

Peristaltic elastic instability in an inflated cylindrical channel: Theoretical Supplement

N. Cheewaruangroj & J. S. Biggins

Here we provide full algebraic details of our stability analysis of an inflated cylindrical channel. As in our main manuscript, we consider neo-Hookean body initially occupying $a < r < b$, and subject to an inflationary interior fluid pressure P_{in} which causes it to undergo a displacement field \mathbf{u} . If $F = I + \nabla \mathbf{u}$ ($F_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \partial_j u_i$) is the deformation gradient and $\sigma = \frac{\partial W}{\partial F} = (\mu F - P \text{Det}(F) F^{-T})$, is the PK1 large deformation stress, then we show in the main manuscript that the deformation will be governed by the bulk equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \sigma &= 0 \implies \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \text{Det}(F) F^{-T} \cdot \nabla P = 0 & (1) \\ \text{Det}(F) &= 1, & (2) \end{aligned}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(\sigma + P_f \text{Det}(F) F^{-T}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}|_{r=a,b} = 0. \quad (3)$$

We restrict attention to axisymmetric displacements $\mathbf{u} = (u_r, 0, u_z)$. Since we are working in cylindrical coordinates, we first recall the forms of the gradient operators in the above equations, using commas to denote partial derivatives:

$$\nabla P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{,r} \\ 0 \\ P_{,z} \end{pmatrix} \quad \nabla \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{r,r} & 0 & u_{r,z} \\ 0 & \frac{u_r}{r} & 0 \\ u_{z,r} & 0 & u_{z,z} \end{pmatrix} \quad \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{r,rr} + \frac{u_{r,r}}{r} - \frac{u_r}{r^2} + u_{r,zz} \\ 0 \\ u_{z,rr} + \frac{u_{z,r}}{r} + u_{z,zz} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Before considering the peristaltic instability we first consider the systems uniform dilation:

$$\mathbf{u} = u_0(r) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad P = \mu P_0(r). \quad (5)$$

The terms become

$$\nabla P = \mu \begin{pmatrix} P'_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u''_0 + \frac{u'_0}{r} - \frac{u_0}{r^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

and the deformation gradient F and $\text{Det}(F) F^{-T}$ are then

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + u'_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{u_0}{r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Det}(F) F^{-T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{u_0}{r} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + u'_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + u'_0 + \frac{u_0}{r}(1 + u'_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Using these expressions, eqn. (1) & (2) become

$$r^2 u''_0 + r u'_0 - u_0 - r(r + u_0) P'_0 = 0, \quad u_0 + (r + u_0) u'_0 = 0, \quad (8)$$

which are solved by

$$u_0(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + c^2} - r \equiv R - r, \quad P_0(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r^2}{r^2 + c^2} - \ln \left(\frac{r^2}{r^2 + c^2} \right) \right) + q, \quad (9)$$

where c and q are constants that are determined by the boundary conditions (3) at $r = a$ and $r = b$,

$$\left(\frac{P_{in}}{\mu} - P_0(a) \right) (a + u_0(a)) + a(1 + u'_0(a)) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$-P_0(b)(b + u_0(b)) + b(1 + u'_0(b)) = 0. \quad (11)$$

By substituting (9) into (10,11), we obtain expression for q and P_{in} as a function of c

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{b^2}{b^2 + c^2} + \ln \left(\frac{b^2}{b^2 + c^2} \right) \right], \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{in} &= \frac{\mu}{2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{b^2}{b^2 + c^2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{a^2}{a^2 + c^2} \right) + \frac{b^2}{b^2 + c^2} - \frac{a^2}{a^2 + c^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\mu}{2} \left[-\ln(1 + (a/b)^2(\lambda^2 - 1)) + \ln(\lambda^2) + \frac{1}{1 + (a/b)^2(\lambda^2 - 1)} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\mu}{2} [g(1 + (a/b)^2(\lambda^2 - 1)) - g(\lambda^2)], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{a^2 + c^2}/a$ and function $g(x) \equiv (1/x) - \ln(x)$. In the limit of $b \rightarrow \infty$, eqn (13) becomes

$$P_{in} = \frac{\mu}{2} [1 + \ln(\lambda^2) - \lambda^{-2}]. \quad (14)$$

We then apply the small perturbation $\mathbf{u} = u_0(r)\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \delta\mathbf{u}$ and $P = \mu P_0(r) + \delta P$. They induce the first order change in the deformation gradient $\delta F = \nabla\delta\mathbf{u}$ and the PK1 stress

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\sigma &= \mu\delta F - \delta(P\text{Det}(F)F^{-T}) \\ &= \mu\delta F - \delta P F_0^{-T} - \mu P_0 \delta(\text{Det}(F)F^{-T}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where F_0 is the unperturbed deformation gradient. We also use the fact that $\text{Det}(F_0) = 1$ to simplify the expression. Equations (1) and (2) need to be satisfied by the perturbation:

$$\nabla \cdot \delta\sigma = 0 \implies \mu\nabla^2\delta\mathbf{u} - F_0^{-T} \cdot \nabla\delta P - \mu\delta(\text{Det}(F)F^{-T}) \cdot \nabla P_0 = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\delta\text{Det}(F) = 0 \implies \text{Tr}(F_0^{-1}\delta F) = 0. \quad (17)$$

with the perturbed boundary conditions

$$[\delta\sigma - P_f\delta(\text{Det}(F)F^{-T})] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}|_{r=a,b} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Using an sinusoidal perturbation as an anzats:

$$\delta\mathbf{u} = f_r(r)\cos(kz)\hat{\mathbf{r}} + f_z(r)\sin(kz)\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \quad \delta P = \mu P_1(r)\cos(kz). \quad (19)$$

We then have

$$\nabla\delta P = \mu \begin{pmatrix} P_1' \cos(kz) \\ 0 \\ -kP_1 \sin(kz) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla^2\delta\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(f_r'' - k^2 f_r + \frac{f_r'}{r} - \frac{f_r}{r^2} \right) \cos(kz) \\ 0 \\ \left(f_z'' - k^2 f_z + \frac{f_z'}{r} \right) \sin(kz) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

$$\delta F = \begin{pmatrix} f_r' \cos(kz) & 0 & -k f_r \sin(kz) \\ 0 & -\frac{f_r}{r} \cos(kz) & 0 \\ f_z' \sin(kz) & 0 & k f_z \cos(kz) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

$$\delta(\text{Det}(F)F^{-T}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_r + kRf_z}{r} \cos(kz) & 0 & -\frac{R}{r} f_z' \sin kz \\ 0 & (f_r' + \frac{kR}{R} f_z) \cos(kz) & 0 \\ \frac{kR}{r} f_r \sin(kz) & 0 & (\frac{f_r}{R} + \frac{R}{r} f_r') \cos kz \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Only the terms at first order in the perturbation are included. The bulk equations (16,17), after some simplifications, are then

$$rR^4 (r f_r'' + f_r' - R P_1') + r^2 f_r (r^2 - R^2 (k^2 R^2 + 2)) + kR f_z (r^2 - R^2)^2 = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$k f_r (r^2 - R^2)^2 + rR^3 (r f_z'' + f_z' - k^2 r f_z + kR P_1) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$R(R f_r' + kR f_z) + r f_r = 0. \quad (25)$$




FIG. 1. numerical results and theoretical prediction for threshold cavity pressure at different radii ratio b/a as a function of wavelength. For $b/a > 100$, the curve would be on top of the $b/a = 100$ curve, showing the convergence

Equation (24) and (25) and provide expressions for f_z and P_1 :

$$f_z(r) = -\frac{rf_r(r) + R^2 f_r'(r)}{krR}, \quad (26)$$

$$P_1(r) = \frac{rR^3(k^2 r f_z(r) - f_z'(r) - r f_z''(r)) - k(R^2 - r^2)^2 f_r(r)}{kr^2 R^3}. \quad (27)$$

Substituting these expressions into (23), we obtain a fourth order differential equation for f_r :

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 \left[f_r' (-2k^2 r^4 - r^2 (1 - k^2 R^2) - 3R^2) + r \left[f_r'' (-k^2 r^4 + r^2 (1 - k^2 R^2) + 3R^2) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + r \left(rR^2 f_r^{(4)} + f_r^{(3)} (r^2 - 2R^2) \right) \right] \right] + k^2 r f_r (r^4 (k^2 R^2 - 2) + r^2 R^2 + 2R^4) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The boundary conditions (18) at $r = a$ and $r = b$ are

$$R(a) f_z'(a) - ka f_r(a) = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$aR(a)^2 f_r'(a) - a^2 f_r(a) - ka^2 R(a) f_z(a) - R(a)^3 P_1(a) = 0 \quad (30)$$

$$R(b) f_z'(b) - kb f_r(b) = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$bR(b)^2 f_r'(b) - b^2 f_r(b) - kb^2 R(b) f_z(b) - R(b)^3 P_1(b) = 0, \quad (32)$$

where $R(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + c^2}$. The differential equation is complicated and not easy to solve analytically. Instead, we solve it using Matlab's `bvp4c` boundary value solver. We specify values for a, b and k then `bvp4c` is able to find the lowest value for c for which the equations have a solution, and find the solution. We then iterate over k to find the solution with lowest c . The threshold cavity pressure can then be calculated from c via eqn (13). This would be the critical value of P_{in} for the instability and its wavenumber. In Fig. 1, we plot the threshold value of P_{in} against wavelength, $2\pi/k$, at different values of b/a , showing the convergence as $b/a \rightarrow \infty$. We also performed numerical simulation which agrees with our prediction. For $b \rightarrow \infty$, the minimum is at $P_{in} = 2.052\mu$ and $2\pi/k = 12.278a$ as stated in the main text.