

Emergence of long-ranged stress correlations at the liquid to glass transition: Supplemental Material

Manuel Maier,¹ Annette Zippelius,² and Matthias Fuchs¹

¹*University of Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany*

²*University Göttingen, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany*

(Dated: September 28, 2017)

The supplemental material sketches the derivation of Eq. (2) of the main text.

We consider bulk driving with a weak shear velocity gradient $\partial_x v_y^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. This leads to the appearance of the Irving-Kirkwood expression for the local stress in the linear response law Eq. (9). Assuming only binary interactions for simplicity, it is

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\langle k,j \rangle} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{jk} \mathbf{r}_{jk}}{r_{jk}} U'(r_{jk}) \frac{1 - e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{jk}}}{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{jk}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \quad (11)$$

where the sum counts all different pairs j, k once. The Zwanzig-Mori (ZM) formalism employing projections along (\mathcal{P}) and complementary (\mathcal{Q}) to the conserved variables, $1 = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P}$, gives the well known result for the transverse velocity correlator (defined in the main text) [9,10,17]:

$$K_q^{\perp, \text{ZM}}(s) = \frac{k_B T / m}{s + \frac{q^2}{mn} G_q^{\perp}(s)} \quad (12)$$

(The superscript indicates that the ZM result is exact and the limit of (generalized) hydrodynamics has not yet been taken.) The correlator is expressed in terms of the memory kernel $G_q^{\perp}(s)$ which in turn is a correlation of the transverse force fields $\mathbf{F}^{\perp}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q} \times (\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q})) / q^2$. The force fields are obtained from the potential stress in Eq. (11): $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}) = i\mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{q})$. The familiar result holds [9,10,17] (choosing as orthogonal directions $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$):

$$G_q^{\perp}(s) = \frac{n}{k_B T} \frac{1}{q^2} \langle \mathbf{F}_x^{\perp}(q\hat{\mathbf{y}})^* \underbrace{\frac{1}{s + i\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}}}_{R'(s)} \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{F}_x^{\perp}(q\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \rangle \quad (13)$$

Here, the Hermitian Liouville-operator originates from the time-derivative, $\mathcal{L} = -i\partial_t$. The time evolution operator is given by $\exp i\mathcal{L}t$ or its Laplace transform $R(s) = (s + i\mathcal{L})^{-1}$. The force fields in Eq. (13) are projected in the space complementary to the conserved variables and evolve dynamically according to the reduced resolvent, $R'(s)$, defined in Eq. (13). Newton's third law predicts the vanishing of the total force fluctuation: $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i(t)} \mathbf{F}_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $q \rightarrow 0$ so that the limit of the memory kernel $G_0^{\perp}(s) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} G_q^{\perp}(s)$ is well defined. If this limit is taken in Eq. (12) then

Eq. (3) from the main text follows. Note, that in order to describe slow and smooth fluctuations of hydrodynamic fields, the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ needs to be accompanied by the limit of small frequencies, viz. $s \rightarrow 0$ [9,10]. The ZM formalism achieves to isolate such hydrodynamic poles in the full resolvent $R(s)$ and renders the reduced $R'(s)$ devoid of them [9]. Thus Eq. (3) of the main text must not be expanded in q because in the hydrodynamic limit, the ratio s/q^2 is kept fixed, and both terms in the denominator of $K_q^{\perp}(s)$ become small. Then, Eq. (3) respects momentum conservation and captures transverse momentum diffusion in fluids [9]. On the other hand, the GH formalism suggests that the limit of $q \rightarrow 0$ can be taken in memory kernels built with the reduced resolvent $R'(s)$ [9,10,17].

While the above result for the transverse momentum fluctuations is well established, the transverse stress tensor element has not been studied in generality. The ZM-formula relates a general fluctuation to the conserved ones [15], and thus can be applied to the stress tensor elements. It yields for the auto-correlator of the (chosen) transverse stress-tensor element:

$$C_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, s) = \frac{n}{k_B T} \langle \sigma_{xy}(\mathbf{q})^* R'(s) \sigma_{xy}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle - \langle \sigma_{xy}(\mathbf{q})^* R'(s) \mathcal{L}\mathcal{P}R(s) \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}R'(s) \sigma_{xy}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle. \quad (14)$$

For the incompressible fluid under consideration, the only conserved variables, selected by the projector \mathcal{P} , are the transverse momenta. Momentum conservation, viz. the relation $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{k_B T} \left(\mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{q^2} \right) \langle \mathbf{v}^{\perp}(\mathbf{q})^* \rangle$, then introduces the stress elements. Finally, the tensorial nature of the stress correlation functions

$$\frac{k_B T}{n} \langle \sigma_{xy}(\mathbf{q})^* R'(s) \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle = G_0^{\perp}(s) (\delta_{x\alpha} \delta_{y\beta} + \delta_{y\alpha} \delta_{x\beta}) + \mathcal{O}(q^2) \quad (15)$$

can be used to simplify Eq. (14), which leads to Eq. (2).

The numbering of references and equations refers to the main text.