

**Definition 1** Two state-space realizations are input-output equivalent if their transfer functions are equal or equivalently their impulse responses (Markov parameters) are equal. State-space realization  $(A, B, C, D)$  is input-output equivalent to  $(A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0)$  if  $D_0 = D$  and  $CA^i B = C_0 A_0^i B_0$  for all non-negative integers  $i$  or equivalently

$$D + C(zI - A)^{-1}B = D_0 + C_0(zI - A)^{-1}B_0 \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 1** Let  $(A, B, C, D)$  be an order  $n$  state-space realization of a single output linear system. Let  $C_0$  be any row vector of the same size as  $C$  such that  $(A, C_0)$  is an observable pair. Then there exists matrices  $B_0$  and  $D_0$  such that the realization  $(A, B_0, C_0, D_0)$  is input-output equivalent with  $(A, B, C, D)$ .

**Proof:** Input output equivalence is hence matching the sequence of Markov parameters (the impulse response). Trivially we have the choice  $D_0 = D$  and we want to show that there exists a  $B_0$  such that the rest of the impulse response matrices coincide, i.e.

$$CA^i B = C_0 A^i B_0, \quad \forall i \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

The observability matrix

$$\mathcal{O}(A, C) \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

has full rank iff  $(A, C)$  is observable. The  $n$  first equalities in (2) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{O}(A, C)B = \mathcal{O}(A, C_0)B_0. \quad (4)$$

For any choice  $C_0$  where  $(A, C_0)$  is observable, (4) has a unique solution  $B_0$  given by

$$B_0 = \mathcal{O}(A, C_0)^{-1} \mathcal{O}(A, C)B. \quad (5)$$

It remains to show that the equalities in (2) also holds for  $i \geq n$  for the solution given by (5). It follows from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem [1] that for each integer  $i$  there exists scalars  $\alpha_r$  such that  $A^i = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \alpha_r A^r$ . Hence for each  $i \geq n$  we have

$$CA^i B - C_0 A^i B_0 = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \alpha_r (CA^r B - C_0 A^r B_0) = 0 \quad (6)$$

which completes the proof. ■

**Comments 1** A matrix  $A$  is known as non-derogatory if there exists a  $C_0$  such that  $(A, C_0)$  is observable. All non-derogatory matrices are similar to a matrix in companion form. A sufficient condition for  $A$  to be non-derogatory is that all eigenvalues of  $A$  are distinct.

Note that in Theorem 1 it is not necessary that we assume  $(A, B, C, D)$  to be a minimal realization.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $(A, B, C, D)$  be an order  $n$  state-space realization of a single input linear system. Let  $B_0$  be any column vector of the same size as  $B$  such that  $(A, B_0)$  is a controllable pair. Then there exists matrices  $C_0$  and  $D_0$  such that the realization  $(A, B_0, C_0, D_0)$  is input-output equivalent with  $(A, B, C, D)$*

**Proof:** The result follows directly from Theorem 1 by considering the system  $(A^T, C^T, B^T, D^T)$ . ■

For a given  $A$  matrix define the loss function

$$V(B, C, D) \triangleq \sum_k \|Y_k + DU_k + C(z_k I - A)^{-1} B U_k\|^2 \quad (7)$$

where  $U_k \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $Y_k \in \mathbb{C}^1$  are the given input and output data respectively. Assume the matrices  $B_*, C_*, D_*$  is a solution which minimizes  $V$ . Define the set

$$\mathcal{S}(A) \triangleq \{D_* + C_*(zI - A)^{-1} B_*\}, \quad (8)$$

where  $B_*, C_*$ , and  $D_*$  range over all minimizers to  $V$ . Note that since  $B_*$  and  $C_*$  appear bilinearly in the loss function  $V$  there are always several solutions  $B_*, C_*$  even if the set  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  only has a single element.

Let  $A$  be fixed and  $C_0$  be a given row vector of the same size as  $C$ . Define the least-squares loss function

$$V_0(B, D) \triangleq \sum_k \|Y_k + DU_k + C_0(z_k I - A)^{-1} B U_k\|^2 \quad (9)$$

and let  $B_0$  and  $D_0$  be a minimizing solution to  $V_0$ . Define the set

$$\mathcal{S}_0(A, C_0) \triangleq \{D_0 + C_0(zI - A)^{-1} B_0\}, \quad (10)$$

where  $B_0$  and  $D_0$  range over all minimizers to  $V_0$ .

**Theorem 2** *Assume  $A$  is fixed. Let  $C_0$  be any row vector of the same size as  $C$  and that  $(A, C_0)$  is an observable pair. Let the sets  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0(A, C_0)$  be defined by (8) and (10). Then*

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = \mathcal{S}_0(A, C_0) \quad (11)$$

**Proof:** By Theorem 1 we can conclude that for each realization  $(A, B_*, C_*, D_*) \in \mathcal{S}(A)$  there exists an input-output equivalent realization  $(A, B_0, C_0, D_0)$  and  $V(B_*, C_*, D_*) = V_0(B_0, D_0)$  since the two loss functions only depend on the transfer function and not on the specific realization. Since  $V_0$  only has a subset of the free parameters compared to  $V$  it follows that  $B_0$  and  $D_0$  is a minimizer also to  $V$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}(A) = \mathcal{S}_0(A, C_0)$ . ■

**Definition 2** *A data set  $\{U_k, Y_k\}_k$  is informative w.r.t. a given matrix  $A$  if the set  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  defined in (8) only contain a single element, i.e. there is only one transfer function which model the data in the best way.*

**Theorem 3** *Consider the assumptions in Theorem 2 and assume the data  $\{U_k, Y_k\}_k$  is informative. Then the optimizing solution to  $V(B, D)$ , i.e.  $B_0$  and  $D_0$  is unique.*

**Proof:** The informative assumption and Theorem 2 imply that  $\mathcal{S}_0(A, C_0)$  only has a single element. Following the construction of  $B_0, D_0$  in the proof of Theorem 1 we can conclude that the solution is unique. ■

## References

- [1] G.F. Frobenius. Über lineare substitution und bilineare formen. *J. Reine Angewandte Mathematik*, 84(1), 1878.