

On C^r -closing for flows on 2-manifolds.

Carlos Gutierrez

e-mail: gutp@impa.br

IMPA. Estrada Dona Castorina 110, J. Botânico,
22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, R.J., Brazil.

Abstract

For some full measure subset \mathcal{B} of the set of *iet*'s (i.e. interval exchange transformations) the following is satisfied:

Let X be a C^r , $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, vector field, with finitely many singularities, on a compact orientable surface M . Given a nontrivial recurrent point $p \in M$ of X , the holonomy map around p is semi-conjugate to an *iet* $E : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$. If $E \in \mathcal{B}$ then there exists a C^r vector field Y , arbitrarily close to X , in the C^r -topology, such that Y has a closed trajectory passing through p .

1 Introduction

The open problem “ C^r -closing lemma” is stated as follows:

“Let M be a smooth compact manifold, $r \geq 2$ be an integer, $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$) and p be a nonwandering point of f (resp. of X). There exists $g \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$) arbitrarily close to f (resp. to X) in the C^r -topology so that p is a periodic point of g (resp. of Y)”.

C. Pugh proved the C^1 -closing lemma [Pg1]. There are few previous results when $r \geq 2$: Gutierrez [Gu1] showed similar results to this paper when the manifold is the torus T^2 . There are negative answers: Gutierrez [Gu3] proved that if the perturbation is localized in a small neighborhood of the nontrivial recurrent point, then C^2 -closing is not always possible. C. Carroll's [Car] proved that, even for flows with finitely many singularities, C^2 -closing

by a twist-perturbation (supported in a cylinder) is not always possible. Concerning hamiltonian flows, M. Herman [Her] has remarkable counter-examples to the C^r -closing lemma. Within the context of geodesic laminations, S. Aranson and E. Zhuzhoma announced in 1988 [A-Z] the C^r -closing lemma for a class of flows on surfaces; however, their proofs have not been published yet. For basic definition the reader may consult [K-H].

2 Statement of the results

Throughout this article, M will be a smooth, orientable, compact, two manifold and χ will be its Euler characteristic. We shall denote by $\mathfrak{X}^r(M)$ the space of vector field of class C^r , $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, with the C^r -topology. The trajectory of $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$ passing through $p \in M$ will be denoted by γ_p . The domain of definition of a map S will be denoted by $\text{DOM}(S)$. Smooth segments on M will be denoted and referred as (open, half-open, closed) intervals.

A bijective map $E : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is said to be an *iet*, i.e. an *Interval Exchange Transformation* (with m intervals) if there exists a finite sequence $0 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m < a_{m+1} = 1$ such that, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and for all $x \in [a_i, a_{i+1})$, $E(x) = E(a_i) + x - a_i$, and moreover, E is discontinuous at exactly a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m . This E will be identified with the pair $(\lambda, \pi) \in \Delta_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m$ made up of the positive probability vector $\lambda = \{|a_{i+1} - a_i|\}_{i=1}^m$ and the permutation π on the symbols $1, 2, \dots, m$, defined by $\pi(i) = \#\{j : E(a_j) \leq E(a_i)\}$. The space of *iet*'s, with m intervals, defined in $[0, 1)$, will be identified with the *measurable space* $\Delta_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m$ endowed with the product measure, where Δ_m is the simplex of positive probability vectors of \mathbb{R}^m , with Lebesgue measure, and \mathfrak{S}_m is the finite set of permutations on m symbols with counting measure. Let $E : [a, b) \rightarrow [a, b)$ be an *iet*. We say that $[s, t] \subset [a, b)$ is a *virtual orthogonal edge for E*, if E restricted to $[s, t]$ is continuous and $s < E(s) < E^2(s) = t$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{B}_k be the set of *iet*'s $E : [a, b) \rightarrow [a, b)$ such that for some sequence $b_n \rightarrow a$ of points of (a, b) , and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *iet* $E_n : [a, b_n) \rightarrow [a, b_n)$, induced by E , has at least $\chi + k + 3$, pairwise disjoint, virtual orthogonal edges. Denote $\mathcal{B} = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{B}_k$. It will be seen that, as a direct consequence of the work of W. A. Veech [Vee] and H. Masur [Mas],

Theorem 2.1. *For all $m \geq 2$, $\Delta_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m \setminus \mathcal{B}$ is a measure zero set.*

By transporting information along flow boxes, Item (a2) below follows from the definition of \mathcal{B}_K .

Theorem 2.2. ([Gu2, Structure Theorem, Section 3]) Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M)$. There are finitely many nontrivial recurrent trajectories $\gamma_{p_1}, \gamma_{p_2}, \dots, \gamma_{p_\ell}$ of X such that if γ_p is any nontrivial recurrent trajectory of X , then $\overline{\gamma_p} = \overline{\gamma_{p_i}}$, for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

Suppose that X has exactly $K \in \mathbb{N}$ singularities ($K=0$ is allowed). Let $p \in M$ be a nontrivial recurrent point of X . Take a half-open interval $[p, q) \subset M$ transversal to X , such that p is a cluster point of $\gamma_p \cap (p, q)$. Denote by $P_X : [p, q) \rightarrow [p, q)$ the forward Poincaré map induced by X . If $[p, q)$ is small enough, it can be associated to $(p, [p, q))$, an iet $E = E_{(p, [p, q))} : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ and a continuous monotone surjective map $h : [p, q) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that $h(p) = 0$, h restricted to any given orbit of P_X is injective and, for all $x \in \text{DOM}(P_X)$, $E \circ h(x) = h \circ P_X(x)$; moreover,

- (a1) there exists a subset $S \subset [0, 1)$ of at most $\chi + K + 2$ elements such that if A is a connected component of $[0, 1) \setminus S$, then $h^{-1}(A)$ is contained in $\text{DOM}(T)$;
- (a2) Let $\bar{p} \in \overline{\gamma_p}$ be a nontrivial recurrent point of X and $(\bar{p}, [\bar{p}, \bar{q}))$ be a pair satisfying the same conditions as those of $(p, [p, q))$ above. Then the property that the iet $E_{(\bar{p}, [\bar{p}, \bar{q}))}$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_K does not depend on $(\bar{p}, [\bar{p}, \bar{q}))$.

Under conditions of theorem above and if $E \in \mathcal{B}_K$, any nontrivial recurrent point of $\overline{\gamma_p}$ is said to be of \mathcal{B}_K -type. Our result is the combination of Theorems 2.1 - 2.3.

Theorem 2.3. Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, have $K \geq 0$ singularities. Let $p \in M$ be a \mathcal{B}_K -type nontrivial recurrent point of X . Then there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, arbitrarily close to X , having a closed trajectory passing through p .

Related to this theorem (see [Gu2]), we have that: For any $E \in \mathcal{B}$, it can be constructed $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty(S)$, for some surface S , having a nontrivial recurrent point p_0 such that item (a1) is satisfied for some $h : [p_0, q_0) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, and $P_Y : [p_0, q_0) \rightarrow [p_0, q_0)$. Here, P_Y can be obtained to be injective or not.

3 Proof of the results

Suppose that M is endowed with an orientation and with a smooth riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Given a $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, we define $X^\perp \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$




Fig. 1.a




Fig. 1.b

by the following conditions: (a) $\langle X, X \rangle = \langle X^\perp, X^\perp \rangle$; and (b) when $p \in M$ is regular point of X , the ordered pair $(X(p), X^\perp(p))$ is an orthogonal positive basis of $T_p(M)$ (according to the given orientation of M). Let Σ be an arc of trajectory of X^\perp . A Σ -flow-box (for X) is a compact subset $F \subset M$ whose interior is a flow box of X and whose boundary ∂F is a graph, homeomorphic to the figure “8”, which is the union of arcs of trajectory $[\bar{c}, \bar{a}]_X$ and $[\bar{a}, \bar{c}]$ (connecting \bar{a} and \bar{c}) of X and X^\perp , respectively. We shall refer to $[\bar{a}, \bar{c}]$ (resp. $[\bar{c}, \bar{a}]_X$) as the *orthogonal* (resp. *tangent*) edge of either F or ∂F . See Figs. 1.a and 1.b.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, and let $p \in M$ be a nontrivial recurrent point of X . We say that X is *T-closable* at p (i.e. twist-closable at p) if there exists a half-open interval $\Sigma = [p, q)$ tangent to X^\perp , such that, for any neighborhood V of p , there exists a Σ -flow-box for X having its orthogonal edge contained in $\Sigma \cap V$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, and let $p \in M$ be a nontrivial recurrent point of X . Suppose that X is T-closable at p . Then there are sequences $t_n \rightarrow 0$, of real numbers, and $p_n \rightarrow p$, of points of M , such that $X + t_n X^\perp$ has a closed trajectory through p_n*

Proof: As X is T-closable at p , there exists a half-open interval $\Sigma = [p, q)$ tangent to X^\perp , such that, given neighborhoods \mathcal{V} of X and V of p , we may choose a Σ -flow-box $F \subset M$ (for X) and $\sigma > 0$ such that if $[\bar{c}, \bar{a}]_X$ and $[\bar{a}, \bar{c}]$ are the tangent and orthogonal edges, respectively, of ∂F , and \bar{b} is the vertex of ∂F , then:

- (b1) $[\bar{a}, \bar{c}] \subset V$ and the flow of X enters into F through the closed subinterval $[\bar{b}, \bar{c}]$ of Σ ; moreover, for all $t \in [-\sigma, \sigma]$, $X(t) := X + t X^\perp \in \mathcal{V}$;

(b2) both $X(\sigma)$ and $X(-\sigma)$ have an arc of trajectory contained in F , which is a global cross section for $X|_F$.

We shall continue considering only the case in which the flow of X^\perp goes from \bar{a} to \bar{c} . Let Γ be the set of real numbers $s \in [0, \sigma]$ such that when $t \in [0, s]$ there is an arc of trajectory $[\bar{b}, \bar{a}(t)]_{X(t)}$ of $X(t)$, joining \bar{b} with $\bar{a}(t) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$, contained in F , with $\bar{a}(0) = \bar{a}$, and such that $\bar{a}(t)$ depends continuously on t . When $t \in \Gamma$, these conditions determine $\bar{a}(t)$ and also that $[\bar{b}, \bar{a}(t)]_{X(t)}$ is transversal to X . Therefore, by (b2), $\Gamma = [0, \sigma_1]$ is a closed interval, $\bar{a}(\sigma_1) = b$ and $[\bar{b}, \bar{a}(\sigma_1)]_{X(\sigma_1)}$ is a closed trajectory of $X(\sigma_1)$. See Fig. 1.b \square

Under the assumptions and conclusions of this proposition, there exists a sequence $F_n : M \rightarrow M$ of C^r -diffeomorphisms, taking p_n to p . We may assume that F_n converges to the identity diffeomorphism in the C^{r+1} -topology. Therefore, the sequence of vector fields $(F_n)_*(X + t_n X^\perp) \rightarrow X$ in the C^r -topology and each $(F_n)_*(X + t_n X^\perp)$ has a closed trajectory passing through p . This proves the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Let $p \in M$ be a nontrivial recurrent point of X . Suppose that X is T -closable at p . Then there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$ arbitrarily close to X having a closed trajectory through p .*

Proof of Theorem 2.1: We shall prove that: For all $m \geq 2$, $\Delta_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m \setminus \mathcal{B}$ is a measure zero set. It was proved by W. A. Veech [Vee] and H. Masur [Mas] that the Rauzy operator $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, defined in a full measure subset \mathcal{M} of $\Delta_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m$, is ergodic and has the following property:

- (c) Given $E \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a sequence $\{[0, a_n]\}$ of subintervals of $[0, 1]$ such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and, if $\tilde{E}_n : [0, a_n] \rightarrow [0, a_n]$ denotes the *iet* induced by E , then, up to re-scaling, $\mathcal{R}^n(E)$ coincides with \tilde{E}_n ; more precisely, $\mathcal{R}^n(E)(z) = (1/a_n)\tilde{E}_n(a_n z)$, for all $z \in [0, 1]$.

Given $k \geq 1$, let A_k be the set of $E \in \Delta_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that for some $a \in (16^{-k} - 32^{-k}, 16^{-k} + 32^{-k})$, $E(x) = a + x$, for all $x \in [0, 1/2]$. We observe that A_k is open and so it has positive measure. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$ be the set of $E \in \mathcal{M}$ such that the positive \mathcal{R} -orbit of E visits A_k infinitely many often. As A_k has positive measure and \mathcal{R} is ergodic, the complement of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$ has measure zero. Therefore, the complement of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \bigcap_{k \geq 2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$ has measure zero. Observe that if and *iet* $E \in A_k$, then E has more than k , pairwise disjoint, virtual orthogonal edges. Therefore, as \mathcal{R} satisfy (c) right above and since the positive \mathcal{R} -orbit

of any given $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ visits every A_k infinitely many often, we obtain that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{B}$. this proves the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3: This theorem is stated as follows: Let $p \in M$ be a \mathcal{B}_K -type nontrivial recurrent point of $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Suppose that X has $K \geq 0$ singularities. Then there exists a $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^r(M)$ arbitrarily close to X , having a closed trajectory passing through p .

By theorem 3.2, it is enough to prove that X is T-closable at p . Let $\Sigma = [p, q)$, $T : [p, q) \rightarrow [p, q)$, $E : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $h : [p, q) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be as in Theorem 2.2. As $E \in \mathcal{B}_K$, given a neighborhood V of p , there exist $b \in (0, 1)$ and an *iet* $E_V : [0, b) \rightarrow [0, b)$, such that:

- (e) E_V has at least $\chi + K + 3$ pairwise disjoint virtual orthogonal edges contained in $[0, b)$; moreover, the interval $\Sigma_V = h^{-1}([0, b))$ is contained in V .

Let $T_V : \Sigma_V \rightarrow \Sigma_V$ be the map induced by T . As X has K singularities, (e) and Theorem 2.2 imply that E_V has a virtual orthogonal edge $[a, E_V(a)] \subset [0, b)$ such that, for some $\bar{a} \in \text{DOM}((T_{\Sigma_V}))$, $[\bar{a}, T_V(\bar{a})] = h^{-1}([a, E(a)]) \subset \text{DOM}(T|_{\Sigma_V})$. Therefore, there exists a Σ -flow-box bounded by $[\bar{a}, T_V^2(\bar{a})] \cup [\bar{a}, T_V^2(\bar{a})]_X$. As V is arbitrary, this proves that X is T-closable at p . \square

References

- [A-Z] S. Aranson and E. Zhuzhoma. *On the C^r -closing lemma on surfaces*. Russian Math. Surv., 43, 1988, 5, 209-210.
- [Car] C. Carroll. *Rokhlin towers and C^r closing for flows on T^2* . Erg. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **12**, 1992, 683-706.
- [Gu1] C. Gutierrez. *On the C^r -closing lemma for flows on the torus T^2* . Erg. Th. and Dyn. Sys. (1986), **6**, 45-56.
- [Gu2] C. Gutierrez. *Smoothing continuous flows on two-manifolds and recurrences*. Erg. Th. and Dyn. Sys. (1986), **6**, 17-44.
- [Gu3] C. Gutierrez. *A counter-example to a C^2 -closing lemma*. Erg. Th. and Dyn. Sys. (1987), **7**, 509-530.

- [Her] M. Herman. *Exemples de flots hamiltoniens dont aucune perturbation en topologie C^∞ n'a d'orbites périodiques sur un ouvert de surfaces d'énergies*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **t. 312**, Série I (1991) 989-994.
- [K-H] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge University Press, New York (1995).
- [Mas] H. Masur. *Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations*. Ann. Math. **115** (1982), 169-200.
- [Pg1] C. Pugh. *An improved closing lemma and a general density theorem*. Amer. Jour. math., **89** (1967), 1010-1021.
- [Vee] W. Veech. *Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps*. Ann. Math. **115** (1982), 201-242.