LinkBERT: Pretraining Language Models with Document Links



Michihiro Yasunaga, Jure Leskovec*, Percy Liang* Stanford University















Language Model (LM) Pretraining

Core component of today's NLP systems



Language Model (LM) Pretraining

Large-scale self-supervised learning

Task	Examples	Input	Output
Masked LM	BERT, RoBERTa, etc.	'My dog is fetching the'	next_word = 'ball'
Causal LM	GPT-*	'My is fetching the ball'	mask = 'dog'
Seq2seq	BART, T5, etc.	'My is fetching the ball'	denoised = 'My dog is fetching the ball'

LMs learn various knowledge

Sentence:	Predictions:	
I wanted to learn to sail, so I bought a	5.4% sail	
	2.6% new	
	2.0% small	
	1.4% canoe	
	Sentence:	Predictions:
	I wanted to learn to drive, so I bought a	7.5% new 7.0% car
Sentence:	Predictions:	
	17.2% book	1.7% Evrd
I wanted to learn to read, so I bought a	15.2% сору	- Undo
	3.4% Kindle	
	2.4% new	
	1.7% few	
	Sentence:	Predictions:
Complete Wikipedia and	I wanted to learn to fly, so I bought a	5.3% plane 3.8% new
11,038 Dooks		1.6% small
		1.6% Boeing
		1.5% jet
		← Undo 4

https://demo.allennlp.org/nextptoken-Im

Existing LM Pretraining Methods

Tyically model a **single** document at a time (e.g. BERT, RoBERTa)



But documents have rich dependencies

Corpus is not a list of documents, but a *graph* of documents!



Knowledge can span across documents

Document

[Tidal Basin, Washington D.C.]

The Tidal Basin is a man-made reservoir located between It is part of West Potomac Park, is near the National Mall and is a focal point of <u>the National</u> <u>Cherry Blossom Festival</u> held each spring. The Jefferson Memorial, (e.g. hyperlink, citation)

Linked document

[The National Cherry Blossom Festival] ... It is a spring celebration commemorating the March 27, 1912, gift of Japanese cherry trees from Mayor of Tokyo City Yukio Ozaki to the city of Washington, D.C. Mayor Ozaki gifted the trees to enhance ... Document links offer **new knowledge** not available in single documents alone.

Useful for **various applications**, e.g. QA, discovery.

Multi-hop knowledge

(e.g. Tidal Basin has Japanese cherry trees)

Goal: Train LMs from a Graph of Docs



Corpus of linked documents

Pretrain the LM



Pretrain the LM

Corpus of linked documents

- (0) Document graph construction
- (1) Link-aware LM input creation
- (2) Link-aware LM pretraining
 - Masked language modeling (MLM)
 - Document relation prediction (DRP)





(0) Document graph construction

- (1) Link-aware LM input creation
- (2) Link-aware LM pretraining
 - Masked language modeling (MLM)
 - Document relation prediction (DRP)





(0) Document Graph

ldea

• Link related docs so that the links can bring together new knowledge

How to link?

- Use hyperlinks/citations High quality of relevance. Easily gathered at scale.
- Could also use other linking methods e.g. lexical similarity

Build document graph

- Node = document
- Edge (i, j) if there is a link from doc i to doc j



- (0) Document graph construction
- (1) Link-aware LM input creation
- (2) Link-aware LM pretraining
 - Masked language modeling (MLM)
 - Document relation prediction (DRP)





(1) Link-aware LM Input Creation

Motivation

• LMs learn token dependency effectively if the tokens are shown in the same context (Levine+2022). Let's place linked docs together in the same context





Corpus of linked documents

(1) Link-aware LM Input Creation

ldea

Sample a pair of text segments (A, B) as input, using three options:
 (i) contiguous, (ii) random, (iii) linked



> Contiguous



Corpus of linked documents

LM Input Option (i): "Contiguous"

After sampling segment **A**, take the contiguous segment from the same doc as **B** (same as BERT)



Corpus of linked documents

LM Input Option (ii): "Random"

After sampling segment **A**, sample a segment from a random doc as **B** (same as BERT)



Corpus of linked documents

LM Input Option (iii): "Linked"

After sampling segment **A**, sample a segment from a linked doc as **B** (our new proposal)



Corpus of linked documents

- (0) Document graph construction
- (1) Link-aware LM input creation
- (2) Link-aware LM pretraining
 - Masked language modeling (MLM)
 - Document relation prediction (DRP)





(2) Link-aware LM Pretraining

Idea: Pretrain LM with link-aware self-supervised tasks



(2) Link-aware LM Pretraining

Masked language modeling (MLM)

- Predict masked tokens
- Learn concepts brought into the same context by doc links, e.g. **multi-hop knowlege**

Document relation prediction (DRP)

- Predict the relation between segment A and B
- Learn relevance between docs
- Learn the existence of **bridging concepts**

Jointly optimize MLM + DRP



Graph Machine Learning Perspective

Interpretation as graph self-supervised learning on the doc graph

MLM = Node Feature Prediction

Predict masked features of a node using neighbor nodes

⇒ Predict masked tokens in Segment A using Segment B

DRP = Link Prediction

Predict the existence/type of an edge between two nodes

⇒ Predict if two segments are linked (edge), contiguous (self-loop), or random (no edge)



- (0) Document graph construction
- (1) Link-aware LM input creation
- (2) Link-aware LM pretraining
 - Masked language modeling (MLM)
 - Document relation prediction (DRP)





Strategy for Obtaining Linked Docs

Key factors to consider:

Relevance

The link should capture relevance. Otherwise LinkBERT is the same as BERT

⇒ 🔽 Hyperlink 🔽 Lexical similarity

Salience

The link should offer **new knowledge** not obvious to the current LM

⇒ 🔽 Hyperlink 🤺 Lexical similarity

Diversity

High in-degree docs may get sampled too often (e.g. "United States" page)

⇒ ✓ Sample a linked doc with probability inversely proportional to in-degree (<u>Henzinger+2000</u>)

Experiments

	General domain	Biomedical domain
Pretraining corpus	Wikipedia (10GB) + Books (4GB) Links: hyperlinks Doc graph: 3M nodes, 60M edges	PubMed (20GB) Links: citations Doc graph: 15M nodes, 120M edges
Baseline = Pretrained on same corpus, but no doc links	BERT (<u>Devlin+2019</u>)	PubmedBERT (<u>Gu+2020</u>)
Downstream tasks	<u>GLUE</u> (NLP benchmark) <u>MRQA</u> (QA benchmark)	<u>BLURB</u> (NLP benchmark) <u>MedQA-USMLE</u> (QA task) <u>MMLU medicine</u> (QA task)

Performance

LinkBERT makes consistent improvement across tasks and domains



BioLinkBERT sets a new state of the art



Benefit 1: Multi-hop Reasoning

Large gains over BERT on tasks involving multi-hop reasoning

F1-score on MRQA tasks



Benefit 1: Multi-hop Reasoning

HotpotQA example

Question: Roden Brothers were taken over in 1953 by a group headquartered in which Canadian city?

Doc A: Roden Brothers was founded June 1, 1891 in Toronto, Ontario, Canada by Thomas and Frank Roden. In the 1910s the firm became known as Roden Bros. Ltd. and were later taken over by **Henry Birks and Sons** in 1953. ...

Doc B: **Birks Group** (formerly Birks & Mayors) is a designer, manufacturer and retailer of jewellery, timepieces, silverware and gifts ... The company is headquartered in **Montreal**, Quebec, ...

LinkBERT predicts: "Montreal" (🗸) BERT predicts: "Toronto" (🗶)

Intuition: seeing linked docs in the same context in pretraining helps reasoning with multiple docs in downstream

Benefit 1: Multi-hop Reasoning

USMLE example

Question

Three days after undergoing a laparoscopic Whipple's procedure, a 43-year-old woman has **swelling of her right leg**. ... She was diagnosed with **pancreatic cancer** 1 month ago. ... Her temperature is 38°C (100.4°F), Which of the following is the most appropriate next step in management?

(A) CT pulmonary angiography
(B) Compression ultrasonography
(C) 2 sets of blood cultures



Doc A: ... Pancreatic cancer can induce

deep vein thrombosis in leg ... (*e.g.* Ansari et al. 2015) **Reference Doc B:** ... Deep vein thrombosis is tested by compression ultrasonography ... (*e.g.* Piovella et al. 2002)

LinkBERT predicts: B (🗸) PubmedBERT predicts: C (🗶)

Benefit 2: Document Relation Understanding

Motivation

 In open-domain QA, QA model is given multiple retrieved (noisy) documents and needs to understand their relevance (<u>Chen+2017</u>)

Evaluation

• Add distracting documents to the original MRQA datasets. Can LinkBERT still answer correctly?



Benefit 2: Document Relation Understanding

LinkBERT is robust to irrelevant documents

⇒ DRP task in pretraining helps recognizing doc relevance in downstream



Benefit 3: Few-shot QA

Large gains over BERT on few-shot and data-efficient QA

⇒ LinkBERT internalized more knowledge during pretraining



F1-score on MRQA

Ablation Study

Key factors for obtaining linked docs

(relevance, salience, diversity)

F1-score on MRQA



Effect of DRP task in pretraining





Takeaways

LinkBERT: train knowledgeable LMs via document links (hyperlinks, citations)

- Place linked documents in the same LM context
- Train with joint objectives: masked LM and doc relation prediction

Benefits

- Better captures document/concept relations
 - ⇒ Effective for **multi-hop** reasoning and **cross-document** understanding
- Internalizes more world knowledge
 - ⇒ Effective for **knowledge-intensive** tasks, including few-shot QA

Thanks!





Thank you to the members of the Stanford P-Lambda / SNAP / NLP groups, as well as our anonymous reviewers. Funded in part by a PECASE award, DARPA MCS, and Funai Foundation Scholarship.

Paper: <u>LinkBERT: Pretraining Language Models with Document Links</u>. ACL 2022. Code/Data/Model: <u>https://github.com/michiyasunaga/LinkBERT</u> HuggingFace: <u>https://huggingface.co/michiyasunaga</u>