Generalized Fractional Risk Process

Ritik Soni[a], Ashok Kumar Pathak[a,*]

[a]*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda, India*

arXiv:2405.11033v1 [math.PR] 17 May 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we define a compound generalized fractional counting process (CGFCP) which is a generalization of the compound versions of several well-known fractional counting processes. We obtain its mean, variance, and the fractional differential equation governing the probability law. Motivated by Kumar et al. (2020), we introduce a fractional risk process by considering CGFCP as the surplus process and call it generalized fractional risk process (GFRP). We study the martingale property of the GFRP and show that GFRP and the associated increment process exhibit the long-range dependence (LRD) and the short-range dependence (SRD) property, respectively. We also define an alternative to GFRP, namely AGFRP which is premium wise different from the GFRP. Finally, the asymptotic structure of the ruin probability for the AGFRP is established in case of light-tailed and heavy-tailed claim sizes.

Keywords: Generalized fractional counting process; Inverse stable subordinator; LRD; SRD; Risk process.
2020 MSC: Primary: 60G22; 60G55; 91B05, Secondary: 60K05; 33E12

1. Introduction

In recent years, researchers have shown a considerable interest in studying the time changed variants of the Poisson process. Fractional generalizations of the Poisson processes are broadly classified into three categories: time-fractional, space-fractional, and space-time fractional (see Beghin and Orsingher (2009), Laskin (2009), Meerschaert et al. (2011), and Orsingher and Polito (2012)). Potential applications of these processes are observed in the areas of finance, actuarial science, economics, biology, hydrology, and reliability (see Doukhan et al. (2002), Biard and Saussereau (2014), Kumar et al. (2020), Di Crescenzo and Meoli (2023), and Soni et al. (2024)). The compound version of the Poisson process generalizes the various unit jump processes to a random jump. It can accommodate time dependencies and complex event patterns that arise from a variety of real-world phenomena. Meerschaert and Scheffler (2004) first considered the fractional generalization of the compound Poisson process (CPP). Later on, alternative forms of the fractional compound Poisson processes have been explored by Beghin and Orsingher (2009), Beghin et al. (2012), Scalas (2012). Beghin and Macci (2014) proposed two fractional versions of nonnegative, integer-valued compound Poisson processes and derived their governing fractional differential equations. Further, Biard and Saussereau (2014) and Maheshwari and Vellaisamy (2016) examined the long-range dependence (LRD) property of the fractional compound Poisson processes and showed their applicability in ruin theory. Other notable references in this direction are Gupta and Kumar (2023), Kumar et al. (2020), Sengar and Upadhye (2020), and Kataria and Khandakar (2022a).

The generalized fractional counting process (GFCP) is a unified extension of the fractional Poisson processes (FPPs). It can accommodate random jumps with varying intensity and could be useful in studying and explaining various real-world complex phenomena. Di Crescenzo et al. (2016) presented a subordinated form of the GFCP and established its connection with the fractional Cauchy problem. Further, Kataria and Khandakar (2021b) discussed its distributional properties and dependence structure. Recently, Khandakar and Kataria (2023) introduced a mixed fractional counting process and applied it to risk theory.

In this paper, we present a compound generalized fractional counting process that extends the compound versions of several well-known fractional counting processes (for example, see Scalas (2012), Kataria and Khandakar (2021b), and Kataria and Khandakar (2022a)). We consider a generalized fractional risk process (GFRP) based on the GFCP and discuss its martingale characterization. The GFRP generalizes the fractional risk models studied by Kumar et al.

*Corresponding author
Email address: ashokiitb09@gmail.com (Ashok Kumar Pathak)

(2020). It can also be applied to various classical risk models based on the GCP and convoluted Poisson process which have not been studied in the literature to the best of our knowledge. We have examined the dependence properties of the GFRP and its associated increment process. Additionally, we define AGFRP, a premium-wise alternative to GFRP. Finally, for both light-tailed and heavy-tailed claim sizes, the asymptotic structure of the ruin probability for the AGFRP is established.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we present some preliminary notations and definitions. In Section 3, we define the CGFCP and discuss its distributional properties. We consider the GFRP and examine its main characteristics in Section 4. Finally, an alternative to the GFRP is introduced and its asymptotic structure is explored in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

Here, we present some known results which are used in the subsequent sections. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of real numbers and set of complex numbers, respectively. Let $x \wedge y = \min\{x, y\}$ and $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$.

2.1. Special Functions and Generalized Derivatives

(i) The generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E^{\alpha}_{\beta,\gamma}(z)$ is defined as (see Podlubny (1998))

$$E^{\alpha}_{\beta,\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!\Gamma(\gamma + \beta k)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad \beta, \gamma, \alpha, z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \mathrm{Re}(\beta) > 0, \mathrm{Re}(\gamma) > 0, \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0.$$

(ii) Let $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $(n + 1)$-times continuous differentiable function for $n < \tau < n + 1$. Then, the Riemann-Liouville (RL) fractional derivative of order $\tau > 0$ is defined as (see Podlubny (1998))

$${}_aD^{\tau}_t f(t) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{n+1} \int_a^t (t - u)^{n-\tau} f(u) du.$$

2.2. Lévy Subordinator

A Lévy subordinator denoted by $\{S_\psi(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a non-decreasing Lévy process with Laplace transform (see (David, 2004, Section 1.3.2))

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-uS_\psi(t)}\right) = e^{-t\psi(u)}, \quad u \geq 0,$$

where $\psi(u)$ is Laplace exponent given by (see (Schilling et al., 2009, Theorem 3.2))

$$\psi(u) = \eta u + \int_0^{\infty} (1 - e^{-ux})\nu(dx), \quad \eta \geq 0.$$

Here η is the drift coefficient and ν is a non-negative Lévy measure on the positive half-line satisfying

$$\int_0^{\infty} \min\{x, 1\}\nu(dx) < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu([0, \infty)) = \infty,$$

so that $\{S_\psi(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ has strictly increasing sample paths almost surely (a.s.) (for more details see (Ken-Iti, 1999, Theorem 21.3)).

2.3. Inverse Subordinator

Let $\{S_\psi(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a stochastically continuous Lévy subordinator with independent and stationary increments. Then, the inverse subordinator denoted by $\{\mathcal{W}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is defined as the first passage time of S_ψ, i.e.

$$\mathcal{W}(t) = \inf\{r \geq 0 : S_\psi(r) > t\}.$$

The process $\{\mathcal{W}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is non-markovian with non-stationary and non-independent increments which satisfies

$$\Pr\{\mathcal{W}(t) > r\} \leq \Pr\{S_\psi(t) \leq t\}.$$

2.3.1. Inverse β-Stable Subordinator

For $\beta \in (0,1)$, let $\{S_\beta(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be β-stable subordinator with Laplace transform given by $\mathbb{E}[e^{-uS_\beta(t)}] = e^{-tu^\beta},\ u > 0$. Then, the inverse β-stable subordinator $\{\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_\beta(t) = \inf\{r \geq 0 : S_\beta(r) > t\}.$$

The mean of the $\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)$ is given by (see Leonenko et al. (2014))

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] = \frac{t^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}.$$

Let $B(\beta,\beta+1)$ and $B(\beta,\beta+1;s/t)$ be the beta function and the incomplete beta function, respectively. It is known that for $0 < s \leq t$, the covariance of $\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)$ is expressed as (see (Leonenko et al., 2014, formula (10)))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s),\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)}\left(\beta s^{2\beta}B(\beta,\beta+1) + \beta t^{2\beta}B(\beta,\beta+1;s/t) - (ts)^\beta\right),\\ &\sim \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)}\left(\beta s^{2\beta}B(\beta,\beta+1) - \frac{\beta^2 s^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)t^{1-\beta}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for a fixed s and large t.

2.4. Dependence Structure

For $0 < s < t$, a stochastic process $\{X(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is said to have LRD property if

$$\mathrm{Corr}[X(s),X(t)] \sim c(s)t^{-d} \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

where the constant $c(s)$ is depending on s and $d \in (0,1)$. For $d \in (1,2)$, the process exhibits SRD property.

2.5. Generalized Fractional Counting Process

For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, let $\{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a generalized fractional counting process (GFCP) which performs k kinds of jump of amplitude $1,2,\ldots,k$ with positive rates $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k$, respectively for a fixed integer $k \geq 1$. Its pmf $p_n^\beta(t) = \Pr\{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t) = n\}$ is given by (see Di Crescenzo et al. (2016) and (Kataria and Khandakar, 2022a, formula (2)))

$$p_n^\beta(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_n=r\\ j_1+2j_2+\cdots+kj_k=n}} \frac{r!\lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2}\cdots\lambda_k^{j_k}}{j_1!j_2!\cdots j_k!} t^{\beta r} E^{r+1}_{\beta,\beta r+1}(-\Delta t^\beta),\quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\Delta = \lambda_1+\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_k$ and $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k$ are non-negative integers.
The governing fractional differential equation of order β is

$$\frac{d^\beta}{dt^\beta}p_n^\beta(t) = -\Delta p_n^\beta(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n\wedge k}\lambda_j p_{n-j}^\beta(t),\quad n \geq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\frac{d^\beta}{dt^\beta}$ denotes the RL fractional differential operator.
The mean and variance of the GFCP respectively are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)] = St^\beta,\quad \mathrm{Var}[\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)] = Rt^{2\beta} + Tt^\beta, \tag{2.3}$$

where $S = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k j\lambda_j}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}$, $R = \left(\frac{2}{\Gamma(2\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^k j\lambda_j\right)^2$ and $T = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k j^2\lambda_j}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}$.

Let $\mathcal{N}(t)$ be a generalized counting process. Then, the GFCP can be viewed as a time-changed variant of the generalized counting process (GCP) of the form

$$\mathcal{N}^\beta(t) := \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)).$$

It may be seen that, when $\beta = 1$, GFCP reduces to GCP (see Kataria and Khandakar (2022a) and Kataria and Khandakar (2022b)).

For $0 < s \leq t$, the covariance function of the GFCP is given as (see (Kataria and Khandakar, 2022a, formula (24)))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s), \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)] &= T s^{\beta} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s), \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t)] \\ &\sim T s^{\beta} + S^2\left(\beta s^{2\beta} B(\beta, \beta+1) - \frac{\beta^2 s^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)t^{1-\beta}}\right), \text{ as } t \to \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

3. Compound Generalized Fractional Counting Process

For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, let $\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a GFCP with positive rates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$. We define the compound generalized fractional counting process (CGFCP) of the form

$$C^{\beta}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where X_j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with common cumulative distribution function (CDF) H_X and are independent of $\mathcal{N}^{\beta}$.

For $k = 1$, the CGFCP reduces to the compound fractional Poisson process as GFCP reduces to fractional Poisson process (see Scalas (2012)). By choosing $\lambda_j = \lambda$, the CGFCP can be viewed as compound representation of the fractional Poisson process of order k. For $\lambda_j = \lambda(1-\rho)\rho^{j-1}/(1-\rho^k)$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, the CGFCP leads to the compound Pólya-Aeppli process of order k (see Kataria and Khandakar (2022a)). It is also noted that for a limiting case $k \to \infty$ with some suitable assumptions, the CGFCP is same as the compound convoluted fractional Poisson process (for more details see Kataria and Khandakar (2021b)).

Next, we present the state probabilities of the CGFCP.
Let $p_j = \Pr\{X_1 = j\}$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $p_n(i) = \Pr\{X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_i = n\}$. Then, the pmf of the CGFCP is given by

$$q_n^{\beta}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_n(i) p_i^{\beta}(t), \quad \text{with} \quad q_0^{\beta}(t) = p_0^{\beta}(t). \tag{3.1}$$

Now, we derive the governing fractional differential equation.

Proposition 3.1. The state probabilities in (3.1) satisfies the following fractional differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} q_n^{\beta}(t) = -\Delta q_n^{\beta}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{i\wedge k} \lambda_j \sum_{l=1}^{n} r_l q_{n-l}^{\beta}(t).$$

Proof. With the help of (2.2) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} q_n^{\beta}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_n(i) \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} p_i^{\beta}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_n(i)\left(-\Delta p_i^{\beta}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{i\wedge k} \lambda_j p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t)\right) \\ &= -\Delta q_n^{\beta}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_n(i) \sum_{j=1}^{i\wedge k} \lambda_j p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t) = -\Delta q_n^{\beta}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{i\wedge k} \lambda_j \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_n(i) p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t)\right] \\ &= -\Delta q_n^{\beta}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{i\wedge k} \lambda_j \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} r_{n-l}(i-j) r_l\right) p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t)\right] \\ &= -\Delta q_n^{\beta}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{i\wedge k} \lambda_j \left[\sum_{l=1}^{n} r_l \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{n-l}(i-j) p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $r_k(0) = r_0(k) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, $r_n(k) = 0$ for $n < k$, and $r_0(0) = 1$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=1}^{n} r_l \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{n-l}(i-j)p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t) &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} r_l \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{n-l}(i-j)p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t) + r_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_0(i-j)p_{i-j}^{\beta}(t) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} r_l \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} r_{n-l}(i)p_i^{\beta}(t) + r_n p_0^{\beta}(t) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} r_l q_{n-l}^{\beta}(t) + r_n q_0^{\beta}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} r_l q_{n-l}^{\beta}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Using this in (3.2), we get the required differential equation. □

The mean and variance of the CGFCP are derived as

$$\mathbb{E}[C^{\beta}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)]\mathbb{E}[X_1] = S\,t^{\beta}\mathbb{E}[X_1].$$

With the help of (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}[C^{\beta}(t)] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)]\mathrm{Var}[X_1] + \mathrm{Var}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)](\mathbb{E}[X_1])^2 \\ &= S\,t^{\beta}\mathrm{Var}[X_1] + (Rt^{2\beta} + Tt^{\beta})(\mathbb{E}[X_1])^2 \\ &= S\,t^{\beta}\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] - S\,t^{\beta}(\mathbb{E}[X_1])^2 + (Rt^{2\beta} + Tt^{\beta})(\mathbb{E}[X_1])^2 \\ &= S\,t^{\beta}\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] + (Rt^{2\beta} + (T-S)t^{\beta})(\mathbb{E}[X_1])^2.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. It is observed that $\mathrm{Var}[C^{\beta}(t)] - \mathbb{E}[C^{\beta}(t)] > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, since $E(X_1^2) - E(X_1) \geq 0$ as $\Pr\{X_1 \geq 1\} = 1$. Hence, the CGFCP is over-dispersed.

In the next proposition, we discuss a particular case of the CGFCP.

Proposition 3.2. Let $X_j,\ j = 1, 2\ldots$ be sequence of i.i.d. random variables with pmf $\Pr\{X_1 = j\} = \beta_j,\ j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Then, the probability generating function (pgf) $\mathcal{G}^{\beta}(u,t)$ of the process $Q(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}^{\beta}(u,t) = E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(t^{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\beta_j^{*i}(u^j - 1)\right),$$

where $\beta_j^{*i} = \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_i=j \\ l_i\in\mathbb{N}_0}} \beta_{l_1}\beta_{l_2}\cdots\beta_{l_i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots k$.

Proof. The pgf of the GFCP is given by (Kataria and Khandakar, 2022a, formula (14))

$$\mathbb{E}[u^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)}] = E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i(u^i - 1)t^{\beta}\right),\quad |u| \leq 1. \tag{3.3}$$

We define $G_{X_1}^{*k}(u) = \mathbb{E}[u^{\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j}]$. Then, from (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}^{\beta}(u,t) = \mathbb{E}[u^{Q(t)}] &= E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i\left((G_{X_1}(u))^i - 1\right)t^{\beta}\right) \\ &= E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(t^{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i\prod_{j=1}^{k}G_{X_j}(u) - \Delta t^{\beta}\right) \\ &= E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(t^{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i G_{X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_k}(u) - \Delta t^{\beta}\right) \\ &= E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(t^{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Pr\{X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_k = j\}u^j - \Delta t^{\beta}\right) \\ &= E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(t^{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\beta_j^{*i}u^j - \Delta t^{\beta}\right) = E_{\beta,1}^{1}\left(t^{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\beta_j^{*i}(u^j - 1)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proposition follows. □

4. Generalized Fractional Risk Process

In this section, we present a generalized fractional risk model such that the claim count process is observed to be a GFCP. We call it generalized fractional risk process (GFRP). This model generalizes the fractional risk process based on the fractional Poisson process and provides a more general and a flexible framework. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we consider a risk process $R^{\beta}(t)$ defined as

$$R^{\beta}(t) = \nu + \eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\nu > 0$ is the initial capital, $X_j,\ j \geq 1$ are i.i.d. random claim size independent of $\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)$ with $\mathbb{E}[X_j] = \eta > 0$. The parameter $\rho \geq 0$ is the safety loading parameter which is essential for the GFRP for satisfying the net profit condition.

In the next result, we show that the generalized fractional risk model in (4.1) satisfies the martingale conditions depending on the safety loading parameter ρ. First, we proceed with the following Lemma from Kataria and Khandakar (2021b).

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathcal{N}(t)$ be a generalized counting process with positive rates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$. Then, the process $\left\{\mathcal{N}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i t\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale with respect to a natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\mathcal{N}(s),\ s \leq t)$.

Theorem 4.1. The generalized fractional risk process in (4.1) is a martingale (submartingale, and supermartingale) for $\rho = 0$ ($\rho > 0,$ and $\rho < 0$), respectively with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\left(\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s),\ s \leq t\right) \vee \sigma\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s),\ s \geq 0\right)$.

Proof. For $0 < s \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[R^{\beta}(t) - R^{\beta}(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j - \left(\eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)} X_j\right)\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\right) - \sum_{j=\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)+1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j \middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\right)\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)+1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j \middle|\ \mathcal{F}_t\right]\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\right)\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\eta\left(\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)\right)\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\eta(1+\rho)\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\right) - \eta\left(\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)\right)\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
&= -\eta\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t)\right) - \left(\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\right)\middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] + \eta\rho\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\ \middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
&= \eta\rho\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s)\ \middle|\ \mathcal{F}_s\right].
\end{aligned}$$

It is essential to observe that the claim count process and the payment process $W_{\beta}(t)$ in the model (4.1) follow the same time scale. The net and equivalence principle (see Thomas (2009)) is consistent if we time-change the classical risk model by the inverse β-stable subordinator considering Lemma 4.1 as $\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_j - \eta\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t)\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale. Hence, the last step in the proof follows. □

4.1. Variants of the Risk Process in (4.1)

Recently, risk models based on the non-homogeneous FPP and the non-homogeneous mixed fractional Poisson process have been considered in the literature (see Kataria and Khandakar (2021a) and Kumar et al. (2020)). We here consider a variant of the GFRP defined as

$$\hat{R}^{\beta}(t) = \nu + \eta(1+\rho)\Lambda(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}_{\Lambda}(t)} X_j, \tag{4.2}$$

where Λ is a right continuous non-decreasing function with $\Lambda(0) = 0, \Lambda(\infty) = \infty$, and $\Lambda(t) - \Lambda(t-) \leq 1$.

Remark 4.1. When $\Lambda(t) = t\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i$, the risk process $\hat{R}^{\beta}(t)$ leads to GFRP.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(t)$ be a non-homogeneous version of the generalized counting process with positive rates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$. Then, the process $\left\{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i\Lambda(t)\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale with respect to a natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(s),\ s \leq t)$.

Proof. Since the non-homogeneous version of the GCP has the independent increment property, the lemma follows. □

Theorem 4.2. The risk process in (4.2) is a martingale (submartingale, and supermartingale) for $\rho = 0$ ($\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$), respectively with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\left(\mathcal{N}^{\beta}_{\Lambda}(s),\ s \leq t\right) \vee \sigma\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s),\ s \geq 0\right)$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows on the same line as proof of Theorem 4.1 with the help of Lemma 4.2. □

Similarly, we may provide several other variants of the risk process by considering the expectation of the inverse β-stable subordinator and $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t))]$.

4.2. Dependence Structure of the GFRP in (4.1)

In this section, we study dependence structure of the GFRP.

Theorem 4.3. For $0 < s \leq t$, the covariance of the GFRP is given by

$$\mathrm{Cov}[R^{\beta}(t), R^{\beta}(s)] = \eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(s), \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(t)] + S\, s^{\beta}\mathrm{Var}[X_i] + T s^{\beta}\eta^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Let $I\{\cdot\}$ denotes the indicator function. For a counting phenomena, we have $\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \leq \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)$ for $s \leq t$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)} X_j, \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)} X_i\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_j X_i\ I\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t) \geq i, \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \geq j\}\right] - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j^2\ I\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \geq j\}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i\neq j}\sum X_j X_i\ I\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t) \geq i, \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \geq j\}\right] \\
&\quad - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X_j^2]\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Pr\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \geq j\} + (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\Pr\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t) \geq i, \mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \geq j\} \\
&\quad - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Pr\{\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s) \geq j\} - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X_i^2]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)] + (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)] \\
&\quad - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)] - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(t)]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^{\beta}(s)].
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cov}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(s)} X_j, \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i\right] &= \mathrm{Var}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^\beta(s)] + (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{N}^\beta(s), \mathcal{N}^\beta(t)] \\ &= S\, s^\beta \mathrm{Var}[X_i] + (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2\left(T s^\beta + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cov}\left[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s)\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i\right] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s)]\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s)\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i \,\middle|\, \mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{N}^\beta(t)\right]\right] - \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s)W_\beta(t)]\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j - \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)]\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)]\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathrm{Cov}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(s)} X_i, \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)\right] = \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)]\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j. \tag{4.6}$$

When $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \eta$, with the help of (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) the covariance of the GFRP is derived as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t), R^\beta(s)] &= \eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 (1+\rho)^2\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] - \eta\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\mathrm{Cov}\left[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i\right] \\ &\quad + \eta\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\mathrm{Cov}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(s)} X_i, \mathcal{W}_\beta(t),\right] + \mathrm{Cov}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(s)} X_j, \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} X_i\right] \\ &= \eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] + S\, s^\beta\mathrm{Var}[X_i] + T s^\beta\eta^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.2. When $s = t$, (4.3) yields the covariance of GFRP of the following form

$$\mathrm{Var}[R^\beta(t)] = \eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2\mathrm{Var}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] + S\, t^\beta\mathrm{Var}[X_i] + T t^\beta\eta^2.$$

Proposition 4.1. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, the GFRP $R^\beta(t)$ exhibits the LRD property.

Proof. By observing the asymptotic behaviour of the covariance and the variance of the inverse β-stable subordinator $\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)$ studied in (Kumar et al., 2020, Section 2.2(v)), we obtain the correlation function of the GFRP as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Corr}[R^\beta(t), R^\beta(s)] &= \frac{\mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t), R^\beta(s)]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[R^\beta(s)]}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[R^\beta(t)]}} \\ &\sim t^{-\beta}\frac{\eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2\rho^2 B(1+\beta,\beta)s^{2\beta} + S\, s^\beta\mathrm{Var}[X_i] + T s^\beta\eta^2}{\eta\rho\sqrt{d(\beta)}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[R^\beta(s)]}\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d(\beta) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(1+2\beta)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(1+\beta)}$ and $B(1+\beta,\beta)$ is the beta function. Since $\beta \in (0,1)$. By LRD definition, the GFRP has the LRD property. □

Next, we introduce the generalized fractional noise risk process and discuss its short-range dependence (SRD) property.

4.3. Generalized Fractional Noise Risk Process

For $\varepsilon > 0$, the generalized fractional noise risk process (GFNRP) is the increment $H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)$ defined as

$$H_\varepsilon^\beta(t) = R^\beta(t+\varepsilon) - R^\beta(t).$$

Theorem 4.4. For $\beta \in (0,1)$, the GFNRP $H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)$ exhibits the SRD property.

Proof. For $0 < s + \varepsilon \le t$, from (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(s), H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)] &= \mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t+\varepsilon), R^\beta(s+\varepsilon)] + \mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t), R^\beta(s)] \\
&\quad - \mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t+\varepsilon), R^\beta(s)] - \mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t), R^\beta(s+\varepsilon)] \\
&= \eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \{\mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] - \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s+\varepsilon), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] \\
&\quad - \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t+\varepsilon)] + \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(s+\varepsilon), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t+\varepsilon)]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with the help of (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(s), H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)] &\sim \eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta^2}{\beta+1}\right) \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{(s+\varepsilon)^{\beta+1}}{t^{1-\beta}} + \frac{s^{\beta+1}}{(t+\varepsilon)^{1-\beta}} - \frac{s^{\beta+1}}{t^{1-\beta}} - \frac{(s+\varepsilon)^{\beta+1}}{(t+\varepsilon)^{1-\beta}}\right] \\
&\sim \eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta^2}{\beta+1}\right) \varepsilon(1-\beta)\left((s+\varepsilon)^{\beta+1} - s^{\beta+1}\right) t^{\beta-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Var}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)] &= \mathrm{Var}[R^\beta(t+\varepsilon)] + \mathrm{Var}[R^\beta(t)] - 2\mathrm{Cov}[R^\beta(t), R^\beta(t+\varepsilon)] \\
&= \eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \mathrm{Var}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(t+\varepsilon)] + 2S(t+\varepsilon)^\beta \mathrm{Var}[X_i] + 2T(t+\varepsilon)^\beta \eta^2 \\
&\quad + \eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \mathrm{Var}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(t)] + S t^\beta \mathrm{Var}[X_i] + T t^\beta \eta^2 \\
&\quad - \eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \mathrm{Cov}[\mathcal{W}_\beta(t+\varepsilon), \mathcal{W}_\beta(t)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $B(\beta,\beta+1;t/(t+\varepsilon)) \sim B(\beta,\beta+1)$ for large t and let $d(\beta) = \left(\frac{2}{\Gamma(2\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(1+\beta)}\right)$. Then, with the help of (2.1) and

formula (11) in Leonenko et al. (2014), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Var}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)] &\sim \eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 d(\beta)(t^{2\beta}+(t+\varepsilon)^{2\beta}) - S\,(t^\beta-(t+\varepsilon)^\beta)\mathrm{Var}[X_i] + T(t^\beta-(t+\varepsilon)^\beta)\eta^2 \\
&\quad -\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \frac{2\eta^2\rho^2}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)}\left(\beta t^{2\beta}B(\beta,\beta+1) - \beta(t+\varepsilon)^{2\beta}B\left(\beta,\beta+1;\frac{t}{t+\varepsilon}\right) + (t(t+\varepsilon))^\beta\right) \\
&\sim \eta^2\varepsilon\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2\left(\frac{2}{\Gamma(2\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(1+\beta)}\right)t^{2\beta} + (T\eta^2 - S\,\mathrm{Var}[X_i])\varepsilon t^{\beta-1} \\
&\quad -\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \frac{2\eta^2\rho^2}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)}\left(\beta t^{2\beta}B(\beta,\beta+1) - \beta(t+\varepsilon)^{2\beta}B\,(\beta,\beta+1) + (t(t+\varepsilon))^\beta\right) \\
&\sim (T\eta^2 - S\,\mathrm{Var}[X_i])t^{\beta-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the correlation function of the GFNRP takes the following form

$$\mathrm{Corr}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(t), H_\varepsilon^\beta(s)] = \frac{\mathrm{Cov}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(t), H_\varepsilon^\beta(s)]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(t)]}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(s)]}} \sim B(s)t^{-(3-\beta)/2}, \quad \text{as } t\to\infty,$$

where

$$B(s) = \frac{\eta^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} j\lambda_j\right)^2 \rho^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta+1)}\left(\frac{\beta^2}{\beta+1}\right)\varepsilon(1-\beta)\left((s+\varepsilon)^{\beta+1} - s^{\beta+1}\right)}{\sqrt{(T\eta^2 - S\,\mathrm{Var}[X_i])\;\mathrm{Var}[H_\varepsilon^\beta(s)]}}.$$

It is clear that $\beta\in(0,1) \Rightarrow (3-\beta)/2 \in (1,3/2)$ that proves the SRD property. □

5. An Alternative to Generalized Fractional Risk process

In this section, we give an alternative to the GFRP. Let $\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)$ be the GFCP with stability index $\beta\in(0,1)$. Then, we define the risk process

$$\underline{R}^\beta(t) = \nu + \eta t - \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} Y_j, \quad t\geq 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where Y_j, $j=1,2,\ldots$ are i.i.d. random variables with mean $\mu>0$ and finite variance and are independent of $\mathcal{N}^\beta(t) = \mathcal{N}^\beta(S_\beta(t))$, and $\eta>0$ is the constant premium rate. We abbreviate it as AGFRP. The dependence structure of the AGFRP is similar to the GFRP.

Let $\Psi(u,t)$ be the probability of ruin in finite time defined as

$$\Psi(u,t) = \Pr\{\underline{R}^\beta(s) < 0 \text{ for some } s\leq t\}.$$

Next, we discuss the ruin probabilities of the risk process with light-tailed and heavy-tailed claim sizes under the assumptions of the model in (5.1).

5.1. Ruin Probability with Light-Tailed Claim Sizes

With the aim of providing asymptotic results for the ruin probability $\Psi(u,t)$, we first recall the CGFCP defined as

$$C^\beta(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} Y_j, \quad t\geq 0,$$

where $Y_j,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. random variables with common moment generating function (MGF) H_Y and are independent of $\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)$. Hence, the mgf of the CGFCP is derived as

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi C^\beta(t)}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\xi \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} Y_j} \,\middle|\, \mathcal{N}^\beta(t)\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(H_Y(\xi))^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} \,\middle|\, \mathcal{N}^\beta(t)\right]\right].$$

With the help of formula (14) in Kataria and Khandakar (2021b), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi C^\beta(t)}] = E^1_{\beta,1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \left((H_Y(\xi))^i - 1\right) t^\beta\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Next, in the following proposition, we present asymptotic structure of the ruin probability in finite time.

Proposition 5.1. Under the assumption of the risk model in (5.1) when the size of succesive claims Y_j's are light-tailed, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Psi(u,t) \le e^{-u\xi_0(t,\beta,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k)}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\xi_0(t,\beta,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k)$ is a unique real number with $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof is motivated by proof of Proposition 6 in Biard and Saussereau (2014). Using Chebyshev inequality and with the help of (5.2), we get

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} Y_j > u\right\} = \Pr\left\{e^{\xi C^\beta(t)} > e^{\xi u}\right\} \le e^{-\xi u} E^1_{\beta,1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \left((H_Y(\xi))^i - 1\right) t^\beta\right). \tag{5.4}$$

Since for $|y| < 1$, we have (see (Biard and Saussereau, 2014, Formula (22)))

$$E^1_{\beta,1}(y) \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(y_0)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^k \le \frac{1}{(1-y)\Gamma(y_0)}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\Gamma(y_0) \simeq 0.8856$ to achieve minimum of the Mittag-Leffler function (for more details see Proposition 6 in Biard and Saussereau (2014)).
We also define a unique positive real number ξ_0 such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i (H_Y(\xi_0))^i = 1 + \frac{2\Gamma(y_0) - 1}{2t^\beta \Gamma(y_0)}.$$

Therefore, from (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}^\beta(t)} Y_j > u\right\} \le \frac{e^{-\xi_0 u}}{\Gamma(y_0)\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \left((H_Y(\xi_0))^i - 1\right) t^\beta\right)} \le e^{-u\xi_0}.$$

Hence, the inequality (5.3) is established. □

5.2. Ruin Probability with Heavy-Tailed Claim Sizes

Let $Y_j,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots$ be subexponentially distributed i.i.d. random varaibles with distribution function $G_Y(t) = \Pr\{Y_j \le t\}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \left(1 - G_Y^{*2}(t)\right) / \left(1 - G_Y(t)\right) = 2,$$

where G_Y^{*2} denotes the two-fold convolution of G_Y.

Proposition 5.2. Under the assumption of the risk model in (5.1) if the sizes of successive claims Y_i's are subexponentially distributed with survival function $\bar{G}_Y = 1 - G_Y$, then the ruin probability satisfies

$$\Psi(u,t) \sim \frac{t^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\lambda_i \bar{G}_Y(u), \text{ as } u \to \infty.$$

Proof. For the proof one can refer to the Proposition 4 of Biard and Saussereau (2014). □

References

L. Beghin and C. Macci. Fractional discrete processes: compound and mixed poisson representations. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 51(1):19–36, 2014.

L. Beghin and E. Orsingher. Fractional poisson processes and related planar random motions. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 2009.

L. Beghin, C. Macci, et al. Alternative forms of compound fractional poisson processes. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2012. Hindawi, 2012.

R. Biard and B. Saussereau. Fractional poisson process: long-range dependence and applications in ruin theory. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 51 (3):727–740, 2014.

A. David. Levy processes and stochastic calculus. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2004.

A. Di Crescenzo and A. Meoli. Competing risks and shock models governed by a generalized bivariate poisson process. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 60(2):709–722, 2023.

A. Di Crescenzo, B. Martinucci, and A. Meoli. A fractional counting process and its connection with the poisson process. *ALEA. Latin American Journal of Probability & Mathematical Statistics*, 13, 2016.

P. Doukhan, G. Oppenheim, and M. Taqqu. *Theory and applications of long-range dependence*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2002.

N. Gupta and A. Kumar. Fractional poisson processes of order k and beyond. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 36(4):2165–2191, 2023.

K. Kataria and M. Khandakar. Mixed fractional risk process. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 504(1):125379, 2021a.

K. K. Kataria and M. Khandakar. Convoluted fractional poisson process. *ALEA. Latin American Journal of Probability & Mathematical Statistics*, 18, 2021b.

K. K. Kataria and M. Khandakar. Generalized fractional counting process. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 35(4):2784–2805, 2022a.

K. K. Kataria and M. Khandakar. Skellam and time-changed variants of the generalized fractional counting process. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 25(5):1873–1907, 2022b.

S. Ken-Iti. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68. Cambridge university press, 1999.

M. Khandakar and K. Kataria. On a time-changed variant of the generalized counting process. *Journal of Applied Probability*, pages 1–23, 2023.

A. Kumar, N. Leonenko, and A. Pichler. Fractional risk process in insurance. *Mathematics and Financial Economics*, 14:43–65, 2020.

N. Laskin. Some applications of the fractional poisson probability distribution. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 50(11), 2009.

N. N. Leonenko, M. M. Meerschaert, R. L. Schilling, and A. Sikorskii. Correlation structure of time-changed lévy processes. *Communications in Applied and Industrial Mathematics*, 6(1):e–483, 2014.

A. Maheshwari and P. Vellaisamy. On the long-range dependence of fractional poisson and negative binomial processes. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 53(4):989–1000, 2016.

M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, and P. Vellaisamy. The fractional poisson process and the inverse stable subordinator. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 2011.

M. M. Meerschaert and H.-P. Scheffler. Limit theorems for continuous-time random walks with infinite mean waiting times. *Journal of applied probability*, 41(3):623–638, 2004.

E. Orsingher and F. Polito. The space-fractional poisson process. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 82(4):852–858, 2012.

I. Podlubny. *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*. elsevier, 1998.

E. Scalas. A class of ctrws: compound fractional poisson processes. In *Fractional dynamics: recent advances*, pages 353–374. World Scientific, 2012.

R. L. Schilling, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček. *Bernstein functions: theory and applications*. Walter de Gruyter, 2009.

A. S. Sengar and N. S. Upadhye. Subordinated compound poisson processes of order k. *Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications*, 7(4): 395–413, 2020.

R. Soni, A. K. Pathak, A. Di Crescenzo, and A. Meoli. Bivariate tempered space-fractional poisson process and shock models. *To appear in Journal of Applied Probability*, 2024.

M. Thomas. Non-life insurance mathematics: an introduction with the poisson process, 2009.