Supplementary Information

(Dated: December 12, 2014)

I. MATERIAL AND METHODS: NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Time-stepping: Simulations were run using Euler time-discretization schemes over total times $T = 10^4$ or larger (up to $T = 10^9$).

Non-interacting particles: At each time step dt, particles update their direction of motion θ_i , then their position $\mathbf{r_i}$. For ABPs, $\dot{\theta}_i = \sqrt{2D_r}\xi(t)$ where $\xi(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise of unit variance. For RTPs, the time Δt before the next tumble is chosen using an exponential distribution $P(\Delta t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda \Delta t}$. When this time is reached, a new direction is chosen uniformly in [0,2π] and the next tumble time is drawn from the same distribution. This neglects the possibility to have two tumbles during dt. Both types of particles then move according to the Langevin equation $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = v \mathbf{e}_{\theta_i} - \nabla V + \sqrt{2D_t}\eta(t)$ where $\eta(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise of unit variance.

Hard-core repulsion: To model hard-core repulsion we use a WCA potential $V(r) = (1)^{12}$ $4\left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)$ $\left[\frac{\sigma}{r}\right]^6$ + 1 if $r < 2^{1/6}\sigma$ and 0 otherwise. The unit of length is chosen such that the interaction radius $2^{1/6}\sigma = 1$. Because of the stiff repulsion, one needs to use much smaller time steps $(dt = 5.10^{-5}$ for the speeds considered in the paper).

Quorum sensing $v(\bar{\rho})$: The velocities of the particles depend on the local density $\bar{\rho}$. The unit of length is fixed such that the radius of interaction is 1. To compute the local density, we use the Schwartz bell curve $K(r) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-\frac{1}{1-r})$ $\frac{1}{1-r^2}$) for $r < 1$ and 0 otherwise, where Z is a normalization constant. The average density around particle i is then given by $\bar{\rho}_i = \sum_j K(|\mathbf{r_i} - \mathbf{r_j}|)$ and the velocity of particle i is $v(\bar{\rho}_i) = v_0(1 - \bar{\rho}_i/\rho_m) + v_1$.

Asymmetric wall experiment: The simulation box is separated in two parts by an asymmetric wall which has a different stiffness λ_1 and λ_2 on both sides. At each time step, the total force $\mathcal F$ exerted on the wall by the particles is computed and the wall position is updated according to $\dot{x}_{\text{wall}} = \mu_{\text{wall}} \mathcal{F}$, where $\mu_{\text{wall}} = 2.10^{-4} \ll \mu_t$ is the wall mobility.

SI movie 1: Asymmetric wall experiment with non-interacting ABP particles. The particles are spherical (no torque) for $t < 1000$ and $t > 3000$ and ellipses with $\kappa = 1$ for $1000 < t < 3000$. Wall potentials are harmonic and other parameters are $v = 10$, $D_r = 1$, $\lambda = 10$ (external box) and for the asymmetric mobile wall $\lambda = 1$ on the left and $\lambda = 4$ on the right. The movie can be found at [this address.](http://www.msc.univ-paris-diderot.fr/~solon/SI_pressure/SI-movie1.avi)

II. EQUILIBRIUM PRESSURE

Here, for completeness, we show that in equilibrium 1) the thermodynamics pressure equals the mechanical pressure given by Eq. (3) of the main text, and 2) that it is independent from the wall potential. For simplicity we consider a system of interacting point-like particles in one-dimension where the pressure is a force and we work in the canonical ensemble. The extension to other cases is trivial.

The thermodynamic pressure is defined as

$$
P = -\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial L} \right|_N \,, \tag{1}
$$

where L is the system length, F is the free energy, and the number of particles N is kept constant. Note that since F is extensive, any contribution from the potential of the wall is finite and will therefore not influence the pressure. Next, the free energy is given by

$$
F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \mathcal{Z} \,,\tag{2}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta[(\mathcal{H} + \sum_{i} V(x_i - L)]}, \qquad (3)
$$

is the partition function, $\beta = 1/T$ with T the temperature, and the sum runs over all micro-states. The origin of the wall is chosen at $x = L$, as opposed to $x = x_w$ in the main text. The energy function of the system is given by $\mathcal{H} + \sum_i V(x_i - L)$, where $V(x_i - L)$ is the wall potential, x_i is the position of particle i, and H contains all the other interactions in the system. Using the definition of P we have

$$
P = -\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n} \sum_{i} \partial_{L} V(x_{i} - L) e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H} + V(x_{i} - L))} = -\left\langle \int dx \rho(x) \partial_{L} V(x - L) \right\rangle , \tag{4}
$$

where the angular brackets denote a thermal average, and $\rho(x) = \sum_i \delta(x - x_i)$ is the number density. Exchanging ∂_L for $-\partial_x$, we obtain the expression from the main text

$$
P = \left\langle \int dx \rho(x) \partial_x V(x - L) \right\rangle . \tag{5}
$$

III. PRESSURE FOR AN ELLIPSE IN A HARMONIC POTENTIAL

In what follows we first compute the torque applied on an ellipse in a harmonic potential. We then derive an approximate expression for the pressure, Eq. (8) of the main text, which is valid as long as the density distribution $P(r, \theta)$ equals its bulk value as soon as the wall potential vanishes $(\text{at } x = x_w).$

A. Torque on an ellipse

We consider an ellipse of uniform density and long and short axes of lengths a and b respectively. We define two sets of axes: 1) (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) are the real space coordinates with the wall parallel to the y axis, and 2) (\hat{x}_p, \hat{y}_p) are the coordinates associated with the ellipse so that x_p is parallel to its long axis. The angle between the two sets of coordinates is θ , which is also the direction of motion of the particle (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). For simplicity, we assume that the particle is moving along its long principal axis.

Since the wall is perpendicular to the \hat{x} axis, the force acting on an area element of the ellipse is given by $F_w(x_0 + x) = -\partial_x V(x_0 + x)$, where x_0 is the position of the center of mass of the ellipse and x the relative coordinate of the area element within the ellipse, both along the \hat{x} direction.

The torque applied by the force at a point \vec{r} is then given by

$$
\gamma = \vec{r} \times F_w(x_0 + x)\hat{x} \tag{6}
$$

$$
= \begin{pmatrix} x_p \\ y_p \end{pmatrix} \times F_w(x_0 + x_p \cos \theta - y_p \sin \theta) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7}
$$

Figure 1. An illustration of the axes (\hat{x}, \hat{x}) and (\hat{x}_p, \hat{x}_p) , and the angle θ .

Next, we integrate over the ellipse, taking its mass density to be uniform $\rho(x_p, y_p) = m/(\pi ab)$. Rescaling the axes as $x'_p = x_p/a$ and $y'_p = y_p/b$ to transform the ellipse into a unit circle, and switching from (x'_p, y'_p) to polar coordinates (r, φ) , yields

$$
\Gamma = \frac{m}{\pi ab} \int dx_p dy_p \gamma
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{m}{\pi} \int dx'_p \int dy'_p F_w(x_0 + ax'_p \cos \theta - by'_p \sin \theta) \begin{pmatrix} ax'_p \\ by'_p \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= m \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{\pi} \int_0^1 dr r F_w(x_0 + ar \cos \varphi \cos \theta - br \sin \varphi \sin \theta) \begin{pmatrix} ar \cos \varphi \\ br \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(8)

For a harmonic wall potential $F_w(x) = -\lambda x$, the integral can be computed, and we get

$$
\Gamma = \frac{m\lambda}{8}(a^2 - b^2)\sin(2\theta) \equiv \lambda \kappa \sin(2\theta) , \qquad (9)
$$

which has the expected symmetries: it vanishes for a sphere $(a = b)$, and for particles moving along or perpendicular to the x-axis. Note that the torque is constant, independent of the position of the particle as long as the whole ellipse is within the range of the wall potential. In the main text we assume that this is always the case, which means that the ellipse is very small when compared to the typical decay length of $\rho(x)$ due to V. In the simulations, we thus simulated point-like ABPs with external torques $\Gamma = \pm \lambda \kappa \sin 2\theta$ for left and right walls. For real systems, the collision details would clearly be different, hence giving different quantitative predictions for the pressure P, but the qualitative results would be the same. We set $m = 1$ for ease of notation and define the asymetry coeficient $\kappa = (a^2 - b^2)/8$ as in the main text.

B. Approximate expression for the pressure

We now turn to the derivation of the approximate expression (8) in the main text for the pressure. In particular we focus on the case of ABP $(\alpha = 0)$ ellipses confined by a harmonic wall potential and for simplicity neglect the translational diffusion $D_t = 0$. In that case the contribution of the torque to the pressure reads

$$
C = \frac{\bar{\lambda}v}{\mu_t} \int_0^{+\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \sin(\theta) \sin(2\theta) \mathcal{P}(x, \theta) , \qquad (10)
$$

where we have used expression [\(9\)](#page-2-1) for Γ and defined $\bar{\lambda} = \mu_r \kappa \lambda / D_r$.

We will now expand the pressure P as a power series in $\overline{\lambda}$. If we make the approximation $P(x_w, \theta) = \rho_0/(2\pi)$, so that the steady-state distribution relaxes to its bulk value as soon as the system is outside the range of the wall potential, we can resum the series to obtain Eq. (8) .

We first expand the probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(x, \theta)$ in powers of $\overline{\lambda}$

$$
\mathcal{P}(x,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}^k \mathcal{P}_k(x,\theta) , \qquad (11)
$$

so that the pressure is given by

$$
P = \frac{v^2}{2\mu_t D_r} \rho_0 - C = \frac{v^2}{2\mu_t D_r} \rho_0 - \frac{v}{\mu_t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \bar{\lambda}^{k+1} ,
$$
 (12)

where

$$
C_k = \int_{x_w}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \sin \theta \sin(2\theta) \mathcal{P}_k(x, \theta) . \tag{13}
$$

1. Computation of the coefficients C_k

 C_0 is known since $\mathcal{P}_0 = \rho_0/2\pi$. Assuming that at $x = x_w$ the system has reached its bulk distribution $\mathcal{P}(x_w, \theta) = \rho_0/(2\pi)$, so that $\mathcal{P}_{k\geq 1}(x_w) = 0$, we will be able to relate \mathcal{P}_k to \mathcal{P}_{k-1} and then compute iteratively the C_k 's.

In steady-state, the master equation gives for $x > x_w$, order by order in $\overline{\lambda}$:

$$
0 = -\partial_x (v \cos \theta \mathcal{P}_k - \frac{\mu_t D_r}{\kappa \mu_r} (x - x_w) \mathcal{P}_{k-1}) + D_r \partial_\theta^2 \mathcal{P}_k - D_r \partial_\theta (\sin(2\theta) \mathcal{P}_{k-1}), \quad k \ge 1 \tag{14}
$$

$$
0 = -\partial_x (v \cos \theta \mathcal{P}_0) + D_r \partial_\theta^2 \mathcal{P}_0 \,. \tag{15}
$$

Multiplying Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-0) by an arbitrary function $f(\theta)$ and integrating over θ and x, one gets

$$
\int_{x_w}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta f'' \mathcal{P}_k = -\int_{x_w}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta f' \sin(2\theta) \mathcal{P}_{k-1}, \quad k \ge 1
$$
\n(16)

$$
\int_{x_w}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta f'' \mathcal{P}_0 = -\frac{1}{D_r} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta v \cos\theta f \mathcal{P}_0(x_w, \theta) = -\frac{v\rho_0}{2\pi D_r} \int d\theta \cos\theta f. \tag{17}
$$

For conciseness, we define the operators T and T^*

$$
T(f) = \sin(2\theta) \int d\theta f \quad , \qquad T^*(f) = \cos\theta \int d\theta \int d\theta f \quad , \tag{18}
$$

where the integral signs refer to indefinite integrals, to rewrite Eqs. $(16-17)$ $(16-17)$ as

$$
\int_{x_w}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta g(\theta) \mathcal{P}_k = -\int_0^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta T(g(\theta)) \mathcal{P}_{k-1}, \quad k \ge 1
$$
\n(19)

$$
\int_{x_w}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta g(\theta) \mathcal{P}_0 = -\frac{1}{D_r} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta v T^*(g(\theta)) \mathcal{P}_0(x_w, \theta) = -\frac{v\rho_0}{2\pi D_r} \int d\theta T^*(g(\theta)) ,\qquad (20)
$$

where $g = f''$. The C_k 's then reduce to the explicit integrals

$$
C_k = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{v\rho_0}{2\pi D_r} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta T^* T^{k+1}(\cos\theta) , \qquad (21)
$$

where we use $\sin \theta \sin(2\theta) = T(\cos \theta)$ so that $T^k(\sin \theta \sin(2\theta)) = T^{k+1}(\cos \theta)$.

Let us now compute the C_k 's. By inspection, one sees that $T^k(\cos\theta)$ is of the form

$$
T^{k}(\cos \theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_i^{k} \cos((2i+1)\theta) , \qquad (22)
$$

where the coefficients α_i^k obey the recursion

$$
\alpha_0^0 = 1, \qquad \alpha_{j>0}^0 = 0 \tag{23}
$$

$$
\alpha_0^{k+1} = \frac{\alpha_0^k}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1^k}{6} \tag{24}
$$

$$
\alpha_i^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{i+1}^k}{2i+3} - \frac{\alpha_{i-1}^k}{2i-1} \right) , \qquad (25)
$$

$$
\alpha_k^{k+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_{k-1}^k}{2k-1} \,,\tag{26}
$$

$$
\alpha_{k+1}^{k+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_k^k}{2k+1} \,,\tag{27}
$$

which solution is

$$
\alpha_j^k = \frac{(-1)^j}{k+1} \frac{(2j+1)}{(k+j+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^j (k+1-i) \,. \tag{28}
$$

After the application of T^* in Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-3), the only term that contributes to C_k in $T^k(\cos\theta)$ is $\alpha_0^k = \frac{1}{(k+1)!}$, because $\int d\theta \cos \theta \cos[(2i+1)\theta] = 0$ for $i > 0$. One thus finally gets

$$
C_k = (-1)^k \frac{v\rho_0}{2\pi D_r} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \alpha_0^{k+1} \cos^2(\theta) = (-1)^k \frac{v\rho_0}{2D_r(k+2)!} \,. \tag{29}
$$

2. Approximate expression for the pressure

The series [\(12\)](#page-3-4) can now be resummed to yield

$$
P = \frac{v^2}{2\mu_t D_r} \rho_0 \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{k+1}}{(k+2)!} \right) = P_I \frac{1 - e^{-\bar{\lambda}}}{\bar{\lambda}}, \qquad (30)
$$

where P_I is the ideal gas pressure. As expected, the pressure tends to P_I as $\bar{\lambda} \to 0$.

As can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 1 in the main text, the approximation that the wall does not affect the probability density for $x \leq x_w$ is not satisfied when $\overline{\lambda}$ is large. However, even in this case, the analytic formula Eq. [\(30\)](#page-4-0) compares surprisingly well with simulation data.

IV. NON–BOLTZMANN DISTRIBUTION

While the analytical computation of the full distribution for RTPs and ABPs in two dimensions is beyond the scope of this paper, here we show explicitly that the steady–state density is not a Boltzmann distribution for 1D RTPs. The master equation for the probability densities of right and left-movers $(\mathcal{P}_+(x,t)$ and $\mathcal{P}_-(x,t)$ is given by (see Ref. (30) of the main text)

$$
\partial_t \mathcal{P}_+ = -\partial_x \left(v - \mu_t \partial_x V \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\mathcal{P}_+ - \mathcal{P}_- \right) ,
$$

$$
\partial_t \mathcal{P}_- = -\partial_x \left(-v - \mu_t \partial_x V \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\mathcal{P}_- - \mathcal{P}_+ \right) .
$$
 (31)

The equation for the steady-state density then reads

$$
\partial_x \left[\left(v^2 - \mu_t^2 (\partial_x V)^2 \right) \rho \right] + \alpha \mu_t (\partial_x V) \rho = 0 \,. \tag{32}
$$

First, rescale the potential so that the equation reduces to

$$
\partial_x \left[\left(1 - (\partial_x \tilde{V})^2 \right) \rho \right] + g(\partial_x \tilde{V}) \rho = 0 , \qquad (33)
$$

with $g = \alpha/v$ and $\tilde{V} = V \mu_t/v$. The steady state distribution is then given by

$$
\rho(x) = \rho_0 e^{-Q} \tag{34}
$$

and

$$
Q = \ln[1 - (\partial_x \tilde{V}(x))^2] + \int_0^x dx' \frac{g \partial_{x'} \tilde{V}(x')}{1 - (\partial_{x'} \tilde{V}(x'))^2}.
$$
 (35)

The probability distribution is non-local inside the wall and not given by a Boltzmann distribution. (Note that particles are confined within the region $[0, x^*]$ where $(\partial_x \tilde{V})^2 < 1$ and $\rho(x) = 0$ outside.)

Despite the absence of a Boltzmann distribution, the pressure is well defined (as for the 2D case considered in the text). To see this explicitly in one dimension consider the expression for the pressure

$$
P = \frac{v}{\mu_t} \int_0^{x^*} \partial_x V(x) \rho(x) , \qquad (36)
$$

with $\partial_x V(x^*) = 1$. Then using the explicit expression of the steady-state distribution, P can be written as

$$
P = -\rho_0 \frac{v}{g\mu_t} \int_0^{x^*} dx \partial_x e^{-g \int_0^x dx' \frac{\partial_{x'} V(x')}{1 - (\partial_{x'} V(x'))^2}}, \qquad (37)
$$

so that

$$
P = -\rho_0 \frac{v}{g\mu_t} \left(e^{-g \int_0^{x^*} dx' \frac{\partial_{x'} V(x')}{1 - (\partial_{x'} V(x'))^2}} - 1 \right) . \tag{38}
$$

Now, since at the upper bound of the integral within the exponential the integrand diverges we have

$$
P = \rho_0 \frac{v}{g\mu_t} = \rho_0 \frac{v^2}{\alpha \mu_t} \,. \tag{39}
$$

V. ANISOTROPIC PRESSURE

We consider spherical particles whose speeds depend on their direction of motion θ . As discussed in the main text, such situations could arise, for example, when the motion takes place on a corrugated surface. For simplicity, we consider only run–and–tumble particles $(D_r = 0)$. The case of active Brownian particles can be treated following the same argument.

In steady–state, the master equation yields

$$
0 = -\partial_x \left[\left(v(\theta) \cos \theta - \mu_t \partial_x V - D_t \partial_x \right) \mathcal{P}(\theta, \mathbf{x}) \right] - \alpha \mathcal{P} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int d\theta' \mathcal{P}(\theta', \mathbf{x}) . \tag{40}
$$

We want to restrict ourselves to cases where the bulk currents along any direction vanish (the system is therefore uniform in the bulk), which we achieve by assuming that $v(\theta + \pi) = v(\theta)$. Following the same steps that lead to Eq. (7) in the main text, we get in steady state

$$
0 = -\partial_x(\tilde{m}_1 - \mu_t \rho \partial_x V - D_t \partial_x \rho) , \qquad (41)
$$

$$
0 = -\partial_x \left[\int_0^{2\pi} v(\theta)^2 \cos^2(\theta) \mathcal{P} d\theta - \mu_t \partial_x V \tilde{m}_1 - D_t \partial_x \tilde{m}_1 \right] - \alpha \tilde{m}_1 , \qquad (42)
$$

where we have defined $\tilde{m}_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} v(\theta) \cos(\theta) \mathcal{P} d\theta$ (which differs from m_1 in the main text because it includes the speed).

From these two equations, we can express the mechanical pressure as a function of the bulk density and $v(\theta)$, as

$$
P = \int_0^x \rho(x)\partial_x V dx = \frac{1}{\mu_t} \int_0^x (\tilde{m}_1 - D_t \partial_x \rho) = \left(\frac{D_t}{\mu_t} + \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta v^2(\theta) \cos^2(\theta)}{2\pi \alpha \mu_t}\right) \rho_0 ,\qquad (43)
$$

which is Eq. (10) of the main text.