

Supplementary material for

Asymptotic formulae for flow in superhydrophobic channels with longitudinal ridges and protruding menisci

Toby L. Kirk

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

1 Solution of the inner problem for $\delta \ll 1$

In this section of supplementary material, we derive the solution to the problem for \widehat{W} given by (3.5)-(3.8) of the paper in the limit $\delta \ll 1$.

First, we state the problem for the complex potential $\hat{h}(z)$ such that $\widehat{W} = \text{Im}\{\hat{h}(z)\}$, $z = X + iY$. The no-slip condition on the ridges, and the far-field condition (3.8) can then be written as

$$\text{Im} \left\{ \hat{h}(z) \right\} = 0, \quad \text{on } Y = 0, X \notin [-\delta, \delta] \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{h}(z) \sim z + i\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Periodicity of \widehat{W} implies that $\hat{h}(z)$ must have quasi-periodicity, i.e, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\hat{h}(z+2n) = \hat{h}(z) + k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. If s is the arclength along the meniscus, measured from $X = -\delta$ in the direction of increasing X , the condition on the meniscus can be written, using the Cauchy–Riemann relations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \text{Re} \left\{ \hat{h}(z) \right\} = [1 + \epsilon\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)] \frac{2\epsilon Y}{(1 + Y'^2)^{1/2}}, \quad \text{on the meniscus } S. \quad (3)$$

Integrating with respect to arclength and substituting $ds = (1 + Y'^2)^{1/2}dX$ gives, up to a real additive constant:

$$\text{Re} \left\{ \hat{h}(z) \right\} = [1 + \epsilon\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)] \int 2\epsilon Y(X) dX, \quad \text{on the meniscus } S. \quad (4)$$

The meniscus shape is denoted by $|z - C| = R$, where C is the centre of the circle (which is pure imaginary) and R the radius, given by

$$R = \frac{\delta}{\sin \theta}, \quad C = -i\delta \cot \theta. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, on the meniscus $\bar{z} = \bar{C} + R^2/(z - C)$, and consequently

$$Y(X) = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left(z - \bar{C} - \frac{R^2}{z - C} \right), \quad dX = \frac{1}{2}(dz + \bar{d}z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{(z - C)^2} \right) dz. \quad (6)$$

Using the above expressions for $Y(X)$ and dX in terms of z , the integral (4) over the meniscus can be evaluated, giving

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{h}(z) \right\} = \chi(z) = & [1 + \epsilon \hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)] \frac{\epsilon}{2i} \left[\frac{1}{2}(z - C)^2 + 2C(z - C) - 2R^2 \log \left(\frac{z - C}{R} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2CR^2}{z - C} - \frac{R^4}{2(z - C)^2} \right], \quad \text{on the meniscus,} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

up to an arbitrary real constant.

1.1 Complex potential for a single meniscus or groove

The first step in finding a solution to the above problem in the limit $\delta \ll 1$, is to consider the non-periodic case of a single meniscus or groove occupying $X \in [-\delta, \delta]$. If we denote the corresponding complex potential by $\hat{h}_s(z)$, then the solution we seek is analytic in the upper half plane external to the meniscus, satisfying conditions (1), (7), and $\hat{h}_s(z) \sim z$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. We employ the conformal map (see Crowdy [1])

$$z(\zeta) = \delta \frac{(1 - \zeta)^\alpha + (1 + \zeta)^\alpha}{(1 - \zeta)^\alpha - (1 + \zeta)^\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{\pi}(\pi - \theta), \quad (8)$$

from the auxiliary ζ domain, D_ζ , consisting of the upper half of the unit disk. The meniscus maps to the upper semi-circle $C^+ = \{\zeta = e^{i\phi} : 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi\}$, and the no-slip boundary to the real axis, $\zeta \in [-1, 1]$. The behaviour $\hat{h}_s(z) \sim z$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to $\hat{h}_s(z(\zeta)) \sim -\delta/(\alpha\zeta)$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ in D_ζ . We write the solution as $\hat{h}_s(z) = h_s(z) + \hat{H}(\zeta)$, where

$$h_s(z) = \frac{\delta}{\alpha} \left(\zeta(z) - \frac{1}{\zeta(z)} \right), \quad (9)$$

is the solution to the same problem as $\hat{h}_s(z)$ but with a no-shear stress condition on the meniscus, $\operatorname{Re}\{h_s(z)\} = 0$ [1]. Then $\hat{H}(\zeta)$ satisfies the same problem as $\hat{h}_s(z)$, but is now analytic as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$, and all of D_ζ . Moreover, $\operatorname{Im}\{\hat{H}(\zeta)\} = 0$ for $\zeta \in [-1, 1]$ means $\hat{H}(\zeta)$ is real on $\zeta \in [-1, 1]$ and the Schwarz Reflection Principle ([4] pp. 184) can be used to extend $\hat{H}(\zeta)$ analytically to the whole unit ζ -disk, via $\hat{H}(\zeta) = \overline{\hat{H}(\bar{\zeta})}$. This relation gives a condition for $\hat{H}(\zeta)$ on the lower semi-circle $C^- = \{\zeta = e^{i\phi} : -\pi \leq \phi \leq 0\}$:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{H}(\zeta) \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{H}(\bar{\zeta}) \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{H}(\zeta^{-1}) \right\}, \quad \text{for } \zeta \in C^-, \quad (10)$$

using $\bar{\zeta} = \zeta^{-1}$ on C^- . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{H}(\zeta) \right\} = \begin{cases} \chi[z(\zeta)] & \text{for } \zeta \in C^+, \\ \chi[z(\zeta^{-1})] & \text{for } \zeta \in C^-. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

As $\hat{H}(\zeta)$ is analytic in the unit disk with its real part specified on the boundary, the solution can be given by the Poisson integral formula ([4] pp. 17). The solution for $\hat{h}_s(z(\zeta)) = (\delta/\alpha)(\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) + \hat{H}(\zeta)$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_s(z(\zeta)) &= \frac{\delta}{\alpha} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^+} \frac{d\zeta'}{\zeta'} \frac{\zeta' + \zeta}{\zeta' - \zeta} \chi[z(\zeta)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^-} \frac{d\zeta'}{\zeta'} \frac{\zeta' + \zeta}{\zeta' - \zeta} \chi[z(\zeta^{-1})], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with $\chi(z)$ given by (7). Of particular interest is an expression for $\operatorname{Im}\{\hat{h}_s(z)\}$ at the meniscus. For z on the meniscus, $\zeta \in C^+$, we can write $\zeta = e^{i\phi}$, $\zeta' = e^{i\psi}$ and use the Plemelj formula to give

$$\operatorname{Im}\{\hat{h}_s(z)\} = \frac{2\delta}{\alpha} \sin \phi + [1 + \epsilon \hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)] \frac{\epsilon \delta^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\psi \cot \left(\frac{\phi - \psi}{2} \right) g(\psi), \quad (13)$$

where $g(\psi)$ is the even function

$$\begin{aligned} g(\psi) &= \csc^2 \theta \operatorname{Im} \log \left[i \frac{e^{i\theta/2} + e^{-i\theta/2} \tan^\alpha(|\psi|/2)}{e^{-i\theta/2} + e^{i\theta/2} \tan^\alpha(|\psi|/2)} \right] \\ &\quad + \csc \theta \frac{[1 - \tan^{2\alpha}(|\psi|/2)][\cos \theta + 2 \cos 2\theta \tan^\alpha(|\psi|/2) + \cos \theta \tan^{2\alpha}(|\psi|/2)]}{[1 + 2 \cos \theta \tan^\alpha(|\psi|/2) + \tan^{2\alpha}(|\psi|/2)]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

1.2 Exact formula for $\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)$ using a reciprocal result

Using Green's second identity, a formula for the unknown $\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)$ can be found by means of a reciprocity result due to Crowdy [2] that can account for any inhomogeneity in the condition on the meniscus. Let V be the solution to the same problem as \widehat{W} but with a homogeneous no-shear condition at the meniscus, $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla V = 0$ (alternatively, V corresponds to \widehat{W} with $\epsilon = 0$). If they have behaviours at infinity given by

$$\widehat{W} \sim Y + \hat{\lambda}(\epsilon), \quad \text{as } Y \rightarrow \infty, \quad (15)$$

$$V \sim Y + \lambda, \quad \text{as } Y \rightarrow \infty, \quad (16)$$

then the reciprocal result of [2] gives

$$\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon) = \lambda - \frac{1}{2}[1 + \epsilon\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)] \int_S \frac{2\epsilon Y}{(1 + Y'^2)^{1/2}} V \, ds. \quad (17)$$

Rearranging (17) to solve for $\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)$, and using $ds = (1 + Y'^2)^{1/2}dX$, we find

$$\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon) = \frac{\lambda - \epsilon \int_S YV \, dX}{1 + \epsilon^2 \int_S YV \, dX}. \quad (18)$$

This is exact, and reduces to λ when $\epsilon = 0$, as expected. We note that V and λ do not depend on ϵ , only on δ and θ .

If $V = \text{Im}\{h(z)\}$, with successive approximations h_0, h_1, \dots , in $\delta \ll 1$, then substitution into (18) gives corresponding approximations to $\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)$.

1.3 Approximations to $\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)$ for $\delta \ll 1$

Crowdy's first two approximations to $h(z)$ are given in our notation by

$$h_0(z) = h_s(z) + \lambda_0 \left[\frac{2}{\pi z} - \cot \left(\frac{\pi z}{2} \right) \right], \quad \lambda_0 = \delta^2 \frac{3\pi^3 - 4\pi^2\theta + 2\pi\theta^2}{12(\pi - \theta)^2}, \quad (19)$$

$$h_1(z) = h_s(z) + \lambda_1 \left[\frac{2}{\pi z} - \cot \left(\frac{\pi z}{2} \right) + \frac{\pi}{6}(h_s(z) - z) \right], \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \frac{1}{6}\pi\lambda_0}, \quad (20)$$

where $h_s(z)$ is the solution of for a single shear-free meniscus, (9). If we work from (19), which is correct to $O(\delta^2)$, we see that $\int_S YV \, dX \sim \int_S Y^2 \, dX = O(\delta^3)$, which should be neglected in (18) at this level of approximation, giving $\hat{\lambda}_0(\epsilon) = \lambda_0$. However, this greatly decreases the accuracy of the ϵ expansion, as all ϵ dependence has dropped out. Therefore, we must work with a higher order approximation in δ to retain this integral term with confidence.

Approximation (20) is correct to $O(\delta^4)$, and if we substitute $V = \text{Im} \left\{ (1 + \frac{1}{6}\pi\lambda_1)h_s(z) \right\} + O(\delta^2)$ into (18) and use (6), we have

$$\hat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon) = \frac{\lambda_1 - (1 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_1\pi)\epsilon J}{1 + (1 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_1\pi)\epsilon^2 J}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$J = \int_S \text{Im} \{h_s\} Y \, dX \quad (22)$$

$$= \int_S \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\alpha} \left(\zeta(z) - \frac{1}{\zeta(z)} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{2i} \left(z + C - \frac{R^2}{z - C} \right) \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{(z - C)^2} \right) dz. \quad (23)$$

The meniscus in the ζ -plane is given by the unit semi-circle, $\{\zeta = e^{i\phi} : 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi\}$, so $\bar{\zeta} = 1/\zeta$ on the meniscus. Transforming the integration to the ζ -plane via (8) and substituting $\zeta = e^{i\phi}$,

(23) simplifies to $J = \delta^3 I(\theta)$ where $I(\theta)$ is a function of θ only,

$$I(\theta) = 8 \sin \theta \int_0^\pi \frac{\tan^{2\alpha}(\phi/2) [\cos \theta \tan^{2\alpha}(\phi/2) + 2 \tan^\alpha(\phi/2) + \cos \theta] d\phi}{[\tan^{2\alpha}(\phi/2) + 2 \cos \theta \tan^\alpha(\phi/2) + 1]^3}. \quad (24)$$

One may notice that $(1 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_1\pi) \epsilon J = \epsilon J + O(\delta^5)$, and the term $\frac{1}{6}\lambda_1\pi$ could be neglected in (21). But it can be kept with no effort, and has a significant effect on accuracy when θ is large. Indeed, $\hat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon)$ in the form (21) appears naturally from the construction of the complex potential.

1.4 Expression for the complex potential

Here we justify the approximation given by (4.2) in the paper to the complex potential $\hat{h}(z)$ that is the solution to (1)-(7). We will only show that $\hat{h}_1(z)$ approximately satisfies the problem, as the argument is similar for $\hat{h}_0(z)$.

The approximation restated here is

$$\hat{h}_1(z) = \hat{h}_s(z) + \hat{\lambda}_1 \left[\frac{2}{\pi z} - \cot\left(\frac{\pi z}{2}\right) + \frac{\pi}{6}(h_s(z) - z) \right], \quad (25)$$

where $\hat{h}_s(z)$ and $h_s(z)$ are (12) and (9), and assume $\hat{\lambda}_1$ is not yet known. Potential (25) is analytic in the period window, as the pole at $z = 0$ is removable, and $\hat{\lambda}_1$ is chosen to ensure that the $O(1/z)$ term of $\hat{h}_s(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ is removed, resulting in $\hat{h}_1(z) \sim z + i\hat{\lambda}_1 + O(1/z^3)$. For this, the behaviours of $\hat{h}_s(z)$ and $h_s(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ are needed. If

$$\hat{h}_s(z) \sim z + \frac{\hat{\Delta}}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (26)$$

$$h_s(z) \sim z + \frac{\Delta}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (27)$$

then

$$\hat{\Delta} + \frac{2\hat{\lambda}_1}{\pi} + \frac{\pi\hat{\lambda}_1}{6}\Delta = 0. \quad (28)$$

From (8), (9), we know $\Delta = -\delta^2(2/\alpha^2 + 1)/3$, and $\hat{\Delta}$ can be found from expanding the integral formula (12). Using (8), $\hat{\Delta}$ can be found from the series as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ in the ζ -plane via

$$\hat{h}_s(z(\zeta)) = -\frac{\delta}{\alpha\zeta} - \left[\frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)\delta}{3\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\delta}\hat{\Delta} \right] \zeta + O(\zeta^3), \quad \zeta \rightarrow 0. \quad (29)$$

Expanding the Poisson kernel $(\zeta' + \zeta)/(\zeta' - \zeta) = 1 + 2\zeta/\zeta' + \dots$ and then substituting $\zeta \mapsto 1/\zeta$ in the integral over C^- and performing integration by parts, formula (12) can be shown after some algebra to have the expansion

$$\hat{h}_s(z(\zeta)) = -\frac{\delta}{\alpha\zeta} + \left[\frac{\delta}{\alpha} - 2\epsilon(1 + \epsilon\hat{\lambda}_1)\frac{\alpha}{\pi\delta}J \right] \zeta + O(\zeta^3), \quad \zeta \rightarrow 0. \quad (30)$$

Equating coefficients of ζ determines $\hat{\Delta}$ to be

$$\hat{\Delta} = \Delta + 2\epsilon(1 + \epsilon\hat{\lambda}_1)\frac{1}{\pi}J, \quad (31)$$

and on substituting into (28) gives

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{-\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta - \epsilon J}{1 + \frac{\pi^2}{12}\Delta + \epsilon J}.$$

But λ_1 for the homogeneous case $\epsilon = 0$ is given by $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta/(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{12}\Delta)$, and eliminating Δ in the above results in exactly the expression (21), found by the reciprocal result.

Finally it remains to show (25) satisfies conditions (1) and (7) to $O(\delta^4)$. As $\hat{h}_s(z)$, $h_s(z)$ and the remaining terms in (25) are real for $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-\delta, \delta]$, then $\text{Im}\{\hat{h}_1(z)\} = 0$ there and (1) is satisfied. On the meniscus, $z = O(\delta)$, $\text{Re}\{\hat{h}_s(z)\} = \chi(z)$, and $\text{Re}\{h_s(z)\} = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}\{\hat{h}_1(z)\} &= \chi(z) + \text{Re}\left\{\frac{2\hat{\lambda}_1}{\pi z} - \hat{\lambda}_1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi z}{2}\right) - \frac{\pi\hat{\lambda}_1}{6}z\right\} \\ &= \chi(z) + \text{Re}\left\{\hat{\lambda}_1\frac{\pi^3}{360}z^3 + O(\hat{\lambda}_1\delta^5)\right\} = f(z) + O(\hat{\lambda}_1\delta^3) \\ &= \chi(z) + O(\delta^5). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (7) is satisfied to at least $O(\delta^4)$.

2 Symmetric channel with longitudinal ridges on both walls

In this section we present details analogous to those in the main paper, but for the case of a channel that is symmetric about its centreline, with longitudinal ridges on both the bottom and top walls. If a quantity differs from the corresponding quantity for the case of a smooth upper wall, it will be marked with a superscript “(s)”, otherwise it can be assumed to be the same quantity as defined in the paper. The no-slip condition at $y^* = H^*$ is replaced by a symmetry condition, $\partial w^*/\partial y^* = 0$ at $y^* = H^*/2$. Scaling lengths still with H^* , the nondimensional problem is

$$\nabla^2 w^{(s)} = -2, \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\partial w^{(s)}}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \text{on } y = 1/2, x \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \quad (33)$$

$$w^{(s)} = 0, \quad \text{on } y = 0, x \notin [-\epsilon\delta, \epsilon\delta], \quad (34)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla w^{(s)} = 0, \quad \text{on the meniscus } S, \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{\partial w^{(s)}}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \text{on } x = \pm\epsilon, y \in [0, 1/2], \quad (36)$$

which is now only for half the channel, $y \leq 1/2$. Thus the quantity $Q^{(s)}$ denotes the flow rate per unit width through the bottom half of the channel.

For $\epsilon = l^*/H^* \ll 1$, the outer solution in the region $y = O(1)$ is

$$w^{(s)} = -y^2 + y + \epsilon \hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon), \quad (37)$$

and if the solution in the inner region $(x, y) = (\epsilon X, \epsilon Y) = O(\epsilon)$ is $W^{(s)}$, then $W^{(s)}$ satisfies the matching condition

$$W^{(s)} \sim -\epsilon^2 Y^2 + \epsilon Y + \epsilon \hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon), \quad Y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (38)$$

Making the transformation $W^{(s)} = -\epsilon^2 Y^2 + \epsilon \widehat{W}^{(s)}$, the problem for $\widehat{W}^{(s)}$ on a semi-infinite strip is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{W}^{(s)}}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{W}^{(s)}}{\partial Y^2} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\widehat{W}^{(s)} = 0, \quad \text{on } Y = 0, X \notin [-\delta, \delta] \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{XY} \widehat{W}^{(s)} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{XY} (\epsilon Y^2), \quad \text{on the meniscus } S, \quad (41)$$

$$\widehat{W}^{(s)} \sim Y + \hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon), \quad \text{as } Y \rightarrow \infty, \quad (42)$$

along with symmetry conditions $\partial \widehat{W}^{(s)} / \partial X = 0$ at $X = \pm 1$. This inner problem is the same as that for the case of a smooth upper wall but with the factor of $[1 + \epsilon \hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon)]$ not appearing in the meniscus condition, (41). Consequently, the solution is slightly simpler as it does not implicitly depend on $\hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon)$. The complex potential, $h_s^{(s)}(z)$, for a single meniscus occupying $X \in [-\delta, \delta]$ is therefore given by the Poisson integral formula (12), but without the factor of $[1 + \epsilon \hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon)]$ that appears in $\chi(z)$, which is given by (7). Application of the reciprocal result gives

$$\hat{\lambda}^{(s)}(\epsilon) = \lambda - \epsilon \int_S Y V \, dX, \quad (43)$$

and substituting the approximations for λ and V for $\delta \ll 1$ give the approximations

$$\hat{\lambda}_0^{(s)}(\epsilon) = \lambda_0 - \epsilon \delta^3 I(\theta), \quad (44)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_1^{(s)}(\epsilon) = \lambda_1 - \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{6} \lambda_1\right) \epsilon \delta^3 I(\theta). \quad (45)$$

The complex potential $\hat{h}_1^{(s)}$ is identical to (25) but with $\hat{h}_s(z)$ and $\hat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon)$ replaced with $\hat{h}_s^{(s)}(z)$ and $\hat{\lambda}_1^{(s)}(\epsilon)$.

To calculate $Q^{(s)}$, applying Green's second identity over the new domain ($y \leq 1/2$) gives (with n the inward normal)

$$2\epsilon Q^{(s)} = - \int_{y \leq 1/2} y^2 \, dA + 2\epsilon \left[\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \hat{\lambda}^{(s)} \right] + \int_S y^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial n} \, ds - \epsilon \int_S \widehat{W}^{(s)} y \frac{\partial y}{\partial n} \, ds,$$

or, after substituting $\widehat{W}^{(s)} \sim \text{Im}\{\hat{h}_s^{(s)}(z) + \frac{\pi}{6}\hat{\lambda}_1^{(s)}h_s(z)\}$,

$$Q^{(s)} = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\hat{\lambda}^{(s)} + Q'^{(s)}, \quad (46)$$

with

$$Q'^{(s)} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\delta^3\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{6}\hat{\lambda}_1^{(s)}\right)I(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^3\delta^4[F(\theta) - G(\theta)] + O(\delta^7). \quad (47)$$

The appropriate effective Navier slip profile is one that has the same slip length $\beta^{(s)}$ on both walls, i.e., $w_{NS}^{(s)} = \pm\beta^{(s)}dw_{NS}^{(s)}/dy$ on $y = 0, 1$, respectively, giving

$$w_{NS}^{(s)} = -y^2 + y + \beta^{(s)}. \quad (48)$$

Equating the flow rate (for $y \leq 1/2$) per unit width with $Q^{(s)}$ results in

$$\beta^{(s)} = \epsilon\hat{\lambda}^{(s)} + 2Q'^{(s)}, \quad (49)$$

or, after substituting (45) and (47), we arrive at:

$$\beta^{(s)} = \epsilon\lambda_1 - \epsilon^2\delta^3 I \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{6}\lambda_1\right) \left(2 - \frac{\pi}{6}\epsilon\delta^3 I\right) + \epsilon^3\delta^4(F - G), \quad (50)$$

given as (1.5) in the paper.

2.1 Verification against previous work: small meniscus curvature formulae

The case of longitudinal ridges on both channel walls and has already been considered by Kirk *et al.* [3] for small meniscus curvature or protrusion, $|\theta| \ll 1$, and arbitrary ϵ, δ . In particular, an analytic expression for the slip length was found to all algebraic orders in $\epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta^{(s)}}{\epsilon} = & \frac{2}{\pi} \log \left[\sec \left(\frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right) \right] - \frac{\theta}{2\delta} \left(-\delta^3 \int_0^1 \frac{[1 - \cos(\delta\pi s)](1 - s^2) ds}{\cos(\delta\pi s) - \cos(\delta\pi)} \right. \\ & \left. + 4\epsilon\delta^4 \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \frac{s(1 - s^2/3) \sin(\delta\pi s/2) ds}{\sqrt{\cos(\delta\pi s) - \cos(\delta\pi)}} \right) + O(\theta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Expanding this solution for $\delta \ll 1$ should agree with (50) expanded for $|\theta| \ll 1$. Each term in (51) has expansion for $\delta \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi} \log \left[\sec \left(\frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right) \right] &= \frac{\pi\delta^2}{4} + \frac{\pi^3\delta^4}{96} + O(\delta^6), \\ \delta^3 \int_0^1 \frac{[1 - \cos(\delta\pi s)](1 - s^2) ds}{\cos(\delta\pi s) - \cos(\delta\pi)} &= \frac{\delta^3}{3} + \frac{\pi^2\delta^5}{36} + O(\delta^7), \\ \delta^4 \int_0^1 \frac{s(1 - s^2/3) \sin(\delta\pi s/2) ds}{\sqrt{\cos(\delta\pi s) - \cos(\delta\pi)}} &= \frac{3\sqrt{2}\pi\delta^4}{16} + O(\delta^6), \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\frac{\beta^{(s)}}{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{\pi\delta^2}{4} + \frac{\pi^3\delta^4}{96} + O(\delta^6) \right) + \theta \left[\left(\frac{\delta^3}{6} + \frac{\pi^2\delta^5}{72} + O(\delta^7) \right) - \epsilon \left(\frac{3\pi\delta^4}{4} + O(\delta^6) \right) \right] + O(\theta^2). \quad (52)$$

Now, we expand our formula (50) for $|\theta| \ll 1$ up to $O(\theta)$. It can be shown that $F = O(\theta^3)$, $G = O(\theta^2)$, $I = \frac{3}{16}\pi\theta + O(\theta^2)$ for $|\theta| \ll 1$, and λ_1 expanded for $|\theta|, \delta \ll 1$ is

$$\lambda_1 = \left(\frac{\pi\delta^2}{4} + \frac{\pi^3\delta^4}{96} + O(\delta^6) \right) + \theta \left(\frac{\delta^3}{6} + \frac{\pi^2\delta^5}{72} + O(\delta^7) \right) + O(\theta^2). \quad (53)$$

After substituting these into (50), it reduces exactly to the expression (52) up to the orders given, as expected. Note that they agree up to at least fifth order in δ .

References

- [1] D. G. Crowdy, *Slip length for longitudinal shear flow over a dilute periodic mattress of protruding bubbles*, Phys. Fluids **22** (2010), no. 12, 121703.
- [2] ———, *Analytical formulae for longitudinal slip lengths over unidirectional superhydrophobic surfaces with curved menisci*, J. Fluid Mech. **791** (2016), no. R7.
- [3] T. L. Kirk, M. Hodes, and D. T. Papageorgiou, *Nusselt numbers for Poiseuille flow over isoflux parallel ridges accounting for meniscus curvature*, J. Fluid Mech. **811** (2017), 315–349.
- [4] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, 1975 reprint ed., Dover, 1952.