

Alpha Virginis: line-profile variations and orbital elements

David Harrington^{1,2,3}, Gloria Koenigsberger⁴, Enrique Olguín⁷, Ilya Ilyin⁵, Svetlana V. Berdyugina^{1,2}, Bruno Lara⁷, and Edmundo Moreno⁶

¹ Kiepenheuer-Institut für Sonnenphysik, Schöneckstr. 6, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

² Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI, 96822, USA

³ Applied Research Labs, University of Hawaii, 2800 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI, 96822, USA

⁴ Instituto de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ave. Universidad S/N, Cuernavaca, Morelos, 62210, México

⁵ Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, 14482 Potsdam, Germany

⁶ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 70-264, México, D.F. 04510, México

⁷ Centro de Investigación en Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Cuernavaca, 62210, Mexico

Submitted April, 2015

US spellings, but inconsistencies:

GREYSCALE" 3 times to change to "GRAYSCALE".

ABSTRACT

(Not "Type-B"?)

Context: Alpha Virginis (Spica) is a B-type binary system whose proximity and brightness allow detailed investigations of the internal structure and evolution of stars undergoing time-variable tidal interactions. Previous studies have led to the conclusion that the internal structure of Spica's primary star may be more centrally condensed than predicted by theoretical models of single stars, raising the possibility that the interactions could lead to effects that are currently neglected in structure and evolution calculations. The key parameters in confirming this result are the values of the orbital eccentricity e , the apsidal period U , and the primary star's radius, R_1 .

DELETE \mathcal{A}
 PARAGRAPH \mathcal{P}
 CHANGE TO LOWER CASE $/ \& \& \&$
 CHANGE TO UPPER CASE $\equiv \& \text{cap}$
 CHANGE WORD ORDER \curvearrowright
 ADD BETWEEN \backslash
 ADD TO THE END OF A WORD \downarrow
 ADD TO THE BEGINNING \downarrow
 CLOSE UP SPACING \circ
 A SPACE $\#$

I do see "usefull" in the Acknowledgements.

The notes to Table 3 has a "the the" typo. I cannot search for any of the Article number, page 1 of 20 other common ones. The Table 1 title needs the second word to be lower case, as throughout the paper for headings.

Page 4. What is meant by the slash in "blue/red"? OR? AND? I doubt it's a ratio. Check the first use of any slashed pair like it that the "or" relationship is clear, otherwise use the word there, so that later uses will be understood precisely.

The captions to Figs. 4, 8, & 11 begin with "The", so remove it in all cases.

Aims: The aim of this paper is to analyze the impact that Spica's line profile variability has on the derivation of its orbital elements and to explore the use of the variability for constraining R_1 .

Methods: We use high signal-to-noise and high spectral resolution observations obtained in 2000, 2008, and 2013 and derive the orbital elements from fits to the radial velocity curves. We produce



synthetic line profiles using an *ab initio* tidal interaction model.

Results: The global variations in the line profiles can be understood in terms of the tidal flows, whose large-scale structure is relatively fixed in the rotating binary system reference frame. Fits to the radial velocity curves yield $e=0.108\pm 0.014$. However, the analogous RV curves from theoretical line profiles indicate that the distortion in the lines causes the fitted value of e to depend on



the argument of periastron, i.e., on the epoch of observation. As a result, the actual value of e may be as high as 0.125. We find $U=117.9\pm 1.8$ in agreement with previous determinations. Using the value $R_1 = 6.8R_\odot$ derived by Palate et al. (2013) the value of the observational internal structure constant $k_{2,obs}$ is consistent with theory. We confirm the presence of variability in the line profiles of the secondary star.

Key words. stars: individual (α Vir) — stars: binaries: fundamental parameters — stars: binaries: tides — stars: spectral variations

The hyphen is used for the adjective use of this compound noun, not for the noun uses. Please correct this in several places later in the paper.

Remove the colon after "yields" before Eq. 1. There is no colon after verbs, prepositions, and "as", since the equation that follows is a grammatical part of the sentence. The colon is appropriate after Eq. 2, however.

1. Introduction

A&A prefers the serial comma, as do ApJ and other publications.

A fundamental question in stellar astrophysics is whether the internal structure and the evolution of binary stars is the same as in single stars of equivalent mass, chemical composition, and rotation. Binary stars provide the only means of directly determining stellar mass, and their masses are generally adopted as representative of single stars. Reasons exist, however, to question whether the generally assumed equivalence between single and binary stars is a valid assumption. For example, tidal shear energy dissipation in asynchronous binaries may lead to systematic differences in the internal temperature structure (Kopal 1968; Press et al. 1975). The differential velocity structure produced by the tidal interactions could lead to internal mixing rates, significantly different from those derived from the simple velocity structure generally assumed for single stars (Koenigsberger & Moreno 2013). It is in the context of these issues that the importance of the α Virginis (*Spica*) binary system cannot be overstated.



α Virginis (*Spica*, HD 116658) consists of two B-type stars in a short-period (~ 4 d) eccentric orbit, and it is one of the closest, brightest, and most extensively studied of the known double-lined spectroscopic binaries. It was resolved interferometrically by Herbison-Evans et al. (1971), hereafter HE71, and their results, combined with results obtained from radial velocity curves, yielded the orbital elements and stellar parameters that are listed in Table 1. Aufdenberg et al. (2007) focused on the value of the period of rotation of the line of apsides (U), a parameter that is related to the internal mass distribution, and confirmed the earlier findings that the observational internal structure constant ($k_{2,obs}$) is too small compared with what would be expected from theory (Mathis & Odell 1973; Odell 1974; Claret & Giménez 1993; Claret & Willems 2002; Claret 2003).