

Screened Potential of a Moving Meson In a Quark Gluon Plasma

Sidi C. Benzahra ¹

School of Physics and Astronomy

University of Minnesota

Minneapolis, MN 55455

Abstract

We consider the quark antiquark potential of a quarkonium moving with velocity v through a quark-gluon plasma at temperature T . An explicit, configuration-space expression is found for the screened interaction between the quarks constituting the meson. This potential is non-spherical, but axially symmetric about the direction of v .

Here we consider the bottom quark as a test charge Q moving in a quark-gluon plasma with velocity v on the z axis. Since the bottom quark is heavy, we can ignore the energy transfer between the test charge and the quark-gluon plasma. This implies that in the rest frame of the bottom quark, the field is static and can be found by calculating Poisson's equation for the static potential $A^\mu(\mathbf{r})$ [1]:

$$\Delta A^\mu(\mathbf{r}) = j_{ext}^\mu(\mathbf{r}) + j_{ind}^\mu(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where $j_{ext}^\mu = -Q\delta(\mathbf{r})(1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the external current associated with the test charge placed at $\mathbf{r} = 0$ and j_{ind}^μ is the induced (static) current in the medium which is relatively moving with velocity $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, v)$. In contrast with the usual Debye screening where only the scalar potential $\phi = A^0$ appears, one should introduce the vector potential since the test charge will induce not only the polarization charge density but also a stable polarization charge current in the relatively moving quark-gluon plasma. This current generates vector potentials which give rise to a magnetic field around the test charge. We list below the final result, given by [1], for one of the Fourier components of the potential discussed above:

$$\tilde{A}^0(k) = 2\pi Q\delta(\omega) \left[\frac{1 - \gamma^2(1 - z^2)}{\mathbf{k}^2\epsilon_T(\mathbf{k})} + \frac{\gamma^2(1 - z^2)}{\mathbf{k}^2\epsilon_L(\mathbf{k})} \right], \quad (2)$$

¹benzahra@physics.umn.edu

where

$$\epsilon_T(\mathbf{k}) = 1 + \frac{m_e^2}{\mathbf{k}^2} \phi_T(z) \quad ; \quad \epsilon_L(\mathbf{k}) = 1 + \frac{m_e^2}{\mathbf{k}^2} \phi_L(z) \quad (3)$$

are the transverse and longitudinal dielectric “constants.” m_e is the inverse screening length, ϕ_T and ϕ_L are complex functions written in terms of z only—see reference [1]—and z is defined by

$$z = \left[\frac{k \cdot u}{\sqrt{(k \cdot u)^2 - k^2}} \right]_{\omega=0} = \frac{v \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 - v^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (4)$$

where $u^\mu = \gamma(1, 0, 0, v)$ and $k^\mu = (\omega, |\mathbf{k}| \cos \phi \sin \theta, |\mathbf{k}| \sin \phi \sin \theta, |\mathbf{k}| \cos \theta)$, and $k \cdot u \equiv k^\mu u_\mu$. Knowing this, and having the Fourier component of the potential, we can calculate the potential in a cylindrical coordinate system. It will take the form

$$A^0(r) = \frac{Q m_e}{4\pi} F(m_e \rho, m_e z). \quad (5)$$

This potential, where F is a dimensionless function, is the sum of two screened potentials created by Q and \bar{Q} . In the Fourier transformation of eq.(2), both the real and the imaginary parts of the momentum-space potential $\tilde{A}^0(k)$ contribute to the real part of $A^0(\rho, z)$ but no imaginary part appears in the configuration space potential. Upon the subtraction of the divergent self-energy terms, this results in

$$V_e(r) = \frac{1}{2} [Q A_{\bar{Q}^0}(-r) + \bar{Q} A_Q^0(r)]. \quad (6)$$

This potential is difficult to calculate analytically, but since the upsilon meson is heavy and its velocity in the quark-gluon plasma is relatively small, the potential in configuration space can be analytically determined. At small velocity, the transverse and longitudinal dielectric “constant ” of eq.(3) take the forms

$$\epsilon_T \approx 1 - i \frac{m_e^2 \pi v \cos \theta}{4k^2} \quad ; \quad \epsilon_L \approx \left(1 + \frac{m_e^2}{k^2}\right) + i \frac{m_e^2 \pi v \cos \theta}{2k^2}. \quad (7)$$

The potential in cylindrical coordinate will be composed of two terms. The first term will have the Debye potential as it is expected, but the second term will be an extra potential that arose out of the motion of the meson. Because the meson is moving in the z direction, we can fix one coordinate and obtain $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} = k \cos \phi \sin \theta \rho + k z \cos \theta$. Using the Fourier transform to evaluate the two-body potential between a pair of test charges, and considering the velocity to be small, we find

$$V = 2 \frac{Q \bar{Q}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dk \int_0^1 \cos(kzx) J_0(k\rho\sqrt{1-x^2}) \times \left[\frac{1}{(k^2 + m_e^2)} - \frac{m_e^4 \pi^2 v^2 x^2}{4(k^2 + m_e^2)^3} \right] k^2 dx, \quad (8)$$

where $x = \cos\theta$. If we calculate the last equation above, and replace the $Q\bar{Q}/(4\pi)$ by the color-singlet strength of the one-gluon-exchange force $4\alpha_s/3$, we get a potential of the form

$$V = V_0(r) + V_2(r)Y_0^2(\theta, \phi), \quad (9)$$

where the first part include the Debye potential

$$V_0(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3} \frac{e^{-m_e r}}{r} + v^2 \alpha_s \frac{\pi^2}{72} e^{-m_e r} m_e (1 + m_e r). \quad (10)$$

and the second part of the potential takes the form of

$$V_2(r)Y_0^2(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\alpha_s v^2 \pi^2}{12} e^{-m_e r} Y_0^2(\theta, \phi) \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} \left[\frac{8}{m_e r^2} + \frac{4}{r} + \frac{8}{m_e^2 r^3} - \frac{8e^{m_e r}}{m_e^2 r^3} + \frac{4m_e}{3} + \frac{m_e^2 r}{3} \right] \quad (11)$$

which can also be written in a simple form

$$V_2(r)Y_0^2(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\alpha_s v^2 \pi^2}{12} e^{-m_e r} Y_0^2(\theta, \phi) \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} \frac{8}{m_e^2 r^3} \left[\sum_{n=0}^4 \frac{(m_e r)^n}{n!} - e^{m_e r} \right]. \quad (12)$$

In the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit, this potential vanishes like r^2 . This is very exciting because this potential agrees with the fact that when the quark and the antiquark are close to each other, they will not “see” each other due to asymptotic freedom. If we plotted the equipotential surfaces of V in the ρ - z plane, we would see that when the velocity of the meson vanished, the shape of these equipotential surfaces would become identical to that of the screened Coulomb potential, but if the velocity increased, the equipotential surfaces would become narrow in the longitudinal direction.

References

- [1] M.C. Chu and T. Matsui, Physical Review D 39, 1892 (1989).