arXiv:2107.13930v2 [hep-ph] 3 Sep 2021

Triply-heavy tetraquarks in an extended relativized quark model

Qi-Fang Lü [*],[1,2,3,†] Dian-Yong Chen,[4,‡] Yu-Bing Dong,[5,6,7,§] and Elena Santopinto[8,¶]

[1] Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China
[2] Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications (SICQEA), Changsha 410081,China
[3] Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China
[4] School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210094, China
[5] Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
[6] Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities (TPCSF), CAS, Beijing 100049, China
[7] School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China
[8] INFN, Sezione di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genova, Italy

In this paper, we adopt an extended relativized quark model to investigate the triply-heavy tetraquarks systematically. The mass spectra are obtained by solving the four-body relativized Hamiltonian including the Coulomb potential, confining potential, spin-spin interactions, and relativistic corrections. We find that all of the triply-heavy tetraquarks lie above the corresponding meson-meson thresholds, and thus no stable one exists. In particular, besides the spin-spin interactions, the Coulomb and confining potentials also contribute to the mass splittings in the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems. Moreover, the whole mass spectra for triply heavy tetraquarks show quite similar patterns, which preserve the light flavor SU(3) symmetry and heavy quark symmetry well. Through the fall-apart mechanism, the triply-heavy tetraquarks may easily decay into the heavy quarkonium plus heavy-light mesons, which are good candidates for investigation in future experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past two decades, a large number of new hadron states have been observed experimentally, some of which are difficult to clarify as the conventional mesons or baryons. This significant experimental progress has attracted the extensive attention of theorists, and many studies have investigated the inner structures of these exotic states [1–15]. The various interpretations include conventional hadrons, loosely bound molecules, compact tetraquarks or pentaquarks, kinematic effects and so on. It is usually difficult to distinguish a compact tetraquark from a meson-meson molecule or conventional meson if they have the same quantum numbers. However, those with some heavy quarks, such as fully-and triply-heavy tetraquarks, are particularly interesting. For these systems, the molecular configurations are disfavored because no Goldstone boson exchange interaction appears. Also, they should lie far away from the scope of conventional meson spectra, which provides us with good opportunities to pin down the genuine compact tetraquarks.

Compared with other heavy tetraquarks, studies on triply-heavy tetraquarks are scarce in the literature. On using a non-relativistic quark model, the authors did not find any bound triply-heavy tetraquarks [16]. The chromomagnetic interaction model and QCD sum rule have also been adopted to investigate these systems, and several weakly bound states are allowed within these frameworks [17–20]. As a by-product of the mass spectra for doubly-heavy tetraquarks, the lattice QCD studies revealed that the lowest spin-1 $uc\bar{b}\bar{b}$ and $sc\bar{b}\bar{b}$ states were very near their corresponding meson-meson thresholds [21, 22]. Further related discussions on triply-heavy tetraquarks can also be found in Refs. [23, 24]. As can be seen, these studies have not been able to reach a consistent conclusion and investigations on triply-heavy tetraquarks are far from sufficient.

In spite of the shortage of investigations, the triply-heavy tetraquarks may play an essential role as connecting bridges among different heavy tetraquark systems. As is known, the $X(6900)$ observed by the LHCb Collaboration is a good candidate for fully-heavy tetraquarks [25], and considerable attention has been paid to this structure [26–67]. Indeed, some studies on the fully charmed tetraquarks have been conducted for many years [68–84] before the observation $X(6900)$. The triply-heavy tetraquarks can be obtained by replacing one heavy antiquark with one light antiquark, and the strong interactions are also provided by the short range one-gluon-exchange as well as quark confinement. If the fully-heavy tetraquarks do exist, the triply-heavy tetraquarks may also form compactly. Moreover, the triply-heavy tetraquarks can be related to the doubly-heavy ones. For the doubly-heavy tetraquarks, the consensus has been reached that the isoscalar $bb\bar{u}\bar{d}$ state should be stable against its strong and electromagnetic decays [16, 21, 22, 71, 85–116], and the stabilities are closely associated with the mass ratios between heavy and light subsystems [16, 71, 85, 86]. Certainly, we can keep increasing the mass of one light antiquark in the doubly-heavy systems such that they turn into the triply-heavy ones. It is therefore interesting to investigate whether one or more stable triply-heavy tetraquaks exist. Another beneficial connection arises from the discrimination between singly-heavy tetraquarks and conventional heavy-light mesons. For instance, the nature of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ is a long standing puzzle, which may have the $c\bar{s}$, $cq\bar{s}\bar{q}$, or even $c\bar{s} - cq\bar{s}\bar{q}$ flavor component [117]. Since the light quark pair can create or annihilate dynamically, it is difficult to distinguish the different interpretations and pick out the genuine tetraquark states

[*] Corresponding author.
[†] Electronic address: lvqifang@hunnu.edu.cn
[‡] Electronic address: chendy@seu.edu.cn
[§] Electronic address: dongyb@ihep.ac.cn
[¶] Electronic address: elena.santopinto@ge.infn.it

in these energy regions. However, the genuine tetraquarks can be easily recognized if the light quark pair is replaced with the $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ pair. With one heavy-quark pair excitation, the conventional heavy-light mesons become hidden charm and bottom triply-heavy tetraquarks, which are analogues of the singly-heavy tetraquarks. In brief, the studies on triply-heavy tetraquarks can increase our understanding of the heavy tetraquark systems, and a unified and systematic description of these tetraquark states is needed.

In previous works [30, 86, 118], we extended the relativized quark model proposed by Godfrey and Isgur in order to investigate the singly-, doubly-, and fully-heavy tetraquark systems with the original model parameters. With such an extension, the tetraquaks and conventional mesons can be described in a uniform frame. Since the relativized potential gives a unified description of different flavor sectors and involves relativistic effects, it is believed to be more suitable to deal with both heavy-light and heavy-heavy quark interactions. Also, with regard to the triply heavy tetraquarks, we do not have to worry about the possible influence via light meson exchange interactions, which are absent from the relativized quark model. In this paper, we further study the mass spectra of the triply-heavy tetraquarks in the extended relativized quark model and discuss their possible strong decay behaviors, which may provide helpful information for future experimental searches.

This article is organized as follows. In section II, we present a review of the extended relativized quark model. The results and discussions for the mass spectra and strong decay modes for the triply heavy tetraquarks are given in Section III. The last section provides a brief summary.

II. EXTENDED RELATIVIZED QUARK MODEL

To calculate the mass spectra of triply-heavy tetraquarks $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$, we adopt an extension of the Godfrey and Isgur meson relativized quark model, as developed recently [86]. This model is a natural extension of the relativized quark model for mesons constructed by Godfrey and Isgur to deal with the tetraquark states, in which the color interactions in quark-gluon degrees of freedom are considered. The relevant Hamiltonian for a $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ state can be written as

$$H = H_0 + \sum_{i<j} V_{ij}^{\rm oge} + \sum_{i<j} V_{ij}^{\rm conf}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (p_i^2 + m_i^2)^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

is the relativistic kinetic energy, $V_{ij}^{\rm oge}$ is the one-gluon-exchange pairwise potential together with the spin-spin interactions, and $V_{ij}^{\rm conf}$ stands for the confinement potential.

In the present study, only the $S-$wave ground states are concentrated on, the spin-orbit and tensor interactions are therefore not included. The potential $V_{ij}^{\rm oge}$ can be expressed as

$$V_{ij}^{\rm oge} = \beta_{ij}^{1/2}\tilde{G}(r_{ij})\beta_{ij}^{1/2} + \delta_{ij}^{1/2+\epsilon_c}\frac{2\boldsymbol{S_i}\cdot\boldsymbol{S_j}}{3m_im_j}\nabla^2\tilde{G}(r_{ij})\delta_{ij}^{1/2+\epsilon_c}, \tag{3}$$

with

$$\beta_{ij} = 1 + \frac{p_{ij}^2}{(p_{ij}^2+m_i^2)^{1/2}(p_{ij}^2+m_j^2)^{1/2}}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$\delta_{ij} = \frac{m_im_j}{(p_{ij}^2+m_i^2)^{1/2}(p_{ij}^2+m_j^2)^{1/2}}. \tag{5}$$

The p_{ij} is the magnitude of the momentum of either of the quarks in the center-of-mass frame of the ij quark subsystem, and the ϵ_c is a free parameter reflecting the momentum dependence. Here, the smeared Coulomb potential $\tilde{G}(r_{ij})$ is

$$\tilde{G}(r_{ij}) = \boldsymbol{F}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{F}_j\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{\alpha_k}{r_{ij}}{\rm erf}(\tau_{kij}r_{ij}), \tag{6}$$

with

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{kij}^2} = \frac{1}{\gamma_k^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}^2}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\sigma_{ij}^2 = \sigma_0^2\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4m_im_j}{(m_i+m_j)^2}\right)^4\right] + s^2\left(\frac{2m_im_j}{m_i+m_j}\right)^2, \tag{8}$$

where the $\boldsymbol{F}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{F}_j$ stands for the color matrix and reads

$$\boldsymbol{F}_i = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\lambda_i}{2} & \text{for quarks,} \\ -\dfrac{\lambda_i^*}{2} & \text{for antiquarks.} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Similarly, the confining potential $V_{ij}^{\rm conf}$ can be expressed as

$$V_{ij}^{\rm conf} = -\frac{3}{4}\boldsymbol{F}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{F}_j \times\left\{br\left[\frac{e^{-\sigma_{ij}^2r^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_{ij}r} + \left(1+\frac{1}{2\sigma_{ij}^2r^2}\right){\rm erf}(\sigma_{ij}r)\right] + c\right\}. \tag{10}$$

All the parameters used here are taken from the original reference [119] and collected in Table I for convenience. These parameters have been widely used for conventional mesons and tetraquarks with great success. The details of the relativized procedure can be found in Refs. [119, 120].

The wave function for a $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ state consists of color, flavor, spin, and spatial parts. In the color space, two types of colorless states with certain permutation properties are employed

$$|\bar{3}3\rangle = |(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3\rangle, \tag{11}$$

TABLE I: Relevant parameters.

$m_{u/d}$(MeV)	m_s(MeV)	m_c(MeV)	m_b(MeV)	α_1
220	419	1628	4977	0.25
α_2	α_3	γ_1(GeV)	γ_2(GeV)	γ_3(GeV)
0.15	0.20	1/2	$\sqrt{10}/2$	$\sqrt{1000}/2$
b(GeV^2)	c(MeV)	σ_0(GeV)	s	ϵ_c
0.18	−253	1.80	1.55	−0.168

$$|6\bar{6}\rangle = |(Q_1Q_2)^6(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}\rangle, \tag{12}$$

where the $|\bar{3}3\rangle$ and $|6\bar{6}\rangle$ correspond to the antisymmetric and symmetric color wave functions under the exchange of Q_1Q_2 or $\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$, respectively. In the flavor space, the combinations $\{cc\}$ and $\{bb\}$ are always symmetric, while the c, b and q are treated as different particles without symmetry constraints.

For the spin part, the six basic spin states are

$$\chi_0^{00} = |(Q_1Q_2)_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_0\rangle_0, \tag{13}$$

$$\chi_0^{11} = |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_0, \tag{14}$$

$$\chi_1^{01} = |(Q_1Q_2)_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_1, \tag{15}$$

$$\chi_1^{10} = |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_0\rangle_1, \tag{16}$$

$$\chi_1^{11} = |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_1, \tag{17}$$

$$\chi_2^{11} = |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_2, \tag{18}$$

where $(Q_1Q_2)_0$ and $(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_0$ are antisymmetric, and the $(Q_1Q_2)_1$ and $(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1$ are symmetric for the two fermions under permutations. In the notation $\chi_S^{S_{12}S_{34}}$, the S_{12}, S_{34}, and S stand for the spin of two quarks, spin of two antiquarks, and total spin, respectively. The relevant matrix elements of the color and spin parts are identical for various tetraquarks, and can be found in Ref. [86].

In the spatial space, the Jacobi coordinates are presented in Fig. 1. For a $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ state, we can define

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{12} = \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2, \tag{19}$$

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{34} = \boldsymbol{r}_3 - \boldsymbol{r}_4, \tag{20}$$

$$\boldsymbol{r} = \frac{m_1\boldsymbol{r}_1 + m_2\boldsymbol{r}_2}{m_1 + m_2} - \frac{m_3\boldsymbol{r}_3 + m_4\boldsymbol{r}_4}{m_3 + m_4}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \frac{m_1\boldsymbol{r}_1 + m_2\boldsymbol{r}_2 + m_3\boldsymbol{r}_3 + m_4\boldsymbol{r}_4}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4}. \tag{22}$$

Thus, any other relative coordinates can be expressed in terms of $\boldsymbol{r}_{12}$, $\boldsymbol{r}_{34}$, and $\boldsymbol{r}$ [86]. For an $S-$wave state, a set of Gaussian functions is adopted in order to approach its realistic spatial wave function [121]

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}_{12}, \boldsymbol{r}_{34}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{n_{12},n_{34},n} C_{n_{12}n_{34}n}\psi_{n_{12}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\psi_{n_{34}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{34})\psi_n(\boldsymbol{r}), \tag{23}$$

where $C_{n_{12}n_{34}n}$ are the expansion coefficients. The $\psi_{n_{12}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\psi_{n_{34}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{34})\psi_n(\boldsymbol{r})$ is the position representation of the basis $|n_{12}n_{34}n\rangle$, where

$$\psi_n(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{2^{7/4}\nu_n^{3/4}}{\pi^{1/4}}e^{-\nu_n r^2}Y_{00}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}) = \left(\frac{2\nu_n}{\pi}\right)^{3/4}e^{-\nu_n r^2}, \tag{24}$$

$$\nu_n = \frac{1}{r_1^2 a^{2(n-1)}}, \quad (n = 1, 2, ..., N_{max}). \tag{25}$$

The $\psi_{n_{12}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})$ and $\psi_{n_{34}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{34})$ can be written in a similar way, and the momentum representation the basis $|n_{12}n_{34}n\rangle$ can be obtained through the Fourier transformation. It should be stressed that our final results are independent of geometric Gaussian size parameters r_1, a, and N_{max} when adequate bases are chosen [121], and the numerical stability is sufficient for quark model predictions [86].

According to the Pauli exclusion principle, the total wave function of a tetraquark should be antisymmetric; all possible configurations for the $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ systems are presented in Table II. With the full wave functions, all of the matrix elements invovled in the Hamiltonian can be obtained straightforwardly. The masses without a mixing mechanism can therefore be calculated by solving the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_{max}^3}(H_{ij} - EN_{ij})C_j = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., N_{max}^3), \tag{26}$$

where the H_{ij} are the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian, N_{ij} are the overlap matrix elements of the Gaussian functions arising from their nonorthogonality, E stands for the mass, and C_j is the eigenvector corresponding to the coefficients $C_{n_{12}n_{34}n}$ of the spatial wave function. Moreover, for a given $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ system, different configurations with the same J^P can mix with each other. The mixing effects are taken into account here, and the final mass spectra and wave functions are obtained by diagonalizing the mass matrix of these configurations.

III. MASS SPECTRA AND STRONG DECAY MODES

The Gaussian expansion method has been wildly used for the few-body systems and has achieved great success. Empirically, stable results for $S-$ wave states can be achieved within small numbers of bases in the nonrelativistic quark model. In the relativized quark model, the number of bases should be large enough to guarantee completeness, otherwise the matrix elements of the terms V_{ij}^{oge} will be meaningless. For the meson spectra, a dozen bases are adequate, while



FIG. 1: The $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ tetraquark state in Jacobi coordinates. (a) combination $(Q_1Q_2)(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)$ with relative coordinates $\boldsymbol{r}_{12}$, $\boldsymbol{r}_{34}$, and $\boldsymbol{r}$, (b) combination $(Q_1\bar{Q}_3)(Q_2\bar{q}_4)$ with relative coordinates $\boldsymbol{r}_{13}$, $\boldsymbol{r}_{24}$, and $\boldsymbol{r}'$, (c) combination $(Q_1\bar{q}_4)(Q_2\bar{Q}_3)$ with relative coordinates $\boldsymbol{r}_{14}$, $\boldsymbol{r}_{23}$, and $\boldsymbol{r}''$.

about one hundred bases are needed for the baryon spectra [119, 120]. One can expect that several hundred or one thousand Gaussian functions are suitable for calculating the tetraquark spectra. Indeed, we have investigated the singly-, doubly-, and fully-heavy tetraquark systems by using one thousand Gaussian bases in order to obtain their mass spectra with good convergency and high precision. In the present paper, we adopt $N^3_{max} = 10^3$ Gaussian bases to calculate the mass spectra of $S-$wave $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ tetraquarks. With these large bases, the numerical results are stable enough for quark model predictions. According to the flavor wave functions, these tetraquarks can be simply divided into three classes: (1) $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$; (2) $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$; and (3) $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$. Here, we examine the mass spectra and strong decay modes for these systems successively, and provide some discussions on triply-heavy tetraquark systems.

A. The $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems

The predicted mass spectra for $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems are listed in Table III. The masses of the hidden charm $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ states lie in the range of 5400 $\sim$ 5599 MeV. A $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ state has the same quantum numbers as the $P-$wave conventional charmed or charmed-strange meson, and one more $c\bar{c}$ pair exists in the flavor wave function. However, the predicted masses of these $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks are much greater than D and D_s spectra, which indicates that the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks are unlikely to mix with the conventional mesons. As in the case of conventional mesons, the mass splitting for these tetraquarks arises from the the spin-spin interactions. For the $cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$ and $cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$ states, their mass splittings are 133 and 123 MeV, respectively, which are comparable to those of D and D_s mesons.

By replacing the $\bar{c}$ in the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks with $\bar{b}$, one can easily obtain the mass spectra of the $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ system. The masses of the flavor exotic $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ states vary from 8658 to 8845 MeV, and the mass splittings are about 100 MeV. It can be seen that the spectra for the $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ states show similar patterns to those of $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks. Also, the mixing effects between different configurations are significant owing to the nondiagonal elements induced by spin-spin interactions. Finally, our results are quite different from those estimated by the color-magnetic interaction model and QCD sum rule, where significantly lower masses are predicted [19, 20].

In the present study, the predicted masses for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems are much higher than the relevant thresholds, and no stable state exists. Hence, the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks may easily decay into two mesons through the fall-apart mechanism. The possible decay channels of $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems via the fall-apart mechanism are listed in Table IV. We show that the decay channels with two $S-$wave mesons should make dominant contributions; future experiments can search for $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks in these final states.

B. The $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems

The masses of $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems are presented in Table V. In the $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ system, the tetraquarks are flavor exotic and cannot mix with the conventional mesons. The predicted mass spectra lie around 12 GeV, and the mass splittings are 140 and 117 MeV for the $bb\bar{c}\bar{n}$ and $bb\bar{c}\bar{s}$ tetraquark states, respectively. In the hidden bottom systems, the masses of $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks vary from 15107 to 15232 MeV, and the mass splittings are relatively small. Since these masses are much greater than those of the conventional bottom or bottom-strange mesons, it is not necessary to consider the mixing effects between them. The possible decay modes for $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems via the fall-apart mechanism are presented in Table VI. As they have a large phase space, these tetraquarks may easily decay into two $S-$wave mesons, which can be searched for by future experiments.

Our predicted masses for the $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks are about several hundred MeV higher than those predicted by the previous studies within the color-magnetic interaction model and QCD sum rule [19, 20]. The differences among these studies arise from the different choices of interactions, parameters, or even models. Indeed, only the color-magnetic interactions are considered in Ref. [19], while all the Coulomb potential, confining potential, spin-spin interactions, and the relativistic corrections are involved in the present study. Also, the input parameters are quite different in the color-magnetic interaction model and the extended relativized quark model. Moreover, it is difficult to establish a clear connection between the constituent quark model and QCD sum rule. Further in-

TABLE II: All possible configurations for the $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ systems. The notation n stands for the up or down quark, and the s represents the strange quark. The subscripts and superscripts are the spin and color types, respectively. The braces { } stand for the symmetric flavor wave functions, and the parentheses ( ) are adopted for the subsystems without permutation symmetries.

System	J^P	Configuration		
$\{cc\}(\bar{c}\bar{n})$	0^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{cc\}(\bar{c}\bar{s})$	0^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{cc\}(\bar{b}\bar{n})$	0^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{cc\}(\bar{b}\bar{s})$	0^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{cc\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{cc\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{bb\}(\bar{c}\bar{n})$	0^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{bb\}(\bar{c}\bar{s})$	0^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{bb\}(\bar{b}\bar{n})$	0^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$\{bb\}(\bar{b}\bar{s})$	0^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|\{bb\}_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^{\bar{6}}\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|\{bb\}_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
$(cb)(\bar{c}\bar{n})$	0^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^6\rangle_0$
		$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_0$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$
		$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_0^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_2$	$\cdots$
$(cb)(\bar{c}\bar{s})$	0^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$
		$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_0$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$
		$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_0^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{c}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_2$	$\cdots$
$(cb)(\bar{b}\bar{n})$	0^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$
		$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_0$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_1$
		$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_0^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{n})_1^6\rangle_2$	$\cdots$
$(cb)(\bar{b}\bar{s})$	0^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_0$	$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^{\bar{6}}\rangle_0$
		$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_0$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
	1^+	$\|(cb)_0^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^3\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_1$
		$\|(cb)_0^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_0^6\rangle_1$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_1$
	2^+	$\|(cb)_1^{\bar{3}}(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^3\rangle_2$	$\|(cb)_1^6(\bar{b}\bar{s})_1^6\rangle_2$	$\cdots$

vestigations with various theoretical approaches and experimental searches are encouraged in order to disentangle these differences.

As in the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems, all the mass splittings in the $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems are small. This is easy to understand from the Hamitonian, where only the spin-spin interactions contribute to the mass splittings. The spin-spin terms are suppressed by the heavy quark masses and may even cancel each other out, which makes the mass splittings for $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ states similar to those of conventional heavy-light mesons.

TABLE III: Predicted mass spectra for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems.

J^P	Configuration	$\langle H\rangle$ (MeV)	Mass (MeV)	Eigenvector
0^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}5455 & -64\\ -64 & 5480\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}5403\\ 5533\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.772,-0.635)\\ (0.635,-0.772)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}5425 & 5 & -37\\ 5 & 5473 & 6\\ -37 & 6 & 5457\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}5400\\ 5473\\ 5482\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.831,0.105,-0.547)\\ (0.231,0.958,-0.168)\\ (0.506,-0.266,-0.821)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_2$	5506	5506	1
0^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}5535 & 62\\ 62 & 5541\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}5476\\ 5599\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.723,0.690)\\ (-0.690,-0.723)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}5512 & -4 & -36\\ -4 & 5552 & -4\\ -36 & -4 & 5523\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}5481\\ 5552\\ 5554\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.752,-0.078,-0.654)\\ (0.346,-0.892,-0.291)\\ (-0.561,-0.445,0.698)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_2$	5584	5584	1
0^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}8713 & 52\\ 52 & 8707\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}8658\\ 8761\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.687,0.727)\\ (0.727,0.687)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}8716 & 11 & -30\\ 11 & 8727 & 20\\ -30 & 20 & 8697\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}8667\\ 8734\\ 8740\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(0.537,-0.354,0.765)\\ (-0.651,-0.751,0.109)\\ (-0.536,0.557,0.634)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_2$	8755	8755	1
0^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}8802 & -47\\ -47 & 8795\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}8751\\ 8845\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(0.681,0.732)\\ (0.732,-0.681)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}8805 & -9 & 27\\ -9 & 8815 & 16\\ 27 & 16 & 8786\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}8761\\ 8820\\ 8825\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(0.541,0.322,-0.777)\\ (-0.509,0.861,0.002)\\ (0.669,0.395,0.630)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{cc\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{cc\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_2$	8840	8840	1

TABLE IV: The possible decay channels of the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems via the fall-apart mechanism.

System	J^P	$S-$wave	$P-$wave
$cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$	0^+	$\eta_c D$, $J/\psi D^*$	$\eta_c D_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_0^*$, $J/\psi D_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_2^*$, $h_c D^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0} D^*$, $\chi_{c1} D^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c2} D^*$
	1^+	$\eta_c D^*$, $J/\psi D^{(*)}$	$\eta_c D_0^*$, $\eta_c D_1^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c D_2^*$, $J/\psi D_0^*$, $J/\psi D_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_2^*$, $h_c D^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2} D^{(*)}$
	2^+	$J/\psi D^*$	$\eta_c D_1^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c D_2^*$, $J/\psi D_0^*$, $J/\psi D_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_2^*$, $h_c D^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2} D^{(*)}$
$cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$	0^+	$\eta_c D_s$, $J/\psi D_s^*$	$\eta_c D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_{s0}^*$, $J/\psi D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_{s2}^*$, $h_c D_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0} D_s^*$, $\chi_{c1} D_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c2} D_s^*$
	1^+	$\eta_c D_s^*$, $J/\psi D_s^{(*)}$	$\eta_c D_{s0}^*$, $\eta_c D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c D_{s2}^*$, $J/\psi D_{s0}^*$, $J/\psi D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_{s2}^*$, $h_c D_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2} D_s^{(*)}$
	2^+	$J/\psi D_s^*$	$\eta_c D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c D_{s2}^*$, $J/\psi D_{s0}^*$, $J/\psi D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi D_{s2}^*$, $h_c D_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2} D_s^{(*)}$
$cc\bar{b}\bar{n}$	0^+	$B_c D$, $B_c^* D^*$	$B_c D_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_0^*$, $B_c^* D_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_2^*$, $B_{c0}^* D^*$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)} D^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^* D^*$
	1^+	$B_c D^*$, $B_c^* D^{(*)}$	$B_c D_0^*$, $B_c D_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c D_2^*$, $B_c^* D_0^*$, $B_c^* D_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_2^*$, $B_{c0}^* D^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)} D^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^* D^{(*)}$
	2^+	$B_c^* D^*$	$B_c D_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c D_2^*$, $B_c^* D_0^*$, $B_c^* D_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_2^*$, $B_{c0}^* D^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)} D^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^* D^{(*)}$
$cc\bar{b}\bar{s}$	0^+	$B_c D_s$, $B_c^* D_s^*$	$B_c D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_{s0}^*$, $B_c^* D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_{s2}^*$, $B_{c0}^* D_s^*$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)} D_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^* D_s^*$
	1^+	$B_c D_s^*$, $B_c^* D_s^{(*)}$	$B_c D_{s0}^*$, $B_c D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c D_{s2}^*$, $B_c^* D_{s0}^*$, $B_c^* D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_{s2}^*$, $B_{c0}^* D_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)} D_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^* D_s^{(*)}$
	2^+	$B_c^* D_s^*$	$B_c D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c D_{s2}^*$, $B_c^* D_{s0}^*$, $B_c^* D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^* D_{s2}^*$, $B_{c0}^* D_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)} D_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^* D_s^{(*)}$

C. The $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems

The predicted masses of the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems are listed in Table VII. This shows that all the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ states lie above the coresponding meson-meson thresholds. Unlike the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems, several characteristics appear for the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquark states. All of these $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ states are hidden charm or bottom tetraquarks, and no flavor exotic state exists. In principle, they can be regarded as the excited D, D_s, B, and B_s mesons with an extra $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ quark pair. Certainly, the much higher masses prevent mixing between these tetraquarks and conventional mesons. Thus, without the constraint from the Pauli exclusion principle, the number of allowed states is doubled, as are the meson-meson thresholds. The possible fall-apart decay modes are presented in Table VIII, and the channels with two $S-$wave mesons should play essential roles. These two-body decay modes may provide helpful information for future

TABLE V: Predicted mass spectra for the $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks.

J^P	Configuration	$\langle H\rangle$ (MeV)	Mass (MeV)	Eigenvector
0^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}11925 & -28\\ -28 & 12004\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}11916\\ 12013\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.954,-0.299)\\ (0.299,-0.954)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}11875 & -1 & -16\\ -1 & 11933 & 1\\ -16 & 1 & 11982\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}11873\\ 11933\\ 11984\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.989,-0.008,-0.149)\\ (0.004,-1.000,0.028)\\ (-0.150,0.027,0.988)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_2$	11948	11948	1
0^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}11995 & 27\\ 27 & 12056\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}11985\\ 12067\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.935,0.355)\\ (-0.355,-0.935)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}11953 & 0 & 16\\ 0 & 12003 & 1\\ 16 & 1 & 12037\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}11950\\ 12003\\ 12040\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.983,0.002,0.183)\\ (0.004,-0.999,0.036)\\ (0.183,0.036,0.983)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_2$	12018	12018	1
0^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}15134 & 24\\ 24 & 15133\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}15109\\ 15158\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.694,0.720)\\ (0.720,0.694)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}15123 & 3 & 14\\ 3 & 15141 & -4\\ 14 & -4 & 15122\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}15107\\ 15137\\ 15142\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(0.693,-0.155,-0.705)\\ (-0.721,-0.151,-0.676)\\ (0.002,-0.976,0.217)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_2$	15154	15154	1
0^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix}15213 & 23\\ 23 & 15204\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}15185\\ 15232\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.641,0.767)\\ (0.767,0.641)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix}15203 & -2 & -14\\ -2 & 15219 & -3\\ -14 & -3 & 15195\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}15184\\ 15213\\ 15219\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}(-0.607,-0.113,-0.787)\\ (-0.791,-0.009,0.611)\\ (0.076,-0.994,0.084)\end{bmatrix}$
	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\vert\{bb\}^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\vert\{bb\}^3_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_2$	15231	15231	1

TABLE VI: The possible decay channels of the $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems via the fall-apart mechanism.

System	J^P	$S-$wave	$P-$wave
$bb\bar{c}\bar{n}$	0^+	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*$	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_0$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_2$, $\bar{B}^*_{c0}\bar{B}^*$, $\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}^*_{c2}\bar{B}^*$
	1^+	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(*)}$	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_0$, $\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_2$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_0$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_2$, $\bar{B}^*_{c0}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}^*_{c2}\bar{B}^{(*)}$
	2^+	$\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*$	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_2$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_0$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_2$, $\bar{B}^*_{c0}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}^*_{c2}\bar{B}^{(*)}$
$bb\bar{c}\bar{s}$	0^+	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}_s$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_s$	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\bar{B}^*_{c0}\bar{B}^*_s$, $\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\bar{B}^*_{c2}\bar{B}^*_s$
	1^+	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_s$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\bar{B}^*_{c0}\bar{B}^*_s$, $\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\bar{B}^*_{c2}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$
	2^+	$\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_s$	$\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\bar{B}_c\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\bar{B}^*_c\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\bar{B}^*_{c0}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\bar{B}^*_{c2}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$
$bb\bar{b}\bar{n}$	0^+	$\eta_b\bar{B}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*$	$\eta_b\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_0$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_2$, $h_b\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0}\bar{B}^*$, $\chi_{b1}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b2}\bar{B}^*$
	1^+	$\eta_b\bar{B}^*$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(*)}$	$\eta_b\bar{B}^*_0$, $\eta_b\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\eta_b\bar{B}^*_2$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_0$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_2$, $h_b\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}\bar{B}^{(*)}$
	2^+	$\Upsilon\bar{B}^*$	$\eta_b\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\eta_b\bar{B}^*_2$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_0$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_1$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_2$, $h_b\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}\bar{B}^{(*)}$
$bb\bar{b}\bar{s}$	0^+	$\eta_b\bar{B}_s$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_s$	$\eta_b\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $h_b\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\chi_{b0}\bar{B}^*_s$, $\chi_{b1}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\chi_{b2}\bar{B}^*_s$
	1^+	$\eta_b\bar{B}^*_s$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$	$\eta_b\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\eta_b\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\eta_b\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $h_b\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$
	2^+	$\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_s$	$\eta_b\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\eta_b\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_{s0}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^{(\prime)}_{s1}$, $\Upsilon\bar{B}^*_{s2}$, $h_b\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}\bar{B}^{(*)}_s$

experimental searches.

The mass splittings for the $cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$, $cb\bar{c}\bar{s}$, $cb\bar{b}\bar{n}$, and $cb\bar{b}\bar{s}$ states are 274, 243, 333, and 309 MeV, respectively. Although all the mass spectra calculated here are significantly higher than those of color-magnetic interaction model [19], the mass splittings in these two studies coincide. However, the factors contributing to the fine structures are quite different in the color-magnetic interaction model and the extended relativized quark model. In the color-magnetic interaction model, only the spin-spin interactions appear, while in the extended relativized quark model, the Coulomb and confining potentials are also included. In addition to the spin-spin interactions, the Coulomb and confining potentials also contribute to mass splittings, owing to the nonzero off-diagonal matrix elements in the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems. For instance, there are four configurations $\vert(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_0$, $\vert(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$, $\vert(cb)^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^{\bar{6}}_0\rangle_0$, and $\vert(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^{\bar{6}}_1\rangle_0$ in the $J^P=0^+$ $cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$ systems. The Coulomb and confining potentials can lead to mixing effects between

TABLE VII: Predicted mass spectra for the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks.

J^P	Configuration	$\langle H\rangle$ (MeV)	Mass (MeV)	Eigenvector
0^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8660 & -3 & 73 & -48 \\ -3 & 8719 & 43 & -86 \\ 73 & 43 & 8764 & 10 \\ -48 & -86 & 10 & 8666 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 8560 \\ 8663 \\ 8755 \\ 8831 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (0.549, 0.440, -0.323, 0.633) \\ (0.716, -0.448, -0.284, -0.454) \\ (0.200, -0.586, 0.580, 0.530) \\ (0.382, 0.512, 0.692, -0.335) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8725 & -2 & 6 & 75 & 25 & 2 \\ -2 & 8678 & 3 & 25 & 75 & 10 \\ 6 & 3 & 8731 & -3 & -10 & -81 \\ 75 & 25 & -3 & 8741 & -6 & -10 \\ 25 & 75 & -10 & -6 & 8760 & 0 \\ 2 & 10 & -81 & -10 & 0 & 8703 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 8607 \\ 8643 \\ 8674 \\ 8782 \\ 8805 \\ 8826 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (0.334, 0.609, -0.317, -0.353, -0.386, -0.380) \\ (0.156, 0.382, 0.559, -0.149, -0.237, 0.662) \\ (-0.660, 0.488, -0.038, 0.529, -0.207, -0.049) \\ (-0.332, 0.293, 0.392, -0.458, 0.584, -0.317) \\ (-0.239, 0.143, -0.654, -0.312, 0.290, 0.560) \\ (-0.511, -0.373, 0.063, -0.516, -0.572, -0.039) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8754 & -72 \\ -72 & 8769 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 8690 \\ 8834 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (-0.744, -0.668) \\ (0.668, -0.744) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_2$			
0^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8743 & -2 & 61 & 46 \\ -2 & 8794 & 42 & 72 \\ 61 & 42 & 8823 & -8 \\ 46 & 72 & -8 & 8733 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 8646 \\ 8748 \\ 8811 \\ 8887 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (0.530, 0.421, -0.315, -0.666) \\ (-0.722, 0.406, 0.311, -0.466) \\ (-0.229, 0.602, -0.596, 0.480) \\ (0.381, 0.544, 0.670, 0.331) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8799 & 1 & 6 & 62 & 24 & -1 \\ 1 & 8761 & -2 & -25 & -62 & 10 \\ 6 & -2 & 8806 & -2 & -9 & 67 \\ 62 & -25 & -2 & 8804 & -5 & 9 \\ 24 & -62 & -9 & -5 & 8819 & 0 \\ -1 & 10 & 67 & 9 & 0 & 8767 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 8694 \\ 8725 \\ 8757 \\ 8836 \\ 8862 \\ 8882 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (-0.335, 0.571, 0.316, 0.373, 0.385, -0.417) \\ (0.197, -0.367, 0.512, -0.192, -0.255, -0.680) \\ (0.625, 0.517, 0.018, -0.530, 0.246, -0.027) \\ (0.352, 0.325, -0.373, 0.457, -0.595, -0.260) \\ (0.221, 0.139, 0.704, 0.269, -0.265, 0.542) \\ (-0.534, 0.383, 0.057, -0.512, -0.550, 0.032) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8828 & -60 \\ -60 & 8831 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 8770 \\ 8889 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (-0.714, -0.700) \\ (0.700, -0.714) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_2$			
0^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11926 & 5 & -112 & -39 \\ 5 & 11949 & 36 & 129 \\ -112 & 36 & 11927 & -14 \\ -39 & 129 & -14 & 11854 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11735 \\ 11843 \\ 12010 \\ 12067 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (-0.368, 0.493, -0.362, -0.701) \\ (0.603, 0.286, 0.609, -0.429) \\ (0.510, 0.579, -0.498, 0.396) \\ (-0.491, 0.583, 0.501, 0.410) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11951 & -3 & -5 & -112 & -21 & 12 \\ -3 & 11944 & -7 & -21 & -114 & 9 \\ -5 & -7 & 11959 & -12 & -8 & 121 \\ -112 & -21 & -12 & 11917 & -8 & 9 \\ -21 & -114 & -8 & -8 & 11922 & 13 \\ 12 & 9 & 121 & 9 & 13 & 11884 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11767 \\ 11820 \\ 11846 \\ 12031 \\ 12049 \\ 12064 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (0.328, 0.338, 0.415, 0.380, 0.382, -0.562) \\ (0.317, 0.341, -0.423, 0.372, 0.365, 0.577) \\ (0.465, -0.470, 0.004, 0.542, -0.519, 0.005) \\ (-0.533, 0.498, 0.197, 0.455, -0.452, 0.135) \\ (0.019, -0.225, 0.765, -0.024, 0.208, 0.566) \\ (-0.540, -0.499, -0.159, 0.466, 0.452, -0.111) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11979 & -107 \\ -107 & 11939 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11850 \\ 12068 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (0.639, 0.769) \\ (0.769, -0.639) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{n})^6_1\rangle_2$			
0^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12010 & 4 & 104 & -36 \\ 4 & 12033 & -34 & 119 \\ 104 & -34 & 12007 & 12 \\ -36 & 119 & 12 & 11940 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11833 \\ 11931 \\ 12086 \\ 12140 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (-0.367, 0.487, 0.362, -0.705) \\ (0.595, 0.279, -0.616, -0.433) \\ (0.520, 0.580, 0.495, 0.385) \\ (0.490, -0.590, 0.494, -0.409) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_0$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_0$			
1^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12033 & 2 & -4 & -104 & -19 & 9 \\ 2 & 12029 & 6 & 20 & 105 & -7 \\ -4 & 6 & 12042 & -9 & -7 & 112 \\ -104 & 20 & -9 & 11999 & -7 & 7 \\ -20 & 105 & -7 & -7 & 12003 & 10 \\ 9 & -7 & 112 & 7 & 10 & 11968 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11865 \\ 11908 \\ 11934 \\ 12106 \\ 12123 \\ 12137 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (0.328, -0.335, 0.411, 0.383, 0.382, -0.564) \\ (-0.319, 0.331, 0.416, -0.376, -0.370, -0.583) \\ (0.458, 0.465, 0.004, 0.542, -0.530, 0.001) \\ (-0.544, -0.516, 0.123, 0.459, -0.453, 0.083) \\ (-0.017, 0.172, 0.789, 0.008, 0.151, 0.570) \\ (-0.533, 0.516, -0.144, 0.457, 0.458, -0.102) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_0(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_0\rangle_1$			
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_1$			
2^+	$\|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^3_1\rangle_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12061 & 100 \\ 100 & 12019 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11938 \\ 12142 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (-0.631, 0.776) \\ (0.776, 0.631) \end{bmatrix}$
	$\|(cb)^6_1(\bar{b}\bar{s})^6_1\rangle_2$			

the configurations $|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_0\rangle_0$ and $|(cb)^6_0(\bar{c}\bar{n})^{\bar{6}}_0\rangle_0$, and also in the $|(cb)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^3_1\rangle_0$ and $|(cb)^6_1(\bar{c}\bar{n})^{\bar{6}}_1\rangle_0$. From Table VII, it can be seen that the Coulomb and confining potentials play a more essential role than the spin-spin interactions in the fine structures.

It should be mentioned that the significant mass splittings originating from Coulomb and confining potentials for the mutiquarks are unique. In the traditional mesons and baryons, the color degrees of freedom are always trivial, and only spin-spin interactions contributes to the mass splittings of $S-$wave ground states. The situation changes when we attempt to extend the pairwise potentials to the multiquark states. More

TABLE VIII: The possible decay channels of the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems via the fall-apart mechanism.

System	J^P	$S-$wave	$P-$wave
$cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$	0^+	$\eta_c\bar{B}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}^*$, $\bar{B}_cD$, $\bar{B}_c^*D^*$	$\eta_c\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_0^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_2^*$, $h_c\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0}\bar{B}^*$, $\chi_{c1}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c2}\bar{B}^*$, $\bar{B}_cD_1^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_0^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_1^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_2^*$, $\bar{B}_{c0}^*D^*$, $\bar{B}_{c1}^{(\prime)}D^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c2}^*D^*$
	1^+	$\eta_c\bar{B}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_cD^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D^{(*)}$	$\eta_c\bar{B}_0^*$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_2^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_0^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_2^*$, $h_c\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_0^*$, $\bar{B}_cD_1^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_2^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_0^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_1^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_2^*$, $\bar{B}_{c0}^*D^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c1}^{(\prime)}D^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c2}^*D^{(*)}$
	2^+	$J/\psi\bar{B}^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D^*$	$\eta_c\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_2^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_0^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_2^*$, $h_c\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_1^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_2^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_0^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_1^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_2^*$, $\bar{B}_{c0}^*D^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c1}^{(\prime)}D^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c2}^*D^{(*)}$
$cb\bar{c}\bar{s}$	0^+	$\eta_c\bar{B}_s$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_s^*$, $\bar{B}_cD_s$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_s^*$	$\eta_c\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $h_c\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0}\bar{B}_s^*$, $\chi_{c1}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c2}\bar{B}_s^*$, $\bar{B}_cD_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s0}^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s2}^*$, $\bar{B}_{c0}^*D_s^*$, $\bar{B}_{c1}^{(\prime)}D_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c2}^*D_s^*$
	1^+	$\eta_c\bar{B}_s^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_s^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_s^{(*)}$	$\eta_c\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $h_c\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_{s0}^*$, $\bar{B}_cD_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_{s2}^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s0}^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s2}^*$, $\bar{B}_{c0}^*D_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c1}^{(\prime)}D_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c2}^*D_s^{(*)}$
	2^+	$J/\psi\bar{B}_s^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_s^*$	$\eta_c\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $h_c\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_cD_{s2}^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s0}^*$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\bar{B}_c^*D_{s2}^*$, $\bar{B}_{c0}^*D_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c1}^{(\prime)}D_s^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}_{c2}^*D_s^{(*)}$
$cb\bar{b}\bar{n}$	0^+	η_bD, ΥD^*, $B_c\bar{B}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}^*$	$\eta_bD_1^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_0^*, $\Upsilon D_1^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_2^*, $h_bD^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0}D^*$, $\chi_{b1}D^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b2}D^*$, $B_c\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_0^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_2^*$, $B_{c0}^*\bar{B}^*$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^*\bar{B}^*$
	1^+	η_bD^*, $\Upsilon D^{(*)}$, $B_c\bar{B}^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}^{(*)}$	$\eta_bD_0^*$, $\eta_bD_1^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_bD_2^*$, ΥD_0^*, $\Upsilon D_1^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_2^*, $h_bD^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}D^{(*)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_0^*$, $B_c\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_2^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_0^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_2^*$, $B_{c0}^*\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^*\bar{B}^{(*)}$
	2^+	ΥD^*, $B_c^*\bar{B}^*$	$\eta_bD_1^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_bD_2^*$, ΥD_0^*, $\Upsilon D_1^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_2^*, $h_bD^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}D^{(*)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_2^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_0^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_1^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_2^*$, $B_{c0}^*\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^*\bar{B}^{(*)}$
$cb\bar{b}\bar{s}$	0^+	η_bD_s, ΥD_s^*, $B_c\bar{B}_s$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_s^*$	$\eta_bD_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_{s0}^*, $\Upsilon D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_{s2}^*, $h_bD_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0}D_s^*$, $\chi_{b1}D_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b2}D_s^*$, $B_c\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $B_{c0}^*\bar{B}_s^*$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^*\bar{B}_s^*$
	1^+	$\eta_bD_s^*$, $\Upsilon D_s^{(*)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_s^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$	$\eta_bD_{s0}^*$, $\eta_bD_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_bD_{s2}^*$, ΥD_{s0}^*, $\Upsilon D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_{s2}^*, $h_bD_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}D_s^{(*)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $B_c\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $B_{c0}^*\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^*\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$
	2^+	ΥD_s^*, $B_c^*\bar{B}_s^*$	$\eta_bD_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $\eta_bD_{s2}^*$, ΥD_{s0}^*, $\Upsilon D_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, ΥD_{s2}^*, $h_bD_s^{(*)}$, $\chi_{b0,1,2}D_s^{(*)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s0}^*$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s1}^{(\prime)}$, $B_c^*\bar{B}_{s2}^*$, $B_{c0}^*\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c1}^{(\prime)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$, $B_{c2}^*\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$

complicated color degrees of freedom are involved, which can lead to extra contributions to the mass splittings. Further theoretical and experimental investigations are needed to test whether this generalization is reasonable or not.

D. Discussions

From the predicted mass spectra, we can see that all the triply-heavy tetraquarks lie above the corresponding meson-meson thresholds, which indicates that according to our model no stable $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ state exists. In previous studies [86, 118], we also investigated the mass spectra for the singly-heavy tetraquarks $Q_1q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$ and doubly-heavy tetraquarks $Q_1Q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$, and found that only the $IJ^P = 01^+$ $bb\bar{u}\bar{d}$ state lay below the relevant strong and electromagnetic thresholds. In the case of the doubly-heavy tetraquarks $Q_1Q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$, we pointed out that the mass ratio between the heavy Q_1Q_2 and light $\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$ subsystems was the determining factor in the formation of a stable tetraquark [86]. If one keeps reducing the mass of heavy quark Q_2, a doubly-heavy tetraquark $Q_1Q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$ will become a singly-heavy one $Q_1q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$. Similarly, replacing the $\bar{q}_3$ in a $Q_1Q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$ state with $\bar{Q}_3$, one can obtain a triply-heavy tetraquark $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$. We can speculate that the empirical analysis of mass ratios for the doubly-heavy tetraquarks $Q_1Q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$ also holds for the singly- and triply-heavy tetraquarks. Indeed, the stable $bb\bar{u}\bar{d}$ state has the largest mass ratio, while all other systems with smaller mass ratios cannot form bound compact tetraquarks. Caution should be exercised in generalizing this conclusion to the $Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{Q}_4$, $Q_1q_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4$ and $q_1q_2\bar{q}_3\bar{q}_4$ systems in which a charge conjugation quantum number may emerge, and then the above empirical conclusion may not hold. Moreover, it should be emphasized that, even if a tetraquark is not stable, it may subsist as a resonance with finite decay width and be observed by future experiments.

Besides the fall-apart decay mechanism, other two-body strong decay modes may also exist for the triply-heavy tetraquarks. As they have larger mass gaps, the higher $bb\bar{c}\bar{n}$, $cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$, and $cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$ states can decay into the lower ones by emitting pions. Certainly, like the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ cases, the higher $cb\bar{c}\bar{s}$ and $cb\bar{c}\bar{s}$ states can also emit pions through the isospin violation process. Moreover, for the hidden charm and bottom states, the $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ quark pairs may first annihilate, and then these states can decay into the meson-meson or baryon-antibaryon final states as well as conventional heavy-light mesons.

Following the observation by the LHCb Collaboration of possible fully-heavy tetraquark structures in the $J/\psi J/\psi$ invariant mass, it is feasible to investigate the relation between fully- and triply-heavy tetraquarks. Indeed, the triply-heavy tetraquarks can be produced from the fully-heavy ones via light-quark pair creation; this decay process is shown in Fig. 2. For the higher $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ tetraquarks, a typical decay chain

is $cc\bar{c}\bar{c} \to cc\bar{c}\bar{q} + q\bar{c} \to c\bar{c} + c\bar{q} + q\bar{c}$, where the final sates can be $J/\psi D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\eta_c D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$. From our present calculations, the mass of the initial $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ tetraquarks should lie above 7256 MeV, and the triply-heavy tetraquarks $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ can be searched for in the $J/\psi D^{(*)}$ and $\eta_c D^{(*)}$ invariant masses by future experiments. Similar discussions can be generalized to other triply- and fully-heavy tetraquark systems.



FIG. 2: A fully-heavy tetraquark decays into the triply-heavy tetraquark plus heavy-light meson via light-quark pair creation.

Our present results are quite different from those of studies conducted within the color-magnetic interaction model [17–19] and QCD sum rule [20], and new contributions for the mass splittings from Coulomb and confining potentials emerge for the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems. It is worth emphasizing that, to predict the tetraquarks, we adopt the extended relativized quark model and original parameters that describe the conventional mesons well and this unified treatment for mesons and tetraquarks is essential in order to obtain reliable mass spectra of triply-heavy tetraquarks. So far, it is difficult to distinguish these different models, owing to the lack of experimental information. Moreover, if different parameter schemes are adopted, significantly different results are obtained even in the color-magnetic interaction model [17–19]. Further investigations using various approaches and experimental searches are needed in order to clarify this problem.

With the wave functions obtained, one can estimate the color proportions and expections of $\langle r_{ij}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ for the triply-heavy tetraquarks. Table IX shows these properties for the lowest states of various systems. Based on the relatively small radii, a typical sketch of the triply-heavy tetraquarks is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the four quarks are separated from on another in a compact tetraquark, which is significantly different from the diquark-antidiquark or loosely bound molecular picture.

The full mass spectra of $S-$wave triply-heavy tetraquarks are plotted in Figure 4. These similar mass patterns indicate that the approximate light flavor SU(3) symmetry and heavy quark symmetry are well preserved in these systems. So far, these two symmetries have been successfully applied to the conventional hadrons, and also appear in the case of the singly- and doubly-heavy tetraquarks. Definitely, they will also provide us with a powerful tool for investigating the triply-heavy tetraquarks. Unlike the extensive investigations into conventional heavy-light mesons and singly-heavy baryons, studies on triply-heavy tetraquarks are scarce, and we hope that more theoretical and experimental efforts will be made to increase our understanding of these heavy-light systems.



FIG. 3: A typical sketch of the triply-heavy tetraquarks.

IV. SUMMARY

In this paper, we use the relativized quark model to investigate the triply-heavy tetraquarks systematically. The mass spectra are obtained by solving the four-body relativized Hamiltonian including the Coulomb potential, confining potential, spin-spin interactions, and relativistic corrections. All of the triply-heavy tetraquarks are seen to lie above the corresponding meson-meson thresholds, and thus no stable binding one exists. The predicted mass splittings for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems are relatively small, while the mass gaps of the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ states are significant. Moreover, in the $cb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems, the Coulomb and confining potentials also contribute to the mass splittings; this reveals unique features of the mutiquark states, which can be tested by further theoretical and experimental investigations.

As in the case of other heavy-light systems, it can be seen that all the mass spectra for triply-heavy tetraquarks show quite similar patterns, which preserve the light flavor SU(3) symmetry and heavy quark symmetry well. Also, it is worth emphasizing that, to predict the tetraquarks, we adopt the extended relativized quark model and original parameters that describe the conventional mesons well.

Through the fall-apart mechanism, these triply-heavy tetraquarks may easily decay into the heavy quarkonium plus heavy-light mesons. Also, some higher tetraquark states may emit pions into the lower ones, and the hidden charm and bottom states can decay into the meson-meson or baryon-antibaryon final states as well as the traditional heavy-light mesons via $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ quark pair annihilation. Further calculations on strong decay behaviors will be interesting and important for our understanding of these triply-heavy tetraquarks. We hope our predictions of triply-heavy tetraquarks can provide helpful information for future experimental searches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Xian-Hui Zhong for his valuable discussions. This work is supported by the National Natu-

TABLE IX: The color proportions and root mean square radii for the lowest states of various systems. The units of masses and $\langle r_{ij}^2\rangle^{1/2}$ are in MeV and fm, respectively.

System	Mass	$\|\bar{3}3\rangle$	$\|66\rangle$	$\langle r_{12}^2\rangle^{1/2}$	$\langle r_{34}^2\rangle^{1/2}$	$\langle r_{13}^2\rangle^{1/2}$	$\langle r_{24}^2\rangle^{1/2}$	$\langle r_{14}^2\rangle^{1/2}$	$\langle r_{23}^2\rangle^{1/2}$
$cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$	5400	70.1%	29.9%	0.434	0.502	0.403	0.595	0.595	0.403
$cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$	5476	52.3%	47.7%	0.443	0.506	0.390	0.551	0.551	0.390
$cc\bar{b}\bar{n}$	8658	47.2%	52.8%	0.440	0.487	0.353	0.585	0.585	0.353
$cc\bar{b}\bar{s}$	8751	46.4%	53.6%	0.434	0.460	0.348	0.547	0.547	0.348
$bb\bar{c}\bar{n}$	11873	97.8%	2.2%	0.280	0.478	0.351	0.545	0.545	0.351
$bb\bar{c}\bar{s}$	11950	96.7%	3.3%	0.279	0.445	0.346	0.486	0.486	0.346
$bb\bar{b}\bar{n}$	15107	50.4%	49.6%	0.305	0.482	0.274	0.536	0.536	0.274
$bb\bar{b}\bar{s}$	15184	38.1%	61.9%	0.309	0.452	0.266	0.493	0.493	0.266
$cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$	8560	49.5%	50.5%	0.382	0.517	0.412	0.547	0.611	0.309
$cb\bar{c}\bar{s}$	8646	45.8%	54.2%	0.379	0.484	0.409	0.482	0.553	0.307
$cb\bar{b}\bar{n}$	11735	37.8%	62.6%	0.376	0.479	0.366	0.522	0.587	0.250
$cb\bar{b}\bar{s}$	11833	37.2%	62.8%	0.371	0.448	0.361	0.480	0.548	0.246

ral Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11705056, No. 11775050, No. 11947224, No. 11475192, No. 11975245, and No. U1832173. This work is also supported by the State Scholarship Fund of China Scholarship Council under Grant No. 202006725011, the Sino-German CRC 110 "Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD" project by NSFC under the Grant No. 12070131001, the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, CAS, under the Grant No. Y7292610K1, and the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Contracts No. 2020YFA0406300.

[1] E. Klempt and A. Zaitsev, Glueballs, Hybrids, Multiquarks. Experimental facts versus QCD inspired concepts, Phys. Rept. **454**, 1 (2007).
[2] N. Brambilla *et al.*, Heavy Quarkonium: Progress, Puzzles, and Opportunities, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1534 (2011).
[3] A. Hosaka, T. Iijima, K. Miyabayashi, Y. Sakai and S. Yasui, Exotic hadrons with heavy flavors: X, Y, Z, and related states, PTEP **2016**, 062C01 (2016).
[4] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, The hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states, Phys. Rept. **639**,1 (2016).
[5] R. F. Lebed, R. E. Mitchell and E. S. Swanson, Heavy-Quark QCD Exotica, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **93**, 143 (2017).
[6] A. Esposito, A. Pilloni and A. D. Polosa, Multiquark Resonances, Phys. Rept. **668**, 1 (2017).
[7] Y. Dong, A. Faessler and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Description of heavy exotic resonances as molecular states using phenomenological Lagrangians, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **94**, 282 (2017).
[8] A. Ali, J. S. Lange and S. Stone, Exotics: Heavy Pentaquarks and Tetraquarks, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **97**, 123 (2017).
[9] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao and B. S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 015004 (2018).
[10] S. L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki and D. Zieminska, Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: Experimental evidence, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 015003 (2018).
[11] M. Karliner, J. L. Rosner and T. Skwarnicki, Multiquark States, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **68**, 17 (2018).
[12] Y. R. Liu, H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Pentaquark and Tetraquark states, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **107**, 237 (2019).
[13] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C. P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo and C. Z. Yuan, The *XYZ* states: experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, Phys. Rept. **873**, 1 (2020).
[14] J. M. Richard, A. Valcarce and J. Vijande, Hall-Post inequalities: Review and application to molecules and tetraquarks, Annals Phys. **412**, 168009 (2020).
[15] M. Y. Barabanov, M. A. Bedolla, W. K. Brooks, G. D. Cates, C. Chen, Y. Chen, E. Cisbani, M. Ding, G. Eichmann and R. Ent, *et al.* Diquark correlations in hadron physics: Origin, impact and evidence, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **116**, 103835 (2021).
[16] B. Silvestre-Brac and C. Semay, Systematics of L = 0 $q^2\bar{q}^2$ systems, Z. Phys. C **57**, 273-282 (1993).
[17] B. Silvestre-Brac, Systematics of $Q^2\bar{Q}^2$ systems with a chromomagnetic interaction, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2179 (1992).
[18] Y. Cui, X. L. Chen, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, The Possible Heavy Tetraquarks $qQ\bar{q}\bar{Q}$, $qq\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ and $qQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$, HEPNP **31**, 7 (2007).
[19] K. Chen, X. Liu, J. Wu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Triply heavy tetraquark states with the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ configuration, Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 5 (2017).
[20] J. F. Jiang, W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Triply heavy $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ tetraquark states, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 094022 (2017).
[21] P. Junnarkar, N. Mathur and M. Padmanath, Study of doubly heavy tetraquarks in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 034507 (2019).
[22] R. J. Hudspith, B. Colquhoun, A. Francis, R. Lewis and K. Maltman, A lattice investigation of exotic tetraquark channels, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 114506 (2020).
[23] Y. Liu, M. A. Nowak and I. Zahed, Heavy Holographic Exotics: Tetraquarks as Efimov States, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 126023 (2019).
[24] Y. Xing, Weak decays of triply heavy tetraquarks $b\bar{c}b\bar{q}$, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 57 (2020).



FIG. 4: The predicted mass spectra of triply heavy tetraquarks. (a)-(l) correspond to the mass spectra of $cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$, $cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$, $cc\bar{b}\bar{n}$, $cc\bar{b}\bar{s}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{n}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{s}$, $bb\bar{b}\bar{n}$, $bb\bar{b}\bar{s}$, $cb\bar{c}\bar{n}$, $cb\bar{c}\bar{s}$, $cb\bar{b}\bar{n}$, and $cb\bar{b}\bar{s}$ states, respectively.

[25] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Observation of structure in the J/ψ-pair mass spectrum, Sci. Bull. **65**, 1983-1993 (2020).

[26] M. S. liu, F. X. Liu, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Full-heavy tetraquark states and their evidences in the LHCb di-J/ψ spectrum, arXiv:2006.11952.

[27] Z. G. Wang, Tetraquark candidates in the LHCb's di-J/ψ mass spectrum, Chin. Phys. C **44**, 113106 (2020).

[28] G. Yang, J. Ping, L. He and Q. Wang, Potential model prediction of fully-heavy tetraquarks $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ ($Q = c, b$), arXiv:2006.13756.

[29] X. Jin, Y. Xue, H. Huang and J. Ping, Full-heavy tetraquarks in constituent quark models, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 1083 (2020).

[30] Q. F. Lü, D. Y. Chen and Y. B. Dong, Masses of fully heavy tetraquarks $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ in an extended relativized quark model, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 871 (2020).

[31] C. Becchi, J. Ferretti, A. Giachino, L. Maiani and E. Santopinto, A study of $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ tetraquark decays in 4 muons and in $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ at LHC, Phys. Lett. B **811**, 135952 (2020).

[32] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Strong decays of fully-charm tetraquarks into di-charmonia, Sci. Bull. **65**, 1994-2000 (2020).

[33] X. Y. Wang, Q. Y. Lin, H. Xu, Y. P. Xie, Y. Huang and X. Chen, Discovery potential for the LHCb fully-charm tetraquark $X(6900)$ state via $\bar{p}p$ annihilation reaction, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 116014 (2020).

[34] R. M. Albuquerque, S. Narison, A. Rabemananjara, D. Rabetiarivony and G. Randriamanatrika, Doubly-hidden scalar heavy molecules and tetraquarks states from QCD at NLO, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 094001 (2020).

[35] J. Sonnenschein and D. Weissman, Deciphering the recently discovered tetraquark candidates around 6.9 GeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 25 (2021).

[36] J. F. Giron and R. F. Lebed, Simple spectrum of $c\bar{c}c\bar{c}$ states in the dynamical diquark model, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 074003 (2020).

[37] L. Maiani, J/ψ-pair resonances by LHCb: a new revolution?, Sci. Bull. **65**, 1949-1951 (2020).

[38] J. M. Richard, About the J/ψ J/ψ peak of LHCb: fully-charmed tetraquark?, Sci. Bull. **65**, 1954-1955 (2020).

[39] K. T. Chao and S. L. Zhu, The possible tetraquark states $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ observed by the LHCb experiment, Sci. Bull. **65**, 1952-1953 (2020).

[40] J. Z. Wang, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Producing fully-charm structures in the J/ψ-pair invariant mass spectrum, Phys. Rev. D **103**, L071503 (2021).

[41] G. Yang, J. Ping and J. Segovia, Tetra- and penta-quark structures in the constituent quark model, Symmetry **12**, 1869 (2020).

[42] R. Maciuła, W. Schäfer and A. Szczurek, On the mechanism of $T_{4c}(6900)$ tetraquark production, Phys. Lett. B **812**, 136010 (2021).

[43] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Interpretation of structure in the di- J/ψ spectrum, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 114039 (2020).

[44] Z. G. Wang, Revisit the tetraquark candidates in the $J/\psi J/\psi$ mass spectrum, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **36**, 2150014 (2021).

[45] X. K. Dong, V. Baru, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and A. Nefediev, Coupled-channel interpretation of the LHCb double-J/ψ spectrum and hints of a new state near the $J/\psi J/\psi$ threshold, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 132001 (2021).

[46] Y. Q. Ma and H. F. Zhang, Exploring the Di-J/ψ Resonances around 6.9 GeV Based on *ab initio* Perturbative QCD, arXiv:2009.08376.

[47] F. Feng, Y. Huang, Y. Jia, W. L. Sang, X. Xiong and J. Y. Zhang, Fragmentation production of fully-charmed tetraquarks at LHC, arXiv:2009.08450.

[48] J. Zhao, S. Shi and P. Zhuang, Fully-heavy tetraquarks in a strongly interacting medium, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 114001 (2020).

[49] M. C. Gordillo, F. De Soto and J. Segovia, Diffusion Monte Carlo calculations of fully-heavy multiquark bound states, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 114007 (2020).

[50] R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin and E. M. Savchenko, Masses of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ tetraquarks in the relativistic diquark–antidiquark picture, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 114030 (2020).

[51] X. Z. Weng, X. L. Chen, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, Systematics of fully heavy tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 034001 (2021).

[52] J. R. Zhang, 0^+ fully-charmed tetraquark states, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 014018 (2021).

[53] R. Zhu, Fully-heavy tetraquark spectra and production at hadron colliders, Nucl. Phys. B **966**, 115393 (2021).

[54] Z. H. Guo and J. A. Oller, Insights into the inner structures of the fully charmed tetraquark state $X(6900)$, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 034024 (2021).

[55] F. Feng, Y. Huang, Y. Jia, W. L. Sang and J. Y. Zhang, Exclusive radiative production of fully-charmed tetraquarks at B Factory, Phys. Lett. B **818**, 136368 (2021)

[56] Q. F. Cao, H. Chen, H. R. Qi and H. Q. Zheng, Some remarks on $X(6900)$, Chin. Phys. C 45, 093113 (2021).

[57] J. R. Zhang, Fully-heavy pentaquark states, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 074016 (2021).

[58] J. W. Zhu, X. D. Guo, R. Y. Zhang, W. G. Ma and X. Q. Li, A possible interpretation for $X(6900)$ observed in four-muon final state by LHCb – A light Higgs-like boson?," arXiv:2011.07799.

[59] C. Gong, M. C. Du, B. Zhou, Q. Zhao and X. H. Zhong, Nature of $X(6900)$ and its production mechanism at LHCb, arXiv:2011.11374.

[60] X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo and B. S. Zou, Explaining the Many Threshold Structures in the Heavy-Quark Hadron Spectrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 152001 (2021).

[61] B. D. Wan and C. F. Qiao, Gluonic tetracharm configuration of $X(6900)$, Phys. Lett. B **817**, 136339 (2021).

[62] J. Z. Wang, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Fully-heavy structures in the invariant mass spectrum of $J/\psi\psi(3686)$, $J/\psi\psi(3770)$, $\psi(3686)\psi(3686)$, and $J/\psi\Upsilon(1S)$ at hadron colliders, Phys. Lett. B **816**, 136209 (2021).

[63] B. C. Yang, L. Tang and C. F. Qiao, Scalar fully-heavy tetraquark states $QQ'\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'$ in QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 324 (2021).

[64] M. Z. Liu and L. S. Geng, Is $X(7200)$ the heavy anti-quark diquark symmetry partner of $X(3872)$?, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 179 (2021).

[65] G. Huang, J. Zhao and P. Zhuang, Pair structure of heavy tetraquark systems, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 054014 (2021).

[66] Z. Zhao, K. Xu, A. Kaewsnod, X. Liu, A. Limphirat and Y. Yan, Study of charmonium-like and fully-charm tetraquark spectroscopy, arXiv:2012.15554.

[67] V. P. Gonçalves and B. D. Moreira, Fully - heavy tetraquark production by $\gamma\gamma$ interactions in hadronic collisions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B **816**, 136249 (2021).

[68] K. T. Chao, The $(cc) - (\bar{c}\bar{c})$ (diquark - anti-diquark) states in e^+e^- annihilation, Z. Phys. C **7**, 317 (1981).

[69] J. P. Ader, J. M. Richard and P. Taxil, Do narrow heavy multi - quark states exist, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 2370 (1982).

[70] Y. Iwasaki, A possible model for new resonances-exotics and hidden charm, Prog. Theor. Phys. **54**, 492 (1975).

[71] S. Zouzou, B. Silvestre-Brac, C. Gignoux and J. M. Richard, Four quark bound states, Z. Phys. C **30**, 457 (1986).

[72] L. Heller and J. A. Tjon, On bound states of heavy $Q^2\bar{Q}^2$ systems, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 755 (1985).

[73] R. J. Lloyd and J. P. Vary, All charm tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 014009 (2004).

[74] A. V. Berezhnoy, A. V. Luchinsky and A. A. Novoselov, Tetraquarks composed of 4 heavy quarks, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 034004 (2012).

[75] M. Karliner, S. Nussinov, and J. L. Rosner, $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ states: Masses, production, and decays, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 034011 (2017).

[76] J. M. Richard, A. Valcarce, and J. Vijande, String dynamics and metastability of all-heavy tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 054019 (2017).

[77] M. N. Anwar, J. Ferretti, F. K. Guo, E. Santopinto, and B. S. Zou, Spectroscopy and decays of the fully-heavy tetraquarks, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 647 (2018).

[78] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ tetraquark states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 432 (2017).

[79] V. R. Debastiani and F. S. Navarra, A non-relativistic model for the $[cc][\bar{c}\bar{c}]$ tetraquark, Chin.Phys.C 43,013105(2019).

[80] J. Wu, Y. R. Liu, K. Chen, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, Heavy-flavored tetraquark states with the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ configuration, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 094015 (2018).

[81] M. S. Liu, Q. F. Lü, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, All-heavy tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 016006 (2019).

[82] G. J. Wang, L. Meng and S. L. Zhu, Spectrum of the fully-heavy tetraquark state $QQ\bar{Q}'\bar{Q}'$, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 096013 (2019).

[83] M. A. Bedolla, J. Ferretti, C. D. Roberts and E. Santopinto, Spectrum of fully-heavy tetraquarks from a diquark+antidiquark perspective, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 1004 (2020).

[84] C. Deng, H. Chen and J. Ping, Towards the understanding of fully-heavy tetraquark states from various models, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 014001 (2021).

[85] E. Hernandez, J. Vijande, A. Valcarce and J. M. Richard, Spectroscopy, lifetime and decay modes of the T_{bb}^- tetraquark, Phys. Lett. B **800**, 135073 (2020).

[86] Q. F. Lü, D. Y. Chen and Y. B. Dong, Masses of doubly heavy tetraquarks $T_{QQ'}$ in a relativized quark model, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 034012 (2020).

[87] L. Heller and J. A. Tjon, On the Existence of Stable Dimesons, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 969 (1987).

[88] J. Carlson, L. Heller and J. A. Tjon, Stability of Dimesons, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 744 (1988).

[89] C. Semay and B. Silvestre-Brac, Diquonia and potential models, Z. Phys. C **61**, 271 (1994).

[90] S. Pepin, F. Stancu, M. Genovese and J. M. Richard, Tetraquarks with color blind forces in chiral quark models, Phys. Lett. B **393**, 119 (1997).

[91] D. M. Brink and F. Stancu, Tetraquarks with heavy flavors, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 6778 (1998).

[92] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez, A. Valcarce and B. Silvestre-Brac, Tetraquarks in a chiral constituent quark model, Eur. Phys. J. A **19**, 383 (2004).

[93] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin and W. Lucha, Masses of tetraquarks with two heavy quarks in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 114015 (2007).

[94] M. Zhang, H. X. Zhang and Z. Y. Zhang, $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ four-quark bound states in chiral SU(3) quark model, Commun. Theor. Phys. **50**, 437 (2008).

[95] Y. C. Yang, C. R. Deng, J. L. Ping and T. Goldman, $S-$wave $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ state in the constituent quark model, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 114023 (2009).

[96] F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen and S. H. Lee, QCD sum rules study of $QQ-\bar{u}\bar{d}$ mesons, Phys. Lett. B **649**, 166 (2007).

[97] J. M. Dias, S. Narison, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, J.-M. Richard, Relation between $T_{cc,bb}$ and $X_{c,b}$ from QCD, Phys. Lett. B **703**, 274 (2011).

[98] M. L. Du, W. Chen, X. L. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Exotic $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $QQ\bar{q}\bar{s}$ and $QQ\bar{s}\bar{s}$ states, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 014003 (2013).

[99] P. Bicudo, K. Cichy, A. Peters and M. Wagner, BB interactions with static bottom quarks from Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 034501 (2016).

[100] A. Francis, R. J. Hudspith, R. Lewis and K. Maltman, Lattice Prediction for Deeply Bound Doubly Heavy Tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 142001 (2017).

[101] P. Bicudo, M. Cardoso, A. Peters, M. Pflaumer and M. Wagner, $ud\bar{b}\bar{b}$ tetraquark resonances with lattice QCD potentials and the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 054510 (2017).

[102] S. Q. Luo, K. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Exotic tetraquark states with the $qq\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ configuration, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 709 (2017).

[103] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Discovery of doubly-charmed Ξ_{cc} baryon implies a stable $(bb\bar{u}\bar{d})$ tetraquark, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 202001 (2017).

[104] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Heavy-quark symmetry implies stable heavy tetraquark mesons $Q_iQ_j\bar{q}_k\bar{q}_l$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 202002 (2017).

[105] T. Mehen, Implications of Heavy Quark-Diquark Symmetry for Excited Doubly Heavy Baryons and Tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 094028 (2017).

[106] A. Ali, A. Y. Parkhomenko, Q. Qin and W. Wang, Prospects of discovering stable double-heavy tetraquarks at a Tera-Z factory, Phys. Lett. B **782**, 412 (2018).

[107] Y. Xing and R. Zhu, Weak Decays of Stable Doubly Heavy Tetraquark States, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 053005 (2018).

[108] W. Park, S. Noh and S. H. Lee, Masses of the doubly heavy tetraquarks in a constituent quark model, Nucl. Phys. A **983**, 1 (2019).

[109] C. R. Deng, H. Chen and J. L. Ping, Systematical investigation on the stability of doubly heavy tetraquark states, Eur. Phys. J. A **56**, 9 (2020).

[110] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Hydrogen bond of QCD, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 014002 (2019).

[111] L. Leskovec, S. Meinel, M. Pflaumer and M. Wagner, Lattice QCD investigation of a doubly-bottom $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ tetraquark with quantum numbers $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 014503 (2019).

[112] Y. Liu, M. A. Nowak and I. Zahed, Heavy tetraquark $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ as a hadronic Efimov state, arXiv:1909.02497.

[113] G. Yang, J. L. Ping and J. Segovia, Doubly-heavy tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 014001 (2020).

[114] L. Tang, B. D. Wan, K. Maltman and C. F. Qiao, Doubly Heavy Tetraquarks in QCD Sum Rules, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 094032 (2020).

[115] S. Agaev, K. Azizi, B. Barsbay and H. Sundu, Stable scalar tetraquark $T_{bb;\bar{u}\bar{d}}^-$, arXiv:2001.01446.

[116] J. B. Cheng, S. Y. Li, Y. R. Liu, Z. G. Si and T. Yao, Double-heavy tetraquark states with heavy diquark-antiquark symmetry, Chin. Phys. C **45**, 043102 (2021).

[117] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, A review of the open charm and open bottom systems, Rept. Prog. Phys. **80**, 076201 (2017).

[118] Q. F. Lü, D. Y. Chen and Y. B. Dong, Open charm and bottom tetraquarks in an extended relativized quark model, Phys. Rev. D **102**, no.7, 074021 (2020).
[119] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Mesons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).
[120] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Baryons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809 (1986).
[121] E. Hiyama, Y. Kino, and M. Kamimura, Gaussian expansion method for few-body systems, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51**, 223 (2003).