

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS: TSFC: A STRUCTURE-PRESERVING FORM COMPILER\*

MIKLÓS HOMOLYA<sup>†</sup>, LAWRENCE MITCHELL<sup>‡</sup>, FABIO LUPORINI<sup>§</sup>, AND DAVID A.  
HAM<sup>¶</sup>

**SM1. Graph representation of UFL forms.** Figure SM1 and Figure SM2 show the graph representation of the Laplace operator as a UFL form before and after the application of pullbacks, corresponding to (1.6) and (1.7) respectively.

**SM2. Simple code generation.** Algorithm SM1 contains simple, but correct code generation for (4.2). This also has loop nests for `ListTensor` expressions, such as adjugate matrix  $B$ ,  $l_1$ , and  $l_2$ . This is an intermediate step that is optimized further in subsection 4.3.

**SM3. C code generation.** Listing SM1 is a straightforward transcription of Algorithm 3 to C code. The definitions of compile-time constant tensors  $C^{(1,1)}$ ,  $C^{(1,2)}$ ,  $C^{(2,1)}$ ,  $C^{(2,2)}$ ,  $w$ ,  $E^{(1)}$ , and  $E^{(2)}$  are prepended to the function body. The element tensor  $A$  and the local coordinate coefficients vector  $c$  are defined as kernel arguments. Lines 10–14 may be omitted if the caller clears  $A$ .

**SM4. Test cases for experimental evaluation.** Here we provide the full description of the four test cases used for experimental evaluation.

**Helmholtz equation.**

$$(SM4.1) \quad a = (uv + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) \, dx$$

**Linear elasticity.** In elastic models the solution is typically a *displacement vector* field. Let  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be vector-valued trial and test functions, and let  $\varepsilon$  denote the symmetric part of the Jacobian of a vector field. Then the bilinear form is defined as follows:

$$(SM4.2) \quad \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right]$$

$$(SM4.3) \quad a = \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) : \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) \, dx$$

**Hyperelasticity.** A simple hyperelastic model. First, we define the strain energy

---

\*Submitted to SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing on April 9, 2018.



**Funding:** This work was supported by The Grantham Institute; the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant number EP/M011054/1]; the Department of Computing, Imperial College London; and the Natural Environment Research Council [grant number NE/K008951/1].

<sup>†</sup>The Grantham Institute and Department of Computing, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK ([m.homolya14@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:m.homolya14@imperial.ac.uk))

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Computing and Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK ([lawrence.mitchell@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:lawrence.mitchell@imperial.ac.uk))

<sup>§</sup>Now at Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK ([f.luporini12@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:f.luporini12@imperial.ac.uk))

<sup>¶</sup>Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK ([david.ham@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:david.ham@imperial.ac.uk))

Figure SM1: *Tree representation of the form (1.6).*Figure SM2: The Laplace operator after changing to reference space, equivalent to (1.7). The presence of two references (arrows) to  $J$  in place of replicating the  $J$  node make this a *directed acyclic graph* (DAG) rather than a tree.

---

**Algorithm SM1** Simple code generation for the expression (4.2).

---

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <pre> 1: <b>for all</b> <math>q</math> <b>do</b> 2:   <math>J^{(1,1)}[q] \leftarrow 0</math> 3: <b>for all</b> <math>q, r</math> <b>do</b> 4:   <math>J^{(1,1)}[q] += C_{q,r}^{(1,1)} * c_r</math> 5: <b>for all</b> <math>q</math> <b>do</b> 6:   <math>J^{(1,2)}[q] \leftarrow 0</math> 7: <b>for all</b> <math>q, r</math> <b>do</b> 8:   <math>J^{(1,2)}[q] += C_{q,r}^{(1,2)} * c_r</math> 9: <b>for all</b> <math>q</math> <b>do</b> 10:  <math>J^{(2,1)}[q] \leftarrow 0</math> 11: <b>for all</b> <math>q, r</math> <b>do</b> 12:  <math>J^{(2,1)}[q] += C_{q,r}^{(2,1)} * c_r</math> 13: <b>for all</b> <math>q</math> <b>do</b> 14:  <math>J^{(2,2)}[q] \leftarrow 0</math> 15: <b>for all</b> <math>q, r</math> <b>do</b> 16:  <math>J^{(2,2)}[q] += C_{q,r}^{(2,2)} * c_r</math> 17: <b>for all</b> <math>q</math> <b>do</b> 18:   <math>B[q, \dots] \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} J^{(2,2)}[q] &amp; -J^{(1,2)}[q] \\ -J^{(2,1)}[q] &amp; J^{(1,1)}[q] \end{bmatrix}</math> 19: <b>for all</b> <math>q, k</math> <b>do</b> 20:   <math>l_1[q, k, \dots] \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} E_{q,k}^{(1)} &amp; E_{q,k}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}</math> </pre> | <pre> 21: <b>for all</b> <math>i_5, q, k</math> <b>do</b> 22:   <math>t_1[i_5, q, k] \leftarrow 0</math> 23: <b>for all</b> <math>i_3, i_5, q, k</math> <b>do</b> 24:   <math>t_1[i_5, q, k] += B[q, i_3, i_5] \div (J^{(1,1)}[q] * J^{(2,2)}[q] - J^{(1,2)}[q] * J^{(2,1)}[q]) * l_1[q, k, i_3]</math> 25: <b>for all</b> <math>q, j</math> <b>do</b> 26:   <math>l_2[q, j, \dots] \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} E_{q,j}^{(1)} &amp; E_{q,j}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}</math> 27: <b>for all</b> <math>i_5, q, j</math> <b>do</b> 28:   <math>t_2[i_5, q, j] \leftarrow 0</math> 29: <b>for all</b> <math>i_4, i_5, q, j</math> <b>do</b> 30:   <math>t_2[i_5, q, j] += B[q, i_4, i_5] \div (J^{(1,1)}[q] * J^{(2,2)}[q] - J^{(1,2)}[q] * J^{(2,1)}[q]) * l_2[q, j, i_4]</math> 31: <b>for all</b> <math>q, j, k</math> <b>do</b> 32:   <math>t_3[q, j, k] \leftarrow 0</math> 33: <b>for all</b> <math>i_5, q, j, k</math> <b>do</b> 34:   <math>t_3[q, j, k] += t_1[i_5, q, k] * t_2[i_5, q, j]</math> 35: <b>for all</b> <math>j, k</math> <b>do</b> 36:   <math>A[j, k] \leftarrow 0</math> 37: <b>for all</b> <math>j, k, q</math> <b>do</b> 38:   <math>A[j, k] += w_q * \text{abs}(J^{(1,1)}[q] * J^{(2,2)}[q] - J^{(1,2)}[q] * J^{(2,1)}[q]) * t_3[q, j, k]</math> </pre> |
|--|---|

---

function over the displacement vector field  $\mathbf{u}$ :

|         |  |                                |
|---------|--|--------------------------------|
| (SM4.4) | $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I} + \nabla \mathbf{u}$                                      | ▷ Deformation gradient         |
| (SM4.5) | $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F}$   | ▷ Right Cauchy-Green tensor    |
| (SM4.6) | $\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I})/2$   | ▷ Euler-Lagrange strain tensor |
| (SM4.7) | $\Psi = \frac{\lambda}{2} [\text{tr}(\mathbf{E})]^2 + \mu \text{tr}(\mathbf{E}^2)$ | ▷ Strain energy function       |

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the *Lamé parameters*, and  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity matrix. Now, we define the Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensors:

|         |  |  |
|---------|--|--|
| (SM4.8) | $\mathbf{S} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{E}}$ | ▷ Second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor |
| (SM4.9) | $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}$                     | ▷ First Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor  |

One could, of course, derive that  $\mathbf{S} = \lambda \text{tr}(\mathbf{E}) \mathbf{I} + 2\mu \mathbf{E}$ , but we leave the symbolic differentiation for UFL. Finally, the residual form of this nonlinear problem is

$$(SM4.10) \quad r = \mathbf{P} : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

where  $\mathbf{b}$  is the external forcing. To assemble a left-hand side, one must

**Listing SM1** Local assembly kernel for  $\int \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx$  in C.

---

```

1 static inline void kernel(double A[4][4], const double c[8])
2 {
3     static const double C11[4][8] = { ... };
4     static const double C12[4][8] = { ... };
5     static const double C21[4][8] = { ... };
6     static const double C22[4][8] = { ... };
7     static const double w[4] = { ... };
8     static const double E1[4][4] = { ... };
9     static const double E2[4][4] = { ... };
10    for (int j = 0; j < 4; j++) {
11        for (int k = 0; k < 4; k++) {
12            A[j][k] = 0;
13        }
14    }
15    for (int q = 0; q < 4; q++) {
16        double J11 = 0;
17        double J12 = 0;
18        double J21 = 0;
19        double J22 = 0;
20        for (int r = 0; r < 8; r++) {
21            J11 += C11[q][r]*c[r];
22            J12 += C12[q][r]*c[r];
23            J21 += C21[q][r]*c[r];
24            J22 += C22[q][r]*c[r];
25        }
26        double B[2][2] = { { J22, -J12 }, { -J21, J11 } };
27        double d = J11*J22 - J12*J21;
28        double t1[4][2];
29        for (int k = 0; k < 4; k++) {
30            double l1[2] = { E1[q][k], E2[q][k] };
31            for (int i_5 = 0; i_5 < 2; i_5++) {
32                t1[k][i_5] = 0;
33            }
34            for (int i_3 = 0; i_3 < 2; i_3++) {
35                for (int i_5 = 0; i_5 < 2; i_5++) {
36                    t1[k][i_5] += (B[i_3][i_5]/d)*l1[i_3];
37                }
38            }
39        }
40        double t4 = w[q]*fabs(d);
41        for (int j = 0; j < 4; j++) {
42            double l2[2] = { E1[q][j], E2[q][j] };
43            double t2[2];
44            for (int i_5 = 0; i_5 < 2; i_5++) {
45                t2[i_5] = 0;
46            }
47            for (int i_4 = 0; i_4 < 2; i_4++) {
48                for (int i_5 = 0; i_5 < 2; i_5++) {
49                    t2[i_5] += (B[i_4][i_5]/d)*l2[i_4];
50                }
51            }
52            for (int k = 0; k < 4; k++) {
53                double t3 = 0;
54                for (int i_5 = 0; i_5 < 2; i_5++) {
55                    t3 += t1[k][i_5]*t2[i_5];
56                }
57                A[j][k] += t4*t3;
58            }
59        }
60    }

```

---

linearize the residual around an *approximate* solution  $\mathbf{u}$ :

$$(SM4.11) \quad a = \delta r(\mathbf{u}; \delta \mathbf{u}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{r(\mathbf{u} + \epsilon \delta \mathbf{u}) - r(\mathbf{u})}{\epsilon}$$

This bilinear form has trial function  $\delta \mathbf{u}$ , test function  $\mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathbf{u}$  is a coefficient of the form.

**Holzapfel-Ogden model.** A more complicated hyperelastic model described in [SM1].

Unlike the simple hyperelastic model, this model uses a volume-preserving right Cauchy–Green strain tensor:

$$(SM4.12) \quad J = \det(\mathbf{F})$$

$$(SM4.13) \quad \bar{\mathbf{C}} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F}$$

Then defines the following rotation invariant functions:

$$(SM4.14) \quad I_1 = \text{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{C}})$$

$$(SM4.15) \quad I_{4f} = \mathbf{e}_f \cdot \bar{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{e}_f$$

$$(SM4.16) \quad I_{4s} = \mathbf{e}_s \cdot \bar{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{e}_s$$

$$(SM4.17) \quad I_{8fs} = \mathbf{e}_s \cdot \bar{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{e}_f$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_f$  and  $\mathbf{e}_s$  denote unit vectors pointing in the local myocardial fiber and sheet directions, respectively. The strain energy function is defined as

$$(SM4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi = & \frac{a}{2b} (\exp[b(I_1 - 3)] - 1) \\ & + \frac{h(I_{4f})a_f}{2b_f} (\exp[b_f(I_{4f} - 1)^2] - 1) \\ & + \frac{h(I_{4s})a_s}{2b_s} (\exp[b_s(I_{4s} - 1)^2] - 1) \\ & + \frac{a_{fs}}{2b_{fs}} (\exp[bI_{8fs}^2] - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(x)$  is a Heaviside function with a jump at  $x = 1$ , and the material parameters are

$$m = (a, b, a_f, b_f, a_s, b_s, a_{fs}, b_{fs}).$$

We define the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, and the residual form without external forcing:

$$(SM4.19) \quad \mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \quad \triangleright \text{Find first Piola-Kirchhoff tensor}$$

$$(SM4.20) \quad r = \mathbf{P} : \nabla \mathbf{v}$$

Finally, (SM4.11) gives the bilinear form again.

#### REFERENCES

- [SM1] G. BALABAN, M. S. ALNÆS, J. SUNDNES, AND M. E. ROGNES, *Adjoint multi-start-based estimation of cardiac hyperelastic material parameters using shear data*, Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology, 15 (2016), pp. 1509–1521, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-016-0780-7>, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03796>.