

Tunneling and Chaos

S. Tomsovic

*Department of Physics, Washington State University, Pullman, WA99164-2814, USA**

(October 24, 2018)

Abstract

Over the preceding twenty years, the role of underlying classical dynamics in quantum mechanical tunneling has received considerable attention. A number of new tunneling phenomena have been uncovered that have been directly linked to the set of dynamical possibilities arising in simple systems that contain at least some chaotic motion. These tunneling phenomena can be identified by their novel \hbar -dependencies and/or statistical behaviors. We summarize a sampling of these phenomena and mention some applications.

arXiv:nlin/0008031v1 [nlin.CD] 24 Aug 2000

Typeset using REVTeX

*e-mail address: tomsovic@wsu.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum mechanical tunneling encompasses a multitude of fascinating effects that violate classically forbidden processes. In spite of the opposing quantum and classical behaviors, it is possible, through the use of semiclassical methods, to construct a theory requiring only knowledge of the classical dynamics. For example, one of the most familiar problems is that of potential barrier penetration. For a one dimensional barrier and supposing that coupling to the environment can be neglected, a number of techniques are available that analytically continue the classical motion either into a complexified phase space or through the use of complexified time. However, attempts to generalize these techniques run into fundamental problems for systems with more degrees of freedom, even without adding in the complication of environmental coupling. A theory of multidimensional tunneling has thus resisted any single, universal treatment.

It turns out that the difficulties can be traced back to the broad variety of underlying classical dynamics that emerge from multidimensional systems, and which are absent from one-degree-of-freedom systems. With better identification of the difficulties has come a number of new and novel tunneling phenomena linked to the presence of at least some chaotic motion. An excellent and fairly recent overview of the subject has been published as a pedagogical course by Creagh [1].

As chaos is ubiquitous in the dynamics of simple systems, i.e. those possessing a few degrees of freedom, there has been a major shift in focus towards investigating non-integrable dynamics. Whereas integrability may be “*pleasing*” in that it is amenable to analytic analysis, i.e. action-angle variables exist that render the dynamics trivial, in some sense it is extremely rare or can be considered as special. A few other categories of dynamics need to be introduced. For systems regarded as *near-integrable*, the dynamical instability tends to be quite weak, and perturbation theories often apply. Systems possessing a globalized chaotic region in phase space and some stable motion are regarded as having a *mixed phase space* with a moderate level of instability. The extreme limit of *fully chaotic* dynamical systems often comes with highly unstable motion. Each of these dynamical possibilities creates the opportunity for unique tunneling behaviors.

After a short description of a couple essential concepts, the rest of the paper gives a cursory outline of several advances and organizes them loosely by dynamical system type. No attempt has been made to be complete. It is just intended to give the flavor of the new dynamical directions under study.

II. PRELIMINARIES

With a few exceptions, we restrict our discussion mainly to the spectral properties of simple, environmentally isolated, bounded, few degrees-of-freedom (D) systems. In fact, paradigms of 2-D and periodically kicked 1-D systems have been invoked in the bulk of the recent work. The nature of eigenstates or the time evolution of initially localized states is an extremely interesting subject which ought to be included in such a discussion as this, but shall not be due to space constraints. More complete discourse and references can be found in Ref. [1].

A. Integrable Systems

An inherent signature of tunneling is the existence of almost degenerate multiplets in a spectrum. For systems with a single reflection symmetry, doublets would appear if some positive measure of the classical trajectories occupied distinct phase space regions from their reflection symmetric counterparts. A semiclassical quantization of the motion and its symmetric partner would lead to the expectation of a degeneracy. The energy splitting of the degeneracy, ΔE , would be a measure of the strength of the tunneling. For integrable systems, semiclassical formulae take the form

$$\Delta E = A(\mathbf{J})\hbar \exp(-S(\mathbf{J})/\hbar) \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{J} denotes the action variables of the system, and $\{A(\mathbf{J}), S(\mathbf{J})\}$ are smooth scalar functions of their arguments. Typically, $A(\mathbf{J})$ is closely related to angular frequencies, and $S(\mathbf{J})$ to the action accumulated over a particular cyclical, classically forbidden path defined within the complexified dynamics.

Of particular interest is the \hbar -dependencies of both the amplitude and the argument of the exponential. Often it is possible to control a parameter, such as a wavevector, which effectively allows a tuning of the value of \hbar . In a log plot versus \hbar , the tunneling splitting should exhibit a smooth, linear slope. The prefactor shows up as a logarithmic correction, and may be rather difficult to establish, but leaves the \hbar -dependence smooth. It is the contrast to this behavior that sets the new phenomena apart.

B. Dynamical Tunneling

Many of the recently discovered tunneling phenomena involve a counterpart to potential barrier penetration dubbed dynamical tunneling by Davis and Heller [2]. It frequently arises in the models of the nuclear motions of small molecules [3]. In dynamical tunneling, there is no energetic or potential barrier. Rather, the classically forbidden motions are dynamical in nature. In other words, constants of the motion other than energy are responsible for the forbidden features. The view from the underlying phase space structure can look indistinguishable between the two tunneling mechanisms. Separatrices, to which a potential barrier would give rise in phase space, or resonances can be responsible for isolating the symmetrically related motions. Unstable periodic orbits with their respective homoclinic tangles can also provide the barrier for dynamical tunneling. Curiously though, despite the phase space similarities, the spectral behavior can appear to vary in opposing directions. The potential barrier case leads to increasing tunneling splittings as energy is increased, and beyond the peak barrier energy the tunneling splittings vanish in the spectrum. On the other hand, many dynamical systems retain dynamical tunneling multiplets to infinite excitation energy with many of the splittings approaching zero in the limit. For example, homogeneous potentials lead to situations in which a constant fraction of the levels are involved with tunneling at all energies and the local energy average splitting decreases with increasing energy.

C. Chaotic eigenstates

For integrable systems, semiclassical theory gives a one-to-one correspondence between particular classical motion and the eigenstates. Through the D relations

$$J_i = 2\pi\hbar \left(n_i + \frac{\nu_i}{2} \right) \quad (2)$$

each energy level and eigenstate is related to a specific set of classical actions, $\{\mathbf{J}\}$. Topologically speaking, all the eigenstates are similar and can be considered to belong to a regular class. This association fundamentally breaks down once chaotic motion exists in the system [4]. For near-integrable and mixed phase space systems a non-negligible fraction of the phase space continues to be covered by motion possessing good action variables locally. A roughly corresponding fraction of the eigenstates continues to satisfy the criteria for being regular [5,6].

The remainder of the eigenstates can be lumped into a single irregular or chaotic class defined as being other than regular, but this underemphasizes the range of eigenstate characteristics that become possible once released from the burden of having to reflect regular motion. We mention three important examples of distinct irregular behaviors; this is not an exhaustive scheme. Crudely speaking, the *ergodic* subclass behaves locally like random waves subject to the ergodic measure $\delta(E - H(p, q))$ as it applies to wavefunctions [7]. If a system is not fully chaotic, it is understood that the (p, q) coordinates are restricted to a subset of points approaching arbitrarily closely to a single chaotic trajectory. For this class to exist, the classical exploration of the ergodic subset of phase points must take place on a time scale short compared to the Heisenberg time scale that derives from the mean quantum level spacing. A second possibility arises because it is often possible to identify a variable in which the dynamics is diffusive. In this case, the irregular class of eigenstates may be strongly *localized* in the Anderson sense [8]. The kicked rotor provides the classic example [9]. A third class has been dubbed as being *hierarchical* [10,6]. They are associated with the hierarchy of time scales existing in the dynamics found at the boundaries between regular and chaotic motion. The states exhibit fractal character over some range of scales cutoff by \hbar at one end, and the nature of the regular-chaos boundary on the other.

III. NEAR-INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

A. An integrable approximation

Our first example of a tunneling phenomenon requiring a serious look at the underlying dynamics is provided by a work of Ozorio de Almeida [11], and is exceptional in that it is the only topic discussed here in which the chaos is explicitly removed before treating the problem. However, it gives a theoretical approach to understanding dynamical tunneling in the presence of resonances, as are created by generic perturbations, and so it has been included. For small perturbations of an integrable system, motion in the neighborhood of low order rational ratios of angular frequency are replaced by resonances. The resonances may be enveloped by narrowly confined chaotic zones, however if the perturbation is weak enough, it is possible to average the Hamiltonian over the rapidly evolving angle variable

of its unperturbed form. Effectively, through the combination of averaging and canonical transformations, the perturbed system is replaced by an integrable system whose phase space structure appears locally as a distorted pendulum. Two different tunneling processes emerge. The more familiar process is the tunneling between motion above and below the resonance leading to avoided crossings as a system parameter is varied. The other is a sort of tunneling of the state unto itself. If the resonance is large enough to quantize, its energy will be shifted from the standard semiclassical quantization because the wavefunction has “tails” that communicate directly and weakly from any lobe of the resonance to its neighboring lobes.

B. Analytic continuation

It is not always necessary nor correct to average away the weak chaos present in the dynamics, and a new tunneling dependence on \hbar was derived in the work of Wilkinson [12]. He was able to evaluate, by saddle point methods, the tunneling splitting integrals with the help of an analytic continuation of the regular dynamical motion. The explicit expressions contain a different prefactor power of \hbar

$$\Delta E = \sum_k A_k(\mathbf{J}) \hbar^{3/2} \exp(-S_k(\mathbf{J})/\hbar) \quad (3)$$

than found in Eq. (1), and multiple contributions are possible. In this case, the saddle points are isolated in distinction to the contour integrations necessary in integrable systems. Although, the original derivation required separation of the motions in configuration space, the canonical form suggested, and he conjectured, that the results apply to more general dynamical tunneling situations. A criterium was also given for the cross-over between the regimes of applicability of Eqs. (1) and (3). With increasing perturbation, the analytic continuations can become limited to such an extent that the saddle points cease to exist, and the procedure eventually breaks down.

IV. MIXED PHASE SPACE SYSTEMS

We turn now to tunneling phenomena where chaos is taking a direct role in the process.

A. Chaos-assisted tunneling

Consider a mixed phase space system whose parameters are such that each of its eigenstates fall mainly into a regular or ergodic class. Suppose further that there exists reflection symmetry leading to duplicate copies of regular trajectories that are well separated. One anticipates semiclassically degenerate doublets in the spectrum in proportion to the measure of regular motion. It turns out that these doublets are split by tunneling mechanisms completely different than discussed in Sect. III. Instead, the tunneling proceeds indirectly via a compound process of wave amplitude breaking off bit by bit from the initial state near one regular domain, transporting chaotically to the neighborhood of its symmetric

regular domain, and there reassembling its reflection of the initial state [13,6]. The fundamental process, and unsolved theoretical problem, is how the “phase space” tails of regular and ergodic wavefunctions join smoothly. The involvement of the ergodic eigenstates and spectrum create tunneling splitting fluctuations over several orders of magnitude [13,6,14] which overshadow any mean behavior similar to Eq. (1). Random matrix models have been introduced and shown to predict the observed splitting fluctuations successfully [13].

The first experimental evidence for chaos-assisted tunneling in a microwave cavity version of the annular billiard introduced by Bohigas et al. [15] has recently been reported by Dembowski et al. [16]. Note that it had previously been argued that chaos-assisted tunneling is involved in the decay, to normal deformation, of superdeformed nuclear states [17], but the decay involves many-body physics that makes it a less straightforward interpretation. It nevertheless involves the coupling of regular and presumably ergodic states.

Several other important works have appeared, especially with regards to kicked systems or quantum maps [18]. However, we briefly discuss just one more issue [19]. The question arises whether symmetry is necessary; suppose that in a particular experimental situation it cannot be exactly maintained. Is there a possibility of seeing and confirming that chaos-assisted tunneling is occurring? In fact, symmetry is not required at all if two parameters can be controlled and independently varied. One parameter must force crossings between regular states that the tunneling will be splitting. The other parameter shifts the irregular levels with respect to the crossing levels. In direct tunneling, the tunneling splitting at the crossing is not influenced by the presence of other levels. In chaos-assisted tunneling, the splitting is completely determined by the whereabouts of the irregular levels, and the magnitudes of their avoided crossings with the regular levels. In the avoided crossings’ parametric dependence, these distinctions are unmistakable.

B. Chaos-assisted ionization

Chaos-assisted tunneling also arises in systems that are coupled to a continuum [20,21]. In the first reference, the authors have investigated certain regimes of the hydrogen atom exposed to either linearly or circularly polarized microwaves where nondispersive electronic wave packets can be created. There regular classical motion exists embedded in chaotic dynamical regions of phase space. Trajectories diffusively transport to ionization thresholds. It happens that the tails of the electronic wave packets are connected by quantum tunneling with ergodic states localized in the chaotic dynamical part of the phase space which are in turn connected to the continuum. The authors found peculiar fluctuations of energies and ionization rates and successfully modeled them with the same basic random matrix model approach as introduced in [13] extended to include continuum coupling.

In the second above reference, the authors investigate a quite different physical system, micro-optical cavities. These slightly deformed circular cavities have long-lived whispering gallery resonances created by total internal reflection. Qualitatively, certain parameter regimes of the system’s asymptotic ray limit are dynamically similar to the above nondispersing wave packets, i.e. mixed phase space dynamics. The authors [21] find a range of deformations where it appears that chaos-assisted tunneling must be invoked to explain larger than expected lifetimes for these meta-stable resonances.

V. FULLY CHAOTIC SYSTEMS

For fully chaotic systems, recent works address tunneling between two ergodic states or between two localized states. We also give one last example reminiscent of Ozorio de Almeida’s tunneling of a state unto itself [11] summarized in Sect. III A; although instead of involving a regular state, it involves an ergodic state.

A. Tunneling between chaotic states

In a series of works, Creagh and Whelan have studied the tunneling behavior of ergodic states separated by a potential barrier [22]. They began by introducing a 2D double well for which the motion within each well was chaotic, and by giving a new approach to generating a trace formula directly for the splittings. A mean and fluctuating behavior could be separated and identified with specific complex orbits. The fluctuations were controlled by orbits homoclinic to the real orbit connected to the optimal tunneling path through the barrier in a very similar way as a homoclinic orbit sum controls the autocorrelation function of chaotic wave packets [23]. They also studied the statistical properties of the tunneling splittings which are given by a generalized Porter-Thomas distribution.

B. Tunneling between strongly localized states

Strong localization provides a quantum dynamical barrier that separates states as opposed to the classical dynamical barriers originally invoked in the definition of dynamical tunneling. For systems possessing an appropriate symmetry, multiplets of states that are further than a localization length from the “symmetry lines” will exist whose degeneracy is broken by tunneling [24]. With a generalization of the kicked rotor [25], Casati et al. [24] found, not surprisingly, that pairs of “double-humped” states existed, and that their quasi-energies were split similar to the expectation for Mott states,

$$\Delta\theta \sim \exp(-c_0 n_0/l) \tag{4}$$

where l is the localization length, n_0 the number of states away from the symmetry line, and c_0 a constant. The pairs did not exist too close to the momentum origin, and the localization length for states localized near the origin appeared to be a factor two different in their localization lengths.

C. Tunneling influence on band structure

The band structure of a periodic system that happens to be completely chaotic classically can be heavily influenced by tunneling. For strong enough kicking strength, the kicked Harper equation serves as an excellent example [26]. Ergodic trajectories diffuse through the lattice. However, classically forbidden complex trajectories may hasten the process. LeBoeuf and Mouchet [27] have treated precisely this problem. As a function of kicking strength near integer values, allowed trajectories pass from the real to complex domains. With the use

of the Gutzwiller trace formula in relations deriving from the spectral determinant, they compared results with and without the inclusion of these complex trajectories. They found that in some cases the inclusion of the complex trajectories significantly altered the spectrum as a function of the Bloch angle (quasi-momentum), and thus had an important impact on the band structure.

VI. SUMMARY

The past ten or fifteen years have taught us that there exists a vastly richer collection of manifestations of tunneling than provided by the one degree-of-freedom double well. Despite several beautiful works, many, very basic, theoretical problems remain such as developing a theory for how regular and ergodic wavefunctions couple. In addition, new physical applications continue to surface. Given that tunneling impacts all domains of wave physics, not just quantum mechanics, the future of the subject promises to be very rewarding.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of the organizers, S. Aberg, K.-F. Berggren, and P. Omling, of the Nobel Symposium entitled “Quantum Chaos Y2K” as well as the support of the Nobel Foundation. Our apologies to S. Adachi, K. Ikeda, and A. Shudo for not including their tunneling studies due to space constraints; see [1] for a summary and references to their works.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. C. Creagh, “Tunneling in two dimensions”, in *Tunneling in Complex Systems*, ed. S. Tomsovic (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [2] M. J. Davis and E. J. Heller, *J. Chem. Phys.* **75**, 246 (1981); E. J. Heller and M. J. Davis, *J. Phys. Chem.* **85**, 307 (1981).
- [3] Two examples are the water molecule, R. T. Lawton and M. S. Child, *Mol. Phys.* **37** (1979) 1799 ; and benzophenone, E. J. Heller, “Wavepacket Dynamics and Quantum Chaology” in *Chaos et Physique Quantique / Chaos and Quantum Physics*, Proceedings of Les Houches Summer School, Session LII 1989, eds. M.J. Giannoni, A. Voros, and J. Zinn-Justin, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [4] A. Einstein, *Verh. Deutsch. Phys. Ges. Berlin* **19**, 82 (1917); English translation by C. Jaffe, JILA rep. No. 116.
- [5] I. C. Percival, *J. Phys. B* **6**, L229 (1973).
- [6] O. Bohigas, S. Tomsovic, and D. Ullmo, *Phys. Rep.* **223** (2), 43 (1993).
- [7] M. V. Berry, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **10**, 2083 (1977).
- [8] P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1957); *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **50**, 191 (1978).
- [9] S. Fishman, D. R. Grempel, and R. E. Prange, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 509 (1982); *Phys. Rev. A* **29**, 1639 (1984).
- [10] R. Ketzmerick, L. Hufnagel, F. Steinbach, and M. Weiss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1214 (2000).
- [11] A.M. Ozorio de Almeida, *J. Phys. Chem* **88**, 6139 (1984); see also the work for narrow crossings by T. Uzer, D. W. Noid, and R. A. Marcus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **79**, 4412 (1983).
- [12] M. Wilkinson, *Physica* **21D**, 341 (1986).
- [13] S. Tomsovic and D. Ullmo, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 145 (1994).
- [14] F. Leyvraz and D. Ullmo, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29**, 2529 (1996).
- [15] O. Bohigas, D. Boose, R. Egidio de Carvalho, and V. Marvulle, *Nucl. Phys. A* **560**, 197 (1993); see also the elegant theoretical work of S. D. Frischat and E. Doron, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 1421 (1998).
- [16] C. Dembowski, H. D. Gräf, A. Heine, R. Hofferbert, H. Rehfeld, and A. Richter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 867 (2000).
- [17] S. Aberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 299 (1999); T. L. Khoo, “Tunneling from super- to normal- deformed minima in nuclei”, in *Tunneling in Complex Systems*, ed. S. Tomsovic (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [18] W.A. Lin and L.E. Ballentine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2927 (1990); *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 3637 (1992); R. Roncaglia, L. Bonci, F. M. Izrailev, B. J. West, and P. Grigolini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 802 (1994); M. Latka, P. Grigolini, and B. J. West, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 596 (1994); *Phys. Rev. A* **50**, 1071 (1994); M. Grifoni and P. Hänggi, *Phys. Rep.* **304**, 229 (1998); S. Kohler, R. Utermann, P. Hänggi, and T. Dittrich, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 7219 (1998); J. Plata and J. M. Gomez Llorente, *J. Phys. A* **25**, L303 (1992); E. M. Gutiérrez, and J. M. Gomez Llorente, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 4736 (1995).
- [19] S. Tomsovic, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31**, 9469 (1998).
- [20] J. Zakzrewski, D. Delande, and A. Buckleitner, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 1458 (1998).
- [21] J. U. Nöckel and A. D. Stone, *Nature* **385** no. (6611), 45 (1997).
- [22] S. C. Creagh and N. D. Whelan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 4975 (1996); *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

- 82**, 5237 (1999); Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4084 (2000); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **272**, 196 (1999); preprint (2000).
- [23] S. Tomsovic and E. J. Heller, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 282 (1993).
- [24] G. Casati, R. Graham, I. Guarneri, and F. M. Izrailev, Phys. Lett. A **190**, 159 (1994).
- [25] R. Blümel and U. Smilansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 217 (1992).
- [26] P. LeBoeuf, J. Kurchan, M. Feingold, and D. P. Arovas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3076 (1990).
- [27] P. LeBoeuf and A. Mouchet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1360 (1994).