

If $G_E = G_M$ is assumed, it follows that

$$\sigma_{B\bar{B}} = \left(\frac{4\pi\alpha^2\beta_B}{3s} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2m_B^2}{s} \right) |G_M^B(s)|^2. \quad (1)$$

BaBar attempted to measure G_E/G_M by analyzing the angular distributions for two different \sqrt{s} bins of their data for $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ production. They obtained two quite different values for G_E/G_M for the two bins

$$|G_E/G_M| = 1.73_{-0.57}^{+0.99} \text{ for } \sqrt{s} = 2.23 - 2.40 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ bin, 115 events}$$

$$|G_E/G_M| = 0.71_{-0.71}^{+0.66} \text{ for } \sqrt{s} = 2.40 - 2.80 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ bin, 61 events}$$

In view of the large errors they considered both results as consistent with $G_E/G_M = 1$, and determined the form factors with that assumption, i.e., using Eq. (1) (Eq. 5 in the paper).

We have analyzed the angular distributions for our data for $\psi(3770)$, $\sqrt{s} = 3.77 \text{ GeV}$ for three hyperons for which we have the largest number of events in Table II, $N(\Lambda^0) = 498 \pm 39$, $N(\Xi^-) = 240 \pm 17$, and $N(\Xi^0) = 111 \pm 12$.

We obtain the following results:

- Λ^0 : 90% confidence upper limit $|G_E/G_M| < 0.17$
- Ξ^- : 90% confidence upper limit $|G_E/G_M| < 0.32$
- Ξ^0 : 90% confidence upper limit $|G_E/G_M| < 0.29$

Our results for all three cases are thus consistent with $|G_E/G_M| = 0$.

We have therefore analyzed our data assuming $G_E = 0$, and also assuming $G_E = G_M$. Because the G_E contribution is multiplied by the factor $(2m_B^2/s)$ the $G_M|Q^2|$ values for the two assumptions differ by $< 5\%$. In Tables II and III, and Fig. 8 we list only the results for $G_E = G_M$ to allow comparison with other experimental results.