Event rates for WIMP detection

J.D. Vergados^{*}, Ch.C. Moustakidis[†] and V. Oikonomou[†] ^{*}University of Ioannina, Gr 45110, Greece

[†] Department of Theoretical Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

September 18, 2018

Abstract

The event rates for the direct detection of dark matter for various types of WIMPs are presented. In addition to the neutralino of SUSY models, we considered other candidates (exotic scalars as well as particles in Kaluza-Klein and technicolour theories) with masses in the TeV region. Then one finds reasonable branching ratios to excited states. Thus the detection of the WIMP can be made not only by recoil measurements, but by measuring the de-excitation γ -rays as well.

Keywords: Dark matter, Direct detection, Event rates, WIMP, Neutralino, Kaluza-Klein WIMPs, Technicolor WIMPs.

PACS : 95.35.+d,04.50.th,12.60.Jv

1 Introduction

It is now established that the universe is dominated by dark matter and dark energy. The nature of dark matter can only be established if its constituents are detected in the laboratory. There are currently many models which supply dark matter candidates, called generically WIMPs (weakly interacting massive particles). The candidate, most favored in R-parity conserving supersymmetry, is the LSP (lightest supersymmetric particle). Other candidates arise in other extensions of the standard model and, in particular, in models with compact extra dimensions. In such models a tower of massive particles appear as Kaluza-Klein excitations. In this scheme the ordinary particles are associated with the zero modes and are assigned K-K parity +1. In models with Universal Extra Dimensions one can have cosmologically stable particles in the excited modes because of a discrete symmetry yielding K-K parity -1 (see Servant [1] for a recent review).

The kinematics involved is similar to that of the neutralino, leading to cross sections which are proportional μ_r^2 , μ_r being the WIMP-nucleus reduced mass. Furthermore the

nuclear physics input is independent of the WIMP mass, since for heavy WIMP $mu_r \simeq Am_p$. There appear two differences compared to the neutralino, though, both related to its larger mass.

First the density (number of particles per unit volume) of a WIMP falls inversely proportional to its mass. Thus, if the WIMP's considered are much heavier than the nuclear targets, the corresponding event rate takes the form:

$$R(m_{WIMP}) = R(A) \frac{A \text{ GeV}}{m_{WIMP}}$$
(1)

where R(A) are the rates extracted from experiment up to WIMP masses of the order of the mass of the target.

Second, the average WIMP energy is now higher. In fact, one finds that $\prec T_{WIMP} \succ = \frac{3}{4}M_{WIMP}v_0^2 \simeq 40 \left(\frac{m_{WIMP}}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)$ keV ($v_0 \simeq 2.2 \times 10^5$ km/s). Thus for a K-K WIMP with mass 1 TeV, the average WIMP energy is 0.4 MeV. Hence, due to the high velocity tail of the velocity distribution, one expects an energy transfer to the nucleus in the MeV region. Thus many nuclear targets can now be excited by the WIMP-nucleus interaction and the de-excitation photons can be detected.

2 Kaluza-Klein WIMP's

2.1 The Kaluza-Klein Boson as a dark matter candidate

We will assume that the lightest exotic particle, which can serve as a dark matter candidate, is a gauge boson B^1 having the same quantum numbers and couplings with the standard model gauge boson B, except that it has K-K parity -1. Thus its couplings involve another negative K-K parity particle. In this work we will assume that such particles are the K-K quarks, partners of the ordinary quarks, but much heavier [2].

2.1.1 Intermediate K-K quarks

In this case the relevant Feynman diagrams are shown in fig. 1. The amplitude at the



Figure 1: K-K quarks mediating the interaction of K-K gauge boson B^1 with quarks at tree level.

nucleon level can be written as:

$$\mathcal{M}_{coh} = \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{*'}.\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) N\left[\left(\frac{11}{18} + \frac{2}{3}\tau_3 \right) \frac{1}{3} \frac{m_p m_W}{(m_{B^{(1)}})^2} f_1(\Delta) + \frac{1+\tau_3}{3} \frac{m_W}{m_{B^{(1)}}} f_2(\Delta) \right] N$$
(2)

$$\Lambda = i4\sqrt{2}G_F m_W \tan^2 \theta_W, f_1(\Delta) = \frac{1 + \Delta + \Delta^2/2}{\Delta^2 (1 + \Delta/2)^2}, f_2(\Delta) = \frac{1 + \Delta}{\Delta (1 + \Delta/2)}, \ \Delta = \frac{m_{q^{(1)}}}{m_{B^{(1)}}} - 1$$

We see that the amplitude is very sensitive to the parameter Δ ("resonance effect").

In going from the quark to the nucleon level the best procedure is to replace the quark energy by the constituent quark mass $\simeq 1/3m_p$, as opposed to adopting [2] a procedure related to the current mass encountered in the neutralino case [3].

In the case of the spin contribution we find at the nucleon level that

$$\mathcal{M}_{spin} = -i4\sqrt{2}G_F m_W \tan^2 \theta_W \frac{1}{3} \frac{m_p m_W}{(m_{B^{(1)}})^2} f_1(\Delta) i(\boldsymbol{\epsilon^{*\prime} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}) \cdot [N\boldsymbol{\sigma}(g_0 + g_1 \tau_3)N] \qquad (3)$$
$$g_0 = \frac{17}{18}\Delta u + \frac{5}{18}\Delta d + \frac{5}{18}\Delta s \ , \ g_1 = \frac{17}{18}\Delta u - \frac{5}{18}\Delta d$$

for the isoscalar and isovector quantities [3]. The quantities Δ_q are given by [2], [3]

$$\Delta u = 0.78 \pm 0.02$$
, $\Delta d = -0.48 \pm 0.02$, $\Delta s = -0.15 \pm 0.02$

We thus find $g_0 = 0.26$, $g_1 = 0.41 \Rightarrow a_p = 0.67$, $a_n = -0.15$. The picture is different for the neutralino case $(a_p = 1.41, a_n = -1.11)$

2.1.2 Intermediate Higgs Scalars

The corresponding Feynman diagram is shown in Fig. 2 The relevant amplitude is given by:



Figure 2: The Higgs H mediating interaction of K-K gauge boson B^1 with quarks at tree level (on the left). The Z-boson mediating the interaction of K-K neutrino $\nu^{(1)}$ with quarks at tree level (on the right).

$$\mathcal{M}_N(h) = -i \ 4\sqrt{2}G_F m_W^2 \tan^2 \theta_W \left[\frac{1}{4}\frac{m_p}{m_h^2} \left(-\boldsymbol{\epsilon^{*'}}.\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right) \prec N | N \succ \sum_q f_q\right]$$
(4)

In going from the quark to the nucleon level we follow a procedure analogous to that of the of the neutralino, i.e. $\prec N |m_q q \bar{q}| N \succ \Rightarrow f_q m_p$

2.2 K-K neutrinos as dark matter candidates

The other possibility is the dark matter candidate to be a heavy K-K neutrino. We will distinguish the following cases:

2.2.1 Process mediated by Z-exchange

The Feynman diagram associated with this process is shown in Fig. 2. The amplitude associated with the diagram of Fig. 2 becomes:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu^{(1)}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W} \frac{1}{-m_Z^2} J^{\lambda}(\nu^{(1)}) J_{\lambda}(NNZ) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} G_F J^{\lambda}(\nu^{(1)}) J_{\lambda}(NNZ)$$
(5)

with $J_{\lambda}(NNZ)$ the standard nucleon neutral current and

$$J_{\lambda}(\nu^{(1)}) = \bar{\nu}^{(1)} \gamma_{\lambda} \gamma_{5} \nu^{(1)} , \ J_{\lambda}(\nu^{(1)}) = \bar{\nu}^{(1)} \gamma_{\lambda} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \nu^{(1)}$$

for Majorana and Dirac neutrinos respectively.

2.2.2 Process mediated by right handed currents via Z'-boson exchange

The process is similar to that exhibited by fig. 2, except that instead of Z we encounter Z', which is much heavier. We will assume that the couplings of the Z' are similar to those of Z. Then the above results apply except that now the amplitudes are retarded by the multiplicative factor $\kappa = m_Z^2/m_{Z'}^2$

2.2.3 Process mediated by Higgs exchange

In this case in fig. 2 the Z is replaced by the Higgs particle. Proceeding as above we find that the amplitude at the nucleon level is:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu^{(1)}}(h) = -2\sqrt{2}G_F \frac{m_p m_{\nu^{(1)}}}{m_h^2} \bar{\nu}^{(1)} \ \nu^{(1)} \prec N | N \succ \sum_q f_q \tag{6}$$

In the evaluation of the parameters f_q one encounters both theoretical and experimental errors. In the present calculation we will adopt an optimistic approach and employ [3]:

 $f_d = 0.041, \ f_u = 0.028, \ f_s = 0.400, \ f_c = 0.051, \ f_b = 0.055, \ f_t = 0.095$

3 Nucleon cross sections

In evaluating the nucleon cross section one proceeds as in the case of the neutralino.

3.0.4 The K-K boson case

The kinematics are similar to those of the neutralino case. One finds

$$\sigma_N(i) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{m_p^2}{(m_{B^{(1)}})^2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{pol,m_s} |\mathcal{M}_i|^2, \quad i = coh, spin$$
(7)

for the spin independent and spin dependent parts respectively. The obtained results for the coherent process are shown in fig. 3 The Higgs contribution is negligible in this case. In the case of the spin cross section the obtained results are shown in fig. 4.



Figure 3: The coherent proton cross section on the left and that for the neutron on the right in units of 10^{-6} pb, as a function of the gauge boson mass in the range of 600 - 1200 GeV and the Higgs mass in the range of 100 - 200 GeV for $\Delta = 0.15$. For smaller values of Δ the proton cross section is huge.



Figure 4: The spin proton cross section on the left and that for the neutron on the right in units of 10^{-6} pb, as a function of the gauge boson mass in the range of 600 - 1200 GeV and Δ in the range 0.05 - 0.15.

3.0.5 The K-K neutrino case

In this case the expression for the cross section is quite simple. We will consider each case separately.

1. Intermediate Z boson. In this case

$$\sigma_N(spin) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{G_F^2}{8} m_p^2 3g_A^2 = 8.0 \times 10^{-3} pb \text{ (Majorana neutrino)}$$
(8)

$$\sigma_n(spin) = \sigma_p(spin) \simeq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{G_F^2}{8} m_p^2 \ 3 \ 2 \ g_A^2 = 1.6 \times 10^{-2} pb \text{ (Dirac neutrino)}$$
(9)

$$\sigma_n(coh) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{G_F^2}{8} m_p^2 \ 2 \ = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} pb \text{ (only Dirac neutrino)}$$
(10)

It is quite straightforward to compute the nuclear cross sections:

$$\sigma(spin) = \frac{\mu_r^2}{m_p^2} \frac{\sigma_N(spin)}{3} \left[\Omega_p - \Omega_n\right]^2 F_{11}(q), \\ \sigma(coh) = \frac{\mu_r^2}{m_p^2} \sigma_n(coh) N^2 \left[F(q)\right]^2$$
(11)

where Ω_p and Ω_n are the nuclear spin ME associated with the proton and neutron component and $F_{11}(q)$ is the spin response function, N is the neutron number and F(q) the nuclear form factor [3].

2. The Intermediate Higgs scalar

As in the neutralino case we find that, unlike the naive expectations [4] quarks other than u and d dominate. One finds:

$$\sigma_N(coh) = \frac{8}{\pi} \left(G_F m_p^2 \right)^2 \frac{m_p^2(m_{\nu^{(1)}})^2}{m_h^4} m_p^{-2} (\sum_q f_q)^2$$
(12)

Using the value we obtain $\sum_q f_q = 0.67$ we obtain the results shown in Fig. 5. We see that this mechanism excludes a heavy neutrino as a WIMP candidate, unless the Higgs mass is very large. In the Standard Model this is possible and m_h can be treated as a parameter to be extracted from the data. In SUSY models, however, the lightest neutrino is expected to be quite light, $m_h < 120$ GeV.



Figure 5: On the left we show the coherent nucleon cross section as a function of $m_{\nu^{(1)}}$ and m_h . On the right we show the same thing as a function of the mass of $\nu^{(1)}$ for the indicated Higgs mass (from top to bottom 100, 125, 150, 175 and 200 GeV).

4 Other non SUSY Models

There exist models less well motivated than those based on K-K theories, but with a more restricted particle content. We will mention two possibilities:

- 1. Extensions of the standard model [5].
 - Instead of the K-K parity one introduces a discrete symmetry giving rise to a new "parity". The lightest of added exotic particles, the right handed neutrino and a scalar isodoublet η , with parity opposite to the Standard Model particles, is cosmologically stable. If the lightest particle (WIMP) is the heavy neutrino, the obtained cross sections are similar to those discussed in the previous section in connection with $\nu^{(1)}$. If the WIMP is the neutral component of the exotic Higgs scalar η , the obtained results are similar to those obtained in the previous section involving $B^{(1)}$ and h, except that one encounters an unknown quartic coupling λ_{eff} .
- 2. Technicolor theories [6].

In this case the WIMP is the LTB (lightest neutral technibarion). This scalar couples to the quarks via derivative coupling and Z exchange. Again the only parameter is the LTP mass. The obtained nucleon cross sections are three times larger than those of the K-K case with Dirac $\nu^{(1)}$ and lead to coherence in the number of neutrons.

5 Discussion

We will concentrate here on K-K particles, but our conclusions hold for all heavy WIMPs. They are as follows:

• The K-K neutrinos as CDM candidate.

In this case everything is under control, except, of course, the fact that we do not know for sure whether the K-K neutrinos are Majorana or Dirac particles. Most authors expect them to be Dirac neutrinos (see, e.g., Servant[1]). The Dirac neutrino case is, however, excluded from the data, since the cross section is too large. So we will consider the case of Majorana neutrinos. Even in this case the Higgs contribution to the nucleon cross section, which is proportional to the $[m_{\nu^{(1)}}]^2$, is too large and excludes the K-K neutrino as a viable WIMP candidate, unless the lightest Higgs is much heavier. In all other cases the rate will scale as in Eq. (1). Majorana spin cross sections such as those found above may not be excluded from the data, since the experimental limit on the spin cross section is much weaker than that associated with the coherent mode and it depends on nuclear physics.

- The K-K boson as CDM candidate.
 - 1. The only unknown parameters of the theory are the masses of K-K quarks and gauge bosons as well as the mass of the neutral Higgs.
 - 2. In the spin independent mechanism the proton cross section is dominant. The event rate will be down by a factor $\frac{Z^2}{A^2}$ compared to the analysis of the neutralino case. This prediction can be consistent with the present data only away from the resonance and/or large K-K gauge boson masses.
 - 3. Since the spin nucleon cross section is not much larger than that of the coherent mechanism, it does not seem likely to be observed.

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (JDV) is indebted to Dr G. Servant for discussions during his visit at CERN, which was supported by PYTHAGORAS-1, Operational Program for Education and Initial Vocational Training of the Hellenic Ministry of Education under the 3rd Community Support Framework and the European Social Fund.

References

- See, e.g.,: G. Servant, in Les Houches "Physics at TeV Colliders 2005" Beyond the Standard Model working group: summary report, B.C. Allanach (ed.), C. Grojean (ed.), P. Skands (ed.), al, section 25, p.164; hep-ph/0602198.
- [2] G. Servant and T.M.P. Tait, New Jour. Phys. 4 (2002) 99; G. Servant and T.M.P. Tait, Nucl. Phys B 650 (2003) 391; H.C. Cheng, J.L. Feng and K.T. Matchev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 211301.
- [3] J.D. Vergados, On the direct detection of dark matter- Exploring all the signatures of the neutralino-nucleus interaction, hep-ph/0601064.
- [4] G. Agashe and G. Servant, JCAP 0502 (2005) 002; arXiv:hep-ph/0411254.
- [5] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. 73 D (2006) 071301; hep-ph0607142
- [6] S.B. Gudnason, C. Kouvaris and F. F. Sanning, hep-ph/0608055.