TWO EXTERIOR ALGEBRAS FOR ORTHOGONAL AND SYMPLECTIC QUANTUM GROUPS

AXEL SCHÜLER

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be one of the N^2 -dimensional bicovariant first order differential calculi on the quantum groups $O_q(N)$ or $Sp_q(N)$, where q is not a root of unity. We show that the second antisymmetrizer exterior algebra $\,s\Gamma^{\wedge}$ is the quotient of the universal exterior algebra $_{\mathbf{u}} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ by the principal ideal generated by $\theta \wedge \theta$. Here θ denotes the unique up to scalars bi-invariant 1-form. Moreover $\theta \wedge \theta$ is central in $_{\mathfrak{u}} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ and $_{\mathrm{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ is an inner differential calculus.

AMS subject classification: 58B30, 81R50

1. Introduction

More than a decade ago Woronowicz provided a general framework for covariant differential calculus over arbitrary Hopf algebras, [\[12\]](#page-19-0). In the meantime there exists a well developed theory of covariant differential calculus on Hopf algebras, [\[6](#page-19-0), Chapter 14]. In his paper Woronowicz also introduced the concept of higher order forms which is based on a braiding $\sigma: \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$. The braiding σ naturally generalizes the classical flip automorphism. It turns out that Woronowicz' external algebra $\mathbf{w} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ is not simply a bicovariant bimodule but a differential graded Hopf algebra [\[2](#page-19-0)],[[6,](#page-19-0) Theorem 14.17]. However there are two other concepts of exterior algebras which are also differential graded Hopf algebras,[[1](#page-19-0), [7\]](#page-19-0), [\[6](#page-19-0), Theorem 14.18]. The "second antisymmetrizer" exterior algebra $\mathrm{s} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ is also constructed using the braiding; but it involves only the antisymmetrizer $I - \sigma$ of second degree while Woronowicz' construction uses antisymmetrizers of all degrees. The universal exterior algebra $_{\rm u} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ can be characterized by the following universal property. Each (left-covariant) differential calculus which contains a given first order differential calculus Γ as its first order part is a quotient of $_{\mathfrak{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$. It seems natural to ask for the relation between these three concepts. For the quantum groups $GL_q(N)$ and $SL_q(N)$ and their standard bicovariant first order differential calculi (abbreviated FODC) this problem was completely solved in [\[9](#page-19-0)].

In this paper we consider the quantum groups $O_q(N)$ and $Sp_q(N)$ together with their standard bicovariant FODC. The main result is stated in Theorem [3.1.](#page-6-0) Suppose that q is not a root of unity and let θ be the unique up to scalars bi-invariant 1-form of Γ . Then $_{\rm u} \Gamma^{\wedge}/(\theta^2)$ and $_{\rm s} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ are isomorphic differential graded Hopf algebras. Further, θ^2 is central in $_{\mathfrak{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ and $_{\mathfrak{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ is an inner differential calculus i.e. $d\rho = \theta \wedge \rho - (-1)^n \rho \wedge \theta$

Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, e-mail: schueler@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de.

for $\rho \in u^{\Lambda}$. It is somehow astonishing that the left-invariant parts of u^{Λ} and s^{Λ} differ only by the single element θ^2 .

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains general notions and facts about bicovariant bimodules and bicovariant differential calculi over Hopf algebras. In Section [3](#page-3-0) we recall necessary facts about morphisms of corepresentations for orthogonal and symplectic quantum groups. We give a brief introduction into the graphical calculus with morphisms. The construction of bicovariant FODC on orthogonal and symplectic quantum groups is reviewed. The main result is stated in Theorem [3.1.](#page-6-0) In Section [4](#page-6-0) a very useful criterion for the size of the space of left-invariant 2-forms of $_u\Gamma^{\wedge}$ in terms of the quantum Lie algebra is given. This criterion applies to arbitrary left-covariant differential calculi. We show that $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ is the direct sum of 9 bicovariant subbimodules. Every bicovariant subbimodule of $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ which contains $\theta \otimes_A \theta$ already contains the kernel of $I - \sigma$. Section [5](#page-15-0) exclusively deals with the universal differential calculus. The outcome of the very technical calculations is that $\theta \wedge \theta$ is non-zero and the unique up to scalars bi-invariant 2-form in $_{\rm u} \Gamma^{\wedge}$.

We close the introduction by fixing assumptions and notations that are used in the sequel. All vector spaces, algebras, bialgebras, etc. are meant to be ^C-vector spaces, unital C-algebras, C-bialgebras etc. The linear span of a set $\{a_i | i \in K\}$ is denoted by $\langle a_i | i \in K \rangle$. A always denotes a Hopf algebra. We write \mathcal{A}° for the dual Hopf algebra. All modules, comodules, and bimodules are assumed to be A-modules, Acomodules, and A-bimodules if nothing else is specified. Denote the comultiplication, the counit, and the antipode by Δ , ε , and by S, respectively. We use the notions "right comodule" and "corepresentation" of A as synonyms. By fixing a basis in the underlying vector space we identify corepresentations and the corresponding matrices. Let v (resp. f) be a corepresentation (resp. a representation) of A. As usual v^c (resp. f^c) denotes the contragredient corepresentation (resp. contragredient representation) of v (resp. of f). The space of intertwiners of corepresentations v and w is $\text{Mor}(v, w)$. We write $\text{Mor}(v)$ for $\text{Mor}(v, v)$. By $\text{End}(V)$ and $V \otimes W$ we always mean $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ and $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$, respectively. If A is a linear mapping, A^{\dagger} denotes the transpose of A and tr A the trace of A. Lower indices of A always refer to the components of a tensor product where A acts ('leg numbering'). The unit matrix is denoted by I . Unless it is explicitly stated otherwise, we use Einstein convention to sum over repeated indices. Set $\tilde{a} = a - \varepsilon(a)$ 1 for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} = {\tilde{a} | a \in \mathcal{A}}$. We use Sweedler's notation for the coproduct $\Delta(a) = \sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ and for right comodules $\Delta_{\rm R}(\rho) = \sum \rho_{(0)} \otimes \rho_{(1)}$. The mapping $\text{Ad}_{\text{R}}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ defined by $\text{Ad}_{\text{R}}a = \sum a_{(2)} \otimes \text{Sa}_{(1)}a_{(3)}$ is called the *right* adjoint coaction of A on itself. The mapping $b \triangleleft a := Sa_{(1)}ba_{(2)}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$, is called the *right adjoint action* of $\mathcal A$ on $\mathcal B$, where $\mathcal B$ is an $\mathcal A$ -bimodule.

2. Preliminaries

In the next three subsections we shall use the general framework of bicovariant differential calculus developed by Woronowicz[[12\]](#page-19-0), see also[[6,](#page-19-0) Chapter 14]. We collect the main notions and facts needed in what follows.

Bicovariant bimodules. A bicovariant bimodule over A is a bimodule Γ together with linear mappings $\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{A}$ such that $(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathbb{L}}, \Delta_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a bicomodule and $\Delta_{\rm L}(a\omega b) = \Delta(a)\Delta_{\rm L}(\omega)\Delta(b)$, and $\Delta_{\rm R}(a\omega b) = \Delta(a)\Delta_{\rm R}(\omega)\Delta(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\omega \in \Gamma$. Let Γ be a bicovariant bimodule over \mathcal{A} . We call the elements of the vector space $\Gamma_{\text{L}} = {\omega | \Delta_{\text{L}}(\omega) = 1 \otimes \omega}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{\text{R}} = {\omega | \Delta_{\text{R}}(\omega) = \omega \otimes 1}$) left*invariant* (resp. *right-invariant*). The elements of $\Gamma_{I} = \Gamma_{L} \cap \Gamma_{R}$ are called *bi-invariant*. The structure of bicovariant bimodules has been completely characterized by Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in [\[12\]](#page-19-0). We recall the corresponding result: Let $(\Gamma, \Delta_{\rm L}, \Delta_{\rm R})$ be a bicovariant bimodule over A and let $\{\omega_i | i \in K\}$ be a finite linear basis of Γ_{L} . Then there exist matrices $v = (v_j^i)$ and $f = (f_j^i)$ of elements $v_j^i \in \mathcal{A}$ and of functionals f_j^i on $\mathcal{A}, i, j \in K$ such that v is a matrix corepresentation, f is matrix representation of A , and

$$
\omega_i \triangleleft a = f_n^i(a)\omega_n,\tag{1}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(\omega_i) = \omega_n \otimes v_i^n, \tag{2}
$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}, i \in K$. Conversely, if the corepresentation v and the representation f satisfy certain compatibility condition, then there exists a unique bicovariant bimodule T with (1) and (2) and $\{\omega_i | i \in K\}$ is a basis of Γ_{L} . In this situation we simply write $\Gamma=(v,f).$

Bicovariant first order differential calculi. A first order differential calculus over A (FODC for short) is an A-bimodule Γ with a linear mapping d: $\mathcal{A} \to \Gamma$ that satisfies the Leibniz rule $d(ab) = da \cdot b + a \cdot db$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and Γ is the linear span of elements adb with $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

A FODC Γ is called *bicovariant* if there exist linear mappings $\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} : \Gamma \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(adb) = \Delta(a)(id \otimes d)\Delta(b),
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(adb) = \Delta(a)(d \otimes id)\Delta(b)
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. It turns out that $(\Gamma, \Delta_L, \Delta_R)$ is a bicovariant bimodule. A bicovariant FODC is called *inner* if there exists a bi-invariant 1-form $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
da = \theta a - a\theta, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.
$$

By the dimension of a bicovariant FODC we mean the dimension of the vector space Γ_{L} of left-invariant 1-forms. Let Γ be a bicovariant FODC over \mathcal{A} . Then the set

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} = \{ a \in \mathcal{A} | \omega(a) = 0 \}
$$

is an Ad_R-invariant right ideal of \widetilde{A} . Here $\omega: \mathcal{A} \to \Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}$ is the mapping

$$
\omega(a) = S a_{(1)} \mathrm{d} a_{(2)}.\tag{3}
$$

Conversely, for any $\mathrm{Ad}_{\tt R}$ invariant right ideal $\mathcal R$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal A}$ there exists a bicovariant FODC Γ such that $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} = \mathcal{R}$, [[6](#page-19-0), Proposition 14.7]. The linear space

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma} = \{ X \in \mathcal{A}^{\circ} | X(1) = 0 \text{ and } X(p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} \}
$$

is called the quantum Lie algebra of Γ . We recall the main property. The space \mathcal{X}_{Γ} is an ad_R-invariant subspace of the dual Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}° satisfying $\Delta(X) - 1 \otimes X \in \mathcal{X}_\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{A}^\circ$ for $X \in \mathcal{X}_r$, [[6,](#page-19-0) Corollary 14.10].

Higher order differential calculi. In this subsection we briefly repeat two concepts to construct higher order differential calculi (DC for short) for a given bicovariant FODC Γ . Let $\Gamma = (v, f)$ be a bicovariant bimodule.

Obviously the tensor product $\Gamma^{\otimes k} = \Gamma \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \Gamma$ (k factors) is again a bicovariant bimodule. Define the tensor algebra $\Gamma^{\otimes} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Gamma^{\otimes k}$, $\Gamma^{\otimes 0} = A$, over A. This is also a bicovariant bimodule. Since bicovariant bimodules are free left A-modules we always identify $(\Gamma \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \Gamma)_L$ and $\Gamma_L \otimes \cdots \otimes \Gamma_L$. This justifies our notation $\omega_i \otimes \omega_j$ instead of $\omega_i \otimes_A \omega_j$ for $\omega_i, \omega_j \in \Gamma$. There exists a unique isomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ of bicovariant bimodules called the *braiding* with $\sigma(\omega \otimes \rho) = \rho_{(0)} \otimes (\omega \otimes \rho_{(1)})$, $\omega, \rho \in \Gamma$ _L. Moreover σ fulfils the braid equation $(\sigma \otimes id)(id \otimes \sigma)(\sigma \otimes id) = (id \otimes \sigma)(\sigma \otimes id)(id \otimes \sigma)$ in $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$. Let sJ denote the two-sided ideal in Γ^{\otimes} generated by the kernel of $A_2\colon \Gamma\otimes_A\Gamma\to \Gamma\otimes_A\Gamma$, $A_2=\text{id}-\sigma$. We call ${}_{\text{s}}\Gamma^{\wedge}=\Gamma^{\otimes}/{}_{\text{s}}J$ the second antisymmetrizer exterior algebra over Γ. Since σ is a morphism of bicomodules, $(\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma)$ _L is invariant under σ . So there exist complex numbers σ_{st}^{ij} such that $\sigma(\omega_s \otimes \omega_t) = \sigma_{st}^{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$. By [\[12,](#page-19-0) (3.15)] we have

$$
\sigma_{st}^{ij} = f_j^s(v_t^i). \tag{4}
$$

Let $\mathscr{S} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \Gamma_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbb{L}}$ be defined by

$$
\mathscr{S}(a) = \omega(a_{(1)}) \otimes \omega(a_{(2)}).
$$

Let $_{u}J$ denote the two-sided ideal of Γ^{\otimes} generated by the vector space $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma})$. Then $_{\text{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge} = \Gamma^{\otimes}/_{\text{u}}J$ is called the *universal exterior algebra* over Γ . Both $_{\text{s}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ and $_{\text{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ are N_0 -graded algebras, bicovariant bimodules over A as well as differential graded Hopf algebras over A. They are related by $_{u}J \subseteq {}_{s}J$. Their left-invariant subalgebras $_{u}\Gamma_{L}^{\wedge}$ and $\mathrm{s} \varGamma_{\mathrm{L}}^{\wedge}$ are both quadratic algebras over the same vector space \varGamma_{L} .

3. Orthogonal and symplectic quantum groups, their standard FODC, and the main result

In this section we recall general facts about orthogonal and symplectic quantum groups. Throughout A denotes one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{O}_q(N))$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}_q(N))$ as defined in[[3,](#page-19-0) Subsection 1.4]. We give a brief introduction into the graphical calculus with morphisms of corepresentations of A and we recall the construction of the standard bicovariant FODC over A. At the end we state our main result.

As usual we set $\epsilon = 1$ in the orthogonal and $\epsilon = -1$ in the symplectic case. Throughout the deformation parameter q is not a root of unity, and $N \geq 3$. We always use the abbreviations $\hat{q} = q - q^{-1}$, $[\dot{2}]_q = q + q^{-1}$, $r = \epsilon q^{N-\epsilon}$, and $x = 1 + \frac{r-r^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$. Recall that R denotes the complex invertible $N^2 \times N^2$ -matrix [\[3](#page-19-0), (1.9)], $\hat{R}_{st}^{ab} = R_{st}^{ba}$, and $C = (C_j^i)$, $C_j^i = \epsilon_i q^{i} \delta_{ij'}$ defines the metric, see [\[3](#page-19-0), (1.10)] for details. The matrix K is given by $K_{st}^{ab} = C_b^a B_t^s$, where $B = C^{-1} = \epsilon C$. We need the diagonal matrix $D = B^{\top} C$. Sometimes we use the notation $C^{ab} = C_b^a$, $C_{ab} = C_b^a$. Then $(C^{ab}) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $(C_{ab}) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C})$. The N^2 generators of A are denoted by u_j^i , i, j = $1, \ldots, N$, and we call $u = (u_j^i)$ the fundamental matrix corepresentation. The element $U = \sum_{i,j} D_i^j u_j^i$ is called the quantum trace. Note that

$$
(C^{ab}) \in \text{Mor}(1, u\otimes u), \quad (C_{ab}) \in \text{Mor}(u\otimes u, 1), \quad C^{\top} \in \text{Mor}(u^c, u) = \text{Mor}(u, u^c). \tag{5}
$$

For $T = (T_{st}^{ab}) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$ define the q-trace $\text{tr}_q^1 T \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ by $(\text{tr}_q^1 T)_t^b =$ $D_a^s T_{st}^{ab}$. We often use the following well known relations between \hat{R} , \hat{R}^{-1} , K, and D.

$$
C_z^y \hat{R}_{st}^{yz} = r^{-1} C_t^s, \qquad \hat{R}_{yz}^{ab} C_z^y = r^{-1} C_b^a,
$$
\n(6)

$$
\hat{R} - \hat{R}^{-1} = \hat{q}(I - K),\tag{7}
$$

$$
x = \operatorname{tr} D,\tag{8}
$$

$$
\text{tr}_{q}^{1} \hat{R} = rI, \qquad \text{tr}_{q}^{1} I = xI, \quad \text{tr}_{q}^{1} K = I. \tag{9}
$$

The mapping $g_i \mapsto \hat{R}_{i,i+1}, e_i \mapsto K_{i,i+1}$ defines a representation of the Birman-Wenzl-Murakami algebra $C(q, r)$, [\[11\]](#page-19-0). We shall give a brief introduction into the graphical calculus with morphisms, see also[[8](#page-19-0), Fig. 1 and Fig. 6]. The calculus is justified in[[10\]](#page-19-0). Using the graphical calculus formulas and proofs become more transparent. In order to distinguish the places for the corepresentation u and u^c we use arrows in the graph. A vertex stands for u , resp. u^c , if the corresponding edge is downward directed, resp. upward directed. Since for orthogonal and symplectic quantum groups u and u^c are isomorphic, it appears that one edge has two directions. For instance, the intertwiner $C^{\dagger} \in \text{Mor}(u, u^{\text{c}})$ is represented by a vertical edge downward directed at the bottom and upward directed at the top. Removing a curl by rotating part of the diagram clockwise (resp. anti-clockwise) acquires a factor r (resp. r^{-1}) (First Reidemeister move). A closed loop gives the factor x .

Figure 1: The graphical representation of([6\)](#page-4-0),([7\)](#page-4-0), and([9\)](#page-4-0).

The matrix \hat{R} has the spectral decomposition

$$
\hat{R} = q\hat{P}^+ - q^{-1}\hat{P}^- + r^{-1}\hat{P}^0,
$$

where \hat{P}^{τ} , $\tau \in \{+, -, 0\}$, is idempotent.

Werecall the method of Jurčo $[5]$ $[5]$ $[5]$ to construct bicovariant FODC over A. For the more general construction of bicovariant FODC over coquasitriangular Hopf algebras see [\[6,](#page-19-0) Section 14.5]. Let $\ell^{\pm} = (\ell_i^{\pm i})$ $j^{(\pm i)}$ be the $N \times N$ -matrix of linear functionals $\ell_j^{\pm i}$ $j^{\pm i}$ on ${\cal A}$ as definedin in [[3,](#page-19-0) Section 2]. Recall that ℓ^{\pm} is uniquely determined by $\ell^{\pm i}(u_n^m) = (\hat{R}^{\pm 1})_{n j}^{i m}$ and the property that ℓ^{\pm} : $\mathcal{A} \to \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ is a unital algebra homomorphism. Note that $\ell_i^{\pm i}$ $j^{\pm i}(Su_n^m) = (\hat{R}^{\mp 1})_{jn}^{mi}$. Define the bicovariant bimodules

$$
\Gamma_{\pm} = (u \otimes u^c, \varepsilon_{\pm} \otimes \ell^{-c} \otimes \ell^{+}),
$$

where $\varepsilon_{+} = \varepsilon$ and ε_{-} is the character on A given by $\varepsilon_{-}(u_j^i) = -\delta_{ij}$. The structure of Γ_{\pm} can easily be described as follows. There exists a basis $\{\theta_{ij}|i, j = 1, \ldots, N\}$ of (T_{\pm}) _L such that the right adjoint action and the right coaction are given by

$$
\theta_{ij} \triangleleft a = \varepsilon_{\pm}(a_{(1)}) S(\ell_i^{-m}) \ell_n^{+j}(a_{(2)}) \theta_{mn}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A},
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{R}} \theta_{ij} = \theta_{mn} \otimes u_i^{m}(u^c)_{j}^{n}, \quad i, j = 1, ..., N.
$$

In particular

$$
\theta_{ij} \triangleleft u_t^s = \pm \hat{R}_{iy}^{sm} \hat{R}_{tn}^{jy} \theta_{mn}, \n\theta \triangleleft u_t^s = \pm (\hat{R}^2)_{tn}^{sm} \theta_{mn},
$$
\n(10)

where $\theta = \sum_i \theta_{ii}$ is the unique up to scalars bi-invariant element. Defining

$$
da = \theta a - a\theta \tag{11}
$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, (Γ_{\pm}, d) becomes a bicovariant FODC over \mathcal{A} . The basis $\{X_{ij}^{\pm}\}\$ of the quantum Lie algebra \mathcal{X}_{\pm} dual to $\{\theta_{ij}\}\$ is given by

$$
X_{ij}^{\pm} := \varepsilon_{\pm} \ell_j^i - \delta_{ij} := \varepsilon_{\pm} S(\ell_y^{-i}) \ell_j^{+y} - \delta_{ij}.
$$

One easily checks that X_0^{\pm} $\bar{D}_0^\pm \;:=\; D_i^j X_{ij}^\pm \;=\; \varepsilon_\pm D_i^j$ $i \ell_j^i - x$ is an ad_r-invariant element of \mathcal{A}° . The braiding σ of Γ_{\pm} can be obtained as follows. Inserting $v = u \otimes u^{c}$ and $f_{\pm} = \varepsilon_{\pm} \otimes \ell^{-c} \otimes \ell^{+}$ into equation [\(4](#page-3-0)) the braiding matrices of Γ_{+} and Γ_{-} coincide

$$
\sigma = \dot{R}_{23}^{-} \dot{R}_{12} \dot{R}_{34}^{-1} \dot{R}_{23},\tag{12}
$$

where the matrices \acute{R} , \check{R} , and \grave{R}^- are defined as follows. For a complex $N^2 \times N^2$ -matrix T with $\hat{T} \in \text{Mor}(u\otimes u)$ define the matrices $\check{T}_{st}^{ab} = \hat{T}_{ba}^{ts}, \ \check{T}_{st}^{ab} = \hat{T}_{tb}^{sa}, \text{ and } \hat{T}^- = (\hat{T})^{-1}$. Note that $\acute{T} \in \text{Mor}(u^c \otimes u, u \otimes u^c)$ and $\check{T} \in \text{Mor}(u^c \otimes u^c)$. Now we can formulate our main result.

Theorem 3.1. Let A be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{O}_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}_q(N))$, $N \geq 3$, and q not a root of unity. Let Γ be one of the bicovariant FODC Γ_{\pm} , and $2x +$ $(q - q^{-1})(r - r^{-1}) \neq 0$ in case of Γ . Denote the unique up to scalars bi-invariant 1-form by θ .

(i) Then the quotient $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}/(\theta^2)$ and the second antisymmetrizer algebra $_{\mathbf{s}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ are isomorphic bicovariant bimodules.

(ii) The bi-invariant 2-form θ^2 is central in $\mu \Gamma^{\wedge}$. The calculus $\mu \Gamma^{\wedge}$ is inner, i.e.

$$
d\rho = \theta \wedge \rho - (-1)^n \rho \wedge \theta, \quad \rho \in {}_{u} \Gamma^{\wedge n}.
$$
 (13)

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is true for the quantum group $SL_q(2)$ and the $4D_{\pm}$ bicovariant FODCas well, [[9,](#page-19-0) Theorem 3.3 (iii)]. In cases $SL_q(N)$ and $GL_q(N)$, $N \geq 3$, we have $_{\rm u}\Gamma^{\wedge} \cong {}_{\rm s}\Gamma^{\wedge}$, [\[9,](#page-19-0) Theorem 3.3 (ii)]. For the quantum super group $\mathrm{GL}_q(m|n)$ the relation $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge} \cong {}_{\mathbf{s}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ was proved in [\[7](#page-19-0), Section 5.3].

Remark 2. The isomorphism of bicovariant bimodules $\mu^N/(\theta^2)$ and μ^N implies its isomorphy as differential graded Hopf algebras.

4. Proof of the Theorem

In the first part of this section we study the duality of $\Gamma_{\text{L}} \otimes \Gamma_{\text{L}}$ and $\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}$ in more detail. In the second part we examine how $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ splits into bicovariant subbimodules. We shall prove that the space of bi-invariant elements of $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ generates the whole bimodule ker A_2 .

Duality. There is a useful criterion to describe the dimension of the space of leftinvariant 2-forms of $_{\rm u} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ in terms of the quantum Lie algebra.

Lemma 4.1. Let A be an arbitrary Hopf algebra, Γ a left-covariant FODC over A with quantum Lie algebra \mathcal{X} , and $\mathbf{u} \Gamma^{\wedge}$ the universal differential calculus over Γ . Then

$$
\dim_{\mathfrak{u}} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\wedge 2} = \dim \{ T \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X} | \mu(T) \in \mathcal{X} \},
$$

where $\mu: \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ denotes the multiplication map.

 ${\bf A X EL \hspace{1mm} SCHÜLER}$

Proof. We use the following simple lemma from linear algebra without proof. Let $B: V \times W \to \mathbb{C}$ be a non-degenerate linear pairing of finite dimensional vector spaces and U a subspace of V. Then the induced pairing \overline{B} : $V/U \times U^{\perp} \to \mathbb{C}$ with U^{\perp} ${w \in W | B(u, w) = 0$ for $u \in U}$ is also non-degenerate. Applying this lemma to the non-degenerate pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \Gamma_{\text{L}} \otimes \Gamma_{\text{L}} \times \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}$, [\[12](#page-19-0), p. 164], and $U = \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ we have $T = \alpha^{ij} X_i \otimes X_j \in U^{\perp}$ if and only if

$$
0 = \langle \omega(p_{(1)}) \otimes \omega(p_{(2)}), \ \alpha^{ij} X_i \otimes X_j \rangle = \alpha^{ij} X_i(p_{(1)}) X_j(p_{(2)}) = \mu(T)(p)
$$

for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence $T \in U^{\perp}$ if and only if $\mu(T) \in \mathcal{X}$. Consequently $U^{\perp} = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X}),$ where $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the pre-image of $\mathcal X$ under μ . Since the induced pairing is also non-degenerate and $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}^{\wedge 2} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{L}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}/\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ by definition, the assertion of the lemma is proved. \sqcup

Remark 3. Suppose Γ to be bicovariant. Since for $f: V \to V$ linear, $(\ker f)^{\perp} = \mathrm{im} f^{\top}$, the pairing also factorizes to a non-degenerate pairing of ${}_s\Gamma'^{2}_{\rm L}\times\mathcal{X}\wedge\mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{X}\wedge\mathcal{X}$ $A_2^{\dagger}(\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{X})$ and A_2^{\dagger} is the dual mapping to $A_2 \restriction (\Gamma_{\text{L}} \otimes \Gamma_{\text{L}}).$

We proceed with a result for a dual pairing of a comodule and a module.

Proposition 4.2. Let V be a right A -comodule, W a right A° -module, and $\langle , \rangle: V \times W \to \mathbb{C}$ a non-degenerate dual pairing of vector spaces. Suppose further that

$$
\langle v, w \cdot f \rangle = \langle v_{(0)} f(v_{(1)}), w \rangle,
$$

for $v \in V$, $w \in W$, and $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$.

If $P \in \text{Mor}(V)$ then $P^{\top} \in \text{Mor}(W)$. If in addition $P^2 = P$, then the induced pairing $\lim P \times \lim P^{\top} \to \mathbb{C}$ is also non-degenerate.

Proof. Since $P \in \text{Mor}(V)$, $P v_{(0)} \otimes v_{(1)} = (P v)_{(0)} \otimes (P v)_{(1)}$. For $v \in V$, $w \in W$, and $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ we thus get

$$
\langle v, P^{\top}w \cdot f \rangle = \langle v_{(0)}f(v_{(1)}), P^{\top}w \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle Pv_{(0)}f(v_{(1)}), w \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle (Pv)_{(0)}f((Pv)_{(1)}), w \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle Pv, w \cdot f \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle v, P^{\top}(w \cdot f) \rangle.
$$

Since the pairing is non-degenerate the first assertion follows.

Since P and P^{\dagger} are morphisms, the corresponding subspaces are invariant. Let $v_0 \in$ im P, i. e. $v_0 = Pv_0$, and suppose $0 = \langle v_0, P^{\dagger}w \rangle$ for all $w \in W$. Then $0 = \langle Pv_0, P^{\dagger}w \rangle =$ $\langle P^2 v_0, w \rangle = \langle v_0, w \rangle$. Since the pairing is non-degenerate, $v_0 = 0$. Similarly one shows that im P separates the elements of im P^{\dagger} . \Box Corollary 4.3. Let A be an arbitrary Hopf algebra, Γ a bicovariant FODC over A with quantum Lie algebra X, and $P \in \text{Mor}(\Delta_{\text{R}})$, $P^2 = P$. We restrict Δ_{R} to $(\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma)_{\text{L}}$ or a suitable quotient.

Then im P is a $\Delta_{\rm R}$ -invariant subspace of $(\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma)$ _L $({}_{\rm u} \Gamma_{\rm L}^{\wedge 2}$ resp. ${}_{\rm s} \Gamma_{\rm L}^{\wedge 2}$), and im P^{\top} is an ad_R-invariant subspace of $X \otimes X$ ($\mu^{-1}(X)$ resp. $X \wedge X$). The induced pairing $\lim P \times \lim P^{\top} \to \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ and $(\ker A_2)$ _L are $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant, and since $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$ and $A_2^{\top}(\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{X})$ are ad_R-invariant, the mappings Δ_R and ad_R are well-defined on both quotients $_u\Gamma_L^{\wedge 2}$ and $_s\Gamma_{\text{L}}^{\wedge 2}$ resp. $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{X}\wedge\mathcal{X}$.

Itfollows from [[12,](#page-19-0) (5.17) and (5.21)] that for $\rho \in (\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma)_{\mathbb{L}}, Y \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}$, and $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$

$$
\langle \rho_{(0)} f(\rho_{(1)}) , Y \rangle = \langle \rho , Y \triangleleft f \rangle.
$$

Thus Proposition [4.2](#page-7-0) applies to our situation.

Our next aim is to compare the bi-invariant components of $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$, $\,S \Gamma^{\wedge 2}$, and $\, {}_{\rm u} \Gamma^{\wedge 2}$ resp. of $X \otimes X$, $X \wedge X$, and $\mu^{-1}(X)$. Let B be a right $\mathcal{A}^{\circ}\text{-module with respect to } ad_R$. For the space of invariants we use the notation $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{b \in \mathcal{B} | b \triangleleft f = \varepsilon(f)b, f \in \mathcal{A}^\circ\}.$

Lemma 4.4. Let A be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{O}_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}_q(N))$, $N \geq 3$, Γ one of the N²-dimensional bicovariant FODC Γ_{\pm} over A and let X be the corresponding quantum Lie algebra. Then we have

(i)
$$
\dim \varGamma_1^{\otimes 2} = 3
$$
, $\dim(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X})_0 = 3$,

(ii)
$$
\dim_s \Gamma_1^{\wedge 2} = 0, \quad \dim(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X})_0 = 0, \qquad \dim(\ker A_2)_I = 3,
$$

(iii)
$$
\dim_u \Gamma_1^{\wedge 2} = 1
$$
, $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})_0 = \langle T \rangle$, $\dim(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})_1) = 2$,

where

$$
T = X_{ij} \otimes X_{mn} B_y^i \hat{R}_{mz}^{jy} C_z^n.
$$

Proof. (i) It is well known that dim Mor $(u\otimes u) = 3$, and I, R², and K form a linear basis of Mor(u $\otimes u$). Using [\(5](#page-4-0)) it is easy to see that the mapping $E \mapsto (B_z^s C_y^r E_{yz}^{ab})$ defines a linear isomorphism $\text{Mor}(u\otimes u) \to \text{Mor}(1, u\otimes u^c \otimes u \otimes u^c)$. Since $\rho = \alpha^{ijmn}\theta_{ij}\otimes \theta_{mn} \in$ $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ is bi-invariant if and only if $(\alpha^{ijmn}) \in \text{Mor}(1, u \otimes u^c \otimes u \otimes u^c)$, (i) is proved.

(ii) The elements $\theta \otimes \theta$, $\eta = D_j^k \theta_{ik} \otimes \theta_{ji}$, and $\xi = C_z^i \hat{R}_{zn}^{ym} B_j^y$ $j^y \theta_{ij} \otimes \theta_{mn}$ form a basis of $\Gamma_i^{\otimes 2}$. Using the graphical calculus it is not difficult to check that σ acts as the identity on $\Gamma_{\rm I}^{\otimes 2}$:

□

Consequently, $\Gamma_1^{\otimes 2} \subseteq \text{ker } A_2$ and $\binom{1}{1}$ = {0}. By Corollary [4.3](#page-7-0) $(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X})_0 = 0$. (iii)By [[3,](#page-19-0) (2.3)], $\hat{R}_{vj}^{wm}(\ell^{-c})_{y}^{m} \ell_{z}^{+j} = \ell_{j}^{+w}(\ell^{-c})_{m}^{v} \hat{R}_{mz}^{jy}$. Further by [3, Remark 21], $\ell^{\pm}C^{\dagger}\ell^{\pm \top} = C^{\dagger}1.$ Let

$$
T_0 = \ell_j^i \ell_n^m B_y^i \hat{R}_{mz}^{jy} C_z^n = (\ell^{-c})_i^w \ell_j^{+w} (\ell^{-c})_m^v \ell_n^{+v} B_y^i \hat{R}_{mz}^{jy} C_z^n.
$$

Using the above identities, [\(6](#page-4-0)) twice, and finally [\(8\)](#page-4-0) one gets

$$
T_0 = S\ell_w^{-i} (\ell^{-c})_y^m \ell_z^{+j} \hat{R}_{vj}^{wm} \ell_n^{+v} B_y^i C_z^m
$$

= $S\ell_w^{-i} S\ell_m^{-y} \hat{R}_{vj}^{wm} C_y^v B_y^i$
= $r^{-1} S(\ell_m^{-y} \ell_w^{-i} C_m^w) B_y^i$
= $r^{-1} C_y^i B_y^i 1 = r^{-1} x.$

Using $X_{ij}^{\pm} = \varepsilon_{\pm} \ell_j^i - \delta_j^i$, the above calculation, and again ([6\)](#page-4-0) and [\(8](#page-4-0)) it follows that

$$
\mu(T) = (\varepsilon_{\pm} \ell_{j}^{i} - \delta_{j}^{i})(\varepsilon_{\pm} \ell_{n}^{m} - \delta_{n}^{m})B_{y}^{i}\hat{R}_{mz}^{jy}C_{z}^{n}
$$

\n
$$
= r^{-1}x - \varepsilon_{\pm}B_{y}^{i}\hat{R}_{mz}^{iy}C_{z}^{n}\ell_{n}^{m} - \varepsilon_{\pm}B_{y}^{i}\hat{R}_{mz}^{jy}C_{z}^{m}\ell_{j}^{i} + B_{y}^{i}\hat{R}_{mz}^{iy}C_{z}^{m}
$$

\n
$$
= r^{-1}(x - \varepsilon_{\pm}B_{z}^{m}C_{z}^{n}\ell_{n}^{m} - \varepsilon_{\pm}B_{y}^{i}C_{y}^{j}\ell_{j}^{i} + x)
$$

\n
$$
= -2r^{-1}X_{0}^{\pm}.
$$

Consequently, $T \in \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\dim \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})_0 \geq 1$. By Corollary [4.3](#page-7-0) applied to the projection $P \in \text{Mor}(\Delta_{R} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{u}} I_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\wedge 2})$ onto the space $_{\mathfrak{u}} I_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\wedge 2}$, the pairing

$$
{}_{u}\Gamma_{I}^{\wedge 2} \times \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})_{0} \to \mathbb{C}
$$

is non-degenerate. Since ${}_{u}\Gamma_{1}^{\wedge 2} = \Gamma_{1}^{\otimes 2}/\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})_{I}$, dim $\Gamma_{1}^{\otimes 2} = 3$ by (i), and dim $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})_{I} \geq 2$ by the result of Section [5](#page-15-0) we get $\dim \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{X})_0 = \dim_u \Gamma_1^{\wedge 2} = 1$. This completes the proof. \Box

Bicovariant subbimodules. We shall describe a method to construct a class of bicovariant subbimodules of $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$. This method is also applicable to higher tensor products $\Gamma^{\otimes k}$ $\Gamma^{\otimes k}$ $\Gamma^{\otimes k}$, cf. [[4](#page-19-0), p. 1356]. In this subsection A is one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{O}_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(\text{Sp}_q(N))$ and Γ always denotes one of the N^2 -dimensional bicovariant bimodules Γ_\pm over A with left-invariant basis $\{\theta_{ij} | i, j = 1, ..., N\}$. The canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^N is $\{e_1,\ldots,e_N\}.$

Lemma 4.5. (i) Let \hat{P} and \check{Q} be idempotents in Mor $(u \otimes u)$ resp. Mor $(u^c \otimes u^c)$. We identify the underlying spaces of the right coaction on $\Gamma_{\text{L}} \otimes \Gamma_{\text{L}}$ and the equivalent matrix corepresentation $u\otimes u^c\otimes u\otimes u^c$ via $\theta_{ij}\otimes\theta_{kl}\to e_i\otimes e_j\otimes e_k\otimes e_l$. Then the subspace

$$
\hat{R}_{23}^{-}\hat{P}_{12}\check{Q}_{34}\acute{R}_{23}(T_{\text{L}}\otimes T_{\text{L}})
$$
\n(14)

of $\Gamma_{\rm L}\otimes\Gamma_{\rm L}$ is the left-invariant basis of a bicovariant subbimodule of $\Gamma\otimes_{\cal A}\Gamma$ of dimension $rk(\hat{P})$ $rk(\check{Q})$.

(ii) $\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ is the direct sum of 9 bicovariant subbimodules $\Lambda^{\tau\nu}$, $\tau, \nu \in \{+, -, 0\}$, generated by the left-invariant elements

$$
\hat{R}_{23}^{-}\hat{P^{\tau}}_{12}\check{P^{\nu}}_{34}\check{R}_{23}(\Gamma_{\rm L}\otimes\Gamma_{\rm L}).
$$

Moreover we have the following identity of bicovariant bimodules

$$
\ker A_2 = \Lambda^{++} \oplus \Lambda^{--} \oplus \Lambda^{00}.
$$

Proof. (i) Since all four mappings appearing in [\(14\)](#page-9-0) are morphisms of corepresentations one easily checks that $T := \hat{R}_{23}^{-} \hat{P}_{12} \check{Q}_{34} \hat{R}_{23} \in \text{Mor}(u \otimes u^c \otimes u \otimes u^c)$. Hence the space is closed under the right coaction.

Nowwe compute the right adjoint action. Set $\theta_{mnkl}^{PQ} = T(\theta_{mn} \otimes \theta_{kl})$. By ([10\)](#page-5-0)

$$
\theta_{mnkl}^{PQ} \triangleleft u_j^i = T_{mnkl}^{wwd} \hat{R}_{vy}^{ia} \hat{R}_{eb}^{wy} \hat{R}_{cz}^{es} \hat{R}_{jt}^{dz} \theta_{ab} \otimes \theta_{st}
$$

\n
$$
= (\hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{45} T_{1234})_{mnklj}^{iabst} \theta_{ab} \otimes \theta_{st}
$$

\n
$$
= (T_{1234} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{45})_{mnklj}^{iabst} \theta_{ab} \otimes \theta_{st}
$$

\n
$$
= \theta_{vust}^{PQ} (\hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{45})_{mnklj}^{i vwst}.
$$

The last but one equation becomes evident by taking a look at the graphical presentation of these equations.

Figure 3: $\langle \theta^{PQ} \rangle$ is closed under the right adjoint action.

Consequently $T(T_L \otimes F_L)$ is closed under the right adjoint action. Hence $\mathcal{A}T(T_L \otimes F_L)$ is a bicovariant subbimodule.

(ii) The first part follows from (i) and the fact that $(\hat{P^+} + \hat{P^-} + \hat{P^0})_{12}(\check{P^+} + \check{P^-} + \check{P^0})_{34}$ is the identity of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 4}$. In addition \hat{P}^{τ} and \hat{P}^{ν} as well as \check{P}^{τ} and \check{P}^{ν} are pairwise orthogonal idempotents, respectively. Hence the sum is direct. Let us turn to the second part. Let λ_{τ} denote the eigenvalue of \hat{R} with respect to the idempotent \hat{P}^{τ} , $\hat{R}\hat{P}^{\tau} = \lambda_{\tau}\hat{P}^{\tau}$, namely $\lambda_{+} = q$, $\lambda_{-} = -q^{-1}$, and $\lambda_{0} = r^{-1}$. Note that $\check{R}\check{P}^{\tau} = \lambda_{\tau}\check{P}^{\tau}$ as well. Put $\rho = \dot{R}_{23}^{-} \hat{P}^{\tau}{}_{12} \check{P}^{\nu}{}_{34} \acute{R}_{23}(\theta_{ijkl})$. Then by [\(12](#page-6-0))

$$
\sigma(\rho) = \dot{R}_{23}^{-} \hat{R}_{12} \dot{R}_{34}^{-1} \dot{R}_{23} \cdot \dot{R}_{23}^{-} \dot{P}^{\tau}{}_{12} \dot{P}^{\nu}{}_{34} \dot{R}_{23} (\theta_{ijkl})
$$

= $\lambda_{\tau} \lambda_{\nu}^{-1} \dot{R}_{23}^{-} \dot{P}^{\tau}{}_{12} \dot{P}^{\nu}{}_{34} \dot{R}_{23} (\theta_{ijkl})$
= $\lambda_{\tau} \lambda_{\nu}^{-1} \rho$.

Since q is not a root of unity, $\lambda_{\tau} \neq \lambda_{\nu}$ for $\tau \neq \nu$. Hence $\rho \in (\ker A_2)_{\mathbb{L}}$ if and only if $\tau = \nu$. We thus get $(\ker A_2)_L = \Lambda_L^{++} \oplus \Lambda_L^{--} \oplus \Lambda_L^{00}$ as linear spaces. By (i) each space on the right hand side generates a bicovariant subbimodule. This completes the proof. \Box

To simplify notations we choose a new basis of $(\Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma)_{\text{L}}$

$$
\overline{\theta}_{v w s t} = \dot{R}_{w s}^{-yz} \theta_{v y} \otimes \theta_{z t}, \qquad \theta_{v w s t} = \dot{R}_{w s}^{yz} \overline{\theta}_{v y z t}.
$$
\n(15)

The right coaction now reads $u \otimes u \otimes u^c \otimes u^c$ and the braiding in the new basis is $\overline{\sigma} =$ $\hat{R}_{12}\check{R}_{34}^{-1}$. We simply write Λ^{τ} instead of $\Lambda^{\tau\tau}$, $\tau \in \{+, -, 0\}$. Since the corresponding \hat{P}^{τ} subcorepresentation of $u\otimes u$ is irreducible, by Schur's lemma Λ^{τ} has a *unique* up to scalars bi-invariant element η^{τ} .

$$
\eta^{\tau} = (\hat{P}^{\tau})_{lk}^{mn} \overline{\theta}_{mnkl} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & k & l \\ \hat{P}^{\tau} & \hat{P}^{\tau} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\tau}} \overline{\theta}_{mnkl} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & k & l \\ \hat{P}^{\tau} & \hat{P}^{\tau} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\tau}} \overline{\theta}_{mnkl}.
$$

Figure 4: The bi-invariant elements η^+ , η^- , and η^0 .

The relations with the old basis of $\Gamma_{1}^{\otimes 2}$ are $\eta = r(\eta^{0} + \eta^{+} + \eta^{-}), \xi = x\eta^{0}$, and

$$
\theta \otimes \theta = q\eta^+ - q^{-1}\eta^- + r^{-1}\eta^0. \tag{16}
$$

The next lemma is the key step in our proof.

Lemma 4.6. Let Γ be one of the bicovariant FODC Γ_{\pm} over $\mathcal A$ and Λ^{τ} , $\tau \in \{+, -, 0\}$ the above defined bicovariant subbimodule of ker A_2 . Then Λ^{τ} is generated by the single element η^{τ} . More precisely,

$$
\eta^\tau\triangleleft\mathcal{A}=A_{\text{L}}^\tau
$$

.

Proof. By Lemma [4.5](#page-9-0) the canonical left-invariant basis of Λ^{τ} is

$$
\theta_{mnkl}^{\tau} = \dot{R}_{23}^-(\hat{P}^{\tau})_{12}(\check{P}^{\tau})_{34}\dot{R}_{23}(\theta_{mn}\otimes\theta_{kl}) = \dot{R}_{yz}^{-ws}(\hat{P}^{\tau})_{ma}^{vy}(\check{P}^{\tau})_{bl}^{zt}\dot{R}_{nk}^{ab}\theta_{vw}\otimes\theta_{st}.
$$

The proof is in two steps. First we compute $\eta^{\tau} \triangleleft u_j^i$ and obtain elements

$$
\eta_{ij}^{\tau} = B_z^i (\hat{P}^{\tau})_{zk}^{mn} (\check{P}^{\tau})_{ky}^{vw} C_y^j \overline{\theta}_{mnvw},
$$

$$
\xi_{ij}^{\tau} = (\hat{P}^{\tau})_{yk}^{mn} (\check{P}^{\tau})_{kz}^{vw} \hat{R}_{ij}^{yz} \overline{\theta}_{mnvw}.
$$

The graphical presentation of η_{ij}^{τ} and ξ_{ij}^{τ} is as follows.

Figure 5: The elements η_{ij}^{τ} and ξ_{ij}^{τ} .

First we will show that

$$
\eta^{\tau} \triangleleft \widetilde{u}^i_j = \hat{q}(\lambda_{\tau}^2 + 1)\xi^{\tau}_{ij} - r^{-1}\hat{q}(1 + \lambda_{\tau}^{-2})\eta^{\tau}_{ij},\tag{17}
$$

$$
\eta^{\tau} \triangleleft \tilde{U} = \alpha_{\tau} \eta^{\tau}, \quad \alpha_{\tau} = \hat{q}(\lambda_{\tau} + \lambda_{\tau}^{-1})(\lambda_{\tau} r - \lambda_{\tau}^{-1} r^{-1}). \tag{18}
$$

By (15) (15) and (10) one has

$$
\overline{\theta}_{mnkl} \triangleleft u_j^i = \dot{R}_{nk}^{-ab} (\theta_{ma} \triangleleft u_c^i)(\theta_{bl} \triangleleft u_j^c)
$$

\n
$$
= \dot{R}_{nk}^{-ab} \hat{R}_{my}^{iv} \hat{R}_{cd}^{ay} \hat{R}_{bz}^{cp} \hat{R}_{jt}^{lz} \theta_{vd} \otimes \theta_{pt}
$$

\n
$$
= (\dot{R}_{34} \dot{R}_{12} \dot{R}_{23} \dot{R}_{34} \dot{R}_{45} \dot{R}_{23}^{-})_{mnklj}^{ivwst} \overline{\theta}_{vwst}.
$$
\n(19)

Figure 6: The right adjoint action of u_j^i on $\overline{\theta}_{mnkl}$.

In particular for η^{τ} we compute

Figure 7: The proof of([17\)](#page-12-0).

In the first step we replaced the crossing in the dash box using([7\)](#page-4-0). In the second step we did the same with the R -matrix in the first dash box. This gives the first three terms in the next line. Moreover the dash box in the second summand is multiplied by \check{P}^{τ} and gives $\lambda_{\tau} I$ (no crossing). Similarly, a second crossing in the same term gives another λ_{τ} . With the third summand we proceed in the same way; in addition the curl givesthe factor r^{-1} . Since $u_j^i = u_j^i - \delta_{ij}1$, ([17](#page-12-0)) follows immediately. Note that for $\tau = 0$,

$$
\eta^0 \lhd u_j^i = \delta_{ij} \eta^0 \tag{20}
$$

is obvious from the first line in Figure 7 since $\hat{P}^0 = x^{-1}K$ and no crossing appears there.Moreover $\eta_{ij}^0 = \delta_{ij} \eta^0$ and $\xi_{ij}^0 = r \delta_{ij} \eta^0$ and ([17](#page-12-0)) and [\(18\)](#page-12-0) are valid. Since Λ^0 is one-dimensional there is nothing to prove. Now we fix $\tau \in \{+, -\}$. We shall eliminate η_{ij}^{τ} from ([17\)](#page-12-0). Multiplying [\(17\)](#page-12-0) by D_i^j i and using D_i^j $i^j\eta_{ij}^\tau=\eta^\tau,\,D_i^j$ $i\xi_{ij}^{\tau} = r\eta^{\tau}$ $i\xi_{ij}^{\tau} = r\eta^{\tau}$ $i\xi_{ij}^{\tau} = r\eta^{\tau}$ gives ([18](#page-12-0)). Since q is not a root of unity, $T_{\tau} = \hat{q}(\lambda_{\tau}^2 + 1)\hat{R}^{-1} - r^{-1}\hat{q}(1 + \lambda_{\tau}^{-2})$ is invertible with

inverse

$$
T_{+}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\hat{q}[2]_q} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}r^{-1}} \hat{P^{+}} + \frac{1}{-q^2 - q^{-1}r^{-1}} \hat{P^{-}} + \frac{1}{rq - r^{-1}q^{-1}} \hat{P^{0}} \right),
$$

$$
T_{-}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\hat{q}[2]_q} \left(\frac{1}{q^{-2} - qr^{-1}} \hat{P^{+}} + \frac{1}{-1 - qr^{-1}} \hat{P^{-}} + \frac{1}{q^{-1}r - qr^{-1}} \hat{P^{0}} \right).
$$

Set $(S_{\tau})_{st}^{ij} = B_i^y$ $\int_s^y (T_\tau^{-1})_{zt}^{yj} C_z^s$ and multiply [\(17](#page-12-0)) by $(S_\tau)_{st}^{ij}$. Then we obtain $(S_\tau)_{st}^{ij} \eta^\tau \lhd u_j^i =$ η_{st}^{τ} . Consequently, $\eta_{st}^{\tau} \in \Lambda^{\tau}$ for $s, t = 1, ..., N$ and $\tau \in \{+, -\}.$

In the second step we again compute the right adjoint action of u_j^i but on elements η_{st}^{τ} . We obtain elements

$$
\xi_{sijt}^{\tau} = (\hat{P}^{\tau})_{sy}^{mn} (\check{P}^{\tau})_{zt}^{vw} \hat{R}_{ij}^{yz} \overline{\theta}_{mnvw},
$$

$$
\eta_{sijt}^{\tau} = B_y^s B_z^i (\hat{P}^{\tau})_{yz}^{mn} (\check{P}^{\tau})_{dc}^{vw} C_d^j C_c^t \overline{\theta}_{mnvw}.
$$

Obviously $\xi_{sijt}^{\tau} = \eta_{syst}^{\tau} \acute{R}_{ij}^{yz}$. Graphically they are represented by

Figure 8: The elements ξ_{istj}^{τ} and η_{istj}^{τ} .

By([19\)](#page-12-0) one has

Figure 9: The right adjoint action of u_j^i on η_{st}^{τ} .

Replacing one crossing \hat{R} by $\hat{R}^{-1} + \hat{q}I - \hat{q}K$ similarly to the graphical calculations in the first part of the proof one can show that

$$
\zeta_{sijt}^{\tau} := \eta_{uv}^{\tau} \triangleleft u_b^a \tilde{R}_{si}^{au} \hat{R}_{jt}^{vb} - \delta_{ij} \eta_{st}^{\tau} = \hat{q} \xi_{sijt}^{\tau} - \hat{q} r^{-1} \eta_{sijt}^{\tau}.
$$

Since q is not a root of unity, $T = \hat{q}(\hat{R}^{-1} - r^{-1}I)$ is invertible with inverse $T^{-1} =$ $\hat{q}^{-1}((q^{-1}-r^{-1})^{-1}\hat{P}^+ - (q+r^{-1})^{-1}\hat{P}^-).$ Therefore

$$
B_i^y(T^{-1})_{zl}^{yj} C_z^k \zeta_{sijt}^{\tau} = \eta_{sklt}^{\tau}
$$

belongs to $\Lambda_{\rm L}^{\tau}$. Finally we have $B_v^m B_y^a C_z^b C_t^l \eta_{vyzt}^{\tau} \dot{R}_{nk}^{ab} = \theta_{mnkl}^{\tau}$ which completes the proof. \Box

Now we are ready to complete the proof of the theorem. By Lemma [4.4](#page-8-0) (iii) both η^+ and η^- belong to $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ (see Section 5) and dim $_{\mathrm{u}}\Gamma_1^{\wedge 2} \geq 1$. Hence $\eta^0 \notin \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})_1$. Since $\theta \otimes \theta \equiv r^{-1} \eta^0 \mod \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ $\theta \otimes \theta \equiv r^{-1} \eta^0 \mod \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ $\theta \otimes \theta \equiv r^{-1} \eta^0 \mod \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ by ([16](#page-11-0)) and $a \eta^0 = \eta^0 a, a \in \mathcal{A}$, by [\(20\)](#page-13-0) we get

$$
a\theta \wedge \theta = \theta \wedge \theta a, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.\tag{21}
$$

We prove [\(13\)](#page-6-0) by induction over the degree n of $\rho \in u^{\Lambda_n}$. For $n = 0$ it is true by the definition of the FODC. Suppose it is true for $n-1$. Since there exist $\alpha_i \in {}_u\Gamma^{\wedge n-1}$ and $b_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\rho = \alpha_i \mathrm{d}b_i$, we obtain by induction assumption and by (21)

$$
d\rho = d\alpha_i db_i = \theta \alpha_i db_i - (-1)^{n-1} \alpha_i \theta (\theta b_i - b_i \theta)
$$

= $\theta \rho - (-1)^n (\alpha_i \theta b_i \theta - \alpha_i b_i \theta^2)$
= $\theta \rho - (-1)^n \alpha_i db_i \theta$
= $\theta \rho - (-1)^n \rho \theta$.

Using $d^2 \rho = 0$ and ([13](#page-6-0)) twice gives $\theta^2 \rho = \rho \theta^2$, and θ^2 is central in $_u \Gamma^{\wedge 2}$. This completes the proof of (ii).

By Lemma [4.4](#page-8-0) (iii) and Lemma [4.6](#page-11-0)

$$
\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) \supseteq \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})_0 \triangleleft \mathcal{A} = \Lambda_L^+ \oplus \Lambda_L^-.
$$

Since $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \text{ker } A_2$ by universality of $_u\Gamma^{\wedge}$ and $\eta^0 \in \text{ker } A_2$, we conclude with Lemma [4.5](#page-9-0) (ii) that the above inclusion is not strict, $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) = \Lambda_L^+ \oplus \Lambda_L^-$ and

$$
(\ker A_2)_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm L}=\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})\oplus\varLambda^0_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm L}.
$$

Since both $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}^{\wedge}$ and $_{\mathbf{s}}\Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}^{\wedge}$ are quadratic algebras, $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}^{\wedge}/(\eta^0) \cong \Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}^{\otimes}/(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) \oplus \langle \eta^0 \rangle) \cong$ $\Gamma_{\rm L}^{\otimes}/(\text{ker }A_2)_{\rm L} \cong {}_{\rm s}\Gamma_{\rm L}^{\wedge}$. Since both ${}_{\rm u}\Gamma^{\wedge}/(\eta^0)$ and ${}_{\rm s}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ are free left A-modules it follows $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}/(\eta^0) \cong {}_{\mathbf{s}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$. Noting that $\theta^2 = r^{-1}\eta^0$ in $_{\mathbf{u}}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ completes the proof of the theorem.

5. The bi-invariant 2-form of the universal differential calculus

In this section we will complete the proof of Lemma [4.4](#page-8-0) (iii) and show that both biinvariant elements η^+ and η^- belong to $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$. We give different proofs for the cases Γ_+ and Γ_- . The first proof for Γ_+ is self-contained and much easier than the second one. In the later one we take results from [\[8](#page-19-0)] and make use of a computer algebra program to simplify long terms. For q transcendental however the first proof works for Γ as well.

Werecall some identities which are easily proved using (3) (3) and the Leibniz rule. Equations (23) , [\[6](#page-19-0), formula (14.3)], and (25) , [\[6,](#page-19-0) Lemma 14.15], are valid for arbitrary *leftcovariant* FODC while [\(22](#page-16-0))and ([24\)](#page-16-0) in addition require $da = \theta a - a\theta$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$

and $p \in \mathcal{R}$ we have

$$
\omega(a) = \theta \triangleleft a + \varepsilon(a)\theta, \qquad \theta \triangleleft p = 0,\tag{22}
$$

$$
\omega(ab) = \omega(a) \triangleleft b + \varepsilon(a)\omega(b),\tag{23}
$$

$$
\mathcal{S}(a) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \triangleleft a - \theta \otimes (\theta \triangleleft a) - (\theta \triangleleft a) \otimes \theta + \varepsilon(a) \theta \otimes \theta,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{S}(a) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \cdot (a \otimes \theta) \tag{24}
$$

$$
\mathcal{S}(p) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \triangleleft p, \n\mathcal{S}(\tilde{a}b) = \mathcal{S}(a) \triangleleft b + \omega(a) \triangleleft b_{(1)} \otimes \omega(b_{(2)}) + \omega(b_{(1)}) \otimes (\omega(a) \triangleleft b_{(2)}),
$$
\n(25)

$$
\mathscr{S}(pb) = \mathscr{S}(p) \triangleleft b. \tag{25}
$$

We abbreviate $\hat{r} = r - r^{-1}$. In what follows we do *not* sum over signs τ and ν .

Part1. $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$. First we show $Q := \tilde{U} \cdot \tilde{U} - \hat{q} \cdot \tilde{U} \in \mathcal{R}$. By ([10](#page-5-0)) and ([9](#page-4-0)) we obtain $\theta \triangleleft U = \text{tr}_{q}^{1}(\hat{R}^{2})_{n}^{\mathfrak{m}} \theta_{mn} = (\hat{q}\hat{r} + x)\theta$. Using (22), (23), and $\varepsilon(U) = x$ we have $\omega(Q) =$ $(\theta \triangleleft \tilde{U}) \triangleleft \tilde{U} - \hat{q} \hat{r} \theta \triangleleft \tilde{U} = 0$. In addition $\varepsilon(Q) = 0$; hence $Q \in \mathcal{R}$. Next we compute $\mathscr{S}(Q)$.Since $Q \in \mathcal{R}$, by (24) we have $\mathscr{S}(Q) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \triangleleft Q$. Using [\(16](#page-11-0)) and ([18\)](#page-12-0) we get

$$
\mathcal{S}(Q) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \triangleleft Q = (q\eta^+ - q^{-1}\eta^-) \triangleleft (\widetilde{U}\widetilde{U} - \hat{q}\hat{r}\widetilde{U})
$$

\n
$$
= q\alpha_+^2 \eta^+ - q^{-1}\alpha_-^2 \eta^- - \hat{q}\hat{r}(q\alpha_+\eta^+ - q^{-1}\alpha_-\eta^-)
$$

\n
$$
= q\alpha_+(\alpha_+ - \hat{q}\hat{r})\eta^+ - q^{-1}\alpha_-(\alpha_- - \hat{q}\hat{r})\eta^-.
$$
 (26)

Since $\mathcal R$ is a right ideal $Q\widetilde{U} \in \mathcal R$. By (25) and (26)

$$
\mathcal{S}(Q\tilde{U}) = q\alpha_+^2(\alpha_+ - \hat{q}\hat{r})\eta^+ - q^{-1}\alpha_-^2(\alpha_- - \hat{q}\hat{r})\eta^-. \tag{27}
$$

Solving this linear system (26) and (27) in η^+ and η^- we have to consider its coefficient determinant

det =
$$
\alpha_+\alpha_-(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)(\alpha_+ - \hat{q}\hat{r})(\alpha_- - \hat{q}\hat{r})
$$

= $(r + r^{-1})\hat{q}^6[2]_q^3(qr - q^{-1}r^{-1})(q^{-1}r - qr^{-1})(q^2r - q^{-2}r^{-1})(q^{-2}r - q^2r^{-1}).$

Since q is not a root of unity, det $\neq 0$. Hence both η^+ and η^- belong to $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$.

Part 2. $\Gamma = \Gamma$. We denote the critical value by $c, c = \hat{q}q^2r(2x + \hat{q}\hat{r}) = (q^4 + 1)r^2 +$ $2q(q^2-1)r-(q^4+1)$.We recall some of the defining constants for Γ ₋ from [[8,](#page-19-0) p. 656]

$$
\mu^{+} = \frac{\hat{r}(-q^{2}r + q^{-2}r^{-1} - \hat{q})}{\hat{q}\hat{r} + 2x},
$$

$$
\mu^{-} = \frac{\hat{r}(-q^{-2}r + q^{2}r^{-1} - \hat{q})}{\hat{q}\hat{r} + 2x}.
$$

The idempotents \hat{P}^{ν} , $\nu \in \{+, -\}$, and their q-traces are as follows

$$
\hat{P}^{\nu} = (\lambda_{\nu} + \lambda_{\nu}^{-1})^{-1} (\lambda_{\nu}^{-1} I + \hat{R} + \hat{q} (1 - r\lambda_{\nu})^{-1} K),
$$
\n(28)

$$
\text{tr}_q^1(\hat{P}^{\nu}) = \frac{\hat{r}(\lambda_{\nu}^2 r - \lambda_{\nu}^{-1})}{\hat{q}(\lambda_{\nu} + \lambda_{\nu}^{-1})(\lambda_{\nu} r - 1)} I =: t_{\nu} I.
$$
\n(29)

 ${\bf AXEL\,\, SCHÜLER}$

There are two Ad_{R} -invariant quadratic elements in A, namely $V_{\nu} = D_a^b D_i^j$ $_{i}^{j}(\hat{P^{\nu}})_{yz}^{ai}u_{b}^{y}u_{j}^{z}.$ One has $\varepsilon(V_{\nu}) = xt_{\nu}$. Note that $W_{\nu} \in \mathcal{R}$, where $W_{\nu} = V_{\nu} - \mu^{\nu}U$, [\[8](#page-19-0), p. 656, eq. (3)]. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is Ad_{R} -invariant and $\rho \in \Lambda_{\text{I}}$, where Λ is a bicovariant bimodule. Then one has $\rho \triangleleft a \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{I}}$. Namely, $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}(\rho \triangleleft a) = (Sa_{(2)} \otimes Sa_{(1)})(\rho \otimes 1)(a_{(3)} \otimes a_{(4)}) =$ $\rho \triangleleft a_{(2)} \otimes Sa_{(1)} a_{(3)} = \rho \triangleleft a \otimes 1$. Applying this fact to Λ^{τ} and V_{ν} , and noting that η^{τ} , $\tau \in \{+, -\},\$ is the only bi-invariant element of Λ^{τ} (up to scalars), there exist complex numbers $c_{\tau \nu}$, τ , $\nu \in \{+, -\}$, defined by

$$
\eta^\tau \triangleleft \widetilde{V_\nu} = c_{\tau \nu} \eta^\tau.
$$

We shall determine these constants. By the definition of V_{ν} and [\(17](#page-12-0))

$$
\eta^{\tau} \triangleleft V_{\nu} = \eta^{\tau} \triangleleft u_j^{i} u_t^{s} (\hat{P}^{\nu})_{ab}^{jt} D_i^{a} D_s^{b}
$$

= $(\delta_{ij} \eta^{\tau} + \hat{q} (\lambda_{\tau}^{2} + 1) \xi_{ij}^{\tau} - r^{-1} \hat{q} (1 + \lambda_{\tau}^{-2}) \eta_{ij}^{\tau}) \triangleleft u_t^{s} (\hat{P}^{\nu})_{ab}^{jt} D_i^{a} D_s^{b}.$

Wecarry out the calculations for the first term. By (17) (17) and (29) we have

$$
\delta_{ij}\eta^{\tau}\triangleleft(u_i^s(\hat{P}^{\nu})_{ab}^{jt}D_i^aD_s^b)=t_{\nu}\eta^{\tau}\triangleleft U=t_{\nu}(x+\alpha_{\tau})\eta^{\tau}.
$$

Using graphical calculations we obtain for the other two terms

$$
\xi_{ij}^{\tau} \triangleleft (u_t^s (\hat{P}^{\nu})_{ab}^{jt} D_i^a D_s^b) = (\hat{q} \delta_{\tau,\nu} r^2 \lambda_{\tau}^2 + r \lambda_{\nu}^2 t_{\nu} - \hat{q} \lambda_{\nu} \lambda_{\tau}^{-1} e_{\tau \nu}) \eta^{\tau},
$$

$$
\eta_{ij}^{\tau} \triangleleft (u_t^s (\hat{P}^{\nu})_{ab}^{jt} D_i^a D_s^b) = (\hat{q} r \lambda_{\nu}^{-1} \lambda_{\tau} e_{\tau \nu} + \lambda_{\nu}^{-2} t_{\nu} - \hat{q} r^{-1} \lambda_{\tau}^{-2} \delta_{\tau,\nu}) \eta^{\tau},
$$

where $e_{\tau\nu} = (\lambda_\nu + \lambda_\nu^{-1})^{-1}(\lambda_\tau^{-1} + \hat{q}(1 - r\lambda_\nu)^{-1})$ is obtained from [\(28](#page-16-0)) and the picture

Figure 10: The definition of $e_{\tau \nu}$.

Finally we obtain

$$
c_{++} = c^{-1}(q^2 + 1)(q^2r^2 - 1)r^{-2}q^{-6}((q^{12} + q^4)r^4 + (2q^{11} - 2q^9 + 2q^5 - 2)r^3 +
$$

+ $(-q^{12} + q^8 - 4q^6 + q^4 - 1)r^2 + (-2q^9 + 2q^7 - 2q^3 + 2q)r + q^8 + 1)$

$$
c_{+-} = c^{-1}[2]_q(2(q - q^{-1}r^{-2})(r + q^3)(r - q)((q^2 - 1 + q^{-2})r^2 + \hat{q}r - q^2 + 1 - q^{-2})
$$

$$
c_{-+} = c^{-1}[2]_q(2(q^{-1} - qr^{-2})(qr + 1)(q^3r - 1)((q^2 - 1 + q^{-2})r^2 + \hat{q}r - q^2 + 1 - q^{-2})
$$

$$
c_{--} = c^{-1}(q^2 + 1)(r^2 - q^2)r^{-2}q^{-6}((q^8 + 1)r^4 + (2q^9 - 2q^7 + 2q^3 - 2q)r^3 +
$$

+ $(-q^{12} + q^8 - 4q^6 + q^4 - 1)r^2 + (-2q^{11} + 2q^9 - 2q^5 + 2q^3)r + q^{12} + q^4)$

Nowwe are able to compute four bi-invariant elements of $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$. Using ([16](#page-11-0)) and ([18\)](#page-12-0) we have

$$
\mathscr{S}(W_{\nu}) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \triangleleft (\widetilde{V_{\nu}} - \mu^{\nu} \widetilde{U}) = q(c_{+\nu} - \mu^{\nu} \alpha_{+}) \eta^{+} - q^{-1} (c_{-\nu} - \mu^{\nu} \alpha_{-}) \eta^{-}.
$$
 (30)

Similarly to Part 1 we get

$$
\mathscr{S}(W_{\nu}\tilde{U}) = q\alpha_{+}(c_{+\nu} - \mu^{\nu}\alpha_{+})\eta^{+} - q^{-1}\alpha_{-}(c_{-\nu} - \mu^{\nu}\alpha_{-})\eta^{-}.
$$
 (31)

Considerthe 4×2 -coefficient matrix $T = (T_{ij})$ for the linear system of equations ([30\)](#page-17-0) and (31), $\nu \in \{+, -\}$. The two columns are

$$
(T_{i1}) = q(c_{++} - \mu^+ \alpha_+, c_{+-} - \mu^- \alpha_+, \alpha_+ (c_{++} - \mu^+ \alpha_+), \alpha_+ (c_{+-} - \mu^- \alpha_+))^\top,
$$

$$
(T_{i2}) = -q^{-1}(c_{-+} - \mu^+ \alpha_-, c_{--} - \mu^- \alpha_-, \alpha_- (c_{-+} - \mu^+ \alpha_-), \alpha_- (c_{--} - \mu^- \alpha_-))^\top.
$$

We distinguish three cases: $(T_{i1}) = 0$, $(T_{i2}) = 0$, and no column vanishes, respectively.

Case 1.
$$
c_{++} - \mu^+ \alpha_+ = c_{+-} - \mu^- \alpha_+ = 0
$$
. We obtain the following two equations
\n
$$
0 = (q^2 + 1)(qr - 1)(qr + 1)(q^{12}r^4 + q^4r^4 + 2q^{11}r^3 - 2q^9r^3 + 2q^5r^3 - 2q^3r^3 - q^{12}r^2 + q^8r^2 - 4q^6r^2 + q^4r^2 - r^2 - 2q^9r + 2q^7r - 2q^3r + 2qr + q^8 + 1),
$$
\n
$$
0 = 2(qr - 1)(qr + 1)(r + q^3)(r - q) \times
$$
\n
$$
\times (q^4r^2 - q^2r^2 + r^2 + q^3r - qr - q^4 + q^2 - 1)(q^2 + 1).
$$

Since q is not a root of unity we have

$$
d_1 = q^{12}r^4 + q^4r^4 + 2q^{11}r^3 - 2q^9r^3 + 2q^5r^3 - 2q^3r^3 -
$$

$$
- q^{12}r^2 + q^8r^2 - 4q^6r^2 + q^4r^2 - r^2 - 2q^9r + 2q^7r - 2q^3r + 2qr + q^8 + 1 = 0,
$$

$$
d_2 = q^4r^2 - q^2r^2 + r^2 + q^3r - qr - q^4 + q^2 - 1 = 0.
$$

Using the Euclidean algorithm we eliminate powers of r . We end up with polynomials

$$
a = (q4 + 1)(q6 - q2 + 1)(q8 + 1)q4r3 ++ (q4 - q2 + 1)(3q14 - 2q10 + 2q8 - q6 + 2q2 - 2)q3r2 ++ (q20 - 2q18 + 2q14 - 4q12 - q10 + 5q8 - 6q6 + q4 + q2 - 1)r ++ (q4 - q2 + 1)(q16 - 4q14 + 2q12 + 2q10 - 5q8 + 2q6 + 2q4 - 4q2 + 2)q
$$

and

$$
b = (-q^4 - 1)(q^6 - q^2 + 1)(q^4 - q^2 + 1)^4(q - 1)^6(q + 1)^6qr +
$$

+ $(-2q^{12} + 3q^{10} - 3q^8 + q^6 + q^4 - 2q^2 + 1)(q^4 - q^2 + 1)^3(q - 1)^6(q + 1)^6q^2$

such that $ad_2 + bd_1 = -(q^6 + q^3 + 1)(q^6 - q^3 + 1)(q - 1)^6(q + 1)^6q$ (there is no r left). Since $d_1 = d_2 = 0$, q is a root of unity which contradicts our assumption. Hence Case 1 is impossible.

Case 2.
$$
c_{-+} - \mu^+ \alpha_- = c_{--} - \mu^- \alpha_- = 0
$$
. Similarly to Case 1 we have
\n
$$
d_3 = q^4 r^2 - q^2 r^2 + r^2 + q^3 r - qr - q^4 + q^2 - 1 = 0,
$$
\n
$$
d_4 = q^8 r^4 + r^4 + 2q^9 r^3 - 2q^7 r^3 + 2q^3 r^3 - 2qr^3 - q^3 - q^{12} r^2 + q^8 r^2 - 4q^6 r^2 + q^4 r^2 - r^2 - 2q^{11} r + 2q^9 r - 2q^5 r + 2q^3 r + q^{12} + q^4 = 0.
$$

Again there exist polynomials a and b in q and r such that $ad_3 + bd_4 =$ $(q^6 + q^3 + 1)(q^6 - q^3 + 1)(q^4 - q^2 + 1)(q - 1)^{12}(q + 1)^{12}q^2$. This contradicts our assumption that q is not a root of unity. Hence, the only possibility is

Case 3. We will show, that T has rank 2. Suppose to the contrary that T has at least rank 1. Then the 2×2 -matrices formed by the first and third rows, respectively from the second and fourth rows, both have zero determinant. Since $\alpha_+ - \alpha_- \neq 0$ this is equivalent to $(c_{++} - \mu^+ \alpha_+) (c_{-+} - \mu^+ \alpha_-) = 0$ and $(c_{+-} - \mu^- \alpha_+) (c_{--} - \mu^- \alpha_-) = 0$. Since moreover the matrix formed by the first two rows has zero determinant, we conclude $c_{++} - \mu^+ \alpha_+ = c_{+-} - \mu^- \alpha_+ = 0$ or $c_{-+} - \mu^+ \alpha_- = c_{--} - \mu^- \alpha_- = 0$. But this is impossible by Case 1 and Case 2. Hence T has rank 2; both η^+ and η^- belong to $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$.

Acknowledgements. The author is greatly indebted to I. Heckenberger for many stimulating discussions and helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. T. Brzeziński, Remark on bicovariant differential calculi and exterior Hopf algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 27 (1993), 287–300.
- 2. B. Drabant, Hopf bimodules and differential calculus, Amsterdam Preprint (1995).
- 3. L. D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, and L. A. Takhtajan, Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Algebra and Analysis 1 (1987), 178–206.
- 4. I. Heckenberger and A. Schüler, Exterior Algebras Related to the Quantum Group $\mathcal{O}(O_q(3))$, Czech. J. Phys. 48 (1998), 1355–1362.
- 5. B. Jurčo, *Differential Calculus on Quantized Simple Lie Groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. 22 (1991), 177–186.
- 6. A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997.
- 7. V. Lyubashenko and A. Sudbery, Quantum supergroups of $GL(n|m)$ type: Differential forms, Koszul complexes and Berezinians, Duke Math. J. 90 (1997), 1–62.
- 8. K. Schmüdgen and A. Schüler, Classification of Bicovariant Differential Calculi on Quantum Groups of Type A, B, C and D, Commun. Math. Phys. 167 (1995), 635–670.
- 9. A. Schüler, *Differential Hopf Algebras on Quantum Groups of Type A*, J. Algebra 214 (1999), 479–518.
- 10. V. G. Turaev, Operator Invariants of Tangles, and R-matrices, Math. USSR Izvestiya 35 (1990), 411–444.
- 11. H. Wenzl, Quantum Groups and Subfactors of Type B, C, and D, Commun. Math. Phys. 133 (1990), 383–432.
- 12. S. L. Woronowicz, Differential Calculus on Quantum Matrix Pseudogroups (Quantum Groups), Commun. Math. Phys. 122 (1989), 125–170.

AXEL SCHÜLER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

