

Figure 1: Cumulative time to solve the nonconvex problem. The plot shows the cumulative time in seconds on the x-axis (0 to 25) versus the percentage of iterations completed on the y-axis (80.0 to 100.0). Eight curves are shown, representing different solvers and parameters. The curves for CVX Geometric\_Algebra (red, orange, yellow) and CVX Gaussian (green, cyan, blue) show rapid convergence, reaching 100% completion within 5 seconds. The noncvx AdamW curves (dark blue, medium blue, light blue) show much slower convergence, reaching approximately 95% completion after 25 seconds.

Figure 1 is a line plot showing Accuracy (%) on the y-axis (ranging from 80 to 94) versus Cumulative Time (s) on the x-axis (ranging from 0 to 25). The plot compares the performance of nonconvex and CVX solvers for two problem types: Gaussian and Geometric\_Algebra. The legend identifies the following series:

- noncvx AdamW lr:1.0e-02 (dark blue line)
- noncvx AdamW lr:1.0e-03 (medium blue line)
- noncvx AdamW lr:1.0e-04 (light blue line)
- CVX Gaussian beta: 1.0e-03 (cyan line)
- CVX Gaussian beta: 1.0e-04 (green line)
- CVX Gaussian beta: 1.0e-05 (light green line)
- CVX Geometric\_Algebra beta: 1.0e-03 (yellow line)
- CVX Geometric\_Algebra beta: 1.0e-04 (orange line)
- CVX Geometric\_Algebra beta: 1.0e-05 (red line)

The plot shows that nonconvex solvers generally achieve higher accuracy faster than CVX solvers. The CVX Geometric\_Algebra solvers (yellow, orange, red) show a sharp initial drop in accuracy, followed by a rapid recovery and stabilization. The CVX Gaussian solvers (cyan, green, light green) show a more gradual increase in accuracy. The nonconvex AdamW solvers (dark blue, medium blue, light blue) show a rapid increase in accuracy and stabilize at a higher level than the CVX solvers.