

FunTuple: A new N-tuple component for offline data processing at the LHCb experiment

Abhijit Mathad^{1,2†}, Martina Ferrillo¹, Sacha Barré^{2,3}, Patrick Koppenburg⁴, Patrick Owen¹, Gerhard Raven^{4,5}, Eduardo Rodrigues⁶, Nicola Serra¹

¹ *University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*

² *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*

³ *The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*

⁴ *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*

⁵ *VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands*

⁶ *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*

[†] *Contact author: amathad@cern.ch*

Keywords: High-energy-physics, LHCb experiment, Data Processing and Offline Analysis

Abstract

The offline software framework of the LHCb experiment has undergone a significant overhaul to tackle the data processing challenges that will arise in the upcoming Run 3 and Run 4 of the Large Hadron Collider. This paper introduces **FunTuple**, a novel component developed for offline data processing within the LHCb experiment. This component enables the computation and storage of a diverse range of observables for both reconstructed and simulated events by leveraging on the tools initially developed for the trigger system. This feature is crucial for ensuring consistency between trigger-computed and offline-analysed observables. The component and its tool suite offer users flexibility to customise stored observables, and its reliability is validated through a full-coverage set of rigorous unit tests. This paper comprehensively explores **FunTuple**'s design, interface, interaction with other algorithms, and its role in facilitating offline data processing for the LHCb experiment for the next decade and beyond.

1 Introduction

The LHCb experiment, located at Point 8 of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1] at CERN, is a forward-arm spectrometer designed to study the decays of beauty and charm hadrons [2, 3]. In the initial two runs of the LHC, during 2010–2018, the experiment (mainly) collected proton-proton collision data corresponding to a total integrated luminosity of 9 fb^{-1} . As preparations intensify for Run 3¹, where the LHC’s instantaneous luminosity is anticipated to surge by a factor of 5 compared to the preceding runs, the LHCb experiment is poised to enhance its capabilities even further. The upgraded detector [4] and data acquisition system will allow for improved vertexing and trigger efficiency [5]. This enhancement facilitates the exploration of exceedingly rare decays [6] while also facilitating the probing of deviations from Standard Model predictions with unparalleled precision [7–9].

The advent of Run 3 data acquisition presents significant hurdles for the LHCb data processing framework. Notably, the data volume from LHCb’s Run 3 is projected to surge by over 15 times compared to prior runs [10]. Consequently, management of petabytes of processed data and effectively incorporating distributed computing resources present significant challenges [11, 12]. In light of these challenges, a comprehensive redesign of both the trigger and offline data processing pipelines is imperative [10, 11]. This paper concentrates on the offline data processing pipeline, specifically highlighting the development of a new component called `FunTuple` [13] facilitating analysis of Run 3 data and beyond.

In the initial LHC runs, LHCb’s trigger and offline reconstruction applications, `Moore` [14] and `Brunel` [15], operated independently from the `DaVinci` application [16] employed for offline data processing. Besides executing offline event selection, the `DaVinci` application was used to process and store data for subsequent analysis. This task was accomplished via the `DecayTreeTuple` algorithm [17],² which recorded a specific set of observables into output files. Firstly, due to the segregation of trigger and offline frameworks, the equivalence between trigger-computed observables and those analysed offline was not guaranteed. Secondly, users lacked the flexibility to customise the set of observables recorded, which is essential in light of the anticipated data volume surge for Run 3 and Run 4. Furthermore, as part of its strategy to tackle the forthcoming data processing challenges in Run 4 and beyond, the LHCb experiment plans to implement a new event model based on *Structure of Arrays* (SoA), which will facilitate vectorised processing of data [20]. Substantial enhancements were also made to the trigger reconstruction algorithms that facilitated retirement of the `Brunel` package, which was responsible for offline reconstruction [21–24]. Consequently, the development of new offline algorithms becomes imperative to accommodate these changes.

To overcome these hurdles, a strategic choice was made to leverage tools developed for the trigger system within the offline software framework. This led to the development of a new component, `FunTuple` [13], short for **F**unctional **nT**uple, which is tailored for processing Run 3 and Run 4 data. The `FunTuple` component introduces enhancements to the previous workflow. Firstly, it guarantees the consistency between trigger-computed observables and those subjected to offline analysis. Secondly, `FunTuple`, along with all its dependencies, is entirely templated in C++, allowing it to support both legacy

¹The data collection from Run 3 of LHC is currently ongoing; however, the core developments emphasised in this paper transpired prior to its commencement.

²There were also alternative Python based algorithms like `Bender` [18, 19] for Run 1/2 data processing.

and upcoming event models planned for future LHC runs. The templated design along with the SoA event model enables the component to leverage *Single Instruction Multiple Data* (SIMD) vectorisation. Lastly, it offers users the flexibility to efficiently tailor the list of recorded observables, an important feature given the expected surge in data volume for Run 3 and Run 4. This component is configured with a robust suite of tools designed for the second stage of the LHCb trigger system, known as Throughput Oriented (ThOr) functors [25–27]. These functors are designed to deliver high-speed in the trigger’s demanding throughput environment and are adept at computing topological and kinematic observables. `FunTuple` utilises these functors to compute a diverse range of observables and writes a `TTree` in the `ROOT` N-tuple format.³ The N-tuple format is widely used in the High Energy Physics community to store flattened data in a tabular format [29]. Furthermore, the component’s lightweight design ensures simplified maintenance and seamless knowledge transfer. As depicted in Fig.1, the `FunTuple` component plays a central role, bridging the gap between the offline data processing stage (`Sprucing`) and the subsequent user analysis stages [30]. In the `Sprucing` stage, the data is slimmed and skimmed before being saved to disk as part of the offline data processing workflow. The placement of `FunTuple` underscores its critical role in LHCb’s analysis productions [31], facilitating the storage of experiment-acquired data in a format suitable for subsequent offline analysis.

2 Design and interface

`FunTuple` is a novel component integral to the LHCb experiment’s data processing infrastructure. It is a C++ [32] class built upon the `Gaudi` functional framework [33], and it offers a user-friendly `Python` [34] interface. The flexibility of the `FunTuple` component lies in its templated design, allowing it to accommodate various types of input data. As a result, for Run 3, it is available in the three distinct flavours `FunTuple_Particles`, `FunTuple_MCParticles` and `FunTuple_Event` hereafter described.

The `FunTuple_Event` component processes input data comprising of reconstructed or simulated events, where each event represents a single LHC bunch crossing. It acquires event-level information (for example the number of charged particles in the event), using thread-safe `ThOr` functors that are specialised C++ classes developed for utilisation in the second stage of the LHCb trigger system [25, 26, 35]. The component then stores this extracted information from `ThOr` functors in a `ROOT` N-tuple file. The `FunTuple_Particles` component functions on reconstructed events and identifies specific reconstructed decays by utilising the decay-finding tool `DecayFinder` [27] explained in Section 2.1. It further retrieves essential details regarding parent and children particles (for example magnitude of the transverse moment) through `ThOr` functors and records this information in a `ROOT` file. Similarly, the `FunTuple_MCParticles` component shares similarities with `FunTuple_Particles`, but it processes simulated events instead, and captures information about simulated decays. For an illustrative representation of the data flow encompassing these three approaches, refer to Fig. 2. Each aspect of the data-flow diagram is further elaborated in the following sections.

The instantiation of the three flavours of the `FunTuple` component in `Python` is exempli-

³There are plans in the future to write `ROOT RNTuple`, which has been designed to address performance bottlenecks and shortcomings of `ROOT` current state of the art `TTree` [28].




Figure 1: Data flow diagram for Run 3 data processing showing the placement of the FunTuple component. Figure adapted from Ref. [30].




Figure 2: Data flow diagram of the three flavours of FunTuple component.

fied in Listings 1–3. As depicted, the user is required to provide the `name` and `tuple_name` attributes for all three flavours. The `name` attribute defines the component’s name and the name of the corresponding `TDirectory` in the output ROOT file. On the other hand, the `tuple_name` attribute defines the name of the `TTree` in the ROOT file. The `fields` attribute can only be defined for `FunTuple_Particles` and `FunTuple_MCParticles` and is used to select specific decays within an event and define the corresponding `TBranches` in the output file. For a detailed exploration of this attribute, see Section 2.1. The `variables` attribute is used to specify the observables to be computed for each event or decay. In the case of `FunTuple_Event`, only event-level observables can be defined. Conversely, for `FunTuple_Particles` and `FunTuple_MCParticles`, both decay-level and event-level observables can be specified. The latter is achieved by defining an optional `event_variables` attribute. It is worth noting that the `FunTuple` component automatically writes certain event information, such as the run and event numbers,⁴ to the output file by default. For a more comprehensive discussion on the `variables` attribute, refer to Section 2.2. Finally, the `inputs` attribute refers to the Transient Event Store (TES) location, indicating the data pertaining to a given event cycle that will be processed. Subsequently, the processed information is stored in the output ROOT file, which is further elaborated on in Section 2.3.

The `FunTuple` component also incorporates several essential counters to monitor the data processing. These counters include tracking the number of processed events, the count of non-empty events for each selected particle, and the tally of events with multiple candidates for each chosen particle. Upon completing the data processing, the results of these counters are displayed to the users. To ensure effective error handling, the component employs a custom error handling class that inherits from the `StatusCode` class implemented in `Gaudi`. This custom implementation enables the component to raise specific exceptions in targeted scenarios. For example, if a particular `ThOr` functor encounters difficulties and cannot compute an observable for a given event, the component raises an exception to promptly notify the user of the issue. Additionally, the `FunTuple` component takes measures to validate the input attributes both on the Python and C++ sides, ensuring the correctness of the provided data. Moreover, the development process includes the creation of several tests and examples, see Section 2.4.

2.1 Finding decays in an event

Given the distinct event models for reconstructed and simulated events, the `FunTuple` component employs two separate `Gaudi` tools for decay identification. Specifically, `FunTuple_Particles` relies on the `Gaudi` tool [36] `DecayFinder` [37], while `FunTuple_MCParticles` utilises the `MCDecayFinder` tool [38]. Both of these tools utilise the `boost` library [39,40] to parse decay descriptors. The names of particles used in the decay descriptor, along with their associated properties, are stored in the LHCb conditions database (`CondDB`) [41], and are retrieved through the `ParticlePropertySvc` [42] service.

To isolate a particular decay process within an event and select a particle within the decay chain, the user is required to provide a `fields` attribute to either the `FunTuple_Particles` or the `FunTuple_MCParticles` instance. The `fields` attribute takes the form of a string dictionary. Here, the `key` corresponds to the particle alias,

⁴Both run and event numbers are used to uniquely identify an event in the LHC experiments.

Listing 1: FunTuple_Particles instance

```

1 # import FunTuple to run over
  reconstructed particles
2 from FunTuple import
  FunTuple_Particles
3
4 # define instance of FunTuple
5 data_tuple = FunTuple_Particles(
6     name="TDirectoryName",
7     tuple_name="TTreeName",
8     fields=fields,
9     variables=variables,
10    event_variables=event_variables,
11    inputs=reco_data_TES_location)

```

Listing 2: FunTuple_MCParticles instance

```

1 # import FunTuple to run over
  simulated particles
2 from FunTuple import
  FunTuple_MCParticles
3
4 # define instance of FunTuple
5 data_tuple = FunTuple_MCParticles(
6     name="TDirectoryName",
7     tuple_name="TTreeName",
8     fields=fields,
9     variables=variables,
10    event_variables=event_variables,
11    inputs=mc_data_TES_location)

```

Listing 3: FunTuple_Event instance

```

1 # import FunTuple to run over
  reconstructed or simulated event
2 from FunTuple import FunTuple_Event
3
4 # define instance of FunTuple
5 data_tuple = FunTuple_Event(
6     name="TDirectoryName",
7     tuple_name="TTreeName",
8     variables=event_variables)

```

serving as a prefix to label the TBranch in the resulting output file. On the other hand, the associated value denotes the decay descriptor employed to filter and select the particles participating in a distinct reconstructed or simulated decay process. A practical illustration of the `fields` attribute configuration is shown in Listing 4.

Listing 4: Example definition of the `fields` attribute.

```

1 # define fields to select decays in an event
2 # key: alias of the particle used as a prefix to name the TBranch
3 # value: decay descriptor syntax select particles
4 fields = {
5     "Bplus": "[B+ -> (J/psi(1S) -> mu+ mu-) [K+]CC ]CC",
6     "Jpsi" : "[B+ -> ^(J/psi(1S) -> mu+ mu-) [K+]CC ]CC",
7     "kaons": "[B+ -> (J/psi(1S) -> mu+ mu-) ^[K+]CC ]CC",
8 }

```

A correct syntax for the decay descriptor is crucial in the selection of the particles within a given decay process. A straightforward decay descriptor such as `"B+ -> J/psi(1S) K+"` is employed to select all decays of a B^+ meson into a $J/\psi(1S)$ meson and a K^+ meson. For the inclusion of charge-conjugate decays, users can encapsulate the decay descriptor in square brackets and append the `CC` keyword, such as `"[B+ -> J/psi(1S) K+]CC"`. This syntax covers both $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+$ and $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^-$ decays. Alternatively, the `[]CC` notation can also be used around an individual particle, e.g., `"B+ -> J/psi(1S) [K+]CC"`, encompassing both $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^-$ decays.⁵ To target a specific particle within a decay, the caret symbol (`^`) is employed. For instance, `"B+ -> J/psi(1S) ^K+"` selects the K^+ meson, while excluding the caret symbol selects the parent particle. In cases of identical particles in the final state, the `FunTuple` component ensures distinct C++objects for each identical particle instance. For example, `"B+ -> ^pi+ pi- pi+"` and `"B+ -> pi+ pi- ^pi+"` would choose two distinct instances of a π^+ . In the context of simulations, the `FunTuple_MCParticles` component utilises the `LoKi` decay finder [43]. This finder offers the flexibility to incorporate various arrow types within the decay descriptor syntax [43,44]. Each arrow type allows users to selectively include simulated particles based on distinct criteria. For instance, the `=>` arrow type signifies the inclusion of an arbitrary number of additional photons stemming from final state radiation of charged particles when matching the decay.

2.2 Retrieve event and decay information

To extract essential information related to either the event or individual particles within a decay chain, users are required to furnish the `variables` or `event_variables` attribute to `FunTuple`. The `variables` attribute functions as a python dictionary in which the `key` corresponds to the particle name previously defined in the `fields` attribute. The corresponding `value` is an instance of a `FunctorCollection`, which acts as a collection of `ThOr` functors, effectively resembling a dictionary itself, with the `key` representing the variable name and the `value` denoting a `ThOr` functor. Within the context of the `FunTuple` component, these `ThOr` functors are just-in-time (JIT) compiled and employed on the particle instance to retrieve the desired information. Notably, a key labelled `ALL` holds a special significance within the definition of the `variables`. Any `FunctorCollection` associated with the `ALL` key is applied to all particles specified in the `fields` attribute. In contrast, the `event_variables` attribute takes the form of an instance of `FunctorCollection`. The enclosed `ThOr` functors are designed to provide information at the event level. The specifics of how to define the `variables` and `event_variables` attributes are illustrated in Listing 5.

The `FunTuple` component utilises the flexibility inherent in `ThOr` functors to extract a diverse array of information from the event. These functors are adaptable enough to accept multiple reconstructed objects as input, enabling the computation of associated information. For instance, consider the functor designed to calculate the flight distance of a particle. To achieve this, the functor takes both the reconstructed primary vertices and the reconstructed particle as input arguments. The usage of this specific functor (`BPVFD`) is shown in Listing 5.

⁵The charge-violating decays are often reconstructed at LHCb to serve as proxies for the study of sources of background.

Listing 5: Example definition of the variables and event_variables attributes.

```
1 # import ThOr functor library
2 import Functors as F
3 # import the FunctorCollection library
4 import FunTuple.functorcollections as FC
5 # import function to get TES location of PVs
6 from PyConf.reading import get_pvs
7
8 # variables for "Bplus" defined in the "fields"
9 b_vars = FunctorCollection()
10 # store the flight distance of candidate B relative to the primary
    vertex that best aligns with the origin of candidate B.
11 pvs = get_pvs()
12 b_vars["BPVFD"] = F.BPVFD(pvs)
13
14 # variables for "Kaons" defined in the "fields"
15 kaon_vars = FunctorCollection()
16 kaon_vars["PT"] = F.PT
17
18 # variables for "ALL" particles defined in "fields"
19 all_vars = FunctorCollection()
20 all_vars["ETA"] = F.ETA
21
22 # define decay-level variables
23 variables = {
24     "Bplus": b_vars,
25     "Kaons": kaon_vars,
26     "ALL": all_vars,
27 }
28
29 # define event-level variables,
30 # for example number of primary vertices
31 # and add FunctorCollection "SelectionInfo"
32 # that stores trigger configuration key (TCK) and
33 # decisions of "Hlt1LineName" trigger line
34 event_variables = FunctorCollection()
35 event_variables["nPVs"] = F.nPVs
36 evt_variables += FC.SelectionInfo(selection_type="Hlt2",
    trigger_lines=["Hlt1LineName"])
```

The functors support all fundamental mathematical operators, including addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. Additionally, they can undergo transformations such as `fmath.log(F.CHI2/F.NDOF)`, which, when applied to a reconstructed track, yields the track's χ^2 per degree of freedom. Furthermore, the output from one `ThOr` functor can be passed as input to other `ThOr` functors through a mechanism known as *composition*. This proves particularly advantageous when users seek to compute an observable that relies on the outcomes of other observables. All these functionalities are harnessed to provide users with an range of observables via a pre-defined `FunctorCollection` instance, which is intended for use in conjunction with `FunTuple`. An illustrative example is the `SelectionInfo` collection, which gathers the functors employed to store the trigger configuration key (TCK) and the event's trigger line decision. Listing 6 outlines the definition of this collection, with its application showcased in Listing 5.

In this listing, the `SelectionInfo` collection is designed to take two main inputs: the type of selection, which can be any of the three stages (`Hlt1`, `Hlt2`, or `Sprucing`), and a list of trigger or `Sprucing` lines. In response, it generates a `FunctorCollection` that incorporates two functors: `F.TCK` for storing TCK information and `F.DECISION` for storing the trigger decision of the specified selection line. Such collections do not expose the users to the technical intricacies involved in retrieving the requested information. In this particular case, the involved functors require the `DecReport` object, which is obtained from the `DaVinci` framework via the `get_decreports` function. Furthermore, users maintain the flexibility to add, merge or remove observables within these collections, enabling them to create their customised collections. Multiple collections have been developed and continue to be actively expanded, accompanied by relevant unit tests within the `DaVinci` framework.

2.3 Writing of retrieved information

The `ThOr` functors, utilised for retrieving reconstructed or truth-level information, are capable of encapsulating data in a diverse range of formats. These functors can return basic C++ types, but they can also yield complex objects pertaining to the LHCb software framework. Subsequently, the extracted information is recorded within the ROOT file, where each `TBranch` corresponds to a scalar or an array of basic C++ types. `FunTuple` accommodates diverse data object types returned by `ThOr` functors. An illustrative example is the functor `F.STATE`, which retrieves the complete state of a reconstructed track i.e. instance of `LHCb::State`, which includes information on track position, charge, momentum, track slopes, and the associated covariance matrix. `FunTuple` processes this returned class instance, enabling the writing of multiple observables into the ROOT file from a single functor. In this context, `FunTuple` supports various variable types, and the list is rapidly expanding. These include three-vectors, four-vectors, SIMD versions of arrays, matrices of both symmetric and non-symmetric nature with arbitrary dimensions, containers spanning arbitrary dimensions, various enumerations e.g. vertex type, track state, as well as `std::optional<T>` constructs and `std::map<std::string, T>` structures, where `T` represents any of the supported types. Additionally, extending support for other custom classes is remarkably straightforward.

As of the preparation of this document, the `FunTuple` component utilises the `GaudiTupleAlg` tool [42], which registers an entry in the ROOT file in a thread-safe manner. However, this tool does not provide full support for various complex data ob-

Listing 6: Definition of the SelectionInfo collection.

```
1 from GaudiConf.LbExec import HltSourceID
2 import Functors as F
3 from PyConf.reading import get_decreports
4
5 def SelectionInfo(*,
6     selection_type: HltSourceID,
7     trigger_lines: list[str]) -> FunctorCollection:
8     """
9     Event-level collection for tupling trigger/Sprucing information.
10
11     Args:
12         selection_type (HltSourceID): Name of the selection type i.e.
13             "Hlt1" or "Hlt2" or "Spruce". Used as branch name prefix
14             when tupling and as source ID to get decision reports.
15         trigger_lines (list(str)): List of line names for which the
16             decision is requested.
17
18     Returns:
19         FunctorCollection: Collection of functors to tuple
20             trigger/Sprucing information.
21     """
22
23     # get selection type
24     selection_type = HltSourceID(selection_type)
25
26     # get decreports
27     dec_report = get_decreports(selection_type)
28
29     # check that the code ends with decision
30     trigger_lines = [s + "Decision" if not s.endswith("Decision") else
31                     s for s in trigger_lines]
32
33     # create trigger info dictionary
34     trigger_info = FunctorCollection({
35         selection_type.name + "_TCK": F.TCK(dec_report),
36         l: F.DECISION(dec_report, l) for l in trigger_lines
37     })
38     return trigger_info
```

jects returned by `ThOr` functors; such support is exclusively offered by `FunTuple`. The transition to ROOT’s `RNTuple` is planned for the future with subsequent retirement of the `GaudiTupleAlg` tool.

2.4 Test suite, examples and performance

`FunTuple` includes an extensive set of examples and tutorials for users, along with a dedicated test suite based on `pytest` [45]. Both unit tests and “physics tests” are crafted to assess various functionalities of the component, ensuring its reliability. Additionally, an application test accompanies each example job run in continuous integration, serving to guarantee correct functionality consistently.

Comprising just over 100 unit tests and some 40 “physics tests”, the test suite currently in place evaluates various aspects of the `FunTuple` behaviour. These include checking for appropriate error messages in case of incorrect configurations, ensuring correct output with specified settings, validating expected numbers written to the ROOT file, testing the behaviour of `FunctorCollections`, assessing the output of `FunTuple` when run with different event models, and more. The test coverage for both `FunTuple` and the decay finder stands at an impressive 100%.

While a comprehensive performance analysis of `FunTuple` is not the focus of this paper, a brief overview is provided. In offline analysis, computing hundreds of observables is common. Recording 740 observables using `ThOr` functors for 1000 events takes 3 minutes, with JIT compilation of about 200 functors taking 84 seconds. Post-compilation, a functor cache is created, reducing overhead in both online and offline data processing. The Python front-end of `FunTuple` assists in early error detection in configurations, and the performance impact from combining C++/Python is minimal relative to functor execution time.

3 Interface with other Gaudi algorithms

In the LHCb framework, the execution of multiple algorithms within the offline data processing pipeline is a common necessity. Notable examples of such algorithms encompass the `DecayTreeFitter` [46], which fits complete decay chains with optional primary vertex constraints or mass constraints on intermediary states; the `MCTruthAndBkgCatAlg` algorithm [27], which is used to extract truth-level information from reconstructed objects in simulations; the `ParticleCombiner` algorithm [27], for combining basic particles into composite entities; among others. These algorithms can be employed in conjunction with the `FunTuple` component to process and store data. A practical illustration of `FunTuple` in synergy with `DecayTreeFitter` and `MCTruthAndBkgCat` is presented in Listing 7.

In this listing, the `DecayTreeFitter` and `MCTruthAndBkgCat` algorithms operate on reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+$ decays. Under the hood, both algorithms construct a relation table linking the reconstructed object with a related object that holds pertinent information. For `MCTruthAndBkgCat`, the related object is the associated simulation object, harbouring truth-level information; conversely, for `DecayTreeFitter`, the related object corresponds to the output of the decay tree fitting process. To extract the relevant information, the reconstructed object is mapped to the related object, and the `ThOr` functor is applied to the related object. This entire process is executed within the

`__call__` method of both the `MCTruthAndBkgCat` and `DecayTreeFitter` algorithms. For example, in Listing 7, calling `MCTRUTH(F.FOURMOMENTUM)` establishes a mapping between the reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+$ decay and the corresponding simulation object. Subsequently, the `F.FOURMOMENTUM` functor is employed on the simulation object to retrieve the true four-momentum of the B^+ meson. A similar approach is followed for the `DTF(F.FOURMOMENTUM)`, with the distinction that the four-momentum of the B^+ meson is stored following the decay tree fit, incorporating mass constraint on the $J/\psi(1S)$ meson and primary vertex constraint.

The interaction between `FunTuple` and other `Gaudi` algorithms is fortified by a fail-safe mechanism. When either of the algorithms encounters failure, such as the absence of corresponding truth-level information or unsuccessful decay tree fitting, the `Th0r` functors and `FunTuple` are equipped to handle the situation. If the `Th0r` functor returns data of floating-point type, the `FunTuple` component automatically records Not a Number (`NaN`) in the `ROOT` file. Conversely, if the `Th0r` functor returns an integral type, the invalid value needs to be explicitly defined using the `F.VALUE_OR` functor, exemplified in Listing 7.

4 Summary and conclusions

This paper introduces the `FunTuple` component, designed to support offline data processing for the LHCb experiment during the current Run 3 and subsequent runs. Its primary purpose is to facilitate the storage of experiment-acquired data in the `ROOT` format, optimising it for subsequent offline analysis. Currently, the component plays a vital role in various early measurement analyses of LHCb data collected during the current Run 3 data taking period. An example of the processed data using `FunTuple` is displayed in Fig. 3, showcasing the reconstructed mass of the $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$ decay from LHCb data gathered in 2022 during commissioning [47]. The figure shows the signal $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$ component in red filled histogram and the background component in dotted purple line. The background component involves random combinations of muons from different part of the event. The total fit component, composed of both signal and background, is shown in solid blue line and the data points are shown in black dots. The number of signal candidates is estimated to be $N_{J/\psi(1S)} = 2354 \pm 93$ with mass $m_0 = 3093.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and width $\sigma = 9.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ to be consistent with the known $J/\psi(1S)$ mass and width [48].

Furthermore, the `FunTuple` component is built upon the `Gaudi` functional framework, and it offers a user-friendly `Python` interface. Its templated design enables it to accommodate various types of input data, including reconstructed and simulated events, and it supports the processing of both event-level and decay-level information. Additionally, this templated design allows the component to support new event models, based on *SoA* data structure in the future, facilitating vectorised processing of data. Of particular importance is its ability to ensure equivalence between trigger-computed observables and those subjected to offline analysis. This achievement is made possible through the integration of the `Th0r` functors, adept at computing topological and kinematic observables. Users also have substantial flexibility, enabling them to personalise the range of observables stored within the `ROOT` file. The component is also thoroughly validated through a series of unit-tests and `pytest` tests to ensure its reliability. In conclusion, the unique attributes of the `FunTuple` component establish it as a robust tool for offline data processing at the

Listing 7: Usage of truth-matching (MCTruthAndBkgCat) and decay tree fitting (DecayTreeFitter) algorithms in conjunction with FunTuple. Note that the FunTuple definition shown in the Listing 1 does not change.

```

1 from DecayTreeFitter import DecayTreeFitter
2 from DaVinciMCTools import MCTruthAndBkgCat
3 import Functors as F
4 from PyConf.reading import get_pvs
5
6 # get the TES location of the input data with
7 # reconstructed "B+ -> J/psi(1S) K+" decays
8 input_data = get_particles(f"/Event/HLT2/BToJpsiK/Particles")
9
10 #get the reconstructed pvs
11 pvs = get_pvs()
12
13 # define an instance of MCTruthAndBkgCat algorithm for
14 # truth-matching.
15 # Arguments include:
16 # - name: User-specified name
17 # - input_data: TES location of the input data
18 MCTRUTH = MCTruthAndBkgCat(name="MCTRUTH", input_data=input_data)
19
20 # define an instance of DecayTreeFitter for fitting the decay chain
21 # Arguments include:
22 # - name: User-specified name
23 # - (optional) mass_constraint: Mass constraint on intermediate
24 #   state (in this instance J/psi(1S))
25 # - (optional) input_pvs: TES location of reconstructed primary
26 #   vertices to apply primary vertex constraint
27 # - input_data: TES location of the input data
28 DTF = DecayTreeFitter(name="DTF", mass_constraints=["J/psi(1S)"],
29                       input_pvs=pvs, input_data=input_data)
30
31 # define the B candidate variables to be passed to FunTuple
32 # Note: The "F.VALUE_OR" functor specifies an invalid value to be
33 #   written to ROOT file in the case of no corresponding truth-level
34 #   information. For functors returning floating point types such as
35 #   components of F.FOURMOMENTUM, this is automatically chosen to be
36 #   "NaN" by FunTuple
37 b_vars = FunctorCollection()
38 # add truth-level information
39 b_vars["TRUE_ID"] = F.VALUE_OR(0) @ MCTRUTH(F.PARTICLE_ID)
40 b_vars["TRUE_FOURMOM"] = MCTRUTH(F.FOURMOMENTUM)
41 # add decay tree fitter information
42 b_vars["DTF_FOURMOM"] = DTF(F.FOURMOMENTUM)

```




Figure 3: Invariant mass of the $(\mu^-\mu^+)$ system showing the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak for LHCb data collected during the current Run 3 commissioning data taking period in 2022 [47].

LHCb experiment making it essential for Run 3 and beyond.

Acknowledgements

We extend our sincere appreciation to our collaborators in the Data Processing and Analysis (DPA) project for their insightful discussions, input, and unwavering support throughout the work. We are particularly grateful to Maurizio Martinelli for his work in documenting examples pertaining to the `FunTuple`, and to Davide Fazzini for his contribution in developing diverse unit tests for the component. We acknowledge Sascha Stahl for his tests aimed at optimising the components's speed. Our appreciation also extends to the members of the Real Time Analysis (RTA) project for their feedback and suggestions on `ThOr` functor usage. Additionally, we extend a special thank-you to Christoph Hasse for his contributions to the development of the `composition` mechanism, which has enhanced the flexibility of using `ThOr` functors for offline processing. We also convey our gratitude to the members of the Early Measurement Task Force (EMTF) for Run 3 for their rigorous stress-testing, invaluable feedback, and ongoing work in expanding the `FunctorCollection` library within the `DaVinci` framework. This work received essential support from the Forschungskredit of the University of Zurich under grant number FK-21-129 and the Swiss National Science Foundation under contract 204238.

References

- [1] *LHC Machine*, JINST **3** (2008) S08001.
- [2] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** (2008) S08005.
- [3] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *LHCb detector performance*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1530022, [arXiv:1412.6352](#).
- [4] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *The LHCb Upgrade I*, [arXiv:2305.10515](#), to appear in JINST.
- [5] R. Aaij *et al.*, *A comprehensive real-time analysis model at the LHCb experiment*, JINST **14** (2019) P04006, [arXiv:1903.01360](#).
- [6] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay properties and search for the $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ decays*, Phys. Rev. **D105** (2022) 012010, [arXiv:2108.09283](#).
- [7] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of CP-averaged observables in the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** (2020) 011802, [arXiv:2003.04831](#).
- [8] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Test of lepton flavour universality using $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \tau^+ \nu_\tau$ decays, with hadronic τ channels*, Phys. Rev. **D108** (2023) 012018, [arXiv:2305.01463](#).
- [9] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{R}(D^*)$ and $\mathcal{R}(D^0)$* , [arXiv:2302.02886](#), submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

- [10] N. Skidmore, E. Rodrigues, and P. Koppenburg, *Run-3 offline data processing and analysis at LHCb*, PoS **EPS-HEP2021** (2022) 792.
- [11] LHCb collaboration, *Computing Model of the Upgrade LHCb experiment*, CERN-LHCC-2018-014, 2018.
- [12] A. Tsaregorodtsev *et al.*, *DIRAC3: The new generation of the LHCb grid software*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **219** (2010) 062029.
- [13] *FunTuple GitLab Repository*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Analysis/-/tree/v41r15/Phys/FunTuple>. [Analysis v41r15, Online; accessed 02-Nov-2022].
- [14] *Moore project*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Moore>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [15] *Brunel project*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Brunel>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [16] *DaVinci project*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/DaVinci>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [17] *Analysis project*, https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Analysis/-/tree/v22r7?ref_type=tags. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [18] I. Belyaev *et al.*, *Python-based Physics Analysis Environment for LHCb*, <https://inspirehep.net/literature/928906>, 2004.
- [19] *Bender project*, https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Analysis/-/tree/v22r7?ref_type=tags. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [20] LHCb collaboration, A. Hennequin, M. De Cian, and S. Esen, *Fast and flexible data structures for the LHCb Run 3 software trigger*, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.8119864 arXiv:2307.03689.
- [21] R. Aaij *et al.*, *Allen: A high level trigger on GPUs for LHCb*, Comput. Softw. Big Sci. **4** (2020) 7, arXiv:1912.09161.
- [22] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *The LHCb upgrade I*, arXiv:2305.10515.
- [23] C. Fitzpatrick and V. V. Gligorov, *Anatomy of an upgrade event in the upgrade era, and implications for the LHCb trigger*, CERN, Geneva, 2014.
- [24] F. Reiss, *Real-time alignment procedure at the LHCb experiment for Run 3*, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2846414>, 2023.
- [25] *ThOr Functors*, https://lhcbdoc.web.cern.ch/lhcbdoc/moore/master/selection/thor_functors.html. [Online; accessed 02-Nov-2022].
- [26] N. Nolte, *A Selection Framework for LHCb's Upgrade Trigger*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2765896>, 2020. Presented 22 Feb 2021.
- [27] *Rec project*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Rec>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].

- [28] J. Lopez-Gomez and J. Blomer, *RNTuple performance: Status and Outlook*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **2438** (2023) 012118, [arXiv:2204.09043](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.09043).
- [29] R. Brun and F. Rademakers, *ROOT: An object oriented data analysis framework*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **389** (1997) 81.
- [30] LHCb collaboration, *RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2730181>, 2020.
- [31] *Analysis Productions project*, <https://lhcb-ap.docs.cern.ch/index.html>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [32] *Standard C++, version C++17*, <https://isocpp.org/>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [33] G. Barrand *et al.*, *GAUDI - A software architecture and framework for building HEP data processing applications*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **140** (2001) 45.
- [34] Python Software Foundation. *Python Language Reference, version 3.9*, <https://www.python.org/>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [35] LHCb collaboration, P. Li, *Real-time analysis in Run 3 with the LHCb experiment*, PoS **EPS-HEP2021** (2022) 829.
- [36] M. Clemencic *et al.*, *Recent developments in the lhcb software framework gaudi*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **219** (2010) 042006.
- [37] S Barre and A Mathad, *Decay finder algorithm for reconstructed particles in Run 3 at the LHCb experiment*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2837189>. [CERN-STUDENTS-NOTE-2022-211].
- [38] *LHCb project*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/LHCb>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [39] *Boost.Regex 7.0.1*, https://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_80_0/libs/regex/doc/html/index.html. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [40] H. K. Joel de Guzman, *Qi - Writing Parsers*, https://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_80_0/libs/spirit/doc/html/spirit/qi.html, 2011. [Online; accessed 16-Sept-2022].
- [41] *LHCb Conditions Database*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb-conddb>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [42] *Gaudi framework*, <https://gitlab.cern.ch/lhcb/Gaudi>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [43] *LoKi framework*, <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCb/FAQ/LoKiNewDecayFinders>. [Online; accessed 19-Aug-2023].
- [44] LHCb collaboration, *Grammar in short: Arrows*, <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCb/FAQ/LoKiNewDecayFinders#Arrows>, 2022. [Online; accessed 16-Sept-2022].

- [45] H. Krekel *et al.*, *pytest*, <https://docs.pytest.org/en/7.1.x/>, 2004.
- [46] W. D. Hulsbergen, *Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A552** (2005) 566, [arXiv:physics/0503191](https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0503191).
- [47] LHCb collaboration, *Jpsi2MuMu 2022 mass figure*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2867664>, 2022.
- [48] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020** (2020) 083C01.