

KIC011764567: An evolved *Kepler* star with cyclic flare activity

M. Kitze^{1,3,*}, A. A. Akopian², V. Hambaryan¹, G. Torres⁴, and R. Neuhäuser¹

¹ Astrophysikalisches Institut und Universitäts-Sternwarte, Universität Jena, Schillergässchen 2-3, 07745 Jena, Germany

² Byurakan Astrophysical Observatory, Byurakan, Armenia

³ Universität Rostock, Institut für Physik, D-18051 Rostock, Germany

⁴ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Received 30 May 2005, accepted 11 Nov 2005

Published online later

Key words superflare: frequency, cyclic variability, sun-like stars

We intensively studied the flare activity on the stellar object KIC011764567. The star was thought to be solar type, with a temperature of $T \approx (5640 \pm 200)$ K, $\log g = (4.3 \pm 0.3)$ dex and a rotational period of $P_{\text{rot}} \approx 22$ d (Brown et al. 2011). High resolution spectra turn the target to an evolved object with $T_{\text{eff}} = (5300 \pm 150)$ K, a metallicity of $[\text{m}/\text{H}] = (-0.5 \pm 0.2)$, a surface gravity of $\log g = (3.3 \pm 0.4)$ dex, and a projected rotational velocity of $v \sin i = (22 \pm 1) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Within an observing time span of 4 years we detected 150 flares in *Kepler* data in an energy range of $10^{36} - 10^{37}$ erg. From a dynamical Lomb-Scargle periodogram we have evidence for differential rotation as well as for stellar spot evolution and migration. We expect some of the detected flares to be released energy phenomena from active regions. From analysing the occurrence times of the flares we found hints for a periodic flare frequency cycle of 450–460 d, the significance increases with an increasing threshold of the flares equivalent duration. One possibility, also proposed by others in similar cases, is that the larger flares may be caused by external phenomena, such as interaction with an unseen companion. Our high resolution spectra show that KIC011764567 is not a short period binary star.

© 2006 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1 Introduction

Flares are sudden and abrupt brightenings of stars (Schaefer 2012). Though their relative amplitudes are much smaller than that of observed flares on late type M-dwarfs, the total amount of energy released in flares on solar type stars can exceed $10^{33} - 10^{35}$ erg. A first list of solar like flare stars was presented by Schaefer (1989). Four dozen flares have been reported in Schaefer (1989, 1991); Schaefer, King & Deliyannis (2000). According to Schaefer (2012), flares can occur on single, middle-aged and slowly rotating main-sequence stars of spectral type F8 to G8 (“solar type stars”). The similarity of stellar flares to solar flares suggests that stellar flares can arise from magnetic effects. For the explanation of flare phenomena the model of “hot Jupiter” was involved (Schaefer (2012) and references therein). In this model the author suggests the existence of a strong magnetic field that connects the star to an orbiting planet.

The first flare detection lists are collections of heterogeneous data that does not allow for proper statistical analysis and conclusions. The situation has radically changed after *Kepler* observations. Designed to detect transiting exoplanets, the observatory *Kepler* is also the ideal tool for the study of variable stars and the diverse phenomena of variability. Further the continuously sampled *Kepler* observations improve the chance to simultaneously detect flares, and the transiting giant planet, which might be responsible for those

flares. This gives the principal possibility to directly check the hypothesis of the “hot Jupiter” model.

Considering the data obtained by the observatory *Kepler*, Maehara et al. (2012) have registered 365 flares on 148 stars, including some from slowly rotating solar-type stars, from about 83,000 stars observed over 120 days. The non detection of transiting planets in solar-type flare stars led to the assumption, that “hot Jupiters” around solar-type flare stars are rare. Later, Shibayama et al. (2013) confirmed this result using the observational data for 500 d.

A more convincing argument against the “hot Jupiter” model would be to deny a connection between a hypothetical close companion and the flare activity of the host star from investigating the flares occurrence times. In case of a close companion, either in an eccentric or a circular orbit, one might expect a variable flare activity, either due to a preferred orbital phase or a preferred line-of-sight.

From exploring a small sub-sample of stars that most frequently flared within the list of Maehara et al. (2012), Akopian (2013) has found a statistically significant change of the flare frequency for the star KIC007264976. However, the data presented in Maehara et al. (2012) did not allow to reveal cyclic variability, due to the relatively small number of flares. Later, using the data of Shibayama et al. (2013), Akopian (2015) has found statistically significant changes in the frequency of flares for five stars. Mostly the moments of change of frequency are accompanied by sudden changes in the behaviour of the stellar brightness. Then the bright-

* Corresponding author: e-mail: manfred.kitze@uni-jena.de

ness of a star becomes irregular for a short time, with a significant decrease in the amplitude of brightness. Availability of possible periodicity of the flares frequency with a period equal to the period of axial rotation of the star or the orbital motion of a companion was also examined. It was shown, that the flare frequency of the star KIC007264976 has a period of 134 d, which coincides with the possible period of orbital motion (Akopian 2015; Wichmann et al. 2014). A period of 5.2 d equal to the rotational period was revealed in case of the star KIC010422252 (Akopian 2015). In this study we present results concerning to the star KIC011764567. Principal difference is that in case of this star we have not any well-founded information about its binary nature and orbital parameters (see below, section 3). For analysis, we involve more complete *Kepler* data available to date, which cover the whole period of observations.

Additionally to the search for a periodic flare cycle and the characterization of the flares, we investigated if the star is really solar type, since the star with its large amount of detected flares has a high potential to counterfeit the flare frequency statistics and the flare energy distribution of intrinsic activity of isolated single solar type stars.

Our paper is organized in the following way: In Sec. 2 we present methods concerning the investigation of the flares occurrence times. In Sec. 3 we present the spectral analysis from data of the F. L. Whipple Observatory on Mount Hopkins, Arizona (USA). We will further present results concerning the investigation of the flare activity in Sec. 4 and finally have some discussion and conclusions in Sec. 5 and 6.

2 Description of the methods

Based on the foregoing, one can expect a strictly periodic variability of the frequency due to the orbit of a planet or stellar companion around the primary star. Thus, two methods were used, in one of which a periodic function with unknown parameters was used.

Method 1:

The function $\lambda(t) = \lambda \cdot \exp[\kappa \sin(\omega_0 t + \vartheta)] / I_0(\kappa)$, depending on the values of the parameters, provides a wide variety of periodic functions from a pure sinusoid to periodic delta function. Let us suppose that the time sequence of flares is a Poisson process. This assumption is widely used in studies of different variety of flare events (Ambartsumian 1969; Aschwanden 2011).

It is known (Daley, Vere-Jones 2003; Kutoyants 1980) that for a Poisson process with the cyclic intensity function

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda \cdot \exp[\kappa \sin(\omega_0 t + \vartheta)] / I_0(\kappa) \quad (1)$$

where $(\kappa \geq 0, \omega_0 > 0, 0 \leq \vartheta < 2\pi, \lambda > 0)$ and

$$I_0(\kappa) = \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(\kappa \sin u) du \quad (2)$$

is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of zero order, the likelihood of the realization t_1, \dots, t_N (in this task - moments of flares) on the interval $(0, T)$ equals:

$$\exp(-\lambda T / 2\pi) \cdot [\lambda / I_0(\kappa)]^N \cdot \exp[\kappa \sum_{i=1}^N \sin(\omega_0 t_i + \vartheta)] \quad (3)$$

Consequently, N is a sufficient statistic for λ , and, when the frequency ω_0 is given

$$(N, \sum_{i=1}^N \sin \omega_0 t_i, \sum_{i=1}^N \cos \omega_0 t_i) \equiv (N, S, C) \quad (4)$$

are jointly sufficient statistics for the parameters $(\lambda, \kappa, \vartheta)$. The maximum likelihood estimates $(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\kappa}, \hat{\vartheta})$ being determined by

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{2\pi N}{T} \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{\vartheta} = \arctan \frac{C}{S} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} \log I_0(\kappa) |_{\kappa=\hat{\kappa}} = \sqrt{S^2 + C^2} / N \quad (7)$$

Maximum likelihood estimate for ω_0 can be obtained by numerically solving the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cos(\omega_0 t_i + \vartheta) |_{\omega_0=\hat{\omega}_0} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Final estimates for all of the parameters can be obtained by solving the system of equations (5-8) by the method of successive approximations.

Method 2:

To identify a periodic signal and to specify the frequency more precisely, we performed also a more advanced analysis (e.g. Bayesian analysis of the search, estimate and testing hypothesis Gregory & Loredon 1992, hereafter referred to as the GL method) of the occurrence times of the flares. The GL method for timing analysis first tests if a constant, variable or periodic signal is present in a data set, consisting of the occurrence times of events, when we have no specific prior information about the shape of the signal.

In the GL method, periodic models are represented by a signal folded into a trial frequency with a light curve shape as a stepwise function with m phase bins per period plus a noise contribution. With such a model we are able to approximate a phase-folded light curve of any shape. Hypotheses for detecting periodic signals represent a class of stepwise, periodic models with the following parameters: trial period, phase, noise parameter, and number of bins (m). The most probable model parameters are then estimated by marginalization of the posterior probability over the prior specified range of each parameter. In Bayesian

Table 1 Data of KIC011764567 from different studies: [1] (Brown et al. 2011; Maehara et al. 2012), [2] (Pinsonneault et al. 2012), [3] (Wichmann et al. 2014).

reference	$T_{\text{eff}} [K]$	$Period [d]$	$\log(g)$
[1]	5238 ± 200	22.7	4.38 ± 0.40
[2]	5480 ± 66	—	—
	5586 ± 130	—	—
[3]	6100 ± 300	20.5	4.5 ± 0.5
	5640 ± 240	20.5	3.5 ± 0.5

statistics, posterior probability contains a term that penalizes complex models (unless there is no significant evidence to support that hypothesis), so we calculate the posterior probability by marginalizing over a range of models, corresponding to a prior range of number of phase bins, m , from 2 to 12.

After having strong support to the hypothesis for the presence of a periodic signal, the frequency and its uncertainty is estimated by using the posterior probabilities density function:

$$p(\omega|D, M_m) = \frac{C}{\omega} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{1}{W_m(\omega, \phi)}, \quad (9)$$

where $C = \left[\int_{\omega_{lo}}^{\omega_{hi}} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{1}{W_m(\omega, \phi)} \right]^{-1}$ and $W_m(\omega, \phi) = \frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!\dots n_m!}$ are the normalization constant and number of ways the binned distribution could have arisen “by chance”. $n_j(\omega, \phi)$ is the number of events falling into the j th of m phase bins given the frequency, ω , and phase, ϕ . N is the total number of events, in our case the occurrence times of flares (for details, see Gregory & Loredo 1992).

3 Spectral analysis

KIC011764567 is a presumable solar like slowly rotating main sequence star (Maehara et al. 2012). Data of different authors, given in Tab. 1, bare a wide spread of the parameters T_{eff} and $\log g$. Wichmann et al. (2014) have taken CAFE spectra of the star from Calar Alto. The non-detection of Li I $\lambda 6707$ indicates the star to be probably old. Further the measured $v \sin i$ of $(21 \pm 3) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is too large for a main sequence star of the given rotational periods. Wichmann et al. (2014) suggested that the star may be either evolved or be a binary.

In this work KIC011764567 was observed spectroscopically at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics with the Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Fűrész 2008) attached to the 1.5 m telescope at the F. L. Whipple Observatory on Mount Hopkins, Arizona (USA). 11 echelle spectra covering the wavelength range 3860–9100 Å in 51 orders were gathered between 2015 March and

Table 2 Heliocentric radial velocities of KIC011764567

HJD-2.400.000	RV	err
57113.9996	-2.58	0.72
57117.9682	-1.59	0.47
57119.9037	-2.56	0.93
57121.9672	-1.54	0.87
57139.9061	-2.66	0.61
57143.9689	-2.75	0.88
57146.9224	0.07	1.61
57152.9680	-3.73	1.17
57168.9497	-2.56	0.70
57174.8436	-2.50	0.89
57184.8794	-2.55	1.02

May at a resolving power of $R \approx 44\,000$. They were reduced and extracted using standard procedures as described by Buchhave et al. (2010). The signal-to-noise ratios average about 15 per resolution element of 6.8 km s^{-1} , at a mean wavelength of 5200 Å. Radial velocities were computed by cross-correlation against a synthetic template selected to match the stellar properties. The template parameters were optimized by running extensive grids of cross-correlations against a large library of calculated spectra based on model atmospheres by R. L. Kurucz (see Latham et al. 2002; Nordström et al. 1994). The best match was found for a temperature of $(5300 \pm 150) \text{ K}$, a metallicity of $[\text{m}/\text{H}] = (-0.5 \pm 0.2)$, a surface gravity of $\log g = (3.3 \pm 0.4) \text{ d}$, and a projected rotational velocity of $v \sin i = (22 \pm 1) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The resulting heliocentric radial velocities are reported in Tab. 2 along with their uncertainties, and are on the system defined by IAU standards. The measurements indicate no significant variation over the period of observation. The weighted average radial velocity is $(-2.26 \pm 0.23) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This appears to rule out the presence of a stellar companion of significant mass in orbits with periods under about 70 days.

The surface gravity corresponds to an evolved star. A typical absolute visual magnitude for a giant of this temperature implies a distance of the order of 3 kpc. Estimates of the reddening at this distance based on extinction maps yield a value of $E(B - V) \approx 0.10$. Color indices for KIC011764567 based on standard photometry were gathered from the literature, and corrected for reddening. Use of five indices and the color/temperature calibration of Ramírez & Meléndez (2005) results in an average temperature of $(5370 \pm 130) \text{ K}$, in agreement with the spectroscopic value.

4 Results

Analysing data of quarters 0, 1 and 2 of *Kepler* observations, Maehara et al. (2012) detected 11 flares on the star KIC011764567, that were interpreted as flares in the solar type context. We analysed data of the quarters 0 – 17 which




Fig. 1 PDC-SAP light curve of KIC011764567 for quarter 0 to quarter 17 without the flares. The light curve pattern shows a well defined periodic behaviour. This stellar variation is obviously dominated by stellar spot modulation.




Fig. 2 Lomb-Scargle Periodogram of the combined light curve of quarter 0 to quarter 17 for KIC011764567. There are 2 well separated major peaks, ranging from 19 to 22 d.

were retrieved from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST)¹ and found additional 139 flares. A wavelet based filter was applied to first detrend the PDC-SAP flux data for the present stellar variability (Fig. 1). When comparing the original data with the wavelet representation of the signal in only lower frequency pass bands, flares induce a strong signal in the residuals as the wavelet fit cannot reproduce the sharp and high frequent rising part. To test our method we randomly placed synthetic flare events of different shape and amplitude into 3000 simulated and real quarterly *Kepler* data segments and searched for them afterwards. We were able to detect $> 80\%$ of such short time events with amplitudes $> 0.05\%$ and $> 90\%$ with amplitudes $> 0.08\%$ for the brightness of KIC011764567 ($K_p = 13.2$ mag, Brown et al. 2011), which is in the order of the photometric errors. To distinguish between flares and other types of variability we fitted a mathematical ex-

Table 3 Estimated value of cyclic function parameters.

λ	0.103 d^{-1}
κ	0.366
ϑ	0.219
ω	0.014 d^{-1}
Period	$(442.9 \pm 10.0) \text{ d}$

pression (Kitze et al. 2014) - a simplification of the complex behaviour of a flare event to describe the sharp rising and the smoothed e-folding relaxation part in the optical wavelength range - to that time points, where the residuals exceed 3σ and further characterized the so identified “real” flares. In some particular cases the detected flares have a more complicated shape, so that we also introduced a double peak model. For the double peak flares, we used the highest amplitude peak as reference for the flares peak time. The end of a flare event was determined with F-Test statistics with a commonly used 95% confidence interval.

The PDC-SAP light curve of KIC011764567 contains a typical sign of stellar spot modulation (Fig. 1). In the Lomb-Scargle (LS) periodogram of the combined light curve of quarter 0 to quarter 17 there are well separated major peaks at around 22.31 d and a triple peak centred at 19.42 d (see Fig. 2). In a dynamical Lomb-Scargle representation with a time resolution of 20 d ($\approx 1 P_{rot}$) and an analysing window of 200 d ($\approx 10 P_{rot}$, see Fig. 3), we see that - dependent on the effective spot latitude - the detectable rotational period significantly changes during the 4 yr of observations. This is a hint for differential rotation. The prominent periods of 22.31 d and 19.42 d are relocated in Fig. 3 as grey shaded vertical bars at around 0.045 d^{-1} and 0.051 d^{-1} .

Fig. 3 additionally presents the location of the flares for different equivalent durations in the time domain. Regarding to these occurrence times, flares in a certain subsample can disappear over a large time span up to 200 d, compared to the averaged waiting time of ≈ 10 d (simply the observation time divided by the number of detected flares). It is interesting to see, that the large time gap of the smallest flares at around 800–1000 d is strongly connected to a low amplitude phase of the time signal. We further see, that the time gaps of the smallest and largest flares are systematically delayed in time. However not least because the presence of stellar spots we expect a part of the flares to be released energy phenomena from active regions.

We have folded the light curve and additionally the time series of the flares with lower and higher equivalent durations (Fig. 4) into the most dominant periods of the LS periodogram to check if the flares have a preferred occurrence phase during the rotation. We used a threshold of 30 s equivalent duration to fragment the flares in two equal sized groups. There is no preferred occurrence phase for flares of long and short equivalent durations.

The time distribution of the flares is given on Fig. 5, where the bin size is 10 days. The peak times of the flares t_1, \dots, t_N where chosen as the flare moments. Using the

¹ <http://archive.stsci.edu/kepler/>




Fig. 3 Dynamical Lomb-Scargle plot of the frequency vs. time. For a comparison the full light curve was projected to the diagram (solid line). The rotation frequency distribution is presented on a grey shaded scale (left), referred to the significance of the LS peak. Regarding to the power densities the observed effective frequency changes during the observing time span. This is dependent on the position and evolution of stellar spots. Additionally the occurrence times of flares of different equivalent durations are illustrated as horizontal lines in the middle. The smallest and largest flares show some larger time gaps, that are delayed in time.




Fig. 4 Phasefolded light curves for the most dominant peak frequencies regarding to Fig. 2. Additionally the folded occurrence times of the detected flares are presented for equivalent durations $<> 30$ s. There is no strong correlation between the flares and the rotational period.

methods described in Sec. 2, we found hints for a periodical change of the flare frequency. The parameters of the function of *method 1* are given in Tab. 3. For statistical reasons a periodic model describes the observations better than a constant rate. So is the natural log of maximum likelihood ratio of these hypotheses (periodical frequency / constant frequency) equal to 4.9 (*method 1*).

We applied the Gregory-Loredo (GL) Bayesian method (*method 2*) for periodicity detection in the frequency range of $0.0015\text{--}0.035\text{ day}^{-1}$ to the flare registered times of KIC011764567 and detected a period of $(432.11^{+29.01}_{-14.48})\text{ d}$. The frequency range was chosen to have at least 2 full periodic cycles within the observing time span on the one hand and not to break Nyquist's rule on the other hand. Note, that




Fig. 5 Occurrence times of flares vs. reciprocal of the intervals between successive events. Black ticks show occurrence times of flares with $ED > 30$ sec.




Fig. 6 Bayesian posterior probability density function of the trial periods by application of the GL method for periodicity detection for only a subsample of flares with $ED > 30$ s. The significance of the periodic signal has increased, compared to the period detection for all flares. However both results are consistent with each other.

the significance of the period detection increases when only analysing flares with larger equivalent durations. For flares with $ED > 30$ s we get a period of $(461.25^{+07.41}_{-17.10})$ d (Fig. 6) where the uncertainty range is reduced by a factor of 2 (for flares with $ED > 30$ s *method 1* gives the period value 455.2 ± 10.0 d). Both methods, applied for period detection give similar results. However the significance of the periodic signal is still too low for definite confirmation.

We checked also if there is any statistically significant period which coincide with the rotational period of the star. Both methods give negative results.

Supposing an equatorial rotation period of $P_{equ} \approx (19.4 \pm 1.5)$ d as indicated by the Lomb-Scargle analysis and a projected surface velocity of $v \sin i = (22 \pm 1)$ km s $^{-1}$ a lower limit for the radius can be estimated to $R_{min} = (8.45 \pm 1.04) R_{\odot}$. R_{min} and T_{eff} from spectral analysis were used to estimate the minimum bolometric flare lumi-




Fig. 7 Flare distribution in terms of frequency per erg per year. The dash dotted vertical line is connected to the 95% detection limit. The high energy part was fitted with a power law having one break at 10^{37} erg. The estimated power law indices of -3.35 ± 0.35 and -1.67 ± 0.06 significantly differ from solar flares (Schrijver et al. 2011)

nosities and energies. From uncertainty of R_{min} and T_{eff} we reach an uncertainty of 36% for L_{bol}^{min} and E_{bol}^{min} which is more than two times smaller than from data of the Kepler Input Catalogue (Brown et al. 2011). Owing to the similarity to solar flares, we assumed that the optical part of the flare energy distribution can be described by black body radiation of 10000 K (Kretzschmar 2011). We calculated bolometric flare energies and luminosities from distance modulus - using the values from Sec. 3 and the photometric data from 2MASS (Cutri et al. 2003; Skrutskie et al. 2006) (bolometric correction (BC) was done in K-Band, using BC coefficients from Bessell et al. (1998)) - and from the *Kepler* filter response² (Shibayama et al. 2013). Both results are in agreement within the error bars.

Fig. 7 illustrates the distribution of the flare frequency over the flare energy for all detected flares in terms per erg per year. The energy bin size is chosen to be equidistant on a logarithmic scale and the error bars correspond to the square root of each energy bin sample. The detection limit at around 5×10^{36} erg (vertical dash dotted line in Fig. 7) corresponds to a detection rate of 95%. The higher energy part was fitted with a power law having one break at around 10^{37} erg. The power law indices of (-3.35 ± 0.35) and (-1.67 ± 0.06) significantly differ from solar flares ($\alpha \approx -2.0$, Schrijver et al. 2011).

Finally we checked the astrometric signal during the flares to check if any background star, that is well separated from KIC011764567 but still inside the *Kepler* pixel mask of the target, might be responsible for the flares. Therefore we calculated the photometric barycenter for each time stamp using a simple centroid algorithm and corrected the signal for the unstable *Kepler* pointing (Kitze et al. 2014). The flares do not change the photometric barycenter significantly, so that there is no further link to a background star.

² <http://keplergo.arc.nasa.gov>

5 Discussion

Recently Aulanier et al. (2012) have discussed, that our present sun is probably not able to generate flares larger than $O(10^{33})$ erg. In this context it is controversial discussed, if the increase in the concentration of ^{14}C in tree rings from the years AD 774/775 were evoked by a large solar flare of 10^{35} erg (Miyake et al. 2012; Usoskin et al. 2013), though there is no historical record rendered during this time (Neuhäuser & Hambaryan 2014; Neuhäuser & Neuhäuser 2015).

Flares of 10^{33} erg and orders of magnitudes larger have been observed several times on presumable solar type stars. Currently known frequency statistics, based on these detections (Maehara et al. 2012; Shibayama et al. 2013) predict flares of 10^{35} erg on average every 5000 yr for a single sun-like star. Kitze et al. (2014) could show, that not all the detected flares in this energy range stem from the solar type stars. They showed, that KIC007133671 is probably not the source for the one detected flare which is instead generated by a different source within the Kepler Pixel mask of the target. KIC011764567 was a further, quite promising sun like flare star with presumed similar rotation, similar temperature and probably similar age as the sun. The presence of a periodic flare frequency indicates, that especially the largest detected flares stem from external phenomena, e.g. binary interaction.

The large $v \sin i$ of $(22 \pm 1) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and the low surface gravity of (3.3 ± 0.4) from our spectral analysis turn the object to an evolved star. Hence these flares cannot be used in further frequency statistics of intrinsically stellar activity of solar type stars.

“Hot Jupiters” around solar like stars have periods in the order of several days. Assuming an isotropic radiation for evolved objects of $R_{\min} = (8.45 \pm 1.04) R_{\odot}$ and similar spectral type, the orbital period of “Hot Jupiters” can increase to tens of days up to around hundred days following the Sudarsky classification (Sudarsky 2003). Orbital periods of < 70 d could be excluded from our spectral analysis, but only for stellar companions, not for sub-stellar companions. With the GL method we can cover a period range of $\approx 30 - 670$ d where we detected a most probable flare frequency cycle of $(461.25^{+07.41}_{-17.10})$ d. This is significantly longer (> 4 times) than expected for “Hot Jupiters” around this spectral type.

We want to emphasize, that it is an important task to study numerous more such solar like flare stars in detail, since new results would have a high impact to the low number statistics. These statistics are still based on only 6 investigated *Kepler* quarters and they do not take into account the age of the stars. Further Wichmann et al. (2014) have shown recently, that there is a wide spread in temperatures between the KIC (Brown et al. 2011) and from high resolution spectra, leading to an uncertainty in spectral types of several subclasses. However, KIC011764567 is still an interesting target to study the periodic behaviour of the flares

occurrence frequency. While no transiting planet was discovered around this star by *Kepler*, a non-transiting planet with 400 – 500 d period is still possible.

6 Summary

In this work the flare activity of KIC011764567 and its connection to the stellar rotation, spot activity and a hypothetical companion was studied intensively. We investigated the rotational period as a function of time. From the dynamical Lomb-Scargle diagram we have strong support for the existence of differential rotation and resulting spot migration as the rotation frequency changes significantly.

We detected 150 flaring events in our data. Comparing the flares occurrence times with the time signal of stellar spot modulation, we have evidence, that especially the smaller flares are connected to the spot activity, while no positive correlation was observed for the stronger flares.

We used the occurrence times of the 150 detected flares for further analysis to check, whether the time events have a proper poissonian distribution, vary their frequency with time or have a periodic background. From two independent methods, using a set of cyclic intensity functions (Daley, Vere-Jones 2003; Kutoyants 1980) and the GL method (Gregory & Loredano 1992), we have strong support, that the flares frequency changes with time. Especially the stronger flares with $ED > 30\text{s}$ increase the probability for the presence of a change in frequency. The most probable period was estimated to be in the range of 450 – 460 d, using maximum likelihood estimates (*method 1*) and posterior probabilities density function (*method 2*). However the odds ratio of the GL method is still too low to definitely confirm the periodic signal.

The significance of the periodic signal and the parameter space can be increased by using more time events and a longer observing time span, hence more observations have to be necessarily done for this target. Currently, using 79 flares with $ED > 30\text{s}$ we are not able to search for periods < 36 d, referred to Nyquist’s rule. If we still want to adhere to our hypothesis of a companion we have to treat the detected period as a sub-harmonic of the true period.

Acknowledgments

MK and RN would like to thank DFG in SPP 1385 project NE515/34-1,34-2 for financial support. VVH would like to thank DFG in SFB TR7 for financial support. MK would also thank Ronald Redmer and DFG in project RE 882/12-2 for financial support.

References

Akopian A.A., 2013, *Astrophysics*, 56, 488, translation from *Astrofizika* (in russian), 56, 537

- Akopian A.A., 2015, *Astrophysics*, 58, 62, translation from *Astrofizika* (in russian), 57, 75
- Ambartsumian V.A., *Stars, Nebulae, Galaxies*, 1969, Yerevan
- Aschwanden M., *Self-Organized Criticality in Astrophysics*, 2011, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg
- Aulanier, G., Janvier, M., & Schmieder, B. 2012, *A&A*, 543, 110
- Barry D., Hartigan J. A., 1993, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 421, 309
- Bessell, M. S., Castelli, F., & Plez, B. 1998, *AAP*, 333, 231
- Brown T. M. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 142, 112
- Buchhave, L. A., Bakos, G. Á., Hartman, J. D., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 720, 1118
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 2246, 0
- Daley D.J, Vere-Jones D., *An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes*, 2003, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg
- Fűrész, G. 2008, PhD thesis, Univ. Szeged, Hungary
- Gregory, P. C. & Lored, T. J. 1992, *ApJ*, 398, 146
- Jenkins J. M., Caldwell D. A., Chandrasekaran H., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 713, L120
- Kitze M., Hambaryan V.V., Neuhuser R., Ginski C., 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 3769
- Kretschmar M., 2011, *A&A*, 530, 84
- Kutoyants Y.A., *Estimation of Parameters of Stochastic Processes* (in russian), 1980, Armenian Academy of Science, Yerevan
- Latham, D. W., Stefanik, R. P., Torres, G., Davis, R. J., Mazeh, T., Carney, B. W., Laird, J. B., & Morse, J. A. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 1144
- Maehara H. et al., 2012, *Nature*, 485, 478
- Miyake, F., Nagaya, K., Masuda, K., & Nakamura, T. 2012, *Nature*, 486, 240
- Neuhäuser, R., & Hambaryan, V. V. 2014, *AN*, 335, 949
- Neuhäuser, R., & Neuhäuser, D. L. 2015, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 336, 225
- Nordström, B., Latham, D. W., Morse, J. A., Milone, A. A. E., Kurucz, R. L., Andersen, J., & Stefanik, R. P. 1994, *AAP*, 287, 338
- Pinsonneault, M. H., An, D., Molenda-Żakowicz, J., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 199, 30
- Ramírez, I., & Meléndez, J. 2005, *ApJ*, 626, 465
- Schaefer B.E., 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 927
- Schaefer B.E., 1991, *ApJ*, 366, L39L42
- Schaefer B.E., King J.R., Deliyannis C.P., *ApJ*, 529, 1026
- Schaefer B.E., 2012, *Nature*, 485, 456
- Shibayama, T., Maehara, H., Notsu, S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 209, 5
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 131, 1163
- Sudarsky, D. 2003, *Scientific Frontiers in Research on Extrasolar Planets*, 294, 499
- Usoskin, I. G., Kromer, B., Ludlow, F., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 552, LL3
- Wichmann, R., Fuhrmeister, B., Wolter, U., & Nagel, E. 2014, *A&A*, 567, AA36