

A Baselines Description

In this section, we provide a detailed description of the baselines used in our experiments. We tune the hyper-parameters for each baseline on the validation set and report the best results on the test set.

- **MostPop** is a non-personalized baseline that recommends the most popular items in the training set.
- **BPR** [38] is a learning-to-rank method that learns latent representations for users and items through matrix factorization.
- **NCF** [14] learns user and item embeddings through a multi-layer perceptron instead of matrix factorization.
- **NGCF** [55] uses a bipartite graph to model user-item interactions through a graph convolutional network [23].
- **LightGCN** [13] is a simplified version of NGCF that removes the feature transformation and nonlinear activation.
- **Caser** [49] learns convolutional filters on user interaction sequences over the sequence order and latent dimensions.
- **SasRec** [21] uses self-attention to model user embeddings through its sequence of latent item representations.
- **BERT4Rec** [44] improves on SasRec by using a bi-directional transformer to capture interactions from both sides.
- **TiSasRec** [27] additionally embeds the raw time interval between consecutive item interactions in the sequence.
- **CL4Rec** [58] uses self-supervised learning to learn item embeddings via data augmentation and contrastive loss.

B More Ablation Experiments

B.1 Effect of Different Time Horizons

DATASET	MUSIC		OFFICE		EPINIONS	
Metric	R@10	N@10	R@10	N@10	R@10	N@10
Fine:2 days; Coarse:10 days *	0.782	0.573	0.536	0.344	0.795	0.580
Fine:4 days; Coarse:15 days	0.778	0.553	0.537	0.341	0.790	0.574
Fine:7 days; Coarse:30 days	0.760	0.509	0.526	0.334	0.757	0.543

Table 8: Recommendation results for varying time horizons. Fine and coarse time horizons are used for short-term and long-term popularity dynamics respectively (§ 4.1.1).

We study the effect of different time horizons to PREPRED. We found that in general, long-term horizons of 30 days and short-term horizons of 7 days perform worse than the other settings. This is likely because the long-term horizon might lead to the lack of resolutions in popularity statistics. We also find that depending on the dataset, the effect of different time horizons also varies. For example, both Music and Epinions show larger performance decrease from short to long-term horizons than Office. This could be because Music and Epinions are more sensitive to recent trends than Office, or their data are denser in terms of time granularity.

B.2 Effect of Prediction Time

In PREPRED, we use the popularity trends up to some time as the context to construct universal item representations. To prevent information leakage, we can only use the popularity dynamics computed prior to the time of the interaction, as illustrated in § 4.1.2

DATASET	MUSIC		OFFICE		EPINIONS	
Metric	R@10	N@10	R@10	N@10	R@10	N@10
t-1*	0.782	0.573	0.536	0.344	0.795	0.580
t-2	0.775	0.553	0.530	0.338	0.728	0.516
t-6	0.730	0.497	0.523	0.326	0.682	0.473
t-12	0.705	0.462	0.507	0.313	0.645	0.445

Table 9: Recommendation results for varying prediction time. Where $t - n$ denotes n finer time periods ago. $t - 1$ is the default setting, denoted by '*'. The performance drops as n goes larger, indicating the importance of recent trends in sequential recommendation.

and § 4.1.6. Intuitively, we should compute popularity dynamics as close to the actual interaction time as possible. However, experimenting with this can reveal the importance of recent trends in model performance (Table 9). Thus, denoting $t - n$ to be n fine time periods ago. We round the long-term horizon according to the change in t . Per expectation, we find that $t - 6$ and $t - 12$ drop performance significantly across all datasets while $t - 2$ performs relatively close to $t - 1$, revealing that for the experimented datasets, capturing the latest popularity changes is crucial.