

EQUIVARIANT EMBEDDING OF METRIZABLE G -SPACES IN LINEAR G -SPACES

AASA FERAGEN

ABSTRACT. Given a Lie group G we study the class \mathcal{M} of proper metrizable G -spaces with metrizable orbit spaces, and show that any G -space $X \in \mathcal{M}$ admits a closed G -embedding into a convex G -subset C of some locally convex linear G -space, such that X has some G -neighborhood in C which belongs to the class \mathcal{M} . As corollaries we see that any G -ANE for \mathcal{M} has the G -homotopy type of some G -CW complex and that any G -ANR for \mathcal{M} is a G -ANE for \mathcal{M} .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study spaces in the class \mathcal{M} of proper metrizable G -spaces with metrizable orbit spaces, where G is a Lie group. In the classical theory of retracts the Wojdyłański embedding theorem (see [Hu]) ensures that any metrizable space can be embedded as a closed subset of a convex subspace of some Banach space. In the equivariant case S. Antonyan has proved that for any topological group G , any G -space X with a G -invariant metric admits a G -embedding as a closed G -subset of a convex G -subspace C of some Banach G -space B with a G -invariant norm [An1, Proposition 8 and Theorem 1].

However, when we are considering extensions and retractions in the class \mathcal{M} with a noncompact Lie group action, then we need to know something about the metrizability of the orbit space B/G , or at least of the orbit space of some G -neighborhood of X in C .

E. Elfving [E1] has shown that for a linear Lie group G , any Palais proper metrizable G -space X which is locally compact, separable and finite-dimensional, and which has finitely many orbit types, admits a closed G -embedding in a linear G -space such that G acts Palais properly on a G -neighborhood of the image. In [E2] the linearity assumption on the group G is dropped and the assumptions on the space X weakened, see Theorem 5.4.

Here we will prove the following:

Theorem 5.1 *Let G be a Lie group and let $X \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a G -embedding $e: X \rightarrow L$ where L is a locally convex linear G -space such that $e(X)$ is a closed subset of some G -invariant convex subset C of L and $e(X)$ has some G -neighborhood V in C such that $V \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Using Theorem 5.1 we prove that any G -ANE- \mathcal{M} has the G -homotopy type of some G -CW complex, and that the classes G -ANE and G -ANR are the same.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S20.

Key words and phrases. Proper actions, tubular covering, equivariant embedding, G -ANE, G -ANR, G -homotopy type.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper G will denote an arbitrary Lie group unless otherwise is stated, where Lie groups are defined to be Hausdorff and second countable. All G -spaces are assumed Hausdorff.

A completely regular G -space X is said to be *Cartan* if every point has a neighborhood V such that the closure of the set $\{g \in G : gV \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact.

The action of G on a completely regular G -space X is *proper* if for any pair of points $x, y \in X$ there exist neighborhoods V_x, V_y of x and y such that the closure of the set $\{g \in G : gV_x \cap V_y \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact. We say that X is a *proper G -space*. The action of G on a completely regular G -space X is *Palais proper* if for any point $x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood V_x such that any point $y \in X$ has a neighborhood V_y for which the closure of $\{g \in G : gV_x \cap V_y \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact. Then we say that X is a *Palais proper G -space*.

Clearly a Palais proper G -space is proper, and any proper G -space must be a Cartan G -space.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G . A subset S of a G -space X is an *H -slice* if GS is open in X and there exists a G -map $f: GS \rightarrow G/H$ such that $S = f^{-1}(eH)$. The set S is a *slice at the point $x \in X$* if $x \in S$ and S is a G_x -slice.

By [Pa2, Theorem 2.3.3] we know that in a Cartan G -space, there exists a slice at every point and the isotropy subgroup of G at any point is compact.

A G_x -slice is also a G_{gx} -slice by the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that X is a Cartan G -space and let S be a slice at $x \in X$. Then S is an H -slice for any subgroup H of G which is conjugate to G_x .*

Proof. Suppose that $H = gG_xg^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. By [Pa2, Proposition 1.1.5] we have a map $h: G/G_x \approx_G Gx = Ggx \approx_G G/G_{gx} = G/gG_xg^{-1} = G/H$. Hence $h \circ f: GS \rightarrow G/G_x \circ G/H$ is the map associated with S as an H -slice. \square

The following characterization of slices is extremely useful.

Theorem 2.2. [Pa2, Theorem 2.1.4] *Suppose X is a Cartan G -space and let H be a compact subgroup of G . A subset $S \subset X$ is an H -slice if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- i) S is closed in GS ,
- ii) $S = HS$,
- iii) $gS \cap S \neq \emptyset$ implies $g \in H$,
- iv) GS is open in X ,
- v) S has a neighborhood V in GS such that the closure of $\{g \in G : gV \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact.

We say that the open set GS is a *tubular neighborhood* (of x) if S is an H -slice (a slice at x).

Definition 2.3. [Tubular covering] A *tubular covering* of a G -space X is a covering of X by tubular neighborhoods.

Lemma 2.4. *An open G -subset of a tubular neighborhood is a tubular neighborhood. Hence an open refinement by G -sets of a tubular covering is a tubular covering.*

Proof. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G , let S be an H -slice and let $f: GS \rightarrow G/H$ be a corresponding G -map. Let U be an open G -subset of GS . Now $S' = S \cap U$ is an H -slice since $GS' = U$ is open and $f' = f|U \rightarrow G/H$ is a G -map where $(f')^{-1}(eH) = f^{-1}(eH) \cap U = S \cap U = S'$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $\{GS_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a family of disjoint tubular neighborhoods in X , where S_k is an H -slice for all $k \in K$, then $S = \bigcup_{k \in K} S_k$ is an H -slice.*

Proof. Here a corresponding map $f: GS = \bigcup_{k \in K} GS_k \rightarrow G/H$ is defined by $f|GS_k = f_k$ where $f_k: GS_k \rightarrow G/H$ is a map corresponding to the slice S_k . \square

Given a closed subgroup H of G and an H -space S there is an action of H on the product $G \times S$ given by $h(g, s) = (gh^{-1}, hs)$. We denote by $G \times_H S$ the quotient space $(G \times S)/H$, which is called the *twisted product* of G and S with respect to H .

Proposition 2.6. [E1, Proposition 1.18] *Let H be a closed subgroup of G and let S be an H -slice in a G -space X . Then*

$$G \times_H S \approx_G GS.$$

We will denote by \mathcal{M} the class of proper metrizable G -spaces X which have a metrizable orbit space X/G . By [An-Ne, Theorem B], for a proper metrizable G -space X , the metrizability of X/G is equivalent to X/G being paracompact, or X admitting a G -invariant metric.

3. COUNTABILITY OF TUBULAR COVERINGS

The following lemma makes use of a technique originating with J. Milnor, see [Pa1, Theorem 1.8.2].

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a Lie group, let X be a Cartan G -space and suppose that X/G is paracompact¹. Then X admits a countable and locally finite tubular covering.*

Proof. By [An2, Proposition 3.6] we know that G has at most countably many compact conjugacy types, represented by compact subgroups H_n of G where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\{GS_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a tubular covering of X such that for each $i \in I$, S_i is a slice at some point $x_i \in X$, where G_{x_i} is a compact subgroup of G . By Lemma 2.1 we may assume that each S_i is a H_n -slice for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\varphi_i: X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}_{i \in I}$ be a G -invariant partition of unity subordinate to $\{GS_i\}_{i \in I}$. Such a partition of unity exists because X/G is paracompact.

For each finite $T \subset I$, denote

$$W(T) = \{x \in X : \varphi_i(x) > \varphi_j(x) \text{ for all } i \in T \text{ and for all } j \in I \setminus T\}.$$

Denote by $u_T: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ the continuous G -invariant map

$$u_T(x) = \max\{0, \min_{i \in T, j \in I \setminus T} (\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_j(x))\}.$$

Then $W(T) = u_T^{-1}(0, 1]$ is open and G -invariant in X .

If $x \in W(T)$ then $\varphi_i(x) > \varphi_j(x) \geq 0$ for all $i \in T, j \in I \setminus T$ so in particular $x \in \varphi_i^{-1}(0, 1]$ for all $i \in T$. Thus $W(T)$ is an open G -invariant subset of $\varphi_i^{-1}(0, 1] \subset GS_i$ for each $i \in T$, hence $W(T)$ is a tubular neighborhood by Lemma 2.4.

If $\text{Card } T = \text{Card } T'$ and $T \neq T'$ then $W(T) \cap W(T') = \emptyset$, because if $i \in T \setminus T'$, $j \in T' \setminus T$ and $x \in W(T) \cap W(T')$ then simultaneously $\varphi_i(x) > \varphi_j(x)$ and $\varphi_j(x) < \varphi_i(x)$, which is impossible.

Define

$$W_n^m = \bigcup \{W(T) : \text{Card } T = n \text{ and } W(T) \text{ is an } H_m\text{-tubular neighborhood}\}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now W_n^m is an H_m -tubular neighborhood by Lemma 2.5 because it is a disjoint union of H_m -tubular neighborhoods, and $\{W_n^m\}_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable tubular covering of X . Denote by $\pi_X: X \rightarrow X/G$ the projection onto the orbit space; since X/G is paracompact, the open covering $\{\pi_X(W_n^m)\}_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ of X/G

¹We assume that paracompact spaces are Hausdorff.

admits a precise locally finite refinement by [Du, VIII 1.4]; in particular this refinement is countable. Denote it $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; now $\{\pi_X^{-1}(V_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable locally finite refinement of $\{W_n^m\}_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ by G -sets; hence it is a countable locally finite tubular covering of X by Lemma 2.4, and we are done. \square

4. HOMEOMORPHISM PROPERTIES OF ISOVARIANT G -MAPS

The main result in this section is an important homeomorphism property of isovariant G -maps between Cartan G -spaces, which we will use in proving our main theorem. Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between G -spaces is isovariant if $G_x = G_{f(x)}$ for all $x \in X$. Our lemma builds on the following result for compact transformation groups:

Lemma 4.1. [Br, Exercise 10 of Chapter I] *Let H be a compact group,² and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an isovariant H -map between H -spaces. Now f is an open map if and only if the induced map $\bar{f}: X/H \rightarrow Y/H$ is an open map.*

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that X and Y are Cartan G -spaces and that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an isovariant G -map. Then f is a G -homeomorphism if and only if the induced map $\bar{f}: X/G \rightarrow Y/G$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ X/G & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y/G \end{array}$$

and since π_X is continuous and π_Y is open, it is clear that if f is open then so is \bar{f} . Hence if f is a homeomorphism, so is \bar{f} .

Now suppose \bar{f} is a homeomorphism; it then easily follows from the bijectivity of \bar{f} and the fact that f restricts to a bijection between orbits,³ that f is a bijection, and it suffices to show that f is open. Being Cartan, Y has a covering $\{GS_i^Y\}_{i \in I}$ where each S_i^Y is a slice at a point $y_i \in Y$ such that the isotropy subgroups G_{y_i} are compact for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I$. Then $S_i^X = f^{-1}(S_i^Y)$ is a slice at $x_i = f^{-1}(y_i)$. Note that by isovariance $G_{y_i} = G_{x_i}$. We consider now only the restrictions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GS_i^X & \xrightarrow{f} & Gf(S_i^X) = GS_i^Y \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ GS_i^X/G & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Gf(S_i^X)/G = GS_i^Y/G \end{array}$$

Since $GS_i^X/G \approx S_i^X/G_{x_i}$ and $GS_i^Y/G \approx S_i^Y/G_{x_i}$ by [E1, Lemma 1.23], we see that the restriction $f|_{S_i^X}: S_i^X \rightarrow S_i^Y$ induces a map $\tilde{f}: S_i^X/G_{x_i} \rightarrow S_i^Y/G_{x_i}$ given by

²All topological groups are assumed to be Hausdorff.

³Any isovariant G -map restricts to a bijection on the orbits.

$\tilde{f}(G_{x_i}s) = G_{x_i}(f(s))$, which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_i^X & \xrightarrow{f} & S_i^Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ S_i^X/G_{x_i} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & S_i^Y/G_{x_i} \\ \approx \downarrow & & \downarrow \approx \\ GS_i^X/G & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & GS_i^Y/G \end{array}$$

Hence since \bar{f} is open, so is \tilde{f} and thus by Lemma 4.1 the restriction $f|S_i^X$ is open since G_{x_i} is compact by assumption.

The map $\text{id}_G \times f|S_i^X$ induces a map $G \times_{G_{x_i}} f|S_i^X : G \times_{G_{x_i}} S_i^X \rightarrow G \times_{G_{x_i}} S_i^Y$ as in [Br, Proposition II 2.1] which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times S_i^X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_G \times f|S_i^X} & G \times S_i^Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_{G_{x_i}} S_i^X & \xrightarrow{G \times_{G_{x_i}} f|S_i^X} & G \times_{G_{x_i}} S_i^Y \\ \downarrow [g,s] \mapsto gs, \approx_G & & \downarrow [g,y] \mapsto gy, \approx_G \\ GS_i^X & \xrightarrow{f} & GS_i^Y \end{array}$$

and since $f|S_i^X$ is open, $G \times_{G_{x_i}} f|S_i^X$ is open by [Br, Proposition II 2.1]. Hence $f|GS_i^X$ is open onto its image, i.e. it is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Since the S_i^Y are slices, the set GS_i^Y is open in Y for each $i \in I$. Hence f is open, i. e. it is a homeomorphism. \square

5. EMBEDDING THEOREM

Now we are able to prove the following theorem, which is the main result of this note:

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a Lie group and let $X \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a G -embedding $e: X \rightarrow L$ where L is a locally convex linear G -space such that $e(X)$ is a closed subset of some G -invariant convex subset C of L and $e(X)$ has some G -neighborhood V in C such that $V \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Definition 5.2. [LCL G -space] A locally convex linear G -space (for short, an LCL G -space) is a G -space L which is a locally convex topological vector space where each element $g \in G$ represents a linear map $L \rightarrow L$.

We will prove Theorem 5.1 by finding an isovariant G -map $e: X \rightarrow L$ which induces an embedding $X/G \rightarrow L/G$ in suitable conditions, and by applying Lemma 4.2. The same method has been used by J. Jaworowski [Ja] and of G. Bredon [Br, Chapter II.10] for compact Lie group actions.

Lemma 5.3. *A product of LCL G -spaces with diagonal action is an LCL G -space.*

Proof. Let X_i be a family of LCL G -spaces where the indices i run through some set I . Set $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$; now it is clear that X is a topological vector space and a G -space where each $g \in G$ represents a linear map $X \rightarrow X$. Furthermore X is

locally convex:

Suppose U is an open neighborhood of $x \in X$. Then there is some basis element $V = \prod_{i \in I} V_i$ such that $x \in V \subset U$. For V to be an element in the basis, we know that each V_i is open in X_i and $V_i = X_i$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$. Now for each $V_i \neq X_i$ there exists a convex neighborhood W_i of $\text{pr}_i(x)$ such that $W_i \subset V_i$. Whenever $V_i = X_i$, set $W_i = X_i$. Define $W = \prod_{i \in I} W_i$. Now W is a convex neighborhood of x and $W \subset V \subset U$. \square

We are going to use the following result, which is obtained in [E2, Section 3], although there it is not explicitly stated as a theorem:

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a Lie group and assume that a G -space $X \in \mathcal{M}$ admits a metric d which satisfies*

$$(*) \quad \forall r > 0 \quad \forall x \in X: \bar{B}_r^d(x) \text{ is compact.}$$

Then there exists a Banach G -space B and a closed G -embedding $i: X \rightarrow B$ such that $i(X) \subset B \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ and G acts properly on $B \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$.

Remark 5.5. i) A normed vector space is locally convex.
ii) From the construction of the space B one easily sees that the norm in B is G -invariant, inducing a G -invariant metric on B . That is, $B \setminus \{\bar{0}\} \in \mathcal{M}$.

Suppose that the G -space X has a global H -slice S , i.e. $X = GS$. Then there exists an isovariant H -map

$$\varphi: S \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$$

where each E_n is a Euclidean representation space for H (see [An2, Lemma 5]). The twisted product $G \times_H E_n$ is a G -space and there is an H -embedding $i_n: E_n \rightarrow G \times_H E_n$ defined by $i_n(x) = [e, x]$. This defines an isovariant H -map

$$\tilde{\varphi}: S \xrightarrow{\varphi} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \xrightarrow{\prod i_n} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G \times_H E_n.$$

Using this we obtain a G -map $\psi: X = GS \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G \times_H E_n$ by setting $\psi(gx) = g\tilde{\varphi}(x)$.

Lemma 5.6. *The map ψ is isovariant.*

Proof. By equivariance $G_{gs} \subset G_{\psi(gs)}$ for all $gs \in GS$. Thus we only need to show " \supset ".

Assume that $g\tilde{\varphi}(S) \cap \tilde{\varphi}(S) \neq \emptyset$ for some $g \in G$. Then $[g, \varphi_n(s_1)] = [e, \varphi_n(s_2)]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $s_1, s_2 \in S$, where $\varphi_n = \text{pr}_n \circ \varphi$. But then $g \in H$.

If we now assume that $\bar{g} \in G_{\psi(gs)}$ for some $gs \in GS$, then $\bar{g}\psi(gs) = \psi(gs)$, giving $\bar{g}g\tilde{\varphi}(s) = g\tilde{\varphi}(s)$, hence $g^{-1}\bar{g}g\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \tilde{\varphi}(s)$ and thus by the previous argument $g^{-1}\bar{g}g \in H_{\tilde{\varphi}(s)} = H_s$.

But then $g^{-1}\bar{g}gs = s$, hence $\bar{g}gs = gs$ and thus $\bar{g} \in G_{gs}$. It follows that $G_{\psi(gs)} = G_{gs}$ for all $gs \in GS$ and thus ψ is isovariant. \square

Now $G \times_H E_n$ is a proper and N_2 manifold (manifold because $G \times_H E_n \rightarrow G/H$ is a vector bundle by [Kaw, Theorem 2.26] and proper by [E1, Proposition 1.3]). It has a metric with the property (*) of Theorem 5.4 because, being separable metric and finite-dimensional, $G \times_H E_n$ can be embedded in some Euclidean space. Furthermore, $(G \times_H E_n)/G$ is metrizable by [Pa2, Theorem 4.3.4], giving $G \times_H E_n \in$

\mathcal{M} . Hence, by Theorem 5.4 we obtain a G -embedding $G \times_H E_n \rightarrow B_n$, where B_n is a Banach G -space and G acts properly on $B_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$. This gives an isovariant G -map

$$\tilde{\psi}: X = GS \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G \times_H E_n \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n =: \tilde{Z},$$

where $\tilde{\psi}(GS) \subset \tilde{Z} \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$.

Lemma 5.7. *G acts properly on $\tilde{Z} \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$.*

Proof. G acts properly on $B_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence the space $\tilde{Z}_n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i\right) \times (B_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}) \times \left(\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} B_i\right)$ is a proper G -space for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore $\tilde{Z} \setminus \{\bar{0}\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{Z}_n$. Now \tilde{Z} is a Cartan G -space since any completely regular G -space which is the union of open Cartan G -subspaces is Cartan. Furthermore, there is a G -invariant metric d on \tilde{Z} , which induces a pseudometric \bar{d} on \tilde{Z}/G by $\bar{d}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = d(Gx, Gy)$, where $\tilde{x} = \pi(x)$ and $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}/G$. The pseudometric \bar{d} induces the quotient topology on \tilde{Z}/G since

$$y \in B_d(x, r) \Rightarrow \bar{d}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \leq d(x, y) < r \Rightarrow \pi(y) = \tilde{y} \in B_{\bar{d}}(\tilde{x}, r)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y} \in B_{\bar{d}}(\tilde{x}, r) &\Rightarrow \inf_{g \in G} d(x, gy) = \bar{d}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) < r \Rightarrow gy \in B_d(x, r) \text{ for some } g \in G \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{y} = \pi(gy) \in \pi B_d(x, r) \end{aligned}$$

imply $\pi B_d(x, r) = B_{\bar{d}}(\tilde{x}, r)$.

Hence $(\tilde{Z} \setminus \{\bar{0}\})/G$ is pseudometrizable, and thus regular. It follows that the action of G on $\tilde{Z} \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ is Palais proper by [Pa2, Proposition 1.2.5], so in particular it is proper. \square

Next we pass from the global slice situation above to the more complicated situation with arbitrary spaces from \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 5.8. *Let X be as in Theorem 5.1. There exists an LCL G -space Z and an isovariant G -map $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Z$ such that $\tilde{f}(X) \subset Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ and G acts properly on $Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we may assume that $\{GS_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a locally finite tubular covering of X . By Lemma 2.4 and by the normality of X/G we may let $\{GR_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{GT_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be similar coverings such that $\overline{GT}_n \subset GR_n \subset \overline{GR}_n \subset GS_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to the discussion above each GS_n admits an isovariant G -map \tilde{f}_n into an LCL G -space Z_n , where $\tilde{f}_n(GS_n) \subset Z_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$, and G acts properly on $Z_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we may construct a G -invariant map $\lambda_n: X \rightarrow I$ such that $\lambda_n(\overline{GT}_n) = \{1\}$ and $\lambda_n(X \setminus GR_n) = \{0\}$, since X/G is normal.

We obtain a continuous and isovariant G -map $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n =: Z$ by setting $\tilde{f}(x) = (\lambda_1(x)\tilde{f}_1(x), \dots, \lambda_i(x)\tilde{f}_i(x), \dots)$.

Now Z , being a product of LCL G -spaces, is an LCL G -space by Lemma 5.3.

Clearly $\tilde{f}(X) \subset Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$, and the proof that G acts properly on $Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ goes just as in Lemma 5.7. \square

Lemma 5.9. *With X as in Theorem 5.1, there exists an embedding $e: X \rightarrow L$ where L is an LCL G -space, $e(X) \subset C$ for some convex G -subset C of L and $e(X)$ has a G -neighborhood V in C such that $V \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $h: X/G \rightarrow B$ be an embedding of the metrizable space X/G into a Banach space B such that $h(X/G)$ is a closed subset of C' where C' is a convex subset of B . Such a map exists by the Wojdysławski embedding theorem. With Z as in Lemma 5.8, define a map $e: X \rightarrow Z \times B = L$ by setting $e = (\tilde{f}, h \circ \pi_X)$

where $\pi_X: X \rightarrow X/G$ is the natural projection, and let G act trivially on B . Now we have

- i) e is an isovariant G -map because \tilde{f} is isovariant.
- ii) e is injective since the induced map $\bar{e}: X/G \rightarrow Z/G \times B$ is injective and e is isovariant. The map \bar{e} is injective because h is injective.
- iii) The map \bar{e} is a homeomorphism onto its image, whose inverse $\bar{e}^{-1}: \bar{e}(X/G) = \pi_Z(\tilde{f}(X)) \times h(X/G) \rightarrow X/G$ is given by $h^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2$.
- iv) $e(X) \subset Z \times C' = C$ which is convex, and G acts properly on $Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\} \times C' = V$, which is a neighborhood of $e(X)$ in C .

Now $e(X) \subset V$ which is proper, and in particular $e(X)$ is Cartan. Thus by Lemma 4.2 the map e is a homeomorphism onto its image. The space $V/G = (Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\} \times C')/G$ is metrizable since $Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\} \times C'$ is proper and admits a G -invariant metric. Thus $V \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

Lemma 5.10. *The image $e(X)$ is a closed subset of $C = Z \times C'$.*

Proof. Assume that $y = (u, v) \in (Z \times C') \setminus e(X)$. In case $v \notin h(X/G)$ then since h is a closed embedding, there exists a neighborhood U of v in C' such that $U \cap h(X/G) = \emptyset$. Thus $Z \times U$ is a neighborhood of (u, v) which does not intersect $e(X)$.

In case $v \in h(X/G)$ we have $v = h(\pi_X(x))$ for some $x \in X$ and then we must have $Gu \cap G\tilde{f}(x) = \emptyset$. (If not then $u = g\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(gx)$ for some $g \in G$, giving $v = h(\pi_X(x)) = h(\pi_X(gx))$ which implies $y = (u, v) = e(gx) \in e(X)$.)

If $u \neq \bar{0}$ then u and $\tilde{f}(x)$ are points in the open subset $Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ of Z , where $(Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\})/G$ is metrizable. Thus there exist disjoint G -neighborhoods U and W of Gu and $G\tilde{f}(x)$ in $Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ and hence in Z . If $u = \bar{0}$ then \tilde{u} is closed in Z/G which is pseudometrizable and hence regular, so there exist again disjoint G -neighborhoods U and W of Gu and $G\tilde{f}(x)$ in Z . Thus we see that in any case there exist disjoint G -neighborhoods U and W of Gu and $G\tilde{f}(x)$ in Z .

Since \tilde{f} is continuous and equivariant there exists a G -neighborhood \tilde{W} of Gx in X such that $\tilde{f}\tilde{W} \subset W$. Since π_X is an open map and h is an embedding there exists an open neighborhood M of $h(\pi_X(x))$ in C' such that $M \cap h(\pi_X(\tilde{W})) = h(\pi_X(\tilde{W}))$.

Clearly $U \times M$ is an open neighborhood of y in $Z \times C'$; we show that it is disjoint from $e(X)$. If $(x, w) \in e(X) \cap U \times M$ then $x = \tilde{f}(z) \in U$ and $w = h(\pi_X(z)) \in M$ for some $z \in X$. But then $\tilde{f}(z) \notin W$ giving $z \notin \tilde{W}$ and since \tilde{W} is G -invariant we have $\pi_X(z) \notin \pi_X(\tilde{W})$ which gives $h(\pi_X(z)) \notin h(\pi_X(\tilde{W}))$ since h is injective.

However, $z \in X$ and $h(\pi_X(z)) \in M$ implies that $h(\pi_X(z)) \in M \cap h(\pi_X(X)) = h(\pi_X(\tilde{W}))$ which gives a contradiction. Hence we must have $e(X) \cap (U \times M) = \emptyset$.

It follows that $e(X)$ is closed in $Z \times C'$. \square

The rest of the proof of Theorem 5.1. If we now set $L = Z \times B$, then L is an LCL G -space. Set $C = Z \times C'$ and $V = Z \setminus \{\bar{0}\} \times C'$ as before. Then V is a G -neighborhood of $e(X)$ in C , C is a G -invariant convex subset of L , $e(X)$ is closed in V , and $V \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence the theorem is true. \square

6. APPLICATIONS

6.1. Any G -ANE is G -homotopy equivalent to some G -CW complex. We say that a G -space $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ is a G -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract (G -ANE) for \mathcal{M} , written $Y \in G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$, if for any G -space $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and any closed G -invariant subset A in X with a G -map $f: A \rightarrow Y$ there exists a G -extension $F: U \rightarrow Y$ of f over some G -neighborhood U of A in X .

It has been shown by M. Murayama [Mu]⁴ that for a compact Lie group G , any $X \in G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$ has the G -homotopy type of some G -CW complex. In [E1] E. Elfving showed that, given a linear Lie group G , any locally linear manifold $M \in \mathcal{M}$ (then $M \in G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$ as well) has the G -homotopy type of some G -CW complex, and in [E2] he removes the linearity assumption on the Lie group G . Using Theorem 5.1 we get

Corollary 6.1. *Any G -ANE for \mathcal{M} is G -homotopy equivalent to some G -CW complex.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \in G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$. Then $X \in \mathcal{M}$ by definition, and according to the previous embedding theorem we may assume that X is a closed G -subset of a convex G -subset C of an LCL G -space L , where X has some G -neighborhood V in C such that $V \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a G -extension $r: U \rightarrow X$ of $\text{id}: X \rightarrow X$, where U is a G -neighborhood of X in V , and hence in C . Here C is locally convex, being a convex subset of a locally convex space, and r is a G -retraction. Now one can use the argument of [E2, Proposition 11, Theorem 5 and Theorem 6] to show that X has the G -homotopy type of some G -CW complex. \square

6.2. Equivalence of the classes $G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$ and $G\text{-ANR-}\mathcal{M}$. We say that a G -space $X \in \mathcal{M}$ is a G -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract ($G\text{-ANR}$) for \mathcal{M} , written $X \in G\text{-ANR-}\mathcal{M}$, if, whenever there exists a closed G -embedding $i: X \rightarrow Y$ of X into some G -space $Y \in \mathcal{M}$, then there exists a G -neighborhood retraction $r: U \rightarrow i(X)$ where U is a G -neighborhood of $i(X)$ in Y .

It is easy to show that $G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M} \subset G\text{-ANR-}\mathcal{M}$. Here we show that $G\text{-ANR-}\mathcal{M} \subset G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$, following the classical proof from the non-equivariant case (see, for instance, [Hu]).

Suppose that $Y \in G\text{-ANR-}\mathcal{M}$. Now by Theorem 5.1 we may assume that Y is a closed G -subset of a convex G -subset C of some LCL G -space, where Y has a G -neighborhood U in C such that $U \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $Y \in G\text{-ANR-}\mathcal{M}$, there exists a G -neighborhood V of Y in U (and hence in C) and a G -retraction $r: V \rightarrow Y$. Let $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and let A be a closed G -invariant subset of X with a G -map $f: A \rightarrow Y$. By the equivariant Dugundji extension theorem [An3, Corollary 1] we know that $C \in G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$; hence the map $i \circ f: A \rightarrow Y \hookrightarrow C$ admits a G -extension $h: W \rightarrow C$, where W is a G -neighborhood of A in X . Set $W' = W \cap h^{-1}(V)$. Now W' is a G -neighborhood of A in X and the map $r \circ h|_{W'}: W' \rightarrow V \rightarrow Y$ is a neighborhood G -extension of f . It follows that $Y \in G\text{-ANE-}\mathcal{M}$.

REFERENCES

- [An1] S. Antonyan, *Equivariant embeddings into G -AR's*, Glasnik Matematički (1987) no.2, 503-533.
- [An2] S. Antonyan, *Retraction properties of an orbit space*, Math. USSR Sbornik Vol. 65 (1990), No.2.
- [An3] S. Antonyan, *Extensorial properties of orbit spaces of proper group actions*, Topology Appl. 98 (1999), 35-46.
- [An-Ne] S. Antonyan and S. de Neymet, *Invariant pseudometrics on Palais proper G -spaces*, Acta Math Hungar. 98 (1-2) (2003), 59-69.
- [Br] G. Bredon, *Compact transformation groups*, Academic Press (1972).
- [Du] J. Dugundji, *Topology* (1966).
- [E1] E. Elfving, *The G -homotopy type of proper locally linear G -manifolds*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math., Dissertationes (1996).
- [E2] E. Elfving, *The G -homotopy type of proper locally linear G -manifolds. II*, manuscripta math. 105 (2001), 235-251.

⁴There is an error in the equivariant embedding theorem used in [Mu], since the action on the linear space is not necessarily continuous. See [An1] for a counterexample and a correct equivariant embedding theorem.

- [Hu] S.T. Hu, *Theory of retracts* (1965).
- [Ja] J. Jaworowski, *G-spaces of a finite structure and their embedding in G-vector spaces*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 39 (1982) no. 1-3, 175-177.
- [Kaw] K. Kawakubo, *The theory of transformation groups*, 1991.
- [Mu] M. Murayama, *On G-ANR's and their G-homotopy types*, Osaka J. Math 20 (1983), 479-512.
- [Pa1] R. Palais, *The classification of G-spaces*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1960).
- [Pa2] R. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (73) no.2, 1961.