

# $\alpha$ -Pfaffian, pfaffian point process and shifted Schur measure

SHO MATSUMOTO

July 16, 2018

## Abstract

For any complex number  $\alpha$  and any even-size skew-symmetric matrix  $B$ , we define a generalization  $\text{pf}_\alpha(B)$  of the pfaffian  $\text{pf}(B)$  which we call the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian. The  $\alpha$ -pfaffian is a pfaffian analogue of the  $\alpha$ -determinant studied in [ST] and [V]. It gives the pfaffian at  $\alpha = -1$ . We give some formulas for  $\alpha$ -pfaffians and study the positivity. Further we define point processes determined by the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian. Also we provide a linear algebraic proof of the explicit pfaffian expression obtained in [M] for the correlation function of the shifted Schur measure.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification** : Primary 15A15, Secondary 15A48, 05E05, 60G55

**Key Words** :  $\alpha$ -pfaffian,  $\alpha$ -determinant, pfaffian, pfaffian point process, Schur  $Q$ -function, shifted Schur measure.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\alpha$  be a complex number and  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be a square matrix. Then the  $\alpha$ -determinant of  $A$  is introduced in [ST] and is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \det_\alpha(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where  $\nu(\sigma)$  stands for the number of cycles in  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  (see also [V]). Remark that in [V] it is called the  $\alpha$ -permanent. This gives a determinant at  $\alpha = -1$  or a permanent at  $\alpha = 1$ :

$$\det_{-1}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} = \det(A), \quad \det_1(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} = \text{per}(A).$$

For a sequence  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^k$ , we put

$$A_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 i_1} & \cdots & a_{i_1 i_k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_k i_1} & \cdots & a_{i_k i_k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define a norm  $\|A\|$  of  $A$  by

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1, v \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|Av\|,$$

where  $\|v\|$  stands for the standard norm in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then it is proved in [V] (see also [ST]) that if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $\|\alpha z A\| < 1$ , we have

$$(1.2) \quad \det(I_n - \alpha z A)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq n} \det_{\alpha}(A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}),$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n$  by  $n$  unit matrix. Note that if  $\alpha \in \{-1/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , the equation (1.2) holds without the condition for  $z$  since  $\det(I_n + \frac{1}{m} z A)^m$  is a polynomial for  $z$ . In particular, when  $\alpha = -1$ , the formula (1.2) provides the expansion of the characteristic polynomial:

$$(1.3) \quad \det(I_n + z A) = \sum_{k=0}^n z^k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \det(A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}).$$

Our first aim in this paper is to obtain a pfaffian version of (1.2). For that purpose, we define a pfaffian analogue  $\text{pf}_{\alpha}(B)$  of the  $\alpha$ -determinant for a skew-symmetric matrix  $B$  whose size is even. This analogue  $\text{pf}_{\alpha}(B)$ , which we will call the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian of  $B$ , the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian gives a new expression of the usual pfaffian at  $\alpha = -1$ , i.e.,  $\text{pf}_{-1}(B) = \text{pf}(B)$  (see Section 2.2). Further, for a particular skew-symmetric matrix, the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian gives the  $\alpha$ -determinant. Therefore we can regard the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian as a generalization of the pfaffian and the  $\alpha$ -determinant.

The second aim is to construct a point process determined by the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian. It covers the  $\alpha$ -determinantal point process studied in [ST] and the usual pfaffian point process studied in [BR], [R], and [S].

The third aim is to regard the shifted Schur measure as a pfaffian point process and to obtain a linear algebraic proof of the explicit pfaffian expression of its correlation function by the same technique in [BR]. The shifted Schur measure is the measure which gives the probability  $2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda}(u) Q_{\lambda}(v)$  to each strict partition  $\lambda$ , where  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the length of  $\lambda$  and  $Q_{\lambda}(u)$  (resp.  $Q_{\lambda}(v)$ ) is the Schur  $Q$ -function associated with  $\lambda$  in the variables  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$  (resp.  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ ). Its correlation function was obtained by using vertex operators on the exterior algebra in [M]. We give a new and more direct proof.

The fourth aim is to study the positivity of  $\alpha$ -pfaffians. The positivity is important for existences of the point processes determined by  $\alpha$ -pfaffians. In [ST], the following conjecture for  $\alpha$ -determinants is established.

**Conjecture 1.1 ([ST]).** *Let  $\alpha \in [0, 2] \cup \{-1/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then  $\det_{\alpha}(A) \geq 0$  whenever  $A$  is a non-negative definite hermitian matrix.  $\square$*

This is true if  $\alpha \in \{2/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{0\} \cup \{-1/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . We study an  $\alpha$ -pfaffian version of this conjecture.

## 2 $\alpha$ -Pfaffian

### 2.1 Basic facts of the pfaffian

We recall the definition of the pfaffian. For a skew-symmetric matrix  $B = (b_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq 2m}$ , the pfaffian of  $B$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \text{pf}(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2m}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^m b_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2m}$  is the subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_{2m}$  given by

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2m} = \{\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(2m)) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}; \\ \sigma(2j-1) < \sigma(2j) \ (1 \leq j \leq m), \ \sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \dots < \sigma(2m-1)\}.$$

For convenience, we define  $\text{pf}(\emptyset) = 1$  if  $m = 0$ . We consider a matrix of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B(1,1) & \dots & B(1,m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B(m,1) & \dots & B(m,m) \end{pmatrix},$$

where each  $B(r, s)$  is a 2 by 2 block given by

$$B(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{00}(r, s) & B_{01}(r, s) \\ B_{10}(r, s) & B_{11}(r, s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{2r-1, 2s-1} & b_{2r-1, 2s} \\ b_{2r, 2s-1} & b_{2r, 2s} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r, s \leq m.$$

We call  $B(r, s)$  the  $(r, s)$ -block of  $B$ . Since  $b_{kl} = -b_{lk}$  for  $1 \leq k, l \leq 2m$ , it holds that  $B_{ij}(r, s) = -B_{ji}(s, r)$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $1 \leq r, s \leq m$ . For a finite sequence  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^k$ , we put

$$B[S] = \begin{pmatrix} B(s_1, s_1) & \dots & B(s_1, s_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B(s_k, s_1) & \dots & B(s_k, s_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $B[S]$  is also skew-symmetric.

Let  $J_m$  be the  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix whose  $(r, s)$ -block is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{rs} \\ -\delta_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following proposition is a pfaffian analogue of (1.3).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix and  $J_m$  be above. For any  $z$ , we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \text{pf}(J_m + zB) = \sum_{k=0}^m z^k \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k \leq m} \text{pf}(B[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]).$$

*Proof.* By the definition (2.1) of the pfaffian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(J_m + zB) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^m (zb_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} + (J_m)_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{k=0}^m z^k \sum_{\substack{S \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ \#S=k}} \left( \prod_{j \in S} b_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} \right) \left( \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus S} (J_m)_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus S} (J_m)_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} = 0$  unless  $\sigma(2j-1) = 2j-1$  and  $\sigma(2j) = 2j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus S$ , we have

$$\text{pf}(J_m + zB) = \sum_{k=0}^m z^k \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k \leq m} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^k b_{\tau(2s_i-1)\tau(2s_i)} = \sum_{k=0}^m z^k \sum_{\substack{S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ \#S=k}} \text{pf}(B[S]),$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_{2k}$  acts on  $\{2s_1-1, 2s_1, \dots, 2s_k-1, 2s_k\}$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Definition of $\alpha$ -pfaffian

Let  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix. For a cycle  $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$ , we put

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} P(B)(\tau) &= P(B)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_r} B_{i_1, i_2+1}(k_1, k_2) B_{i_2, i_3+1}(k_2, k_3) \cdots B_{i_r, i_1+1}(k_r, k_1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$ . This is independent of the way of expressions of the cycle  $\tau$ :

$$P(B)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) = P(B)(k_2, \dots, k_r, k_1) = \cdots .$$

For any complex number  $\alpha$ , we define the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian of  $B$  by

$$(2.5) \quad \text{pf}_\alpha(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \alpha^{m-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} P(B)(\sigma^{(j)}),$$

where  $\sigma = \sigma^{(1)}\sigma^{(2)} \cdots \sigma^{(\nu(\sigma))}$  is the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

We now provide another expression of the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian. Let  $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  be a cycle and suppose that  $k_1$  is the smallest among  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$ . Then we put

$$\begin{aligned} Q(B)(\tau) &= Q(B)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \\ &= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_2+\dots+i_r} B_{0, i_2+1}(k_1, k_2) B_{i_2, i_3+1}(k_2, k_3) \cdots B_{i_r, 1}(k_r, k_1) \end{aligned}$$

if  $r \geq 2$ , or  $Q(B)(\tau) = B_{01}(k_1, k_1)$  if  $r = 1$ . For  $\tau^{-1} = (k_1, k_r, k_{r-1}, \dots, k_2)$ , we have

$$Q(B)(\tau^{-1}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_2+\dots+i_r} B_{0, i_2+1}(k_1, k_r) B_{i_2, i_3+1}(k_r, k_{r-1}) \cdots B_{i_r, 1}(k_2, k_1).$$

Since  $B_{ij}(r, s) = -B_{ji}(s, r)$ , changing the indices of  $i_2, \dots, i_r$ , we see that

$$= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_2 + \dots + i_r + r} B_{1, i_2}(k_1, k_2) B_{i_2+1, i_3}(k_2, k_3) \cdots B_{i_r+1, 0}(k_r, k_1).$$

Further, if we change indices as  $j_p = i_p + 1$ , we obtain

$$= \sum_{j_2, \dots, j_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{j_2 + \dots + j_r} B_{1, j_2+1}(k_1, k_2) B_{j_2, j_3+1}(k_2, k_3) \cdots B_{j_r, 0}(k_r, k_1).$$

Therefore we get the equality  $2P(B)(\tau) = Q(B)(\tau) + Q(B)(\tau^{-1})$ . Hence we have the

**Proposition 2.2.** *We have*

$$(2.6) \quad \text{pf}_\alpha(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \alpha^{m-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} Q(B)(\sigma^{(j)}). \quad \square$$

**Example 2.1.** We abbreviate  $Q(B)(\tau)$  to  $Q(\tau)$ .

- The case where  $m = 1$ . We have  $\text{pf}_\alpha(B) = Q(1) = B_{01}(1, 1) = b_{12}$  for any  $\alpha$ .
- The case where  $m = 2$ . Since  $Q(1, 2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i B_{0, i+1}(1, 2) B_{i, 1}(2, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}_\alpha(B) &= Q(1)Q(2) + \alpha Q(1, 2) \\ &= B_{01}(1, 1)B_{01}(2, 2) + \alpha (B_{01}(1, 2)B_{01}(2, 1) - B_{00}(1, 2)B_{11}(2, 1)) \\ &= b_{12}b_{34} + \alpha(b_{14}b_{32} - b_{13}b_{42}) = b_{12}b_{34} + \alpha(b_{13}b_{24} - b_{14}b_{23}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We compare the number of terms in the expression (2.6) with that in the definition (2.1) of the pfaffian. The number of terms in (2.6) as a polynomial of  $\alpha$  is at most  $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} 2^{m-\nu(\sigma)}$ . On the other hand, the number of terms in (2.1) equals  $(2m - 1)!!$ . We can show that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} 2^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = (2m - 1)!!$$

in the following Proposition 2.3. Therefore the number of terms in the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian is equal to the number of them in the pfaffian (when  $\alpha = -1$ ).

**Proposition 2.3.** *For any complex number  $x$ , we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (kx + 1).$$

*In particular,  $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = 0$  if  $x = -\frac{1}{k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ ).*

*Proof.* We prove (2.8) by induction on  $m$ . When  $m = 1$ , both sides in (2.8) equal 1. Assume that the equality holds for  $m - 1$ . Put  $\mathfrak{S}_m(m, k) = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m; \sigma(m) = k\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . We define a bijective map  $s$  from  $\mathfrak{S}_m(m, k)$  to  $\mathfrak{S}_{m-1}$  by removing  $m$  from the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m, k)$ . For example, we see that  $s((1 \ 2 \ 4)(3 \ 6 \ 5)) = (1 \ 2 \ 4)(3 \ 5)$  for  $(1 \ 2 \ 4)(3 \ 6 \ 5) \in \mathfrak{S}_6(6, 5)$ .

Since  $\nu(s(\sigma))$  is equal to  $\nu(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m, k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ ), or to  $\nu(\sigma) - 1$  if  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m, m)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m, k)} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} x^{m-\nu(\pi)} + \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} x^{m-1-\nu(\pi)} \\ &= ((m-1)x + 1) \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} x^{m-1-\nu(\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of the induction, (2.8) follows immediately.  $\square$

The  $\alpha$ -pfaffian has the following invariance.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\text{pf}_\alpha({}^t J_m B J_m) = \text{pf}_\alpha(B).$$

*Proof.* Put  $\tilde{B} = {}^t J_m B J_m$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\tilde{B}_{ij}(r, s) = (-1)^{i+j} B_{i+1, j+1}(r, s)$  for  $i, j = 0, 1$  and  $r, s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Therefore we see that  $P(\tilde{B})(\tau) = P(B)(\tau)$  for any cycle  $\tau$  so that  $\text{pf}_\alpha(\tilde{B}) = \text{pf}_\alpha(B)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** For the usual pfaffian, the equality  $\text{pf}({}^t T B T) = \text{pf}(B) \det(T)$  holds for any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix  $B$  and for any  $2m$  by  $2m$  matrix  $T$ . But we cannot expect a similar formula for general  $\alpha$ -pfaffians.  $\square$

### 2.3 Expansions of the power of a pfaffian

Now we give a pfaffian version of (1.2).

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a non-zero complex number and  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix. If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $\|\alpha z B\| < 1$ , then we have*

$$(2.9) \quad \text{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \leq m} \text{pf}_\alpha(B[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]).$$

Here if  $\alpha \in \{-1/p; p = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , the equation (2.9) holds without the condition for  $z$ .

To prove the theorem, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For a cycle  $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$ , we have*

$$\text{tr}((-J_1)B(k_1, k_2)(-J_1)B(k_2, k_3) \cdots (-J_1)B(k_r, k_1)) = 2P(B)(\tau).$$

*Proof.* Since

$$-J_1 B(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} -B_{10}(r, s) & -B_{11}(r, s) \\ B_{00}(r, s) & B_{01}(r, s) \end{pmatrix},$$

the claim follows immediately from the definition (2.4) of  $P(B)(\tau)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* Since  $\text{pf}(B)^2 = \det(B)$ ,  $\det(\pm J_m) = 1$  and  $J_m^2 = -I_{2m}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} &= \det(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/(2\alpha)} = (\det(-J_m) \det(J_m - \alpha z B))^{-1/(2\alpha)} \\ &= \det(I_{2m} - \alpha z (-J_m B))^{-1/(2\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption on  $z$ , we have  $\|\alpha z J_m B\| \leq \|J_m\| \cdot \|\alpha z B\| < 1$ . Thus we obtain

$$\det(I_{2m} - \alpha z (-J_m B))^{-1/(2\alpha)} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^p}{p} \text{tr}(T^p) \right),$$

where we put  $T = -J_m B$ . If we expand the right hand side, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\alpha)^{-l}}{l!} \sum_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l \geq 1} (\alpha z)^{p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_l} \frac{\text{tr}(T^{p_1}) \text{tr}(T^{p_2}) \dots \text{tr}(T^{p_l})}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} \\ (2.10) \quad &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha z)^k \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{(2\alpha)^{-l}}{l!} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l \geq 1, \\ p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_l = k}} \frac{\text{tr}(T^{p_1}) \text{tr}(T^{p_2}) \dots \text{tr}(T^{p_l})}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l}. \end{aligned}$$

For a partition  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_l)$  of weight  $k$  and of length  $\ell(\rho) = l$ , we denote by  $C_\rho$  the conjugate class of cycle type  $\rho$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ . It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l \geq 1 \\ p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_l = k \\ \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\} = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_l\}}} \frac{k!}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} = \#C_\rho = \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 1,$$

where the sum in the left hand side is all over the sequence  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l)$  of  $l$  positive integers satisfying the conditions  $p_1 + \dots + p_l = k$  and  $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\} = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l\}$ . Hence we have

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l \geq 1 \\ p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_l = k}} \frac{\text{tr}(T^{p_1}) \text{tr}(T^{p_2}) \dots \text{tr}(T^{p_l})}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}} \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \text{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \text{tr}(T^{\rho_2}) \dots \text{tr}(T^{\rho_l}).$$

By (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\text{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^k}{k!} \sum_{l=1}^k (2\alpha)^{-l} \sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}} \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \text{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \text{tr}(T^{\rho_2}) \dots \text{tr}(T^{\rho_l}).$$

Since

$$\text{tr}(T^p) = \text{tr}((-J_m B)^p) = \sum_{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_p \leq m} \text{tr}((-J_1 B(s_1, s_2)) \dots (-J_1 B(s_p, s_1)))$$

it follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_k \leq m} \sum_{l=1}^k (2\alpha)^{-l} \sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}} \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 2^l \prod_{j=1}^l P(B[s_1, \dots, s_k])(\sigma^{(j)}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_k \leq m} \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha^{k-l} \sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}} \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^l P(B[s_1, \dots, s_k])(\sigma^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma \in C_\rho$ , then  $\nu(\sigma) = \ell(\rho)$  so that we obtain

$$\text{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_k \leq m} \text{pf}_\alpha(B[s_1, \dots, s_k]).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** It is clear that

$$\exp \left( z \sum_{s=1}^m B_{01}(s, s) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_k \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^k B_{01}(s_j, s_j)$$

for any  $z$ . We may regard this formula as a limit of (2.9) as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

From Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain a new expression of the pfaffian.

**Corollary 2.7.** *For any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix  $B$  we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \text{pf}(B) = \text{pf}_{-1}(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} Q(B)(\sigma^{(j)}). \quad \square$$

## 2.4 Connections to $\alpha$ -determinants and some formulas

Let  $\mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C})$  be the set of all  $m$  by  $m$   $\mathbb{C}$ -matrices and  $\mathfrak{o}_{2m} = \mathfrak{o}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$  be the set of all  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric  $\mathbb{C}$ -matrices. For  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$ , we denote by  $\omega(A) \in \mathfrak{o}_{2m}$  the skew-symmetric matrix whose  $(r, s)$ -block is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{rs} \\ -a_{sr} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words,

$$(2.13) \quad \omega(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes A + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes {}^t A,$$

where  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product. It is clear that the map  $\omega : \mathfrak{gl}_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{2m}$  is linear and injective. The following proposition assures that the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian is a generalization of  $\alpha$ -determinant.

**Proposition 2.8.** *For  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$ , we have  $\text{pf}_\alpha(\omega(A)) = \det_\alpha(A)$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $Q(\omega(A))(\tau) = a_{k_1 k_2} a_{k_2 k_3} \cdots a_{k_r k_1}$  for a cycle  $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$ . Therefore by (2.6) and (1.1) we have  $\text{pf}_\alpha(\omega(A)) = \det_\alpha(A)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** It is well known that  $\text{pf}(B)^2 = \det(B)$  in the case of  $\alpha = -1$ . But we cannot expect a similar formula for general  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

Theorem 2.5 reduces (1.2) by Proposition 2.8. Now we describe some formulas for  $\alpha$ -pfaffians and  $\alpha$ -determinants.



**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\text{pf}_\alpha(J_m + zB) = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} z^{\#S} \text{pf}_\alpha(B[S]).$$

*In particular, for any  $m$  by  $m$  matrix  $A$*

$$\det_\alpha(I_m + zA) = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} z^{\#S} \det_\alpha(A_S).$$

*Proof.* The first formula is obtained in a similar way to Proposition 2.1. The second formula is clear from the first formula and Proposition 2.8.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\text{pf}_\alpha(B) = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} \det_{2\alpha}(B_{i_r, i_s+1}(r, s))_{1 \leq r, s \leq m}.$$

*Proof.* By the definition (2.5) of the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}_\alpha(B) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \alpha^{m-\nu(\sigma)} 2^{-\nu(\sigma)} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m} \prod_{k=1}^m B_{i_k, i_{\sigma(k)}+1}(k, \sigma(k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} (2\alpha)^{m-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{k=1}^m B_{i_k, i_{\sigma(k)}+1}(k, \sigma(k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} \det_{2\alpha}(B_{i_r, i_s+1}(r, s))_{1 \leq r, s \leq m}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

For an arbitrary matrix  $T \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$  and a non-negative integer  $k$ , we define  $\mathbb{T}_k^{(\alpha)}(T)$  by  $\mathbb{T}_k^{(\alpha)}(T) = \frac{1}{2^k k!} \det_\alpha(Z_k(T))$ , where

$$Z_k(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(T) & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \text{tr}(T^2) & \text{tr}(T) & 4 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{tr}(T^{k-2}) & \text{tr}(T^{k-3}) & \text{tr}(T^{k-4}) & \dots & 2(k-2) & 0 \\ \text{tr}(T^{k-1}) & \text{tr}(T^{k-2}) & \text{tr}(T^{k-3}) & \dots & \text{tr}(T) & 2(k-1) \\ \text{tr}(T^k) & \text{tr}(T^{k-1}) & \text{tr}(T^{k-2}) & \dots & \text{tr}(T^2) & \text{tr}(T) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $k \geq 1$  and  $\mathbb{T}_0(T) = 1$ .

Then we have

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $B$  be any  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{T}_m^{(\alpha)}(-J_m B) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_m \leq m} \text{pf}_\alpha(B[s_1, \dots, s_m]).$$

*In particular,  $\mathbb{T}_m^{(-1)}(-J_m B) = \text{pf}(B)$ .*

The case of  $\alpha = -1$  is obtained in Lemma 2.5 in [KW]. We need a lemma to prove the proposition. Denote by  $z_m(T)_{ij}$  the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix  $Z_m(T)$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$  be a partition of  $m$  whose length is  $l$  and  $C_\rho$  be a conjugate class of  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  indexed by  $\rho$ . For the matrix  $Z_m(T)$ , we have*

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^m z_m(T)_{j\sigma(j)} = 2^{m-l} \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 1.$$

*Proof.* For a cycle  $\tau = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ \rho_1)(\rho_1 + 1 \ \dots \ \rho_1 + \rho_2) \cdots$  in  $C_\rho$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^m z_m(T)_{j\tau(j)} &= 2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2(\rho_1 - 1) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdot 2(\rho_1 + 1) \cdots 2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 1) \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_2}) \cdots \\ &= 2^{m-l} \frac{m!}{\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \cdots (\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \cdots + \rho_l)} \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_l}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z_m(T)_{ij} = 0$  if  $j > i + 1$ , only the terms for the permutations whose forms are  $\sigma = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ a_1)(a_1 + 1 \ \dots \ a_1 + a_2) \cdots$  remain in the left hand side of (2.14), where  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  are permutations for  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^m z_m(T)_{j\sigma(j)} \\ &= 2^{m-l} m! \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \left( \prod_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_r(\rho)!} \right) \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_l} \frac{1}{\rho_{\pi(1)}(\rho_{\pi(1)} + \rho_{\pi(2)}) \cdots (\rho_{\pi(1)} + \rho_{\pi(2)} + \cdots + \rho_{\pi(l)})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_r(\rho)$  is the multiplicity of  $r$  in  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$ . It is easy to see that this equals

$$= 2^{m-l} m! \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \left( \prod_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_r(\rho)!} \right) \frac{1}{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_l} = 2^{m-l} \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \#C_\rho.$$

We have proved the lemma. □

*Proof of Proposition 2.11.* We have

$$\mathbb{T}_m^{(\alpha)}(-J_m B) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \alpha^{m-\ell(\rho)} \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^m z_m(-J_m B)_{j\sigma(j)},$$

where  $\rho \vdash m$  means that  $\rho$  is a partition of  $m$ . By Lemma 2.12,

$$= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \alpha^{m-\ell(\rho)} 2^{-\ell(\rho)} \operatorname{tr}((-J_m B)^{\rho_1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}((-J_m B)^{\rho_l}) \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 1.$$

In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the first claim in the proposition.

In the case of  $\alpha = -1$ , we see that

$$\mathbb{T}_m^{(-1)}(-J_m B) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_m \leq m, \\ s_1, \dots, s_m \text{ are distinct}}} \operatorname{pf}(B[s_1, \dots, s_m]) = \operatorname{pf}(B).$$

□

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$  and  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a matrix in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . Then the immanant of  $A$  is defined by  $\text{Imm}_\lambda(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}$ , where  $\chi^\lambda$  is the irreducible character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  associated with  $\lambda$ , see [J]. It is easy to see that  $\text{Imm}_{(n)}(A) = \text{per}(A)$  and  $\text{Imm}_{(1^n)}(A) = \det(A)$ . We can define a pfaffian analogue of the immanant by

$$\text{pf}^\lambda(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} Q(B)(\sigma^{(j)}),$$

where  $B \in \mathfrak{o}_{2m}$  and  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $m$ . We immediately see  $\text{pf}^{(m)}(B) = \text{pf}_1(B)$  and  $\text{pf}^{(1^m)}(B) = \text{pf}_{-1}(B) = \text{pf}(B)$ . As like Proposition 2.8, we obtain  $\text{pf}^\lambda(\omega(A)) = \text{Imm}_\lambda(A)$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$  and a partition  $\lambda$  of  $m$ , that is,  $\text{pf}^\lambda$  is an extension of the immanant.  $\square$

### 3 $\alpha$ -Pfaffian point processes

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a countable set. Let  $L$  be a map

$$L : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}); (x, y) \mapsto L(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} L_{00}(x, y) & L_{01}(x, y) \\ L_{10}(x, y) & L_{11}(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

such that  $L_{ij}(x, y) = -L_{ji}(y, x)$  for any  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Such  $L$  is called a skew-symmetric matrix kernel on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , see [R, S]. We regard the map  $L$  as an operator on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathfrak{X}) \oplus \ell^2(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $L$  is a matrix whose blocks are indexed by elements in  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ . For  $n$ -point  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}$ , we denote by  $L[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  the  $2n$  by  $2n$  skew-symmetric matrix  $(L(x_i, x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ .

Let  $J$  be the skew-symmetric matrix kernel determined by  $J(x, y) = J_1 \delta_{x,y}$  and  $\alpha$  be a real number. Suppose that  $\text{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  are non-negative for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{X}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and that  $\|\alpha L\| < 1$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm with respect to the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathfrak{X}) \oplus \ell^2(\mathfrak{X})$ . By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \text{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

Here if  $\#\mathfrak{X} = \infty$  then we put  $\text{pf}(J + L) := \sum_{\substack{X \subset \mathfrak{X} \\ \#X < \infty}} \text{pf}(L[X])$ . We consider a probability density on  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{X}^n$  defined by

$$(3.2) \quad p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \text{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \text{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{X}^n.$$

By (3.1), we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ .

Put  $\Omega(\mathfrak{X}) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{X}^n / \sim$ , where we write  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  for two elements in  $\mathfrak{X}^n$  if and only if there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $y_j = x_{\sigma(j)}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). We define a point process  $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  by the equality

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{X \in \Omega(\mathfrak{X})} \pi_L^{(\alpha)}(X) f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1) \cdots f(x_n)$$

for any test function  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Here we write  $f(X) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_n)$  for any sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in a class  $X$ . More explicitly,  $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$  is a probability measure on  $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X})$  defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \pi_L^{(\alpha)}(X) = \frac{1}{\prod_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} m_x(X)!} \text{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \text{pf}_\alpha(L[X]) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X}),$$

where  $m_x(X)$  is the multiplicity of  $x$  in  $X$ . We call this measure  $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$  the  $\alpha$ -*pfaffian point process* on  $\mathfrak{X}$  determined by a skew-symmetric matrix kernel  $L$ .

The  $n$ -point correlation function is defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k)!}{k!} \sum_{x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k} \in \mathfrak{X}} p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k})$$

for  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{X}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $L$ ,  $p_L^{(\alpha)}$ , and  $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}$  be as above. Put  $K_\alpha = -\alpha^{-1}(J + (J - \alpha L)^{-1})$  and  $\tilde{K}_\alpha = L(I + \alpha JL)^{-1}$ . Assume that  $\text{pf}_\alpha(K_\alpha[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  is non-negative for any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then we have  $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{pf}_\alpha(K_\alpha[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \text{pf}_\alpha(\tilde{K}_\alpha[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $K'_\alpha = -J\tilde{K}_\alpha J$ . Then it follows that  $(J + \alpha K'_\alpha)(J - \alpha L) = -I - \alpha JL + \alpha(-J\tilde{K}_\alpha J)J(I + \alpha JL) = -I$  so that  $K'_\alpha = -\alpha^{-1}(J + (J - \alpha L)^{-1}) = K_\alpha$ . Therefore by Proposition 2.4, it is enough to prove  $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{pf}_\alpha(\tilde{K}_\alpha[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ .

We abbreviate  $p_L^{(\alpha)}$  to  $p$  simply. Let  $f(x)$  be a function on  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $f_0(x) = f(x) - 1$  is finitely supported. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathfrak{X}} p(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f(y_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathfrak{X}} p(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + f_0(y_j)) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \prod_{j=1}^n f_0(x_j) \sum_{x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{X}} p(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \prod_{j=1}^n f_0(x_j) \sum_{x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k} \in \mathfrak{X}} p(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{j=1}^n f_0(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

When we put  $\sqrt{f}(x) = \sqrt{f(x)}$  and identify  $\sqrt{f}$  with the matrix with  $(x, y)$ -block  $\sqrt{f}(x)I_2\delta_{x,y}$ , we have  $\det(\sqrt{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_n)$ . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathfrak{X}} p(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f(y_j) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathfrak{X}} \text{pf}_\alpha((\sqrt{f}L\sqrt{f})[y_1, \dots, y_m]) \text{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \\ &= \text{pf}(J - \alpha\sqrt{f}L\sqrt{f})^{-1/\alpha} \text{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1). Further we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(J - \alpha\sqrt{f}L\sqrt{f}) \det(J - \alpha L)^{-1} = \det((J - \alpha fL)(J - \alpha L)^{-1}) \\ & = \det((-J)(J - \alpha fL)(J - \alpha L)^{-1}J) = \det((I + \alpha(1 + f_0)JL)(I + \alpha JL)^{-1}) \\ & = \det(I + f_0J\tilde{K}_\alpha) = \det(J - \alpha f_0\tilde{K}_\alpha) = \det(J - \alpha\sqrt{f_0}\tilde{K}_\alpha\sqrt{f_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.1) again,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathfrak{X}} p(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f(y_j) = \text{pf}(J - \alpha\sqrt{f_0}L\sqrt{f_0})^{-1/\alpha} \text{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \\ & = \text{pf}(J - \alpha\sqrt{f_0}\tilde{K}_\alpha\sqrt{f_0})^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \text{pf}_\alpha(\tilde{K}_\alpha[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n f_0(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{j=1}^n f_0(x_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \text{pf}_\alpha(\tilde{K}_\alpha[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n f_0(x_j)$$

for any finitely supported function  $f_0$ . This implies the theorem.  $\square$

In general, we call the matrix  $K$  such that  $\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{pf}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  the correlation kernel for a correlation function  $\rho$ . The correlation kernel is not uniquely determined.

The  $\alpha$ -determinant version of the theorem above is obtained in [ST].

**Example 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a trace class operator on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Define a skew-symmetric matrix kernel  $L$  by

$$L(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(x, y) \\ -A(y, x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $\text{pf}_\alpha(L[X]) = \det_\alpha(A_X)$  by Proposition 2.8, where  $A_X = (A(x, y))_{x, y \in X}$ . Therefore the probability measure  $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$  is nothing but the  $\alpha$ -determinantal point process determined by  $A$ , which studied in [ST]. The correlation function is given as  $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det_\alpha(B_\alpha(x_i, x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , where  $B_\alpha = A(I - \alpha A)^{-1} = -\alpha^{-1}(I - (I + A)^{-1})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** If  $\text{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  is non-negative for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\text{pf}_\alpha(J + L)$  is non-negative, then we can also define the point process on  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{X \subset \mathfrak{X}; \#X \text{ is finite}\}$  from Proposition 2.9 by  $\Pi_L^{(\alpha)}(X) = \text{pf}_\alpha(J + L)^{-1} \text{pf}_\alpha(L[X])$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ .  $\square$

## 4 Shifted Schur measure and pfaffian point process

In this section, we obtain a linear algebraic proof of the explicit pfaffian expression of the correlation function for the shifted Schur measure. It is obtained in [M] via the vertex operators on the exterior algebra.

#### 4.1 Definition of the shifted Schur measure

Let  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$  and  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$  be variables. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all strict partitions and  $\ell(\lambda)$  be the length of a partition  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$  (see [Mac]). Put

$$Q_u(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + u_j z}{1 - u_j z}.$$

The Schur  $Q$ -function  $Q_\lambda(u)$  associated with  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$  is defined as a coefficient of  $z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n}$  in the formal series expansion of

$$Q_u(z_1) \cdots Q_u(z_n) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i + z_j},$$

where  $n \geq \ell(\lambda)$  and  $\frac{z-w}{z+w} = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{-k} w^k$ .

The shifted Schur measure (see [M, TW]) is the probability measure on  $\mathcal{D}$  given by

$$P_{\text{SS}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{SS}}} 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v),$$

where  $Z_{\text{SS}}$  is the constant determined by the Cauchy identity ([Mac, III-8])

$$Z_{\text{SS}} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + u_i v_j}{1 - u_i v_j}.$$

The correlation function for the shifted Schur measure is defined by

$$\rho_{\text{SS}}(\lambda) = P_{\text{SS}}(\{\mu \in \mathcal{D}; \mu \supset \lambda\}) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{D}, \mu \supset \lambda} P_{\text{SS}}(\mu) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{D},$$

where  $\mu \supset \lambda$  means  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)}\} \supset \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}\}$ . The aim in this section is to obtain a new proof of the following theorem in [M].

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have*

$$\rho_{\text{SS}}(\lambda) = \text{pf}(\mathcal{K}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l]),$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix kernel whose blocks are

$$\mathcal{K}(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s) & \mathcal{K}_{01}(r, s) \\ \mathcal{K}_{10}(r, s) & \mathcal{K}_{11}(r, s) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } r, s \geq 1.$$

The each entry is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s) = \frac{1}{2} [z^r w^s] \frac{Q_u(z) Q_u(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1}) Q_v(w^{-1})} \frac{z-w}{z+w},$$

where  $\frac{z-w}{z+w} = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{-k} w^k$  and  $[z^r w^s]$  stands for the coefficient of  $z^r w^s$ , and

$$\mathcal{K}_{01}(r, s) = -\mathcal{K}_{10}(s, r) = \frac{1}{2} [z^r w^s] \frac{Q_u(z) Q_v(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1}) Q_u(w^{-1})} \frac{zw+1}{zw-1},$$

where  $\frac{zw+1}{zw-1} = -(1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k w^k)$ . Finally,

$$\mathcal{K}_{11}(r, s) = \frac{1}{2} [z^r w^s] \frac{Q_v(z) Q_v(w)}{Q_u(z^{-1}) Q_u(w^{-1})} \frac{w-z}{w+z},$$

where  $\frac{w-z}{w+z} = -(1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{-k} w^k)$ .

We regard the shifted Schur measure as a pfaffian point process. For that purpose, we recall the pfaffian point process, which is the case of  $\alpha = -1$  in the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian point process defined in Section 3.

Let  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{X \subset \mathfrak{X}; \#X \text{ is finite}\}$ . We define the pfaffian point process  $\pi_L$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  determined by a skew-symmetric matrix kernel  $L$  as the measure on  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$  given by

$$\pi(X) = \pi_L(X) = \frac{\text{pf}(L[X])}{\text{pf}(J+L)} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}).$$

Then by Theorem 3.1 its correlation function is

$$(4.1) \quad \rho(X) = \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}), Y \supset X} \pi(Y) = \text{pf}(K[X]),$$

where  $K = J + (J + L)^{-1}$ .

More generally, let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be a subset in  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Y}^c = \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Y}$  is finite. Then we can define a point process on  $\mathfrak{Y}$  by

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_{L, \mathfrak{Y}}(X) = \frac{\text{pf}(L[X \cup \mathfrak{Y}^c])}{\text{pf}(J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{Y}).$$

Here we identify  $J[\mathfrak{Y}]$  with the block matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where the blocks correspond to the partition  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{Y} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}^c$ . This process is called the conditional pfaffian point process determined by  $L$ . The correlation function is given as follows.

**Proposition 4.2 ([BR]).** *Let  $\rho_{L, \mathfrak{Y}}$  be the correlation function on  $\mathfrak{Y}$  determined by a skew-symmetric matrix kernel  $L$ . Then we have  $\rho_{L, \mathfrak{Y}}(X) = \text{pf}(K[X])$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , where*

$$K = J[\mathfrak{Y}] + (J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathfrak{Y}}. \quad \square$$

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1: 1st step

Let  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , where  $n$  is even. The case that  $n$  is odd is similar. We define a bijective map  $\phi$  from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}); \#X \text{ is even}\}$  by

$$\phi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}\}, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is even,} \\ \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}, 0\}, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

First we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3.** Define a skew-symmetric matrix kernel  $L$  on  $\mathfrak{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}$  by

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ -\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}t}\mathcal{W} & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}(i, j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{W}(i, r))_{1 \leq i \leq n, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ . Their entries are given by

$$\mathcal{V}(i, j) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{W}(i, r) = \begin{pmatrix} -u_i^r & 0 \\ 0 & v_i^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further  $\eta$  is the matrix whose block is given by

$$\eta(r, s) = \delta_{rs} \begin{pmatrix} \eta(r) & 0 \\ 0 & \eta(r) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where  $\eta(r)$  is equal to 1 if  $r = 0$ , or to  $\frac{1}{2}$  if  $r \geq 1$ . Then for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$  we have

$$\text{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}]) = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right)$$

if  $\#X$  is even and  $X = \phi(\lambda)$ , and  $\text{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}]) = 0$  if  $\#X$  is odd.

In order to prove the proposition, we need the lemma (see [Mac, III-8]).

**Lemma 4.4 ([N]).** The Schur  $Q$ -function can be written as

$$Q_\lambda(u) = \pm 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \text{pf}(A_u(\omega(\lambda))) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right)^{-1},$$

where the sign depends on only  $\lambda$  and we set

$$A_u(X) = \begin{pmatrix} V(u) & W(u)_X \\ -{}^tW(u)_X & O_X \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ . Here  $V(u) = \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and  $W(u)_X = (u_i^x)_{1 \leq i \leq n, x \in X}$ . □

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.* Put  $\mathcal{W}[X] = (\mathcal{W}(i, x))_{1 \leq i \leq n, x \in X}$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}] &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \\ -\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] {}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & O \\ O & \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & O \\ O & \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}]) &= \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & O \\ O & \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{x \in X} \eta(x)^{-1} \cdot \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$



Changing orders of rows and columns, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} &= (-1)^{(n+\#X)(n+\#X-1)/2} \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} -A_u(X) & O \\ O & A_v(X) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{(n+\#X)(n+\#X-1)/2+(n+\#X)/2} \text{pf}(A_u(X))\text{pf}(A_v(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Here if  $\#X$  is odd then  $\text{pf}(A_u(X)) = 0$ . Hence we may assume  $\#X$  is even. Put  $\lambda = \phi^{-1}(X)$ . Then it follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} &= \text{pf}(A_u(X))\text{pf}(A_v(X)) \\ &= (2^{-\ell(\lambda)})^2 Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\prod_{x \in X} \eta(x) = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)}$  for  $X = \phi(\lambda)$ , we get the proposition.  $\square$

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1: 2nd step

The shifted Schur measure is nothing but the conditional pfaffian point process determined by  $L$  in Proposition 4.3. Thus from Proposition 4.2 we have to obtain an explicit expression of  $K = J[\mathfrak{Y}] + (J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathfrak{Y}}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *We have*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{M}^{-1} & \mathcal{M}^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ D^{-1}C\mathcal{M}^{-1} & D^{-1} - D^{-1}C\mathcal{M}^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathcal{M} = BD^{-1}C - A$ , if  $D$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are invertible.

*Proof.* This is straightforward, see e.g. [BR].  $\square$

By this lemma, the kernel  $K = J[\mathfrak{Y}] + (J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathfrak{Y}}$  is equal to  $K = J[\mathfrak{Y}]\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}t}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}J[\mathfrak{Y}]$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}J[\mathfrak{Y}]\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}t}\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{V}$ . We may replace  $K$  by

$$-\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}t}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

from Proposition 2.4.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Write the skew-symmetric matrix kernel  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  as*

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1}(k, l) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k, l) & \mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k, l) \\ \mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(k, l) & \mathcal{M}_{11}^{-1}(k, l) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n.$$

Then we have

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k, l) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_k v_j}{1 + u_k v_j} \frac{1 - u_l v_j}{1 + u_l v_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_k + u_i}{u_k - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{u_l + u_j}{u_l - u_j} \right) \frac{u_k - u_l}{u_k + u_l},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k, l) &= -\mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(l, k) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_k v_j}{1 + u_k v_j} \frac{1 - u_j v_l}{1 + u_j v_l} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_k + u_i}{u_k - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{v_l + v_j}{v_l - v_j} \right) \frac{1 + u_k v_l}{1 - u_k v_l}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{M}_{11}^{-1}(k, l) = -\prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_j v_k}{1 + u_j v_k} \frac{1 - u_j v_l}{1 + u_j v_l} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{v_k + v_i}{v_k - v_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{v_l + v_j}{v_l - v_j} \right) \frac{v_k - v_l}{v_k + v_l}.$$

We recall some formulas for pfaffians, which are obtained in [IW].

**Lemma 4.7 ([IW]).** *Let  $n$  be any even number. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n$  by  $n$  skew-symmetric matrices. Then*

$$\text{pf}(A + B) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i}: \text{ even}}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}| + \frac{\#\mathbf{i}}{2}} \text{pf}(A_{\mathbf{i}}) \text{pf}(B_{\mathbf{i}^c}),$$

where we put  $|\mathbf{i}| = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_p$  and  $\mathbf{i}^c = \{1, \dots, \hat{i}_1, \dots, \hat{i}_2, \dots, \hat{i}_p, \dots, n\}$  for an increasing sequence  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8 (Minor summation formula [IW]).** *Let  $m \leq n$ . Let  $B$  be any  $n$  by  $n$  skew-symmetric matrix and  $T$  be any  $m$  by  $n$  matrix. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i} = m}} \text{pf}(B_{\mathbf{i}}) \det(T_{\mathbf{i}}^{1, \dots, m}) = \text{pf}(TB^t T),$$

where  $T_{\mathbf{i}}^{1, \dots, m} = (t_{k, i_j})_{1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m}$  for  $T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1 < \dots < i_m)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $A$  be any  $2n$  by  $2n$  skew-symmetric matrix and  $B$  be any  $2n$  by  $2m$  matrix. Assume that  $n$  is even. Then we have*

$$\text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -{}^t B & J_m \end{pmatrix} = \text{pf}(B J_m {}^t B - A).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \text{pf} \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & J_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ -{}^t B & O \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, 2n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i}: \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \subset \{1, \dots, 2m\}, \\ \#\mathbf{k}: \text{ even}}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{k}| + (\#\mathbf{i} + \#\mathbf{k})/2} \text{pf}(A_{\mathbf{i}}) \text{pf}((J_m)_{\mathbf{k}}) \text{pf} \left( \begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ -{}^t B & O \end{pmatrix}_{(\mathbf{i}^c, n + \mathbf{k}^c)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, 2n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i}: \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \subset \{1, \dots, 2m\}, \\ \#\mathbf{k}^c = \#\mathbf{i}^c}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{k}| + (\#\mathbf{i} + \#\mathbf{k})/2 + \#\mathbf{i}^c/2} \text{pf}(A_{\mathbf{i}}) \text{pf}((J_m)_{\mathbf{k}}) \det(B_{\mathbf{k}^c}^{\mathbf{i}^c}). \end{aligned}$$

Since it is easy to see that  $(\#\mathbf{i} + \#\mathbf{i}^c)/2 = n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and that  $\text{pf}((J_m)_{\mathbf{k}}) = 0$  unless  $\mathbf{k} = (2r_1 - 1, 2r_1, \dots, 2r_p - 1, 2r_p)$  for an increasing sequence  $(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ , we have

$$\text{LHS} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, 2n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i}: \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \subset \{1, \dots, 2m\}, \\ \#\mathbf{k}^c = \#\mathbf{i}^c}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}|} \text{pf}(A_{\mathbf{i}}) \text{pf}((J_m)_{\mathbf{k}}) \det(B_{\mathbf{k}^c}^{\mathbf{i}^c}).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, 2n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i}: \text{ even}}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}| + \frac{\#\mathbf{i}}{2}} \text{pf}(-A_{\mathbf{i}}) \text{pf}((BJ_m^t B)_{\mathbf{i}^c}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \subset \{1, \dots, 2n\}, \\ \#\mathbf{i}: \text{ even}}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}|} \text{pf}(A_{\mathbf{i}}) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \subset \{1, \dots, 2m\}, \\ \#\mathbf{j} = \#\mathbf{i}^c}} \text{pf}((J_m)_{\mathbf{j}}) \det(B_{\mathbf{j}^c}^{\mathbf{i}^c}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.6.* It follows from Lemma 4.9 and Proposition 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{pf}(J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L) &= \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{P}^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{Y})} \text{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, \dots, n\}]) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda}(u) Q_{\lambda}(v) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right) \\ (4.6) \quad &= \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( \frac{1 + u_i v_j}{1 - u_i v_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\mathcal{M}(k, l) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{00}(k, l) & \mathcal{M}_{01}(k, l) \\ \mathcal{M}_{10}(k, l) & \mathcal{M}_{11}(k, l) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_k - u_l}{u_k + u_l} & -\frac{1 + u_k v_l}{1 - u_k v_l} \\ \frac{1 + v_k u_l}{1 - v_k u_l} & -\frac{v_k - v_l}{v_k + v_l} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\mathcal{M}_{ij} = (\mathcal{M}_{ij}(k, l))_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}$  for  $i, j = 0, 1$ . The skew-symmetric matrix

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{00} & \mathcal{M}_{01} \\ \mathcal{M}_{10} & \mathcal{M}_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

is obtained by changing orders of rows and columns from  $\mathcal{M}$  and it satisfies  $\text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \text{pf}(\mathcal{M})$ . The pfaffian of the matrix  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k, l]}$  obtained by removing the  $k$ -th row,  $l$ -th row,  $k$ -th column and  $l$ -th column from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , where  $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ , equals the value removed variables  $u_k$  and  $u_l$  from (4.6), up to sign. Namely, we have

$$\text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k, l]}) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\ i \neq k, l}} \left( \frac{1 + u_i v_j}{1 - u_i v_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, \\ i, j \neq k, l}} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right).$$

Thus for  $k < l$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k, l) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1})_{k, l} &= \frac{(-1)^{k+l} \text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k, l]})}{\text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_k v_j}{1 + u_k v_j} \frac{1 - u_l v_j}{1 + u_l v_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_k + u_i}{u_k - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{u_l + u_j}{u_l - u_j} \right) \frac{u_k - u_l}{u_k + u_l}. \end{aligned}$$

This equality holds also if  $k \geq l$  so that we obtain (4.3).

Similarly, since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,n+l]}$  is the matrix removed variables  $u_k$  and  $v_l$  from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  we see that

$$\text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,n+l]}) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\ i \neq k, j \neq l}} \left( \frac{1 + u_i v_j}{1 - u_i v_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, \\ i, j \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, \\ i, j \neq l}} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right).$$

Thus for  $1 \leq k, l \leq n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k, l) &= -\mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(l, k) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1})_{k, n+l} = \frac{(-1)^{k+l} \text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,n+l]})}{\text{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_k v_j}{1 + u_k v_j} \frac{1 - u_j v_l}{1 + u_j v_l} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_k + u_i}{u_k - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{v_l + v_j}{v_l - v_j} \right) \frac{1 + u_k v_l}{1 - u_k v_l}, \end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain (4.4). We also have (4.5) in a similar way.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.1: final step

We calculate  $K = -\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \mathcal{W} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{W} \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . For  $r, s \geq 1$  we have

$$(4.7) \quad K(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{00}(r, s) & K_{01}(r, s) \\ K_{10}(r, s) & K_{11}(r, s) \end{pmatrix} = 2 \sum_{k, l=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} -u_k^r \mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k, l) u_l^s & u_k^r \mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k, l) v_l^s \\ v_k^r \mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(k, l) u_l^s & -v_k^r \mathcal{M}_{11}^{-1}(k, l) v_l^s \end{pmatrix}.$$

We prove that  $\mathcal{K}(r, s) = K(r, s)$  for  $r, s \geq 1$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined in Theorem 4.1. Assume that variables  $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$  are  $2n$  distinct complex numbers in the unit open disc.

By the residue theorem we express  $\mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s)$  as

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \iint_{|z| > |w| > 1} \frac{Q_u(z) Q_u(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1}) Q_v(w^{-1})} \frac{z - w}{z + w} \frac{dz dw}{z^{r+1} w^{s+1}},$$

where the contours in the integral are two circles around 0 near the unit circle such that  $|z| > |w| > 1$ . But since the integral for the residue at  $z = -w$  equals

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int \frac{dw}{w^{r+s+1}} = 0,$$

we may let the contours  $|z| = |w| = 1$ . Changing variables  $z \rightarrow z^{-1}$  and  $w \rightarrow w^{-1}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \iint_{|z|=|w|=1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{z + u_i}{z - u_i} \frac{1 - v_i z}{1 + v_i z} \frac{w + u_i}{w - u_i} \frac{1 - v_i w}{1 + v_i w} \right) \left( -\frac{z - w}{z + w} \right) z^{r-1} w^{s-1} dz dw.$$

The integrand has simple poles at  $z = u_k$  and  $w = u_l$  for  $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus it follows from (4.7) and Proposition 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s) &= -2 \sum_{k, l=1}^n u_k^r u_l^s \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_k v_j}{1 + u_k v_j} \frac{1 - u_l v_j}{1 + u_l v_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_k + u_i}{u_k - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{u_l + u_j}{u_l - u_j} \right) \frac{u_k - u_l}{u_k + u_l} \\ &= \mathcal{K}_{00}(r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{01}(r, s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \iint \frac{Q_u(z)Q_v(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1})Q_u(w^{-1})} \frac{zw + 1}{zw - 1} \frac{dzdw}{z^{r+1}w^{s+1}}.$$

Here the contours are two circles such that  $|z| < 1$ ,  $|w| < 1$ . Thus as like the calculation for  $\mathcal{K}_{00}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{01}(r, s) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \iint \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{z + u_i}{z - u_i} \frac{1 - v_i z}{1 + v_i z} \frac{w + v_i}{w - v_i} \frac{1 - u_i w}{1 + u_i w} \right) \frac{1 + zw}{1 - zw} z^{r-1} w^{s-1} dzdw \\ &= 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^n u_k^r v_l^s \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1 - u_k v_j}{1 + u_k v_j} \frac{1 - u_j v_l}{1 + u_j v_l} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq k}} \left( \frac{u_k + u_i}{u_k - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq l}} \left( \frac{v_l + v_j}{v_l - v_j} \right) \frac{1 + u_k v_l}{1 - u_k v_l} \\ &= K_{01}(r, s). \end{aligned}$$

We can prove  $\mathcal{K}_{11}(r, s) = K_{11}(r, s)$  in a similar way. Hence we obtain  $\mathcal{K}(r, s) = K(r, s)$ . Though we have assumed that  $u_i, v_j$  belong to the unit open disc, it is in fact unnecessary, see e.g. [BR]. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5 Positivity of pfaffians

We study the sufficient condition that the pfaffian is non-negative.

Let  $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$  be the set of all  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric  $\mathbb{C}$ -matrices  $B$  satisfying  $B_{00}(r, s) = \overline{B_{11}(r, s)}$  and  $B_{01}(r, s) = -\overline{B_{10}(r, s)}$  for each block  $B(r, s)$  ( $1 \leq r, s \leq m$ ). Equivalently,  $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$  is the set of all  $2m$  by  $2m$  skew-symmetric  $\mathbb{C}$ -matrices  $B$  such that  $-J_m B$  is hermitian, i.e.,  $B J_m = J_m \overline{B}$ . Put

$$\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0} = \{B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}; -J_m B \text{ is non-negative definite}\}.$$

Similarly, let  $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{> 0}$  be all elements in  $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$  such that  $-J_m B$  is positive definite.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0}$ . Then  $\text{pf}(B[S])$  is non-negative for any subset  $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ . In particular,  $\text{pf}(B) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since submatrix  $-J_n B[S]$  ( $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\#S = n$ ) of  $-J_m B$  is a non-negative definite hermitian matrix by the assumption, the roots of the polynomial  $\det(zI_{2n} + J_n B[S])$  are all non-negative real numbers. Since  $\text{pf}(zJ_n - B[S])^2 = \det(zI_{2n} + J_n B[S])$ , the roots of  $\text{pf}(zJ_n - B[S])$  are also non-negative. Hence if we look as the constant term in the polynomial above we obtain that  $\text{pf}(B[S])$  is non-negative.  $\square$

Now we state the relation between elements in  $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0}$  and non-negative definite hermitian matrices. Let  $\mathbf{Her}_m$  be the set of all  $m$  by  $m$  hermitian matrices. Let  $\mathbf{Her}_m^{\geq 0} \subset \mathbf{Her}_m$  be all non-negative definite hermitian matrices.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\omega : \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$  be an injective linear map defined in Section 2.4. Then we have  $\omega(\mathbf{Her}_m) \subset \mathbf{SH}_{2m}$  and  $\omega(\mathbf{Her}_m^{\geq 0}) \subset \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $A = (a_{rs})_{1 \leq r, s \leq m} \in \mathbf{Her}_m$  the  $(r, s)$ -block of  $\omega(A)$  is

$$\omega(A)(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{rs} \\ -\overline{a_{rs}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that  $\omega(A) \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $-J_m\omega(A)$ . Then since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det(\lambda I_{2m} + J_m\omega(A)) = \text{pf}(\lambda J_m - \omega(A))^2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} O_m & \lambda I_m - A \\ -\lambda I_m + \bar{A} & O_m \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det(\lambda I_m - A) \det(\lambda I_m - A^*) \end{aligned}$$

and  $A$  and  $A^*$  are non-negative definite, the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is non-negative.  $\square$

Hence we may treat elements in  $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$  as generalizations of hermitian matrices. Proposition 5.1 indicates us the following problem.

**Problem 5.1.** *What is the range for  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{pf}_\alpha(B) \geq 0$  whenever  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0}$ .*  $\square$

This problem is an extension of the problem for the positivity of  $\alpha$ -determinants of a non-negative definite hermitian matrix (recall Conjecture 1.1). It relates to the existence of  $\alpha$ -pfaffian point processes defined in Section 3.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $L$  be a skew-symmetric matrix kernel on a countable set  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\alpha$  be a real number. Assume that  $-JL$  is hermitian and non-negative definite and satisfies  $\|\alpha L\| < 1$ . Then  $-JK_\alpha$  is also hermitian and non-negative definite, where  $K_\alpha = L(I + \alpha JL)^{-1} = (I + \alpha LJ)^{-1}L$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $\mathcal{L} = -JL$  and  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = -JK_\alpha$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \mathcal{L}(I - \alpha\mathcal{L})^{-1} = (I - \alpha\mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{L}$  so that  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  is hermitian if  $\alpha$  is a real number. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $|\alpha\lambda| < 1$  since  $\|\alpha\mathcal{L}\| < 1$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  is non-negative definite.  $\square$

For an  $\alpha$ , we suppose that  $\text{pf}_\alpha(L[X])$  is non-negative for all  $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$  whenever  $-JL$  is hermitian and non-negative definite. Then, by the proposition above, the  $\alpha$ -pfaffian  $\text{pf}_\alpha(K_\alpha[X])$  is also non-negative. Therefore the correlation function in Theorem 3.1 exists in this case.

We study Problem 5.1. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that  $\text{pf}_{-1}(B) = \text{pf}(B) \geq 0$  and  $\text{pf}_0(B) \geq 0$  for  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0}$ . More strongly, we obtain the following inequality.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\geq 0}$ . Then we have*

$$\text{pf}_0(B) = \prod_{r=1}^m B_{01}(r, r) \geq \text{pf}(B).$$

*The equality holds if and only if  $B(r, s) = O_2$  for  $r \neq s$ .*

If we let  $B = \omega(A)$  for  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{Her}_m$ , then Theorem 5.4 provides the well-known formula

$$\prod_{i=1}^m a_{ii} \geq \det(A).$$

We need some preparations to prove the theorem. Put

$$\mathcal{S}_{2m,2} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} X^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ X^{(m)} \end{pmatrix}; X^{(j)} \in \mathbf{SH}_2 \ (1 \leq j \leq m) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $J_m X = \overline{X} J_1$  for  $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2m,2}$ . Let  $O_{r,s}$  be the  $r$  by  $s$  zero matrix.

**Lemma 5.5.** *For  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2m,2} \setminus \{O_{2m,2}\}$ , we have  $\text{pf}({}^t X B X) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since the matrix  ${}^t X B X$  is a 2 by 2 skew-symmetric matrix, it is easy to see that  $\text{pf}({}^t X B X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(-J_1 {}^t X B X)$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove  $\text{tr}(-J_1 {}^t X B X) > 0$ . By the commutation relation  $J_m X = \overline{X} J_1$ , we have  $\text{tr}(-J_1 {}^t X B X) = \text{tr}({}^t \overline{X} (-J_m B) X)$ . If we write  $X = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$  ( $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ ), we have  $\text{tr}({}^t \overline{X} (-J_m B) X) = {}^t \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 (-J_m B) \mathbf{x}_1 + {}^t \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 (-J_m B) \mathbf{x}_2 > 0$  since  $-J_m B$  is positive definite and  $\mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{x}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *If  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$ , then  $(B^*)^{-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$ . Since  $-J_m B$  is positive definite and hermitian, the inverse  $(-J_m B)^{-1} = B^{-1} J_m = J_m \overline{B}^{-1} = -J_m (B^*)^{-1}$  is also. Therefore  $(B^*)^{-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.4.* Write  $B$  in the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-1} & X \\ -{}^t X & B(m, m) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m-2}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} B(1, m) \\ \vdots \\ B(m-1, m) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{2m-2,2}.$$

Then  $B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m-2}^{>0}$ . In fact, if  $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$ , then  $-J_m B$  is positive definite. We immediately see that  $-J_{m-1} B_{m-1}$  is also positive definite, hence  $B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m-2}^{>0}$ .

Put

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2m-2} & (B_{m-1})^{-1} X \\ O_{2,2m-2} & I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$B = {}^t P \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-1} & O_{2m-2,2} \\ O_{2,2m-2} & B(m, m) + {}^t X (B_{m-1})^{-1} X \end{pmatrix} P.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf}(B) &= \text{pf}(B_{m-1}) \text{pf}(B(m, m) + {}^t X (B_{m-1})^{-1} X) \det(P) \\ &= \text{pf}(B_{m-1}) \{ \text{pf}(B(m, m)) - \text{pf}({}^t X (-B_{m-1})^{-1} X) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m-2}^{>0}$ , it follows from Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 that  $\text{pf}({}^t \overline{X} (B_{m-1}^*)^{-1} \overline{X}) > 0$ . Hence  $\text{pf}({}^t X (-B_{m-1})^{-1} X) > 0$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2m-2,2}$  if and only if  $X \neq O_{2m-2,2}$ . Therefore we have

$$\text{pf}(B) \leq \text{pf}(B_{m-1}) \text{pf}(B(m, m))$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $X = O_{2m-2,2}$ . By the induction on  $m$ , we have the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [BO] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, Distributions on partitions, point processes, and the hypergeometric kernel, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **211** (2000), 335–358.
- [BR] A. Borodin and E. Rains, Eynard-Mehta theorem, Schur process, and their pfaffian analogs, [math-ph/0409059](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0409059).
- [IW] M. Ishikawa and M. Wakayama, Minor summation formula of pfaffians, *Linear and Multilinear Alg.* **39** (1995), 285–305.
- [J] G. James, Permanents, Immanants, and determinants, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **47** (1987), 431–436.
- [KW] K. Kinoshita and M. Wakayama, Explicit Capelli identities for skew symmetric matrices, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **45** (2002), 449–465.
- [Mac] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford, 1995.
- [M] S. Matsumoto, Correlation functions of the shifted Schur measure, [math.CO/0312373](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0312373).
- [N] J. J. C. Nimmo, Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and the BKP equation, *J. Physics (A)* **23** (1990), 751–760.
- [R] E. M. Rains, Correlation functions for symmetrized increasing subsequences, [math.CO/0006097](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0006097).
- [ST] T. Shirai and Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants I: fermion, Poisson and boson point processes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **205** (2003), 414–463.
- [S] A. Soshnikov, Janossy densities. II. pfaffian ensemble, *J. Stat. Phys.* **94** (2003), 611–622.
- [TW] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, A limit theorem for shifted Schur measures, *Duke Math. J.* **123** (2004), 171–208.
- [V] D. Vere-Jones, A generalization of permanents and determinants, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **111** (1988), 119–124.

MATSUMOTO, SHO

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University.

Hakozaki Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581 JAPAN.

[ma203029@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp](mailto:ma203029@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp)