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#### Abstract

The diffusive Lotka-Volterra predator-prey system with two delays is reconsidered here. The stability of the coexistence equilibrium and associated Hopf bifurcation are investigated by analyzing the characteristic equations, and our results complement earlier ones. We also obtain that in a special case, a Hopf bifurcation of spatial inhomogeneous periodic solutions occurs in the system.
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## 1. Introduction

Partial functional-differential equations have been proposed as mathematical models for biological phenomena by many researchers in recent years. In the last 15 years especially, the stability/instability and bifurcation of equilibrium solutions for reaction-diffusion equations/systems with a delay effect have been considered extensively. The theory of partial functionaldifferential equations and the related bifurcation theory have been developed for analyzing various mathematical questions that have arisen from models of population biology, biochemical reactions and other applications [1-4].

For the models with a single population, So and Yang [5] investigated the global attractivity of the equilibrium for the diffusive Nicholson's blowflies equation with Dirichlet boundary condition; So, Wu and Yang [6] and Su et al. [7] also studied the Hopf bifurcation on the diffusive Nicholson's blowflies equation with Dirichlet boundary condition; Yi and Zou [8] investigated the global attractivity of the diffusive Nicholson blowflies equation with Neumann boundary condition; Busenberg and Huang [9], and Su, Wei and Shi [10] investigated the Hopf bifurcation of a reaction-diffusion population model with delay and Dirichlet boundary condition, which occurs at the spatially inhomogeneous equilibrium; Davidson and Gourley [11] (and also [10]) studied the dynamics of a diffusive food-limited population model with delay and Dirichlet boundary condition. For multiple-population models, there are many results on predator-prey systems (see e.g. [12-20]).

In this work we consider the following Lotka-Volterra predator-prey system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t}=d_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u(t, x)}{\partial x^{2}}+u(t, x)\left[r_{1}-a_{1} u(t, x)-a_{2} v(t-v, x)\right], \quad t>0, x \in(0, \pi) \\
\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}=d_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v(t, x)}{\partial x^{2}}+v(t, x)\left[-r_{2}+a_{3} u(t-\tau, x)-a_{4} v(t, x)\right], \quad t>0, x \in(0, \pi)  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial x}=0, \quad t \geq 0, x=0, \pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where $u(t, x)$ and $v(t, x)$ are interpreted as the densities of prey and predator populations. Moreover, $\tau, r_{1}, r_{2}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are positive constants and $v, a_{1}, a_{4}$ are non-negative constants. More biological explanation of the above delayed diffusive Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system can be found in [12].

In [12], Faria assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3} r_{1}-a_{1} r_{2}>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then system (1.1) has a positive equilibrium

$$
E_{*}=\left(u_{*}, v_{*}\right)=\left(\frac{a_{2} r_{2}+a_{4} r_{1}}{a_{1} a_{4}+a_{2} a_{3}}, \frac{a_{3} r_{1}-a_{1} r_{2}}{a_{1} a_{4}+a_{2} a_{3}}\right)
$$

Due to the difficulties in the analysis of the characteristic equations, Faria studied the instability of the equilibrium $E_{*}$ and associated Hopf bifurcations with some assumptions on the coefficients in reaction terms. In [4], Wu studied the Hopf bifurcation of system (1.1) when $v=0, a_{4}=0$, and he obtained that under some assumptions on the coefficients, then the system (1.1) can give rise to a Hopf bifurcation of spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions.

In this paper we also assume (1.2); hence the system (1.1) possesses a positive equilibrium $E_{*}$. In this note, we obtain two new results for (1.1) which complement the ones in [12]. First we show that a similar instability analysis of $E_{*}$ holds when the two diffusion coefficients are close to each other but without additional conditions on the coefficients in the reaction terms. Secondly we prove that in a special case of $a=b=0$ and $d_{1}=d_{2}$, a Hopf bifurcation of spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions occurs and the bifurcating periodic solutions are unstable.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we analyze the stability of the positive equilibrium $E_{*}$ through the study of the characteristic equations. We show some results on the distribution of the roots of the characteristic equations and these results are supplementary to the ones in Faria [12]. We also show that the positive equilibrium $E_{*}$ can be destabilized through a Hopf bifurcation as $\tau$ increases when the two diffusion coefficients are close to each other. In Section 3, we consider a special case when $a=b=0$ and $d_{1}=d_{2}$.

## 2. Stability analysis and bifurcation

In this section, we will carry out the analysis of stability and Hopf bifurcation of the system (1.1) and give some results supplementary to those of Faria [12].

In [12], after the time-scaling $t \rightarrow t / \tau$, the change of variables $u \rightarrow a_{3} u=\bar{u}, v \rightarrow a_{2} u=\bar{v}$, and dropping the bars for simplification, system (1.1) is transformed into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t}=\tau d_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u(t, x)}{\partial x^{2}}+\tau u(t, x)\left[r_{1}-a u(t, x)-v(t-r, x)\right], \quad t>0, x \in(0, \pi)  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}=\tau d_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v(t, x)}{\partial x^{2}}+\tau v(t, x)\left[-r_{2}+u(t-1, x)-b v(t, x)\right], \quad t>0, x \in(0, \pi) \\
\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial x}=0, \quad t \geq 0, x=0, \pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r=v / \tau, a=a_{1} / a_{3}, b=a_{4} / a_{2}$. The positive equilibrium $E_{*}=\left(u_{*}, v_{*}\right)$ is now given by

$$
u_{*}=\frac{r_{2}+b r_{1}}{a b+1}, \quad v_{*}=\frac{r_{1}-a r_{2}}{a b+1}
$$

with the assumptions (which we will always assume in the rest of this paper)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}>0, \quad r_{2}>0, \quad r \geq 0, \quad a \geq 0, \quad b \geq 0, \quad r_{1}-a r_{2}>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [12] (5.6 $)$, we know that the characteristic equations for the equilibrium $E_{*}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(\lambda, \tau)=\lambda^{2}+A_{k} \tau \lambda+B_{k} \tau^{2}+C_{*} \tau^{2} e^{-\lambda(1+r)}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{k}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{k}=d_{1} k^{2}+d_{2} k^{2}+a u_{*}+b v_{*}, \quad B_{k}=\left(d_{1} k^{2}+a u_{*}\right)\left(d_{2} k^{2}+b v_{*}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad C_{*}=u_{*} v_{*} .
$$

If $\mathrm{i} \sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}>0\right)$ is a root of Eq. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)$, then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{k}^{2}-B_{k} \tau^{2}=C_{*} \tau^{2} \cos \sigma_{k}(1+r) \\
\sigma_{k} A_{k}=C_{*} \tau^{2} \sin \sigma_{k}(1+r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{4}+\left(A_{k}^{2}-2 B_{k}\right) \rho^{2}+B_{k}^{2}-C_{*}^{2}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k}^{2}-2 B_{k}=\left(d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}\right) k^{4}+2\left(d_{1} a u_{*}+d_{2} b v_{*}\right) k^{2}+\left(a^{2} u_{*}^{2}+b^{2} v_{*}^{2}\right) \geq 0, \\
& B_{k}^{2}-C_{*}^{2}=\left(d_{1} k^{2}+a u_{*}\right)^{2}\left(d_{2} k^{2}+b v_{*}\right)^{2}-u_{*}^{2} v_{*}^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad \rho=\frac{\sigma_{k}}{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

So if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \geq 1, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $B_{k}^{2}-C_{*}^{2}>0$, and Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right), k \geq 0$, have no imaginary roots, and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b<1, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is an integer $K_{0}$ such that Eq. (2.4) has a positive real root

$$
\rho_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[2 B_{k}-A_{k}^{2}+\sqrt{\left(2 B_{k}-A_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(B_{k}^{2}-C_{*}^{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

if $k \leq K_{0}$ and has no positive real roots if $k>K_{0}$. So Eqs. (2.3 $), k>K_{0}$, have no imaginary roots and each of Eqs. (2.3 $)_{k}$ ), $k \leq K_{0}$, has only a couple of imaginary roots $\pm i \sigma_{n}^{k}$ at $\tau_{n}^{k}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n}^{k} & =\frac{\arccos \frac{\rho_{k}^{2}-B_{k}}{C_{*}}+2 n \pi}{1+r}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, k=0,1, \ldots, K_{0}, \\
\tau_{n}^{k} & =\frac{\sigma_{n}^{k}}{\rho_{k}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, k=0,1, \ldots, K_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

if $a b<1$ and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$.
If $a=b=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{n}^{0}=\frac{2(n+1) \pi}{1+r}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
& \tau_{n}^{0}=\frac{\sigma_{n}^{0}}{\rho_{0}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\sigma_{n}^{k}, \tau_{n}^{k}(k \geq 1)$ are the same as those above.
If $d_{1}=d_{2}=d$, then

$$
A_{k}=2 m_{k}+p, \quad B_{k}=m_{k}^{2}+m_{k} p+q
$$

where

$$
m_{k}=d k^{2}, \quad p=a u_{*}+b v_{*}, \quad q=a b u_{*} v_{*},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 B_{k}-A_{k}^{2}=-2 m_{k}^{2}-2 m_{k} p-\left(a^{2} u_{*}^{2}+b^{2} v_{*}^{2}\right), \\
& B_{k}^{2}-C_{*}^{2}=\left(m_{k}^{2}+m_{k} p+q\right)^{2}-C_{*}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Assume that $d_{1}=d_{2}=d$.

1. If $a b<1$ and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$ are satisfied, then

$$
\tau_{n}^{k+1}>\tau_{n}^{k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq K_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots
$$

2. If $a=b=0$ is satisfied, then

$$
\tau_{n}^{k+1}>\tau_{n}^{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Proof. When $d_{1}=d_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{k}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-4 q\right)\left(4 m_{k}^{2}+4 m_{k} p+p^{2}\right)+4 C_{*}^{2}}-2 m_{k}^{2}-2 m_{k} p+2 q-p^{2}\right] \\
& \rho_{k}^{2}-B_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-4 q\right)\left(4 m_{k}^{2}+4 m_{k} p+p^{2}\right)+4 C_{*}^{2}}-4 m_{k}^{2}-4 m_{k} p-p^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p^{2}-4 q=0$, it is obvious that $\tau_{n}^{k+1}>\tau_{n}^{k}$, so we assume that $p^{2}-4 q>0$ since $p^{2}-4 q \geq 0$.

Suppose that

$$
x=\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-4 q\right)\left(4 m_{k}^{2}+4 m_{k} p+p^{2}\right)+4 C_{*}^{2}}
$$

then

$$
x \geq \sqrt{\left(p^{2}-4 q\right) p^{2}+4 C_{*}^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{n}^{k}=\frac{\arccos \left(\frac{x-\frac{x^{2}-4 C_{*}^{2}}{p^{2}-4 q}}{2 C_{*}}\right)+2 n \pi}{\frac{1+r}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x-\frac{x^{2}-4 C_{*}^{2}}{2\left(p^{2}-4 q\right)}-\frac{1}{2} p^{2}+2 q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g(x)
$$

It is easy to verify that if $y>z \geq p^{2}-4 q$ and $y, z$ are in the domain of $g$, then $g(y)>g(z)$.
So we can obtain that if $a b<1$ and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$ are satisfied, then

$$
\tau_{n}^{k+1}>\tau_{n}^{k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq K_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots
$$

and if $a=b=0$ is satisfied, then

$$
\tau_{n}^{k+1}>\tau_{n}^{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots
$$

It is obvious that $\tau_{n+1}^{k}>\tau_{n}^{k}$, so we have $\tau_{0}^{0}=\min \left\{\tau_{n}^{k}\right\}_{0 \leq k \leq K_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots}$ if $d_{1}=d_{2}=d$, $a b<1$, and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$. From Lemma 2.1 and the continuous dependence of $\tau_{n}^{k}$ on $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ we have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2. Assume that (2.2) holds, $a b<1$, and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$; then there exists $a n \in\left(d, a, b, r_{i}, r\right)$ such that for any $d_{1}, d_{2} \in(d-\epsilon, d+\epsilon), \tau_{0}^{0}=\min \left\{\tau_{n}^{k}\right\}_{0 \leq k \leq K_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots}$.

Suppose that $\lambda_{k}(\tau)=\mu_{k}(\tau)+i \sigma_{k}(\tau)$ is the root of Eq. (2.3 ${ }_{k}$ ) satisfying

$$
\mu_{k}\left(\tau_{n}^{k}\right)=0, \sigma_{k}\left(\tau_{n}^{k}\right)= \pm \sigma_{n}^{k}
$$

then using the same method as in [12, Theorem 3.2] we have the following transversality result.
Lemma 2.3. Assume that (2.2) holds, $a b<1$, and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$; then $\mu_{k}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n}^{k}\right)>0$ for $0 \leq k \leq K_{0}$ and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$.
From Lemma 2.3, Proposition 2.2, [12, Theorem 3.3] and [3, Theorem 3.3.2], we have the following conclusions on the distribution of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right), k \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that (2.2) holds.

1. When $a b \geq 1$, then all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 0)$ have negative real parts for $\tau \in[0, \infty)$.
2. When $a b<1$ and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$, then for any $d>0$ there exists $a n \in\left(d, a, b, r_{i}, r\right)$ defined in Proposition 2.2 such that for any $d_{1}, d_{2} \in(d-\epsilon, d+\epsilon)$ :
(i) If $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}^{0}\right)$, then all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 0)$ have negative real parts.
(ii) If $\tau=\tau_{0}^{0}$, then all of the roots of Eq. $\left(2.3_{0}\right)$ except $\pm i \sigma_{0}^{0}$ and Eq. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ have negative real parts.
(iii) If $\tau \in\left(\tau_{0}^{0}\right.$, $\left.\min \left(\tau_{1}^{0}, \tau_{0}^{1}\right)\right)$, Eq. (2.3 $\left.3_{0}\right)$ has only one pair of roots with positive real parts, and all of the roots of Eqs. (2.3 $\left.)_{k}\right)$ ( $k \geq 1$ ) have negative real parts.
(iv) If $\tau>\min \left(\tau_{1}^{0}, \tau_{0}^{1}\right)$, then Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 0)$ have at least two pair of roots with positive real parts.

This lemma gives the spectral properties when $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are close to each other, which is complementary to Theorem 5.1 of Faria [12]. Remarkably in this theorem $\tau_{0}^{0}$ equals $\tau_{0}$ of [12], and $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ equals $\sigma_{0}$ of [12]. Here we do not assume $a b\left(a u_{*}+b v_{*}\right)^{2} \leq u_{*} v_{*}$ as in [12] but we have the additional assumption on the diffusion coefficients $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. Spectral properties in Lemma 2.4 immediately lead to the following results on the dynamics of system (1.1) (or equivalently (2.1)).

Theorem 2.5. Consider system (1.1), and assume that (2.2) holds.

1. If $a b \geq 1$, then $E_{*}$ is locally asymptotically stable.
2. If $a b<1$ and $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$, then for any $d>0$ there exists an $\epsilon\left(d, a, b, r_{i}, r\right)$ defined in Proposition 2.2 such that for any

$$
d_{1}, d_{2} \in(d-\epsilon, d+\epsilon)
$$

$E_{*}$ is locally asymptotically stable when $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}^{0}\right.$ ), and is unstable when $\tau>\tau_{0}^{0}$. Furthermore, the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation of spatially homogeneous periodic orbits at $E_{*}$ when $\tau=\tau_{0}^{0}$.
From this theorem we know that when the diffusion coefficients for the prey and predator are very close to each other in the delayed diffusive Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system, the diffusion terms have no impact on the local stability of the positive equilibrium $E_{*}$. Here we also do not assume $a b\left(a u_{*}+b v_{*}\right)^{2} \leq u_{*} v_{*}$ as in [12] but we have an additional assumption on the diffusion coefficients $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$.

## 3. A special case

In this section we will analyze system (1.1) (or equivalently (2.1)) in the special case when $a=b=0$ and $d_{1}=d_{2}=d$. It can be easily verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n}^{k} & =\frac{\arccos \left(1-\frac{2 d^{2} k^{4}}{C_{*}}\right)+2 n \pi}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}-d^{2} k^{4}}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K_{0}, \quad \text { and } \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
\tau_{n}^{0} & =\frac{2(n+1) \pi}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

From [12, Theorem 3.4] and Lemma 2.1, we obtain:
Theorem 3.1. Assume that (2.2) holds, $a=b=0$, and $d_{1}=d_{2}=d$.

1. When $d^{2}-C_{*} \geq 0$, then all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ have negative real parts for $\tau \in[0, \infty)$.
2. When $d^{2}-C_{*}<0$ :
(i) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}^{1}=\frac{\arccos \left(1-\frac{2 d^{2}}{C_{*}}\right)}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}-d^{2}}}>\frac{2 \pi}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}}}=\tau_{0}^{0}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ have negative real parts for $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}^{0}\right]$.
(ii) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}^{1}=\frac{\arccos \left(1-\frac{2 d^{2}}{C_{*}}\right)}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}-d^{2}}}<\frac{2 \pi}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}}}=\tau_{0}^{0}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then a Hopf bifurcation of spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions occurs at $E_{*}$ for system (1.1) and these spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions are unstable when $\tau$ is near $\tau_{0}^{1}$.
Proof. When $a=b=0, d_{1}=d_{2}=d$, the characteristic equations of system (1.1) are

$$
\lambda^{2}+A_{k} \tau \lambda+B_{k} \tau^{2}+C_{*} \tau^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(1+r)}=0, \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots),
$$

where

$$
A_{k}=2 d_{k}^{2}, \quad B_{k}=d^{2} k^{4}, \quad \text { and } \quad C_{*}=u_{*} v_{*} .
$$

Then Eq. (2.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{4}+2 m_{k}^{2} \rho^{2}+d^{2} k^{4}-C_{*}^{2}=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d^{2}-C_{*} \geq 0$, then Eq. (3.3) has no positive roots for $k \geq 1$, so all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ have negative real parts for $\tau \in[0, \infty)$.

If $d^{2}-C_{*}<0$, then Eq. (3.3) has a positive root for $k=1$. So if

$$
\frac{\arccos \left(1-\frac{2 d^{2}}{C_{*}}\right)}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}-d^{2}}}>\frac{2 \pi}{(1+r) \sqrt{C}_{*}}
$$

we have $\tau_{0}^{0}<\tau_{0}^{1}$ and $\tau_{0}^{1}<\tau_{0}^{k}, 1 \leq k \leq K_{0}$, from Lemma 2.1. Then all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ have negative real parts for $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{1}^{0}\right]$. If

$$
\frac{\arccos \left(1-\frac{2 d^{2}}{C_{*}}\right)}{(1+r) \sqrt{C_{*}-d^{2}}}<\frac{2 \pi}{(1+r) \sqrt{C}_{*}},
$$

we have $\tau_{0}^{0}>\tau_{0}^{1}$, and when $\tau=\tau_{0}^{1}$, Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 0)$ have no pure imaginary roots except $k=1$. From [12, Theorem 3.4], we know that ( $2.3_{k}$ ) has at least two roots with positive real parts when $\tau>0$. So when $\tau=\tau_{0}^{1}$, Eqs. ( $2.3_{k}$ ) ( $k \geq 0$ ) have only one pair of simple imaginary roots and at least two roots with positive real parts. Then a Hopf bifurcation of spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions occurs at $E_{*}$ for system (1.1) when $\tau=\tau_{0}^{1}$, and these spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions are unstable.

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 5.2 of [12], Faria gave a sufficient condition for all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ to have negative real parts for $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}^{0}\right]$ when $a=b=0$. In this theorem we give a sufficient and necessary condition for all of the roots of Eqs. $\left(2.3_{k}\right)(k \geq 1)$ to have negative real parts for $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}^{0}\right]$ with the assumption $d_{1}=d_{2}=d$. We also obtain a sufficient condition for the occurrence of Hopf bifurcation of spatially inhomogeneous periodic solutions.
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