W. Oelert Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 49, 1 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>7. C. Caso et al., Eur. Phys. J. C3 1 (1998).
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>8. K. Nakayama et al., Phys. Rev. C 65 045210 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>9. K. Nakayama et al., Phys. Rev. C 68, 045201 (2003).
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>10. C. Hanhart et al., Phys. Rep. 397 155 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>11. E. Roderburg et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B31 2299 (2000).
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>12. A. Fix and H. Arenhövel, *Phys. Rev. C* 69, 014001 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>13. A. Deloff, Phys. Rev. C 69, 035206 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>14. A. Deloff, [nucl-th/0406069.](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0406069)
- <span id="page-3-16"></span>15. P. Winter et al., Phys. Lett. B544, 251 (2002); Erratum-ibid B553, 339 (2003).
- 16. R. Czyżykiewicz et al., Contribution to the COSY-11 Extended Meeting (to be published in Schriften des FZ-Jülich, 2004).
- <span id="page-3-17"></span><span id="page-3-14"></span>17. M.P. Rekalo et al., Phys. Rev. C 55, 2630 (1997).
- <span id="page-3-15"></span>18. P. Winter, R. Czyżykiewicz et al., [nucl-ex/0406034.](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0406034)
- 19. J. Zabierowski et al., Phys. Scripta T99, 159 (2002).