


MECEE 4602: Introduction to Robotics, Fall 2019
Transforms

1 Transforms in 2D

Given a coordinate frame A, the location of a point in this frame can be expressed in Cartesian coordinates:


$${}^A\mathbf{p} = [{}^Ap_x, {}^Ap_y]^T \quad (1)$$



We say that ${}^A\mathbf{p}$ is the location of point \mathbf{p} relative to (or expressed in) coordinate frame A. If we are operating in 2D, then ${}^A\mathbf{p}$ is a vector living in a 2-dimensional space.

Often in robotics we know a point's location expressed in one coordinate frame, but we'd like to compute it as expressed in a different coordinate frame. For example, consider a robot arm with a sensor attached at the end. The sensor perceives an object (reduced here to a point); we thus know the location of the object expressed in the sensor's coordinate frame (denoted for example by B). We'd like to compute the location of the object expressed in the base coordinate frame of the robot (denoted by A). Based on the robot's internal sensors, we also know the transform from A to B, denoted by AT_B . We would like to compute the object's location as seen from A.


$${}^AT_B {}^B\mathbf{p} = {}^A\mathbf{p} \quad (2)$$



We might even have to “walk” through multiple coordinate frames to get to the known point:

$${}^AT_B {}^BT_C {}^C\mathbf{p} = {}^A\mathbf{p} \quad (3)$$

$${}^AT_C {}^C\mathbf{p} = {}^A\mathbf{p} \quad (4)$$




In this section, we will show how we can express the notion of a “transform” such as ${}^A T_B$, as well as transform operations using concrete mathematical constructs.

1.1 Rotation in 2D

We have a point expressed in coordinate system B as:

$${}^B \mathbf{p} = [{}^B p_x, {}^B p_y]^T \quad (5)$$

We would like to compute this point as seen by coordinate system A. B is rotated from A by an angle θ (around the Z axis, the only possible axis of rotation in X-Y 2D worlds).



$${}^A p_x = {}^B p_x \cos(\theta) - {}^B p_y \sin(\theta) \quad (6)$$

$${}^A p_y = {}^B p_x \sin(\theta) + {}^B p_y \cos(\theta) \quad (7)$$


$${}^A \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} {}^B p_x \\ {}^B p_y \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \cdot {}^B \mathbf{p} \quad (8)$$

We thus notice that transforming \mathbf{p} from the B coordinate frame to the A coordinate frame is done by multiplying the ${}^B \mathbf{p}$ vector by the matrix \mathbf{R} . If A and B differ only by a rotation, then the matrix \mathbf{R} relating ${}^B \mathbf{p}$ and ${}^A \mathbf{p}$ is referred to as a **rotation matrix**. In 2D, a rotation matrix' size is 2x2. In addition, a **rotation matrix** always has the following characteristics:

- orthonormal
 - all column vectors are of unit length
 - dot product of any two columns is 0
- $|\mathbf{R}| = 1$ (determinant equal to 1)

- $\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^T$ (its transpose is its inverse)

An alternative way to think of rotations is to consider their effect on the coordinate axes, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ (and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ if operating in 3D).



$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [1, 0]^T \quad (9)$$


$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = [0, 1]^T \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{p} = [p_x, p_y]^T = p_x \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}} + p_y \cdot \hat{\mathbf{y}} \quad (11)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} & \hat{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

Now instead of thinking how the point \mathbf{p} is seen from coordinate frame A, we can think of how the axes $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ are seen from the coordinate frame A. We denote $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ as seen by A using ${}^A\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and ${}^A\hat{\mathbf{y}}$.



$${}^A\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \quad {}^A\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\theta) \\ \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Then, ${}^A\mathbf{p}$ can be expressed as:

$${}^A\mathbf{p} = p_x \cdot {}^A\hat{\mathbf{x}} + p_y \cdot {}^A\hat{\mathbf{y}} \quad (15)$$


$$= \begin{bmatrix} {}^A\hat{\mathbf{x}} & {}^A\hat{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

Note that by thinking about how the unit axes rotate from one coordinate frame to another, we have arrived at the same result. However, Eq. (16) illustrates an important and very useful point: **the rotated unit axes can be found as the columns of the rotation matrix \mathbf{R}** . This also explains why a rotation matrix is always orthonormal: its columns show the new directions of the coordinate axes, which are always of unit length, and perpendicular to each other.

1.2 Translation in 2D

In case coordinate frames A and B differ by only a translation, computing ${}^A\mathbf{p}$ can be a straightforward addition.



$${}^B\mathbf{p} = [{}^Bp_x, {}^Bp_y]^T \quad (18)$$


$${}^Ap_x = {}^Bp_x + t_x \quad (19)$$

$${}^Ap_y = {}^Bp_y + t_y \quad (20)$$

$${}^A\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^Bp_x \\ {}^Bp_y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix} = {}^B\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{t} \quad (21)$$

1.3 Full Transform: Rotation and Translation

What if the relationship between coordinate frames A and B is completely general, meaning it can combine a translation and a rotation?



One way to express ${}^A\mathbf{p}$ is to simply combine the translation and rotation as above:

$${}^A\mathbf{p} = {}^A\mathbf{R}_B \cdot {}^B\mathbf{p} + {}^A\mathbf{t}_B \quad (22)$$

However, for many reasons (including compactness and the ability to express complex relationships between multiple coordinate frames), it is very advantageous to express this relationship using a single operation. In order to combine the multiplication and addition from Eq. (22), we need to “doctor” our matrices a bit by adding one more dimension. This is referred to as using **homogeneous coordinates**.

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

Note that we have added one more dimension to \mathbf{p} . For our purposes, you can think of this additional number as a modification that allows us to combine rotation and translation in a single operation. **When reasoning about rigid body transforms in 3D Euclidian space (as is common in robotics), you can safely think that this number is always 1.** There are other uses of homogeneous coordinates where this number is not 1 (for example in computer graphics) but we will not be dealing with them in this course.

We can now write out the full transform equation as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^A p_x \\ {}^A p_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & t_x \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & t_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} {}^B p_x \\ {}^B p_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = {}^A\mathbf{T}_B {}^B\mathbf{p} \quad (24)$$

where ${}^A\mathbf{T}_B$ is a **transform matrix**:

$${}^A\mathbf{T}_B = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} {}^A\mathbf{R}_B & {}^A\mathbf{t}_B \\ \hline 0_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (25)$$

A transform matrix is a very important concept, used throughout this course and throughout the field of robotics (and many other fields, such as vision, graphics, etc.). The use and properties of a rigid transform matrix are as follows:

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

$${}^A\mathbf{T}_B \cdot {}^B\mathbf{p} = {}^A\mathbf{p} \quad (27)$$

$${}^A\mathbf{T}_B \cdot {}^B\mathbf{T}_C = {}^A\mathbf{T}_C \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{T} \quad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}^T & -\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

Note: when thinking about rigid transforms (and throughout this course), you can safely assume that the bottom row of the transform matrix has the structure described above. Transform matrices exist that do not follow this rule, but they do not define rigid transforms as they also distort the objects they are transforming (and thus are used primarily in computer graphics).

2 Transforms in 3D

Homogeneous coordinates and transform matrices extend directly to 3D:

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \\ p_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)$$

$${}^A\mathbf{T}_B = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{R} & \begin{matrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ t_z \end{matrix} \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{R}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{t}_{3 \times 1} \\ \hline 0_{1 \times 3} & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (32)$$

2.1 Rotation Matrices in 3D

Same properties as in 2D:

- orthonormal
- $|\mathbf{R}| = 1$ (determinant equal to 1)
- $\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^T$ (its transpose is its inverse)
- columns show the new orientation of the axes

Special case: rotation about a coordinate axis:

$$\mathbf{R}_x(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_y(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \quad (34)$$


$$\mathbf{R}_z(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (35)$$

3 Moving Things Around using Transforms

So far, we've thought about transforms as a way of jumping from one coordinate frame to another. This is the main use we'll have for them in this course. However, there is another way to think about transforms (often encountered for example when doing graphics). Instead of thinking that the coordinate frames move around a static point (or object), we can think that the coordinate frame stays the same, and the object is moving around. It is easy to see why these concepts are in fact one and the same.

3.1 Moving Points

Assume we have a point p in a coordinate frame B. If we keep our frame of reference constant, we can think of applying transform T to p as moving p by T to a new location p_n . Conceptually, this is the same as moving our reference frame by the opposite of T , or going to a new reference frame A such that T^{-1} is the transform from B to A.




3.2 Moving Coordinate Frames

Coordinate frames are generally defined by transform matrices themselves. They get moved around by multiplying with another transform matrix.

$$T_0 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.71 & -0.71 & 3 \\ 0.71 & 0.71 & 3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad T_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (37)$$

$$T_{n1} = T_0 T_1 \quad (38)$$

$$T_{n2} = T_1 T_0 \quad (39)$$



Order matters! Left- or right-multiplying two transform matrices yields different results. One way to think of it is that a transform gets applied in the coordinate system of what is to the left of it.

3.3 Putting it All Together

Consider the following transforms:

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \text{ (translation by 2 along } x \text{)} \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \text{ (rotation by 180 degrees around origin)} \quad (41)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_3 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \text{ (translation by 2 along } y \text{)} \quad (42)$$

(43)


applied to point $\mathbf{p} = [2, 2, 1]^T$:

$$\mathbf{p}_n = \mathbf{T}_3 \mathbf{T}_2 \mathbf{T}_1 \mathbf{p} \quad (44)$$

What is the result?

Method 1: think of it as point \mathbf{p} moving around. Note that transforms are applied in order, **right-to-left**, and each transform gets applied in the **original coordinate system**.

Method 2: think of it as our coordinate system moving around. In this case, we are applying transforms **left-to-right**, and every transform gets applied in the **new coordinate system** resulting from everything to the left of it.



A single transform matrix contains both a translation and a rotation. The decomposition below shows us that the translation part gets applied in the starting coordinate system, before the rotation:

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}' = \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}' & \mathbf{t}' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{t}' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

4 Comparison of Representations for 3D Rotations

So far, we have represented a 3D rotation using a rotation matrix $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{R}^{3 \times 3}$. However, there are other ways to encode a general 3D rotation. Put in different terms, **there are multiple ways to encode the orientation of an object in 3D space.**

For example, in aviation, the orientation of an aircraft is commonly denoted by three variables: yaw, pitch and roll. This is a particular case of using 3 numbers, each denoting rotation around one particular axis, to define a general rotation. This general class of representations is known as Euler Angles.

In general, **one needs precisely 3 independent variables in order to be able to encode any arbitrary rotation in 3D space.** The Euler Angles representation is thus minimal: it does not use any “unnecessary” numbers. Thinking of yaw-pitch-roll also makes it easier to remember that one needs 3 independent variables to specify a 3D rotation.

What about a rotation matrix \mathbf{R} then, which contains a total of 9 variables? It is important to remember that these variables are not independent: \mathbf{R} must be orthonormal. By counting these constraints, it is easy to realize that a rotation matrix also contains only 3 independent elements, and all the others can be derived from them.

The fact that a rotation matrix contains so much “extra” information (9 numbers instead of 3) is indeed a drawback, particularly for applications where storage space is at a premium. However, the great appeal of using matrices to represent rotations is their simplicity in use: they provide excellent intuition (looking at a rotation matrix we can often tell what rotation it represents, since the new axes of the coordinate frame are in the columns), and applying a rotation is as simple as matrix multiplication.

Here is a brief comparison of the most commonly used methods to encode a 3D rotation:

	Matrix	Euler Angles	Quaternion
Numbers	9	3	4
Constraints	orthonormal, unit det.	none	unit length
Intuition	columns are new axes	in some domains	none
Singularities	no	yes	no
How applied?	matrix multiplication	-	-

5 Remember

Homogeneous coordinates:

$$\mathbf{p} = [p_x \ p_y \ p_z \ 1]^T \quad (46)$$

Transform matrix:

$$\mathbf{T} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{R} & \begin{matrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ t_z \end{matrix} \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{R}_{3x3} & \mathbf{t}_{3x1} \\ \hline 0_{1x3} & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (47)$$

3D rotation matrix \mathbf{R}_{3x3} :

- orthonormal
- new axes are columns
- $|\mathbf{R}| = 1$
- $\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^T$

Switching coordinate frames:

$${}^A\mathbf{T}_B \cdot {}^B\mathbf{p} = {}^A\mathbf{p} \quad (48)$$

$${}^A\mathbf{T}_B \cdot {}^B\mathbf{T}_C = {}^A\mathbf{T}_C \quad (49)$$

“Moving” points around:

$$\mathbf{p}_n = \mathbf{T}'\mathbf{p} \quad (50)$$

“Moving” coordinate frames around:

$$\mathbf{T}_{n1} = \mathbf{T}'\mathbf{T}_o \quad (51)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{n2} = \mathbf{T}_o\mathbf{T}' \quad (52)$$

Rotation in 3D:

- any rotation can be encoded using only 3 numbers, and any 3 numbers uniquely specify a 3D rotation
- we sometimes use representations with more than 3 numbers (and some added constraints) because they are easier to use in various ways
- the most common representations for 3D rotations are matrices, Euler angles and quaternions, each with its own pros and cons