

VARY


CHOICE: DIFFERENT BANDIT ALGOS (ETC, E-GREEN, UCB, ...)

SEPARATE FOR USER & REC

PRODUCTS: ARM REWARD DISTRIBUTIONS

PROGRESS

MOST STUDIED SO FAR FOR ETC/ETC THEORETICALLY BY JIRI. HAVE USER REGRET.



ASPECTS

- DIFFERENT **CHOICE** ACROSS RECOMMENDERS, E.G.
 - DIFFERENT ALGO
 - DATA ADVANTAGE
- INTERESTING FINITE HORIZON & EXTREME EVENTS EFFECTS
- **DIRECT INTERACTION** BETWEEN RECOMMENDERS? (HARD TO MODEL ?!)


THEORY

- REC REGRET
- EXTREME EVENTS $\leftrightarrow \Delta_i$
- ADD NO-OP
- HETEROGENEITY OF RECS

EXPERIMENT

- SWEEP THROUGH ALGOS FOR USERS & RECS
- ANALYSE "EXTREME" EVENTS BY SWEEPING Δ_i
- # RECS
- MODEL DATA ADVANTAGE


COMPETITION OF USERS



DID NOT REALLY DISCUSS THIS YET.
SEEMS NOT INTERESTING BECAUSE OUR SETTING ASSUMES NO SCARCITY OF PRODUCTS/TOPICS.
⇒ HAPPY TO IGNORE THIS.

POTENTIALLY A LOT GOING ON HERE WITH MANY MODELLING CHOICES

COMPETITION OF USERS



ASPECTS (ADDITIONAL)

- DIFFERENT CHOICES FOR RECS & USERS
⇒ IMPLICIT INTERACTIONS & "SPECIALIZATION EFFECTS"?
- EXPLICIT HETEROGENEITY BETWEEN USERS IN THEIR PREFERENCES
- DIRECT INTERACTIONS BETWEEN RECS & USERS. (HARD TO MODEL?)

THIS IS WHAT WE ORIGINALLY WANTED TO ANALYZE, BUT THE "CENTRALIZED RECOMMENDER" SETTING MADE IT HARD TO GET ANYTHING INTERESTING BEYOND MATCHING.
E.G., WHAT DO USERS & PRODUCERS SUBMIT TO THE RECOMMENDER?

PRODUCER CONSUMER VARIATION

