

Supporting information

1. Model derivation

This section gives more information on the derivation of the analytical model shown in the text.

The model is based on a combination of the Poisson equation, the Nernst-Planck equation, and the Donnan equilibrium boundary condition, and is similar to the model described by Cervera et al. [1].

a. Simplifying the Poisson and Nernst-Planck equations

The Poisson and Nernst-Planck (PNP) equations can be written as follows:


- Poisson equation: $\nabla^2\phi = \frac{F}{\varepsilon RT}(c_- - c_+)$
- Nernst-Planck equation (steady state): $J_i = -D_i(\nabla c_i + z_i c_i \nabla \phi)$ with $\nabla J_i = 0$

Here, ϕ is the electric potential, F is the Faraday constant, ε is the dielectric constant of the medium, R is the gas constant, T is the temperature, c_i is the ion concentration, D_i is the ion diffusion constant, and J_i is the ionic flux. For the potassium and chloride ions, the following (infinite dilution) diffusion coefficients are used: $D_{K^+} = 1.95 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 / \text{s}$ and

$$D_{Cl^-} = 2.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 / \text{s}.$$

To model a cylindrical nanopore, these equations can be greatly simplified by first converting to cylindrical coordinates, and then averaging over discs of thickness dx and radius r_p , which is the nanopore radius, like shown in the figure. The x -axis is chosen along the axis of the nanopore.

The following averaging operator can be used for this purpose: $\langle X \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{r_p} \int_0^{r_p} X dr$.



After these simplifications, the model can be reduced to a 1D model, yielding the following equations:

- Poisson equation: $\frac{d^2 \langle \phi \rangle}{dx^2} = \frac{F}{\varepsilon RT} (\langle c_- \rangle - \langle c_+ \rangle - X)$ with $X = \frac{2\sigma}{Fr_p}$
- Nernst-Planck equation: $\langle J_i \rangle = -D_i \left(\frac{d \langle c_i \rangle}{dx} + z_i \langle c_i \rangle \frac{d \langle \phi \rangle}{dx} \right)$ with $\frac{d \langle J_i \rangle}{dx} = 0$

Here, σ is the nanopore surface charge, and X is the average surface charge in each disc. On both sides of the nanopores, there will be an equilibrium between the concentration inside the nanopore and outside the nanopore, which can be described using the Donnan equilibrium, and which will be characterized by a Donnan potential drop [2]. Using these Donnan boundary conditions on both sides of the nanopore, together with a charge neutrality requirement, the following boundary conditions can be obtained for both sides of the pore:

- Concentration: $\langle c_i \rangle = 1/2 \left(-z_i X + \sqrt{X^2 + 4c_0^2} \right)$
- Potential: $\langle \phi \rangle = \phi_j - \sum z_i^{-1} \ln \frac{\langle c_i \rangle}{c_0}$ with $j = x_0, x_L$

Here, c_0 is the bulk ionic concentration, and ϕ_j the applied potential on both sides of the nanopore. The simplified equations, together with these boundary conditions, can be solved analytically, and yield a constant concentration profile, equal to the boundary condition describe above, and a potential distribution that drops linearly between the boundary conditions over a length L , which is the nanopore length (or membrane thickness). Combining these solutions with the averaged Nernst-Planck equation will provide the ionic fluxes, from which the ionic conductance can easily be deduced:

$$G = \frac{I}{V_{bias}} = -2\pi r_p^2 \left(\sum_i z_i c_i D_i \right) \frac{1}{L} \frac{F^2}{RT}$$

2. Model fitting

This model was used to fit the experimental data. Since the experimental data consists of a range of conductivities as a function of concentration, there are 3 fitting parameters, the pore radius r_p , the pore length (or membrane effective thickness) L and the surface charge σ . However, multiple solutions can be found, and either the pore radius or the pore length has to be chosen. To solve this problem, a number of fittings were conducted on unmodified nanopores of sizes around 100 nm fabricated in the same way, and the pore radius r_p was determined using SEM. All fittings showed a similar result for the pore length, with an average value of 154 nm. For simplicity, this value of 154 nm was used as the initial parameter for all further model fittings, leaving only the pore radius and surface charge as fitting parameters.

[1] J. Cervera, B. Schiedt, R. Neumann, S. Mafé, P. Ramírez, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2006**, *124*, 104706.

[2] G. Stell, C. Joslin, *Biophysical Journal* **1986**, *50*, 855.