

Working group on $\pi\pi$ and πN interactions

Summary

Ulf-G. Meißner¹ (Convenor), Martin Sevier² (Convenor), A. Badertscher³,
B. Borasoy⁴, P. Büttiker⁵, G. Höhler⁶, M. Knecht⁷, O. Krehl¹, J. Lowe⁸,
M. Mojžiš⁹, G. Müller¹⁰, O. Patarakin¹¹, M. Pavan¹², A. Rusetsky¹³,
M.E. Sainio¹⁴, J. Schacher¹⁵, G. Smith¹⁶, S. Steininger¹, V. Vereshagin¹⁷

¹ FZ Jülich, Institut für Kernphysik (Th), D-52425 Jülich, Germany

² School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3052, Australia

³ Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Massachusetts,
Amherst, MA 01003, USA

⁵ Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Bern, CH-3012 Bern,
Switzerland

⁶ Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, University of Karlsruhe
Postfach 6980 D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

⁷ CPT, CNRS-Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France

⁸ University of New Mexico, NM, USA, for the E865 collaboration

⁹ Dept. Theor. Phys. Comenius University, Mlynska dolina SK-84215
Bratislava, Slovakia

¹⁰ Univ. Bonn, Institut für Theoretische Kernphysik, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

¹¹ Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia for the CHAOS collaboration

¹² Lab for Nuclear Science, M.I.T., 77 Massachusetts Ave.,
Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

¹³ Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980, Dubna, Russia

¹⁴ Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Helsinki, P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland

¹⁵ Universität Bern, Laboratorium für Hochenergiephysik, Sidlerstrasse 5,
CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

¹⁶ TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 2A3

¹⁷ Institute of Physics, St.-Petersburg State University,
St.-Petersburg, 198904, Russia

Abstract. This is the summary of the working group on $\pi\pi$ and πN interactions of the Chiral Dynamics Workshop in Mainz, September 1-5, 1997. Each talk is represented by an extended one page abstract. Some additional remarks by the convenors are added.

Summary of the convenors

Here, we briefly summarize the salient results of the talks and intense discussions in the working group. More details are given in the one page summaries provided by each speaker. We have ordered these contributions in blocks pertaining to theoretical and experimental developments in the $\pi\pi$ and the πN systems. To obtain a more detailed view of the present status, the reader should consult the references given at the end of most of the contributions.

$\pi\pi$: Both in standard and generalized CHPT, two loop calculations for $\pi\pi$ scattering have been performed. These have reached a very high precision which needs to be matched by the $K_{\ell 4}$ data expected from DAΦNE and BNL and the pionium measurement at CERN. The outstanding theoretical challenges are twofold: First, a more detailed investigation of electromagnetic corrections is mandatory. First steps in this direction have been taken but the hard problem of analyzing the processes $K \rightarrow \pi\pi\ell\nu_\ell$ and $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ needs to be tackled. Second, the corrections to the Deser formula needed to evaluate the scattering length difference $|a_0^0 - a_0^2|^2$ from the pionium lifetime have to be worked out precisely. Again, this problem is under investigation and should be finished before the data will be analyzed. At present, some discrepancies between the results of various groups exist and these need to be eliminated.

πN : There has been considerable activity to investigate elastic pion–nucleon scattering and the σ –term in heavy baryon CHPT. The consensus is that these calculations have to be carried out to order q^4 in the chiral expansion. For that, the complete effective Lagrangian has to be constructed. This is under way. Again, the remaining theoretical challenges are twofold: The em corrections need to be looked at systematically, for first steps see [1]. Second, the connection to dispersion theory has to be considered in more detail to construct a more precise low–energy πN amplitude. Furthermore, the program of partial wave analysis has to be refined to provide the chiral community with precise input data like e.g. the pion–nucleon coupling constant. Work along these lines is underway.

In summary, considerable progress has been made since the MIT workshop in 1994 and we are hopeful that this trend continues until the next chiral dynamics workshop in the year 2000.

[1] Ulf-G. Meißner and S. Steininger, [hep-ph/9709453], to appear in Phys. Lett. B.

Low Energy $\pi - \pi$ Scattering to Two Loops in Generalized ChPT

Marc Knecht

CPT, CNRS-Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France

The low energy $\pi - \pi$ amplitude $A(s|t, u)$ has been obtained to two loop accuracy in Ref. [1]. At this order, it is entirely determined by analyticity, crossing symmetry, unitarity and the Goldstone-boson nature of the [2], up to six independent parameters $\alpha, \beta, \lambda_{1,2,3,4}$, which are not fixed by chiral symmetry. Using existing medium energy $\pi - \pi$ data from unpolarized $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ experiments, the values of the constants $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}$ were fixed from four rapidly convergent sum rules in [3].

Within the framework of Generalized ChPT [2], α can be related to the quark mass ratio m_s/\hat{m} , or to the condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0$ through the ratio $x_{\text{GOR}} = 2\hat{m} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0 / F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2$. At leading order, α varies between 1 ($x_{\text{GOR}} = 1, m_s/\hat{m} = 25.9$, the standard case), and 4 ($x_{\text{GOR}} = 0, m_s/\hat{m} = 6.3$, the extreme case of a vanishing condensate). The two loop expression of $A(s|t, u)$ in the standard case [4], together with the determination of $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}$ referred to above, lead to $\alpha = 1.07, \beta = 1.11$, corresponding to $a_0^0 = 0.209$ and $a_0^2 = -0.044$ [5]. Therefore, a substantial departure of α from unity signals a much smaller value of the condensate than usually expected. A fit to the data of the Geneva-Saclay $K_{\ell 4}$ experiment [6] yields $\alpha = 2.16 \pm 0.86$ and $\beta = 1.074 \pm 0.053$. When converted into S, P, D and F-wave threshold parameters, these values reproduce the numbers and error bars obtained from the Roy equation analyses of the same data, *e.g.* $a_0^0 = 0.26 \pm 0.05, a_0^2 = -0.028 \pm 0.012$ [7]. Given the values of $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}$ determined in [3], the two loop amplitude $A(s|t, u)$ of [1] thus becomes a faithful *analytic* representation of the numerical solution of the Roy equations from threshold up to ~ 450 MeV, where it satisfies the unitarity constraints. As a further example [3], the S- and P-wave phase shifts obtained from the two loop amplitude $A(s|t, u)$ are identical, in this energy range, to the numerical solution of the Roy equations for $a_0^0 = 0.30$ and $a_0^2 = -0.018$ (*i.e.* $\alpha = 2.84$ and $\beta = 1.09$) quoted in [8]. In view of the theoretical implications, forthcoming and hopefully more precise low energy $\pi - \pi$ scattering data from the DIRAC experiment at CERN and from the $K_{\ell 4}$ experiments E865 at Brookhaven and KLOE at DAPHNE are of particular interest and importance.

- [1] M. Knecht et al., Nucl. Phys. B 457 (1995) 513
- [2] J. Stern et al., Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3814.
- [3] M. Knecht et al., Nucl. Phys. B 471 (1996) 445.
- [4] J. Bijnens et al., Phys. Lett. B 374 (1996) 210; *ibid.*, hep-ph/9707291
- [5] L. Girlanda et al., hep-ph/9703448. To appear in Phys. Lett. B.
- [6] L. Rosselet et al., Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 574
- [7] M.M. Nagels, Nucl. Phys. B 147 (1979) 189
- [8] C.D. Froggatt and J.L. Petersen, Nucl. Phys. B 129 (1977) 89

Roy Equation Studies of $\pi\pi$ Scattering

B. Ananthanarayan, Centre for Theoretical Studies, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India, and

P. Büttiker, Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Bern, CH-3012 Bern

$\pi\pi$ scattering is an important process to test the predictions of ChPT. The $\pi\pi$ amplitude in its chiral expansion can be written as $A(s, t, u) = A^{(2)}(s, t, u) + A^{(4)}(s, t, u) + A^{(6)}(s, t, u) + O(p^8)$, where $A^{(2)}(s, t, u)$ is the Weinberg result [1] and $A^{(4)}(s, t, u)$ and $A^{(6)}(s, t, u)$ are the one- and two-loop contributions, respectively [2,3]. At leading order a_0^I, b_0^I , and a_1^I are the only non-vanishing threshold parameters ($I = 0, 2$), while the one-loop (two-loop) calculation yields reliable predictions for five (eleven) more threshold parameters.

$\pi\pi$ scattering has been studied in great detail in axiomatic field theory. From dispersion relations, the Roy equations, a set of coupled integral equations for the $\pi\pi$ partial wave amplitudes [4], have been derived. These equations are used to derive sum rules for all the threshold parameters mentioned above (exception: a_0^0 and a_2^0 which are the subtraction constants of the Roy equations). Phase shift information, analyzed subject to respecting the Roy equations, may then be used to evaluate the threshold parameters of interest.

We estimated the quantities of the higher threshold parameters for which no information is available in the literature, using a modified effective range formula to model the phase shift information, and compared them, whenever possible, with the predictions of ChPT [5], assuming that a_0^0 lies in the range favored by standard ChPT, i.e. $a_0^0 \approx 0.21$. This comparison may be regarded as a probe into the range of validity in energy of chiral predictions. Indeed, all the threshold parameters calculated in ChPT approach the values calculated by sum rules when turning from the one-loop to the two-loop calculation. We found that all except one higher threshold parameters in the two-loop calculation (standard as well as generalized ChPT) are in good agreement with the ones evaluated in the dispersive framework.

[1] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17 (1966) 616.

[2] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. 158 (1984) 142.

[3] J. Bijnens et al., Phys. Lett. B 374 (1996) 210; hep-ph/9707291, M. Knecht et al., Nucl.Phys. B 457 (1995) 513.

[4] S. M. Roy, Phys. Lett. B36 (1971) 353.

[5] B. Ananthanarayan and P. Büttiker, hep-ph/9707305, Phys. Lett. B, in print.

Electromagnetic Corrections to $\pi\pi$ -Scattering

Sven Steininger

FZ Jülich, Institut für Kernphysik (Th), D-52425 Jülich, Germany

To perform a consistent treatment of isospin violation one has to include virtual photons. Based on the observation that $\alpha = e^2/4\pi \simeq M_\pi^2/(4\pi F_\pi)^2$, it is natural to assign a chiral dimension to the electric charge, $\mathcal{O}(e) \sim \mathcal{O}(p)$ (see e.g. [1]). In [2] the virtual photon Lagrangian is given up to fourth order:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^\gamma = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^\gamma + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}}^\gamma + \mathcal{L}_2^\gamma + \mathcal{L}_4^\gamma \quad . \quad (1)$$

Theoretically the purest reaction to test the spontaneous and explicit chiral symmetry breaking of QCD is elastic pion-pion scattering. In the threshold region, the scattering amplitude in the isospin limit can be decomposed as $t_l^I = q^{2l} [a_l^I + b_l^I q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)]$, where l denotes the pion angular momentum, I the total isospin of the two-pion system and q the cms momentum. The S-wave scattering lengths $a_0^{0,2}$ have been worked out to two loops in the chiral expansion [3][4][5]. Including isospin violation one has to work in the physical basis, e.g. for the process $\pi^0\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ these have been worked out in [2]. The result for the scattering length is $a_0(00;00) = 0.034$ compared to 0.038 in the isospin limit. This decrease of 5% comes entirely from the correction of the Weinberg-term due to the pion mass difference and is of the same size as the hadronic two loop contribution. A more dramatic effect appears in the effective range $b_0(00;00)=0.041$ (0.030), which is related to the unitarity cusp at $s = 4M_{\pi^\pm}^2$. Since one is not able to measure pion-pion scattering directly, more involved experiments have to be done to get experimental values. One of them, pion induced pion production off the nucleon, has already been used in the isospin symmetric case to pin down the isospin scattering lengths. Extending this to the isospin violating sector one has to take into account as well the isospin breaking in the πN -subsystem [6]. The most precise data at the moment are given by K_{l4} decays and experiments of this reaction at DAΦNE and BNL should allow to examine isospin violation. In this case one has to calculate the complete process of the decay including virtual photons. Since the photon can couple to the charged lepton as well as to the charged mesons, an extension of the effective field theory including virtual photons and leptons is needed.

[1] J. Gasser, in Proc. *Workshop on Physics and Detectors for DAΦNE'95*, Frascati Physics Series IV, 1995.

[2] Ulf-G. Meißner, G. Müller and S. Steininger, Phys. Lett. **B406**, 154 (1997).

[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 616 (1966).

[4] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. **B125**, 325 (1983).

[5] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo, G. Ecker, J. Gasser and M.E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. **B374**, 210 (1996).

[6] Ulf-G. Meißner and S. Steininger, [hep-ph/9709453].

Meson exchange models for $\pi\pi$ and $\pi\eta$ scattering

O. Krehl, R. Rapp, G. Janssen, J. Wambach, and J. Speth
FZ Jülich, Institut für Kernphysik (Th), D-52425 Jülich, Germany

We investigate the structure of $f_0(400 - 1200)(\sigma)$, $f_0(980)$ and $a_0(980)$ in the framework of coupled channel meson exchange models for $\pi\pi/K\bar{K}$ and $\pi\eta/K\bar{K}$ scattering [1]. An effective meson Lagrangian is used to construct a potential which contains ρ exchange for $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $K^*(892)$ exchange for $\pi\pi, \pi\eta \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ and ρ, ω, ϕ exchange for $K\bar{K} \rightarrow K\bar{K}$. Furthermore three contact interactions - as appearing in the Weinberg-Lagrangian - and pole graphs for ρ , $f_2(1270)$ and $\epsilon(1200 - 1400)$ formation are included.

The such constructed potential is iterated within the Blankenbecler Sugar equation. This iteration is necessary for the investigation of the nature of resonances, because only the infinite sum will eventually lead to dynamical poles in the scattering amplitude. Due to the iteration each three meson vertex has to be supplemented by a form-factor which parameterizes the finite size of the three meson vertex. The cutoffs and coupling constants (constrained by SU(3) symmetry relations) are fixed by reproducing the experimental $\pi\pi$ data.

The $\pi\pi$ model leads to a very good description of S,P and D-wave isoscalar and isotensor phase shifts up to 1.4GeV. The S-wave scattering lengths $a^0 = 0.210m_\pi^{-1}$ and $a^2 = -0.028m_\pi^{-1}$ are in good agreement with experiment [2][3][4] but a^2 slightly deviates from two loop ChPT [4]. The incorporation of minimal chiral constraints [2] i.e. including the $\pi\pi$ contact terms and choosing an appropriate off shell prescription for the 0th component of the 4-momenta ensures vanishing S-wave scattering lengths a^I in the chiral limit $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$. By exploring the pole structure of the $\pi\pi$ amplitude we find a broad $\sigma(400)$ pole at (II)(468, ± 252)MeV generated by ρ exchange. The sharp rise of the scalar isoscalar phase shift at 1.0GeV is produced by the narrow $f_0(980)$ $K\bar{K}$ bound state pole on sheet (II) with $m_{f_0} = 1005$ MeV and $\Gamma_{f_0} = 50$ MeV. At (III)(1435, ± 181)MeV we observe the $\epsilon(1200 - 1400)$ pole, which we included in the potential as effective description of higher scalar resonances or glueballs around 1400MeV.

For the $\pi\eta/K\bar{K}$ coupled channel we find the $a_0(980)$ pole at (II)(991, ± 101) MeV. This pole is generated by the $\pi\eta \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ transition potential and is therefore no bound state but a coupled channel pole. Due to the nearby $K\bar{K}$ threshold the width $\Gamma_{a_0} = 202$ MeV from the pole position is much larger than the width $\Gamma_{a_0} \approx 110$ MeV of the $a_0(980)$ resonance peak in the $\pi\eta$ cross section.

[1] G. Janssen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2690 (1995).

[2] R. Rapp, J.W. Durso, J. Wambach, Nucl. Phys. **A596** (1996) 436.

[3] M.M. Nagels *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 189.

[4] J. Bijnens *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B374** (1996) 210.

Hadronic Atoms as a Probe of Chiral Theory

V.E. Lyubovitskij and A.G. Rusetsky

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980, Dubna, Russia

At the present time DIRAC Collaboration at CERN is planning the experiment on the high precision measurement of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ atom lifetime, which will provide direct determination of the difference of the S -wave $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths $a_0 - a_2$ with an accuracy 5 % and thus might serve as a valuable test of the predictions of Chiral Perturbation Theory [1]. In order to be able to compare the high-precision experimental output with the theoretical predictions one has to carry out the systematic study of various small corrections to the basic Deser-type formula [2] which relates experimentally measured hadronic atom lifetime to the $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths. This question has been addressed in a number of recent publications [3]-[6]. In papers [6] we present the perturbative field-theoretical approach to the bound-state characteristics, based on Bethe-Salpeter equation. It should be emphasized that, in contrary with the nonrelativistic treatment of the problem [3], we do not refer to the (phenomenological) $\pi\pi$ interaction potential, which might introduce additional ambiguity in the calculated observables of hadronic atoms. We achieve a clear-cut separation of strong and electromagnetic interactions, with all contribution from strong interactions concentrated in $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths. In the Bethe-Salpeter framework we derive the relativistic analogue of the Deser formula for the $\pi^+\pi^-$ atom decay width. The first-order corrections are parametrized by the quantities δ_I ($I = S, P, \dots$)

$$\Gamma = \frac{16\pi}{9} \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta m_\pi}{m_\pi}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta m_\pi}{2m_\pi}} (a_0 - a_2)^2 |\psi_C(0)|^2 (1 + \delta_S + \delta_P + \delta_K + \delta_M + \delta_R)$$

We find that the sizeable contribution to Deser formula $\delta_P = +1.85\%$ is due to the exchange of Coulombic photon t -channel ladders and contains the nonanalytic $\ln\alpha$ term in the fine structure constant. We calculate also the corrections coming from the displacement of the bound-state pole by strong interactions $\delta_S = -0.26\%$ and from the relativistic corrections to the bound-state w.f. at the origin $\delta_K = -0.55\%$. The calculation of the correction due to the $m_{\pi^\pm} - m_{\pi^0}$ mass difference δ_M and the radiative correction δ_R is in progress.

[1] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 158 (1984) 142.

[2] S. Deser et al., Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 774.

[3] U. Moor, G. Rasche and W.S. Woolcock, Nucl. Phys. A 587 (1995) 747;
A. Gashi et al., nucl-th/9704017.

[4] Z. Silagadze, JETP Lett. 60 (1994) 689; E.A. Kuraev, hep-ph/9701327.

[5] H. Jallouli and H. Sazdjian, hep-ph/9706450.

[6] V. Lyubovitskij and A. Rusetsky, Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 181;

V.E. Lyubovitskij, E.Z. Lipartia and A.G. Rusetsky, hep-ph/9706244.

Lifetime measurement of $\pi^+\pi^-$ atoms to test low energy QCD predictions

Jürg Schacher

Universität Bern, Laboratorium für Hochenergiephysik, Sidlerstrasse 5,
CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

The DIRAC Collaboration (Dimeson Relativistic Atom Complex, PS212, CERN) wants to measure the ground-state lifetime of the exotic atom pionium, $A_{2\pi}$, formed by π^+ and π^- mesons. There exists a relationship between this lifetime τ and the difference of isoscalar minus isotensor s-wave scattering length: $\tau^{-1} = C \cdot \Delta^2$ with $\Delta = a_0 - a_2$. If we aim to determine Δ down to 5%, corresponding to the theoretical uncertainty, the lifetime has to be measured with 10% accuracy - the very goal of the DIRAC experiment ([1]).


The method proposed takes advantage of the Lorentz boost of relativistic pionium, produced in high energy proton nucleus (e.g. Ti) reactions at 24 GeV/c (CERN Proton Synchrotron). After production in the target, these relativistic ($\gamma \simeq 15$) atoms may either decay into $\pi^0\pi^0$ or get excited or ionized in the target material. In the case of ionization or breakup, characteristic charged pion pairs, called “atomic pairs”, will emerge, exhibiting low relative momentum in their centre of mass system ($q < 3\text{MeV}$), small pair opening angle ($\theta_{+-} < 3\text{mrad}$ and nearly identical energies in the lab system ($E_+ \simeq E_-$ at the 0.3% level). The experimental setup is a magnetic double arm spectrometer to identify charged pions and to measure relative pair momenta q with a resolution of $\delta q \simeq 1\text{MeV}/c$. By these means, it is possible to determine the number of “atomic pairs” above background, arising from pion pairs in a free state. For a given target material and thickness, the ratio of the number of “atomic pairs” observed to the total amount of pionium produced depends on the pionium lifetime τ in a unique way.

The experiment consists of coordinate detectors, a spectrometer magnet (bending power of 2 Tm) and two telescope arms, each equipped with drift chambers, scintillation hodoscopes, gas Cherenkov counters, preshower and muon detectors. To reconstruct efficiently “atomic pairs” from pionium breakup, the entire setup has to provide good charged particle identification and extremely good relative cms momentum resolution. A high spatial resolution is guaranteed by the arrangement scintillating fibre detector - spectrometer magnet - drift chambers. With a primary intensity of $1.5 \cdot 10^{11}$ protons per spill (CERN PS) and a $\sim 200\mu\text{m}$ thick Ti target, a first level trigger rate of $4 \cdot 10^4$ events per spill, due to free and accidental pairs, is expected. This high LHC-like trigger rate will be reduced by a factor 30 by the trigger electronics. Special purpose processors are intended to reject events with tracks more than 3 mrad apart as well as events with a large difference in the energies of the positively and negatively charged particles. The amount of data, necessary to measure τ with 10% accuracy, should be collected in a running time of several weeks.

[1] B. Adeva et al., *Lifetime measurement of $\pi^+\pi^-$ atoms to test low energy QCD predictions*, Proposal to the SPSLC, CERN/SPSLC 95-1, SPSLC/P 284, Geneva 1995.

K_{e4} measurements in Brookhaven E865

J. Lowe, University of New Mexico, for the E865 collaboration



The experiment consists of 6 GeV/c unseparated kaon beam, a 5m Decay tank, a Magnet (D5) to separate positives and negatives, a Trigger hodoscope (A-counter), Momentum determination by PWCs (P1 - P4) and magnet D6, Particle identification by an Electromagnetic shower calorimeter, Čerenkov detectors (C1 and C2) Muon range stack of iron, wire chambers and hodoscopes, and a Beam tracker (not shown) upstream of the decay tank.

History and status of Brookhaven E865

1993 - 5: Development of beam line; detectors installed and commissioned; checked trigger rates, backgrounds, etc.

1995 - 6: Data taking on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ e^-$, $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-$, etc.

1997: Data run on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$, K_{e3} , K_{e4} ; analysis just started.

(1998: Plan for long data run on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ e^-$)

We have $\geq 3 \times 10^5$ events on tape – we hope to be left with close to 3×10^5 events after cuts and removal of contaminant events.

We plan to analyse the data in conjunction with the theoretical group: Bijnens (Lund), Colangelo (Frascati), Ecker (Wien), Gasser (Bern), Knecht (Marseille), Meissner (Jülich), Sanio (Helsinki), Steininger (Bonn) and Stern (Orsay).

The phase space coverage in the $m_{e\nu}$ and $m_{\pi\pi}$ variables is reasonably uniform, apart from at the highest $m_{\pi\pi}$.

At this stage we are considering the following questions. How many events will we have after all cuts? We had problems with the beam tracker during the run and it may not be available for all the data. How well can we analyse without it? Is the phase-space coverage good enough?

A measurement of the $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^\pm n$ reactions Near Threshold

O. Patarakin, Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia
For the CHAOS collaboration.

The pion induced pion production $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^\pm n$ reactions were studied at projectile incident kinetic energies (T_π) of 223, 243, 264, 284 and 305 MeV. The CHAOS spectrometer (at TRIUMF) was used for the measurement. Double differential cross sections were used as input to the Chew-Low-Geoble extrapolation procedure which was utilized to determinate on-shell $\pi\pi$ elastic scattering cross sections in the near threshold region.

The pseudo-peripheral-approximation method was applied, which extrapolates an auxiliary function $F' = F/|t|$ to the pion pole. This method makes use of the fact that in the case of one-pion-exchange (OPE) dominance, $F'(t, m_{\pi\pi})$ is linear in t , which implies $F(0, m_{\pi\pi}) = 0$. The Chew-Low-Geoble extrapolation must be performed under conditions which enhance the OPE and suppress the background. This was accomplished by carefully choosing the t -intervals over which the $F'(t, m_{\pi\pi})$ could be described by a linear function, and required that the condition $F(t = 0, m_{\pi\pi}) = 0$ was satisfied. We also require that the COM $\pi\pi$ angular distributions ($\cos\Theta$) be flat. No attempt was made to remove potential background contributions in this work, since there exists no reliable model for such a procedure. Instead, we restrict our analysis to those regions where the conditions discussed above are satisfied. We followed the same Chew-Low-Geoble procedures as have been applied previously at higher energies.

For $\pi^+\pi^-$ channel the extrapolation was performed for each bin of $m_{\pi\pi}$. Only fits with $\chi^2/\nu \leq 1.8$ are used in the analysis. We obtained cross section values for all initial energies except 305 MeV. At the largest values of $m_{\pi\pi}$ and at $T_\pi = 305$ MeV, we found that the form for F' function was not linear but "bell-shaped". It is very similar to the form for the F' function found, if we take the Δ -isobar peak events from the experiment at 1.5 GeV/c. The bulk of the cross section values obtained at different projectile energies agree within the error bars.

The resulting averaged cross sections are consistent with Roy equation predictions based on previously measured $\pi\pi$ cross sections obtained for the 5 charge reaction channels at higher energies. This does not prove the validity of the Chew-Low-Geoble technique as a tool for studying $\pi\pi$ scattering. However, it suggests that this method can be applied in the same manner to both high energy and threshold $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data.

The Roy equations were applied in order to obtain a self-consistent determination of $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitudes. Taking into account the present $\pi\pi$ cross sections, the isospin zero S-wave scattering length is determined to be $a_0^0 = 0.215 \pm 0.030$ in inverse pion mass units.

It was also shown that for $\pi^+\pi^+$ reaction, the Chew-Low-Geoble analysis was not possible. The conditions for using the extrapolation technique, as described above, were not satisfied for these data. The $\cos\Theta$ distributions were not flat, and the dependence of F' on t had no distinguishable linear region.

Determination of the $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ n$ Cross-Section Near Threshold

M.E.Sevior

School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville Victoria, 3052, Australia

One of the most fruitful ways of investigating the $\pi-\pi$ interaction experimentally has involved the measurement of threshold pion-induced pion production cross-sections. The amplitudes for these reactions near threshold are dominated by the One Pion Exchange process, which in turn can be related to the $\pi-\pi$ scattering process. Bernard, Kaiser and Meißner [1] used Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory, to predict amplitudes for pion production and to determine the $\pi-\pi$ scattering lengths.

The $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$ reaction involves both isospin 2 and isospin 0 $\pi\pi$ interaction amplitudes and the $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ n$ reaction involves only isospin 2. We have employed the “active target” system developed by Sevior et al. [2][3] to measure both processes and so have determined both amplitudes near threshold.

The experiment was performed at TRIUMF at 200, 190, 184, 180 and 172 MeV for the negative pions and at 200, 184 and 172 MeV for the positive. The cross sections measured by the experiment are summarized in Table 1.

T_π (MeV)	Cross sections (μb)			$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$
	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ n$ One π	Two π	Averaged	
200	1.4 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3	6.5 ± 0.9
190	-	-	-	3.0 ± 0.5
184	$.30 \pm .07$	$.30 \pm .07$	$.30 \pm .07$	1.9 ± 0.3
180	-	-	-	0.7 ± 0.1

Table 1: Total cross-sections for $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ n$. The uncertainties include both statistical and systematic errors.

Our cross section data yield threshold values for the amplitudes : $|\mathcal{A}_{10}| = (8.5 \pm 0.6)m_\pi^{-3}$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{32}| = (2.5 \pm 0.1)m_\pi^{-3}$, and for the $\pi-\pi$ scattering lengths: $a_0 = (0.23 \pm 0.08)m_\pi^{-1}$, and $a_2 = (-0.031 \pm 0.008)m_\pi^{-1}$. Our value for $|\mathcal{A}_{10}|$ is in good agreement with the value of $8.0 \pm 0.3m_\pi^{-3}$ obtained by Bernard et al [1] and our values of the scattering lengths are consistent with the Chiral Perturbation Theory predictions of $a_0 = (0.20 \pm 0.1)m_\pi^{-1}$ and $a_2 = (-0.042 \pm 0.02)m_\pi^{-1}$. The uncertainties in the extracted values of the scattering lengths are dominated by the theoretical uncertainties.

[1] V.Bernard, N.Kaiser and Ulf-G.Meißner, Int. J. Mod. Phys **E4** (1995) 193.; Nucl. Phys. **B457** (1995) 147.; Nucl. Phys. **A619** (1997) 261.

[2] M.E.Sevior *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 2569.

[3] K.J.Raywood *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A365** (1995) 135.

Renormalization of the pion nucleon interaction to fourth order

Guido Müller

Univ. Bonn, Institut für Theoretische Kernphysik, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

We renormalize in the framework of HBCHPT the complete one-loop generating functional to order q^4 . In heavy baryon formalism the one-to-one correspondence between the loop expansion and the chiral dimension is restored: one-loop Feynman diagrams with only insertions of the lowest order meson baryon Lagrangian can be renormalized by introducing the most general counterterm Lagrangian of dimension three and one-loop diagrams with exact one insertion of the second order Lagrangian contribute to fourth order. This one-to-one correspondence allows to regularize separately the one-loop generating functional to third and to fourth order in the chiral dimension. The renormalization can be done by separating the low energy constants into a finite and a divergent part. The beta functions are chosen in such a way to cancel the divergences of the one-loop generating functional. To third order the beta functions depend in the two flavor case on the axial vector coupling constant g_A [1]. To fourth order the beta functions become functions of the low energy constants of the next-to-leading order Lagrangian of dimension two, i.e., g_A, m, c_1, \dots, c_7 . The low energy constants which appear in the effective Lagrangian of order one and two are always finite and scale independent. The renormalization can be extended to the three flavor case [2]. The structure of the singular behavior is not changed by this extension. Since in SU(2) the nucleons are in the fundamental representation and in SU(3) the baryons are in the adjoint representation, the evaluation of the divergences is more complicated. In the three flavor case the beta functions to third order depend on the two axial vector couplings D and F . The renormalization of the one-loop generating functional can be done by evaluating the path integral. To third order we find four types of one-loop diagrams; two reducible diagrams and two irreducible diagrams [1] [2]. The sum of the reducible diagrams is finite and the divergences are given by the irreducible diagrams. The divergences can be extracted by using heat kernel methods for the meson and baryon propagator. The most difficult part is to find a heat kernel representation for the baryon propagator which is not elliptic and definite in Euclidian space [1]. To the fourth order we find four new irreducible diagrams with exact one insertion of the second order Lagrangian. In principle the renormalization can be done with the same methods as for the renormalization to third order. The only difference appears in one diagram where the dimension two insertion is on the intermediate baryon line. With the developed methods one is able to evaluate the three flavor case to fourth order, one can introduce virtual photons to the strong sector. This is of interest for evaluating the isospin violation effects in pion nucleon scattering or pion photoproduction. Another extension is the consideration of nonleptonic or radiative hyperon decays.

[1] G.Ecker, Phys. Letters **B 336** (1994) 508

[2] G.Müller and U.Meißner, Nucl. Phys. **B 492** (1997) 379

πN in Heavy Baryon ChPT

Martin Mojžiš

Dept. Theor. Phys. Comenius University, Mlynska dolina SK-84215 Bratislava, Slovakia

Elastic πN scattering amplitude was calculated up to the third order in HBChPT [1]. The calculation was based on the Lagrangian [2], containing 7 and 24 LECs (Low Energy Constants) at 2nd and 3rd order respectively. Only a subset of 9 linear combinations of these LECs contributes to the process at hand.

Comparison of the result with (extrapolated) experimental data was done for a set of 16 threshold parameters [3], πN σ -term and GT discrepancy. 6 out of these 18 quantities do not depend on LECs of the 2nd and 3rd orders, and their comparison to the extrapolated experimental data seems to be encouraging:

	a_{2+}^+	a_{2+}^-	a_{3+}^+	a_{3-}^+	a_{3+}^-	a_{3-}^-
theory	-36	56	280	31	-210	57
data	-36 ± 7	64 ± 3	440 ± 140	160 ± 120	-260 ± 20	100 ± 20

where units for D-waves (a_2) are GeV^{-5} and units for F-waves (a_3) are GeV^{-7} . It is instructive to see how do the separate orders of the chiral expansion contribute to this result. However, one has to be a little bit careful in what one calls a chiral order here. For two different possibilities see [1] and [5].

Remaining 12 quantities dependent on LECs of 2nd and 3rd order can be used for determination of these LECs. The result is:

a_1	-2.60 ± 0.03
a_2	1.40 ± 0.05
a_3	-1.00 ± 0.06
a_5	3.30 ± 0.05

$\tilde{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_2$	2.4 ± 0.3
b_3	-2.8 ± 0.6
b_6	1.4 ± 0.3
$b_{16} - b_{15}$	6.1 ± 0.6
b_{19}	-2.4 ± 0.4

where \tilde{b}_i is a renormalization-scale independent part of the renormalization scale dependent quantity b_i [1]. Values for 2nd order LECs a_i are in a quite good agreement with their recent determination in [4]. In case of 3rd order LECs, this is their first (rough) determination.

Description of the considered set of data is not bad, but the results seem to strongly suggest calculation to the fourth order and comparison to a larger set of data. For more details see [1] and [5].

[1] M.Mojžiš, hep-ph/9704415, to appear in Z.Phys. C

[2] G.Ecker and M.Mojžiš, Phys.Lett. B365 (1996) 312

[3] R.Koch and E.Pietarinen, Nucl.Phys. A336 (1980) 331

[4] V.Bernard, N.Kaiser and U.-G.Meißner, Nucl.Phys. A615 (1997) 483

[5] G.Ecker, these proceedings

Relations between Dispersion Theory and Chiral Perturbation Theory

G. Höhler

Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, University of Karlsruhe
Postfach 6980 D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

The relations are given as comments to several sections in Ref. [1], using the same notation.

Calculation of the Isoscalar Spin-flip Amplitude

Aside from a negligible contribution of the S-wave scattering length a_{0+}^+ , the isoscalar πN flip amplitude P_2^+ is related to the value of the invariant πN amplitude B^+ at threshold by

$$B^+(th) = \frac{2m}{1+\mu} P_2^+. \quad (2)$$

The forward dispersion relation[2] has a pole term which agrees up to 1% with the Born term in Ref.[1]. The dispersion integral contains $P_+^2(loop)$. $P_+^2(\Delta)$ is interpreted as the Δ -contribution to the integral. Its numerical value lies between the crude estimates in Ref.[1]. A pole term approximation is given on p.563 in Ref.[2]. The unsolved problems with the Δ -propagator and the $\pi N \Delta$ coupling constant do not occur.

The difference $a_{13} - a_{31}$ follows from the circle cut (t-channel exchanges) in the partial wave dispersion relation [3]. This term is related to the loop.

The S-wave Effective Range Parameter b^-

From an exact projection of fixed-t dispersion relations[3], Koch obtained an improved value 0.11 instead of 0.19 in Eq.(45) of Ref.[1]. In this calculation as well as in the combination with Eq.(44), which occurs in Geffen's sum rule (p.281 in Ref.[2]), a Δ contribution plays an important role, which does not occur in Ref.[1].

Sect.6.3: D- and F-Wave Threshold Parameters

There is a close relation to the fixed-s dispersion relation for s taken at threshold[3].

A more detailed treatment of these and other topics will be available soon.

[1] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, Ulf.-G. Meissner: Nucl. Phys. A **615** 483 (1997)

[2] G. Höhler in Pion-Nucleon Scattering, Landoldt-Börnstein I/9b2, ed. H. Schopper, Springer 1983

[3] R. Koch, Z. Physik C **29**,597 (1985), Nucl. Phys. A **448** 707 (1986)

Recent Results from πN Scattering

Greg Smith, TRIUMF

The primary physics issues to be addressed here are the determination of $\Sigma_{\pi N}$, from which the strange sea quark contribution to the proton wavefunction can be determined, and related issues such as the πN and $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths, the πN coupling constant, the values of the πN partial wave amplitudes (PWA), and the search for signs of isospin violation. Since the time of the previous Chiral Dynamics Workshop, there has been a great deal of progress in πN scattering both experimentally and theoretically. The TRIUMF πN experimental program has focussed on measurements of $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ n$ at energies near threshold, from which $\pi\pi$ scattering cross sections can be derived as well as $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths. This topic is discussed in the talk of Patarakin, and our result for a_0^0 of 0.215 ± 0.030 is presented there. Experiments even closer to threshold are discussed in the talk by Sevier, and $(\pi, 2\pi)$ is reviewed by Pocanic.

Our previous work at TRIUMF concentrated on precise measurements of $\pi^\pm p$ differential cross sections. We present an excitation function of the entire $d\sigma/d\Omega$ database at a couple of representative angles. This clearly shows that the Bertin, et al. data are outliers and that the rest of the database with a few minor exceptions is in reasonable agreement with itself as well as with SM95, but the KH80 PWA badly over-predicts the cross sections, an effect which becomes more acute close to threshold. Several new analyses (Matsinos, Pavan, Timmermans, Gibbs) support the conclusions with respect to the database and in fact use the low energy data to examine isospin breaking. Both Matsinos and Gibbs report isospin breaking effects at the 7% level.

Our present efforts at TRIUMF are geared towards measurements of the $\pi^\pm \bar{p}$ analyzing powers. We have recently completed measurements at resonance energies which again show a clear preference for the VPI PWA over the KH80 solution. Single-energy PWA has been used to explore the sensitivity of the data to the values of the S- and P-wave phase shifts. Measurements in the low energy regime, in particular at the S-P interference minimum, are planned for fall '97. These measurements have been shown to be especially sensitive to the πN scattering lengths. A similar effort at forward angles will be mounted at PSI, where use will be made of a polarized scintillator target.

Our future πN program consists of precise measurements of low energy $\pi^\pm p$ $d\sigma/d\Omega$ in the Coulomb-nuclear interference region. We have shown how such data can provide a direct measure of a_{0+}^+ and a_{1+}^+ .

The new experimental results clearly favor smaller values for $f^2/4\pi$ than the canonical KH80 value of 0.079, in agreement with all recent PWAs based on np, pp, $N\bar{N}$, and πN data. The single exception is an analysis of Erickson and Loiseau, based on an np scattering experiment at a single energy, which obtains a result even higher than KH80. However, the consensus from MENU97 was overwhelmingly in favor of lowering the default value of $f^2/4\pi$ to $\sim 0.075 \pm 0.01$.

A reliable determination of $\Sigma_{\pi N}$ requires a careful sub-threshold analysis ala KH80, but with the much more precise amplitudes provided by either the VPI or Nijmegen analyses.

Pion-Nucleon Scattering Lengths from Pionic Hydrogen and Deuterium X-Rays

A. Badertscher, *Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Switzerland*

The 3p-1s x-ray transitions in pionic hydrogen and deuterium were measured with a high-resolution reflecting crystal spectrometer. The energy level shifts ε_{1s} and decay widths Γ_{1s} of the 1s state, induced by the strong interaction, lead to a determination of the two πN s-wave scattering lengths directly at threshold [1,2]. Preliminary results for pionic hydrogen are [3]: $\varepsilon_{1s} = -7.108 \pm 0.013(stat.) \pm 0.034(syst.) eV$ (attractive) and $\Gamma_{1s} = 0.897 \pm 0.045(stat.) \pm 0.037(syst.) eV$. Inserting these values into Deser's formula [4], and adding the statistical and systematic errors linearly, results in the following preliminary values for the scattering lengths for elastic and charge exchange scattering: $a_{\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p}^h = (0.0883 \pm 0.0008) m_\pi^{-1}$ and $a_{\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0n}^h = (-0.1301 \pm 0.0059) m_\pi^{-1}$.

The systematic error of the decay width Γ_{1s} is due to the uncertainty of the Doppler correction of the measured line width. This Doppler broadening is due to a gain in kinetic energy of the pionic atoms after a Coulomb-transition occurred during the cascade [5]. To study the kinetic energy distribution of the pionic atoms, a new experiment was performed at PSI, measuring the time of flight of neutrons emitted after the charge exchange reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0n$. A first measurement [6], with pionic atoms formed in liquid hydrogen, confirmed the result of ref. [7], that about half of the pionic atoms have kinetic energies $\gg 1 eV$ (up to $\simeq 200 eV$). This summer, a measurement with gaseous hydrogen (density approx. $40 \rho_{STP}$) was made, since the pionic x-rays were also measured from gaseous hydrogen or deuterium. The result will be used for a new calculation of the Doppler broadening, yielding a final value for the decay width of the 1s state of pionic hydrogen and the charge exchange scattering length.

The (final) results from pionic deuterium are [2]: $\varepsilon_{1s} = +2.43 \pm 0.10 eV$ (repulsive) and $\Gamma_{1s} = 1.02 \pm 0.21 eV$, yielding the complex π^-d scattering length: $a_{\pi^-d} = (-0.0259 \pm 0.0011) + i(0.0054 \pm 0.0011) m_\pi^{-1}$. The real part of the π^-d scattering length can be related to the πN scattering lengths [8,9,10].

- [1] D. Sigg et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3245 (1995),
D. Sigg et al., Nucl. Phys. **A609**, 269 (1996).
- [2] D. Chatellard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4157 (1995),
D. Chatellard et al., to be published in Nucl. Phys. A.
- [3] H.-Ch. Schröder, PhD thesis No.11760, ETH Zurich, 1996, unpublished.
- [4] S. Deser et al., Phys. Rev. **96**, 774 (1954),
D. Sigg et al., Nucl. Phys. **A609**, 310 (1996).
- [5] E.C. Aschenauer et al., Phys. Rev. **A 51**, 1965 (1995).
- [6] A. Badertscher et al., Phys. Lett. **B 392**, 278 (1997).
- [7] J.F. Crawford et al., Phys. Rev. **D 43**, 46 (1991).
- [8] A.W. Thomas and R.H. Landau, Phys. Rep. **58**, 121 (1980).
- [9] V.V. Baru and A.E. Kudryavtsev, πN Newsletter **No.12**, 64 March 1997.
- [10] S.R. Beane et al., preprint nucl-th/9708035.

Status of σ -term calculations

Buğra Borasoy

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,
MA 01003, USA

The σ -terms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\pi N}(t) &= \hat{m} \langle p' | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | p \rangle , \\ \sigma_{KN}^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{m} + m_s) \langle p' | \bar{u}u + \bar{s}s | p \rangle , \\ \sigma_{KN}^{(2)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{m} + m_s) \langle p' | -\bar{u}u + 2\bar{d}d + \bar{s}s | p \rangle ,\end{aligned}$$

with $|p\rangle$ a proton state with four-momentum p , $t = (p' - p)^2$ the invariant momentum transfer squared and $\hat{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2$ the average light quark mass. In this talk I discussed some of the results presented in [1]. This was the first calculation including all terms of second order in the quark masses (fourth order in the meson masses). The calculations were performed in the isospin limit $m_u = m_d$ and the electromagnetic corrections were neglected. The most general effective Lagrangian to fourth order necessary to investigate the

σ -terms consists of fourteen unknown coupling constants (LECs). Since we are not able to fix them from data we estimate them from resonance exchange. It turns out that for the scalar-isoscalar LECs one has to consider besides the standard tree graphs with scalar meson exchange also Goldstone boson l

oops with intermediate baryon resonances (spin-3/2 decuplet and spin-1/2 (Roper) octet). To leading order in the resonance masses the pertinent graphs are divergent. Using the baryon masses and $\sigma_{\pi N}(0)$ as input one can determine the a priori unknown renormalization constants. The chiral expansion of the πN σ -term shows a moderate convergence : $\sigma_{\pi N}(0) = 58.3 (1 - 0.56 + 0.33)$ MeV = 45 MeV . The strangeness fraction y and $\hat{\sigma}$ are defined via

$$y = \frac{2 \langle p | \bar{s}s | p \rangle}{\langle p | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | p \rangle} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sigma_{\pi N}(0)} .$$

We obtain $y = 0.21 \pm 0.20$ and $\hat{\sigma} = (36 \pm 7)$ MeV . In the case of the KN σ -terms the results can only be given up to two renormalization constants which are an artifact of a calculation with $m_u = m_d$. Varying these constants between 0.5 and 1 leads to $\sigma_{KN}^{(1)}(0) = 73 \dots 216$ MeV and $\sigma_{KN}^{(2)}(0) = 493 \dots 703$ MeV . These numbers are only indicative and have to be sharpened in a calculation with $m_u \neq m_d$. The shifts to the pertinent Cheng-Dashen points are $\sigma_{\pi N}(2M_\pi^2) - \sigma_{\pi N}(0) = 5.1$ MeV , $\sigma_{KN}^{(1)}(2M_K^2) - \sigma_{KN}^{(1)}(0) = (292 + i 365)$ MeV and $\sigma_{KN}^{(2)}(2M_K^2) - \sigma_{KN}^{(2)}(0) = (-52 + i 365)$ MeV .

[1] B. Borasoy and Ulf-G. Meißner, Ann. Phys. **254** (1997) 192

The sigma-term revisited

M.E. Sainio

Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Helsinki, P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland

The pion-nucleon Σ -term, which is essentially the isoscalar D -amplitude at the Cheng-Dashen point with the pseudovector Born term subtracted, is a sensitive quantity. The widely accepted values have been in the range 60-65 MeV with an uncertainty of about 10-12 MeV. However, the discussions in the MENU97 meeting in Vancouver have confused the situation considerably. The results presented there, based on a whole variety of approaches, may be summarized by $\Sigma = 60 \pm 20$ MeV, if all the proposed values are to be included.

The dispersion method discussed in Ref. [1] and applied in [2] is appropriate for determining the low-energy amplitudes and for extrapolating to the near-by unphysical region. In particular, the aim in [2] was to estimate the effect of the experimental errors of the low-energy data to the uncertainty of the D^+ -amplitude at the Cheng-Dashen point. The method, however, needs as input amplitudes which satisfy fixed- t dispersion relations with good precision. Beyond the Karlsruhe amplitudes the VPI group has recently started to incorporate analyticity constraints into their partial wave analysis [3]. This is important, because the Karlsruhe amplitudes are based on data which were available about 1980, and quite a few results have been published since then. The new data also revise our understanding especially at the lowest energies.

In the extrapolation from $t = 0$ to the Cheng-Dashen point, $t = 2\mu^2$, the three contributions to the Σ , the constant, the linear part and the curvature contribution are about -90 MeV, 140 MeV and 12 MeV respectively. The largest term, 140 MeV, is fixed by the forward dispersion relation for the E^+ -amplitude [1]. The partial wave expansion for the E -amplitude contains high powers of angular momentum, l^3 , which induces some sensitivity to the d -waves even at low energy. In Ref. [2] the error estimate contained a $\pm 30\%$ uncertainty for all the d -waves which at that time seemed very generous. The low-energy results are not sensitive to the differences at higher energies which can be tested by comparing the results of the KH.80 and KA.84 input amplitudes. The main change is at the low energies where the observable cross sections are evaluated and compared with the experimental results. The main parameters in the fit are the two subtraction constants, isoscalar s -wave scattering length and the upper p -wave scattering volume, which are fixed with the constraint that the forward dispersion relations are exactly satisfied.

[1] J. Gasser et al., Phys. Lett. B **213** 85 (1988)

[2] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler and M.E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. B **253** 252 (1991)

[3] M. Pavan, these Proceedings

V.P.I. πN PWA: Recent results for Σ and f^2

Marcello M. Pavan

Lab for Nuclear Science, M.I.T., 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139

The V.P.I. partial-wave analysis group updates its pion-nucleon partial wave analysis (PWA) regularly [1] as high quality data, much still at low and Δ resonance energies, emerges steadily from the world's meson factories. Updating is necessary as the Delta resonance region is crucial to phenomenological extractions of the πN Sigma Σ term and πNN coupling constant f^2 .

It is well known that analyticity constraints are essential in PWA to reliably extract Σ and f^2 from scattering data. The V.P.I. analysis implements constraints from forward C and E (t-derivative) dispersion relations (DR), and fixed-t dispersion relations (0 to t=-0.3 GeV²) for the invariant B and A amplitudes, from threshold to ~ 700 MeV. The DRs are constrained to be satisfied to within $\sim 1\%$ over the relevant kinematical ranges. The *a priori* unknown constants of the forward DRs and B fixed-t DR, the s-wave (p-wave) a_0^\pm (a_{1+}^\pm) scattering lengths (volume), and the coupling constant f^2 , are treated as parameters to be determined by least squares fitting of the data and the DRs together. Recent solutions indicate a best fit for $f^2=0.0760\pm 0.0005$, $a_0^+ \sim 0.0004$, $a_{\pi-p} \sim 0.088 m_\pi^{-1}$, and $a_{1+}^+ \sim 0.136 m_\pi^{-3}$. The scattering length results agree with the results derived from the recent precise line shift and width measurements on hydrogen and deuterium [2].

Using the method of Ref. [3], and also by extrapolating the A DR subtraction constants ($A^+(0,t)$) linearly to the Cheng-Dashen point, we find a Sigma term $\Sigma_d \sim 75 MeV$, compared to the "canonical" result ~ 50 MeV [3]. It must be stressed that there is little reason beyond nostalgia to adhere to the "canonical" result, which uses a PWA solution [4] based on older (pre-meson factory), sparse, and often outdated data. The current VPI solution satisfies much better than [4] the relevant fixed-t and forward DRs, and also provides a much better fit to the world data (and almost any subset), especially around the Delta resonance. *e.g.* the fit to the <350 MeV $\pi^- p$ polarization data is 3x worse using solution [4], and it is shown in [5] that $P(\pi^- p)$ is sensitive to the constant c_{00}^+ , which contributes 1/3 to Σ_d . A *new* PWA analysis based *e.g.* on the methods in [4] is called upon to check our results for Σ and f^2 .

[1] V.P.I. πN PWA solutions : TELNET claid.phys.vt.edu (username : 'said')

[2] A. Badertscher, these proceedings

[3] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler and M.E. Sainio (1991) : Phys.Lett. B**253** 252

[4] R. Koch, E. Pietarinen (1980) : Nucl.Phys. A**336** 331

[5] R. Koch (July 1985) : Karlsruhe preprint TKP 85-5

Dual structure of Chiral expansions.

Vladimir V.Vereshagin, Alexander V.Vereshagin
Institute of physics, St.-Petersburg State University
St.-Petersburg, 198904, Russia.

Two main problems preventing the further successful application of the methods of Chiral Perturbation Theory are the following: 1. The problem of low energy constants (LEC's); 2. The problem of resonances. They are closely connected with each other and the property of Chiral Duality (or, the same, resonance saturation — see, e.g. [1]) clearly demonstrates this connection. Unfortunately, Chiral Duality tells us nothing about the structure of LEC's in exotic channels and, of course, it does not provide a solution to the problem of resonances. Below we give a sketch of the general approach allowing one to solve both problems simultaneously. The main idea of the approach is to take advantage of the old good principle of maximal analyticity, the latter being understood as the requirement of meromorphy and polynomial boundedness of the tree level amplitude considered as a function of 3 dependent complex variables s , t , u . This requirement proves to be highly nontrivial when applied to effective field theory containing all possible types of the interaction vertices.

Let us now write down two most important (and constructive) postulates. 1. The tree-level amplitude of every binary process is a meromorphic function in a space of 3 dependent complex variables s , t , u with the principle part completely fixed by the corresponding “naive” Feynman rules. 2. When considered as a function of any one complex variable x (the energy squared in a given channel) at fixed real nonpositive value y of the corresponding momentum transfer the amplitude is polynomially bounded in x , the degree of bounding polynomial being dictated by the relevant Regge intercept. The main tool for derivation of results is provided by the Mittag-Leffler theorem. This allows us to write down three different partial fraction expansions for the amplitude, each one being convergent in a corresponding band adjacent to one of the sides of Mandelstam triangle. The self-consistency requirements in the domains of mutual intersection of those bands turn out to be just the duality conditions which produce an infinite set of bootstrap equations for the resonance masses and widths. These latter equations allow one to express the LEC's in terms of spectrum parameters.

The fruitfulness of our approach is illustrated in detail in [2] by the example of πK - amplitude; its connection with Weinberg's result on algebraic realization of Chiral symmetry is considered in [3].

[1] Ulf-G.Meissner. Rep. Progr. Phys., 56 (1993) 903.

[2] V.Vereshagin. Phys. Rev., D55 (1997) 5349.

[3] V.Vereshagin, M.Dillig, A.Vereshagin. hep-ph/9611392; Preprint SPbU-IP-96-33 (1996).