

University of Southern Queensland Faculty of Engineering & Surveying

#### PHD DISSERTATION

#### WIRELESS NETWORK CODING FOR MULTI-HOP RELAY CHANNELS

Gengkun Wang

Student Number: 0061003974

**Principal Supervisor** 

A/Prof. Wei Xiang

Co-Supervisor

A/Prof. Paul Wen

March, 2013

#### Abstract

Future wireless communication systems are required to meet growing demands for high spectral efficiency, low energy consumption and high mobility. The advent of wireless network coding (WNC) has offered a new opportunity to improve network throughput and transmission reliability by exploiting interference in intermediate relays. Combined with network coding and self-information cancelation, WNC for two-way relay channels (TWRCs) has come to the forefront.

This dissertation focuses on exploiting WNC in multi-hop two-way relay channels (MH-TRCs). Particularly, a multi-hop wireless network coding (MH-WNC) scheme is designed for the generalized L-node K-message MH-TRC. Theoretical studies on the network throughput and performance bounds achieved by the MH-WNC scheme with different relaying strategies (i.e., amplify-and-forward (AF) and compute-and-forward (CPF)) are carried out. Furthermore, by introducing different numbers of transmission time intervals into the MH-WNC, a multiple-time-interval (Multi-TI) MH-WNC is proposed to determine an optimal MH-WNC which can achieve the best outage performance for all-scale MH-TRCs. Finally, this study extends the research on WNC one step forward from two-user networks to multi-user networks. An extended CPF joint with a dominated solution for maximizing the overall computation rate is proposed for the multi-way relay channel (mRC) in the last chapter.

The contributions of this dissertation are multifold. First, the proposed MH-WNC scheme with fixed two transmission time intervals can achieve a significantly improved network throughput compared to the non-network coding (Non-NC) scheme in the generalized L-node K-message MH-TRC. Theoretical results are derived for both multi-hop analog network coding (MH-ANC) and multi-hop compute-and-forward (MH-CPF). Moreover, both theoretical and numerical re-

sults demonstrate that the two MH-WNC schemes can be applied to different scale MH-TRCs to achieve a better outage performance compared to the conventional Non-NC scheme (i.e., MH-ANC for the non-regenerative MH-TRC with a small number of nodes, and MH-CPF for the regenerative MH-TRC with a large number of nodes.). Furthermore, a Multi-TI MH-WNC scheme is generalized with a special binary-tree model and characteristic matrix. The determined optimal MH-WNC scheme is able to provide the best outage performance and outperform the Non-NC scheme in all scale MH-TRCs. Last but not least, this dissertation provides a preliminary investigation of WNC in mRCs. The proposed dominated solution for maximizing the overall computation rate can ensure that all the nodes in the mRC successfully recover their required messages. Moreover, the extended CPF strategy is proven superior to Non-NC in the mRC with a small number of users.

#### Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.

| Gengkun Wang, Candidate                      | / | / |
|--|---|---|
| A/Prof. Wei Xiang, Principal supervisor      | / | / |
| A/Prof. Peng(Paul) Wen, Associate supervisor | / | / |

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to A/Prof. Wei Xiang, my

principal supervisor for his endless commitment to directing the research and

invaluable guidance. Without his continuous support, this thesis would not have

been completed.

I am also thankful to Prof. Jinhong Yuan from UNSW, for his instructive

discussions and constructive suggestions to my thesis. I would also like to thank

A/Prof. Peng (Paul) Wen, my associate supervisor, for his support during my

PhD research.

I would like thank the Chinese Scholarship Council for supporting my study

at USQ during these three years. In addition, a special thank to all my friends

and colleagues at USQ.

Last but no least, I would like to dedicate this work to my parents. I am greatly

indebted to them for their unconditional support, love and encouragement.

GENGKUN WANG

University of Southern Queensland

March 2013

#### List of publications

The following publications were produced during the period of candidature:

[1] Gengkun Wang, Wei Xiang, Jinhong Yuan, and Tao Huang, "Outage performance of Analog Network Coding in Generalized Two-Way Multi-Hop Networks," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, Cancun, Mexico, Mar. 2011, pp. 759-764.

This paper was awarded the Best Paper Award by IEEE WCNC 2011, which is one of world's three most prestigious conferences in communication technologies.

[2] Gengkun Wang, Wei Xiang, Jinhong Yuan, and Tao Huang, "Outage Analysis of Non-Regenerative Analog Network Coding for Two-Way Multi-Hop Networks," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 15, pp. 662 - 664, May 2011.

The work in the paper is presented in Chapter 4.

[3] Gengkun Wang, Wei Xiang, and Jinhong Yuan, "Multi-Hop Compute-and-Forward for Generalized Two-Way Relay Channels," accepted by *Trans. Emerg*ing Tel. Tech. (formerly known as European Transactions on Telecommunications (ETT)).

The work in the paper is presented in Chapter 5.

[4] Gengkun Wang, Wei Xiang, and Jinhong Yuan, "Outage Performance for Compute-and-Forward in Generalized Multi-Way Relay Channels," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 16, pp. 2099 - 2102, Dec 2012.

The work in the paper is presented in Chapter 7.

## Contents

| Abstra  | act  | i            |
|---------|--|--------------|
| Ackno   | wledgments   | iv           |
| List of | f Publications   | $\mathbf{v}$ |
| List of | f Figures  | ix           |
| List of | f Tables   | xiii         |
| Acron   | yms & Abbreviations                                    | xv           |
| Chapt   | er 1 Introduction                                      | 1            |
| 1.1     | Motivations  | 2            |
| 1.2     | Contributions  | 5            |
| 1.3     | Organization   | 7            |
| Chapt   | er 2 Background  | 9            |
| 2.1     | Relay Networks   | 9            |
| 2.2     | Network Coding   | 10           |
| 2.3     | Wireless Network Coding                                | 12           |
|         | 2.3.1 Analog Network Coding                            | 14           |
|         | 2.3.2 Physical-Layer Network Coding                    | 16           |
|         | 2.3.3 Compute-and-Forward                              | 17           |
| 2.4     | Other Recent Work                                      | 20           |
| Chapt   | er 3 Wireless Network Coding for Multi-Hop Two-Way Re- |              |
| lay     | Channels   | <b>23</b>    |
| 3.1     | Introduction   | 23           |
| 3.2     | Related Work   | 24           |
| 3.3     | System Model   | 26           |
|         | 3.3.1 Message Exchange Pattern                         | 26           |
|         | 3.3.2 Communication Delays                             | 28           |
| 3.4     | Non-Network Coding                                     | 29           |

CONTENTS vii

|        | 3.4.1 Straightforward Scheme                             | 29         |
|--------|--|------------|
|        | 3.4.2 Optimized Scheme                                   | 30         |
| 3.5    | Wireless Network Coding                                  | 31         |
| 3.6    | Simulation Platform                                      | 36         |
| 3.7    | Summary  | 10         |
| Chapte | er 4 Analog Network Coding for Non-Regenerative Multi-   |            |
| Hop    | o Two-Way Channels 4                                     | 3          |
| 4.1    | Introduction   | 13         |
| 4.2    | Related Work   | 14         |
| 4.3    | System Model   | 16         |
|        | 4.3.1 Non-Network Coding                                 | 17         |
|        | 4.3.2 Multi-Hop Analog Network Coding                    | 19         |
| 4.4    | Received SNR   | 51         |
|        | 4.4.1 Forward Recursive Approach                         | 52         |
|        | 4.4.2 Backward Recursive Approach                        | 55         |
| 4.5    | End-to-End SNR   | 58         |
|        | 4.5.1 Upper Bound  | 59         |
| 4.6    | Outage Probability                                       | 64         |
| 4.7    | Maximum Sum-Rate   | 66         |
| 4.8    | Effective Network Throughput                             | 57         |
| 4.9    | Numerical Results  | 39         |
| 4.10   | Summary  | 73         |
| Chapte | er 5 Compute-and-Forward for Regenerative Multi-Hop Two- |            |
|        |  | <b>'</b> 5 |
| 5.1    | Introduction   | 75         |
| 5.2    | Related Work   | 77         |
| 5.3    | System Model   | 78         |
| 5.4    | Computation Rate   | 30         |
| 5.5    | Finding the Best Coefficient Vector                      | 31         |
| 5.6    | Recovering Messages                                      | 32         |
| 5.7    | Outage Probability                                       | 35         |
|        | 5.7.1 Non-Network Coding                                 | 36         |
|        | -  | 37         |
| 5.8    | Effective Network Throughput                             | )1         |
| 5.9    | Numerical Results  | )1         |
| 5.10   | Summary  | 95         |

viii CONTENTS

| Chapte | er 6 Optimal Wireless Network Coding for Multi-Hop Two-                 |             |
|--------|---|-------------|
| Way    | y Relay Channels  | 97          |
| 6.1    | Introduction  | 97          |
| 6.2    | Problem Statement   | 98          |
| 6.3    | Assumptions   | 99          |
| 6.4    | System Model  | 101         |
| 6.5    | Methodologies   | 103         |
|        | 6.5.1 Analog Network Coding   | 105         |
|        | 6.5.2 Compute-and-Forward   | 113         |
| 6.6    | Numerical Results   | 116         |
|        | 6.6.1 Network Throughput  | 116         |
|        | 6.6.2 Average Received SNR  | 118         |
|        | 6.6.3 Outage Probability  | 119         |
| 6.7    | Summary   | 127         |
| Chante | er 7 Compute-and-Forward for Generalized Multi-Way Re-                  |             |
| -      | - ·   | 131         |
| 7.1    |   | 131         |
| 7.2    |   | 132         |
|        |   | 133         |
|        | <u> </u>  | 134         |
| 7.3    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                   | 135         |
| 7.4    |   | 136         |
| 7.5    | Outage Probability  | 140         |
|        | 7.5.1 Non-Network Coding  | 141         |
|        | 7.5.2 Compute-and-Forward   | 142         |
| 7.6    | Numerical Results   | 143         |
|        | 7.6.1 Finite-Field Network Coding                                       | 143         |
|        | 7.6.2 Compute-and-Forward   | 145         |
| 7.7    | Summary   | 150         |
| Chapte | er 8 Conclusion 1   | L <b>53</b> |
| 8.1    |   | 154         |
|        |   |             |
| Refere | nces 1  | L <b>57</b> |
| Appen  | dix A Proofs  | 73          |
| A.1    | End-to-End SNR of Non-NC in the Non-Regenerative MH-TRC $$ . $$         | 173         |
| A.2    | CDF of the End-to-End SNR of Non-NC in the Non-Regenerative             |             |
|        | MH-TRC  | 176         |
| A 3    | Received Linear Combinations at the User Node N <sub>1</sub> for MH-CPF | 178         |

# List of Figures

| 2.1 | The butterfly example  | 11 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.2 | A single relay two-way relay channel                                     | 13 |
| 2.3 | Traditional straightforward scheme                                       | 13 |
| 2.4 | Network Coding   | 14 |
| 2.5 | Analog network coding  | 15 |
| 2.6 | Signal constellation of the received signal at the relay node            | 16 |
| 2.7 | Channel decoding network coding  | 17 |
| 2.8 | Nested lattice   | 19 |
| 2.9 | A simple example of compute-and-forward                                  | 19 |
| 3.1 | The $L$ -node $K$ -message multi-hop two-way relay network               | 26 |
| 3.2 | Symmetrical exchange pattern   | 27 |
| 3.3 | Non-symmetrical exchange pattern   | 27 |
| 3.4 | The straightforward scheme for the MH-TRC                                | 29 |
| 3.5 | The optimized scheme for the MH-TRC                                      | 31 |
| 3.6 | Wireless network coding for the $L\mbox{-node}\ K\mbox{-message}$ MH-TRC | 32 |
| 3.7 | Transmission scheme of MH-WNC for the 5-node 2-message MH-               |    |
|     | TRC  | 33 |
| 3.8 | Grid charts of the transmission pattern of MH-WNC for different          |    |
|     | scale MH-TRCs  | 39 |
| 4.1 | The $L$ -node $K$ -message multi-hop two-way relay network               | 46 |
| 4.2 | Propagation paths of $w_2^1$ in 6 time slots                             | 53 |
| 4.3 | The number of propagation paths to each node                             | 54 |
| 4.4 | Backward recursive approach for the noise power at the user node         |    |
|     | $N_1$  | 55 |

| 4.5  | End-to-end SNR for the 5-node 2-message MH-TRC  | 60  |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.6  | End-to-end SNR versus the number of messages for different scale                        |     |
|      | MH-TRCs   | 61  |
| 4.7  | The upper bound end-to-end SNR versus the number of nodes                               | 62  |
| 4.8  | Outage probability for the 5-node 2-message MH-TRC                                      | 69  |
| 4.9  | Outage probability versus the number of nodes for the generalized                       |     |
|      | MH-TRC  | 70  |
| 4.10 | Effective network throughput for the 5-node 2-message MH-TRC.                           | 71  |
| 4.11 | Effective network throughput versus the number of nodes for the                         |     |
|      | generalized MH-TRC  | 71  |
| 4.12 | Maximum sum-rate for the 5-node 2-message MH-TRC  | 72  |
| 4.13 | Maximum sum-rate versus the number of nodes for the generalized                         |     |
|      | MH-TRC  | 73  |
| 5.1  | The $L$ -node $K$ -message multi-hop two-way relay network                              | 79  |
| 5.2  | Maximized computation rate obtained by two different algorithms.                        | 83  |
| 5.3  | Outage probability versus the average SNR per hop for the 5-node                        |     |
|      | MH-TRC.   | 92  |
| 5.4  | Outage probability versus the number of messages for the general-                       |     |
|      | ized MH-TRC.  | 93  |
| 5.5  | Outage probability versus the number of nodes for the generalized                       |     |
|      | MH-TRC  | 94  |
| 5.6  | Effective network throughput versus the number of nodes for the                         |     |
|      | generalized MH-TRC  | 95  |
| 6.1  | The Lords K massage multi hap two way relay network                                     | 101 |
|      | The L-node K-message multi-hop two-way relay network                                    | 101 |
| 6.2  | Grid chart for the transmission scheme of the 11-node 3-message MH-TRC with 4-TI MH-WNC | 102 |
| 6.3  | Noise propagation model in the 5-node 3-message MH-TRC with                             | 102 |
| 0.5  | 2-TI MH-WNC   | 105 |
| 6.4  | Binary tree structure for Figure 4.2  |     |
| 6.5  | Network throughput versus the number of messages for different                          | 100 |
| 0.0  | scale MH-TRCs   | 116 |

| 6.6  | Network throughput versus the number of time intervals for differ-   |       |
|------|--|-------|
|      | ent scale MH-TRCs  | 117   |
| 6.7  | Network throughput versus the number of nodes for the MH-ANC         |       |
|      | schemes with different time intervals                                | 117   |
| 6.8  | Average received SNR versus the number of messages for different     |       |
|      | scale MH-TRCs  | 118   |
| 6.9  | Average received SNR versus the number of nodes for the MH-          |       |
|      | ANC schemes with different number of time intervals                  | 119   |
| 6.10 | Outage probability versus the number of messages for different       |       |
|      | scale MH-TRCs  | 120   |
| 6.11 | Outage probability versus the number of time intervals for different |       |
|      | scale MH-TRCs  | 121   |
| 6.12 | Outage probability for the optimal MH-ANC scheme versus the          |       |
|      | number of nodes  | 123   |
| 6.13 | Outage probability versus the number of messages for different       |       |
|      | scale MH-TRCs  | 124   |
| 6.14 | Outage probability versus the number of time intervals for different |       |
|      | scale MH-TRCs  | 126   |
| 6.15 | Outage probability versus the number of nodes for the MH-CPF         |       |
|      | schemes with different time intervals                                | 127   |
| 6.16 | Outage probability versus the number of nodes for the optimal        |       |
|      | MH-CPF scheme  | 128   |
| 7.1  | The multi-way relay channel  | 125   |
| 7.1  | Compute-and-forward for the multi-way relay channel                  |       |
| 7.3  | Computation rates versus the average SNR in different scale mRCs.    |       |
|      | Outage probability versus the average SNR for the single relay       | 140   |
| 7.4  | TWRC   | 1 1 1 |
| 7 5  |  |       |
| 7.5  |  | 144   |
| 7.6  | Outage probability versus the target end-to-end rate for the single  | 1 1 5 |
| 7 7  | v  | 145   |
| 7.7  | Outage probability versus the target end-to-end rate for the 5-user  | 1 40  |
|      | mRC.   | 146   |

| 7.8  | Outage probability versus the average SNR for the single relay  |     |
|------|---|-----|
|      | TWRC  | 147 |
| 7.9  | Outage probability versus the average SNR for the mRC           | 148 |
| 7.10 | Outage probability versus the number of users for the mRC. $$   | 148 |
| 7.11 | Outage probabilities versus the number of users and mean SNR    |     |
|      | for the mRC. $\hdots$   | 149 |
| 7.12 | Effective network throughput versus the number of users for the |     |
|      | mRC   | 150 |

# List of Tables

| 2.1 | PNC mapping   | 16  |
|-----|---|-----|
| 3.1 | Transmission events of MH-WNC for the 5-node 2-message MH-                |     |
|     | TRC   | 34  |
| 3.2 | Transmission events of MH-WNC in the $L$ -node $K$ -message MH-           |     |
|     | TRC $(2K - 1 < L - 1)$  | 35  |
| 3.3 | Different transmission schemes for the generalized $L$ -node $K$ -message |     |
|     | MH-TRC  | 40  |
| 6.1 | Transmission powers of the nodes in the 9-node 3-message MH-TRC           | 100 |
| 6.2 | Definitions of terms used in this chapter                                 | 104 |
| 6.3 | Optimal MH-ANC for different scale MH-TRCs                                | 122 |

### Acronyms & Abbreviations

AF Amplify-and-forward

ANC Analog network coding

AWGN Additive white Gaussian noise

BER Bit-error-rate

BPSK Binary phase-shift keying

BS Base station

BSC Binary symmetric channel

CDMA Code division multiple access

CDNC Channel decoding network coding

CF Compress-and-forward

CPF Compute-and-forward

CSI Channel state information

DF Decode-and-forward

EF Estimate-and-forward

FDMA Frequency division multiple access

FFNC Finite field network coding

GF Galois field

LDPC Low-density parity-check

LLL Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovsz

LNC Linear network coding

LTE Long-term evolution

MAC Multiple-access channel

MH-ANC Multi-hop analog network coding

MH-CPF Multi-hop compute-and-forward

MH-TRC Multi-hop two-way relay channel

MH-WNC Multi-hop wireless network coding

MIMO Multi-input multi-output

ML Maximum likelihood

mRC Multi-way relay channel

MS Mobile station

NC Network coding

Non-NC Non-network coding

P2P Peer-to-peer

PNC Physical-layer network coding

QPSK Quadrature phase-shift keying

RA Repeat accumulate

RLNC Random linear network coding

SNR Signal-noise-ratio

SVP Shortest vector problem

TDMA Time division multiple access

TI Transmission time interval

TWRC Two-way relay channel

WNC Wireless network coding

XOR Exclusive or