

Vernam Two

The author's email: dmilleville@Comcast.net

- This document is a PDF of the PowerPoint presentation that is to be presented where and when requested.
- It contains all information that can physically be included within this presentation document concerning this design.
- Other information can be furnished during a presentation that proves the methodology exists and produces what is claimed.
- This is a bona-fide modification/addition to an existing long-standing cryptographic algorithm combined, for the first time, with Algebraic law to produce a commercial version of a faster and more secure system than the AES.

Introducing a significant improvement over the current AES Standard

1. At least a 4-fold performance improvement as compared to the AES.
2. Ability to decrypt individual characters of plaintext without having to decrypt an entire block. When coupled with the performance improvement, this will vastly improve data searching throughput of sensitive protected databases.
3. No loss of security – mathematical proof is provided in this presentation.
4. No more ‘Mode Of Operations’ – No external data, counter, table or extra data stream needed for an unpredictably changing output – all data needed to decrypt the unpredictable encryption is encrypted along with the plaintext contained within the ciphertext file using the same encryption methodology.
5. Requires access to an approved Random Number Generator for the first block only.
6. The only ‘mode’ this design has, produces a virtually endless number of almost completely different ciphertext files, even if it repeatedly encrypts the same plaintext.
7. Can produce 10 billion+ different ciphertext files from any single plaintext input with no external data, count or stream needed.

What is the comparison of the AES to this proposed cipher design?

Point of Consideration	256-bit AES	Proposed cipher design
Input Key size	256 bits	256 bits
Time to encrypt a 15.8 Mbyte file	62.8 seconds	12 seconds
Security	The ‘Standard’	Mathematical proof is provided that it is at least equal to The ‘Standard’
Additional data and/or information needed for proper encryption or decryption to occur for most Modes Of Operation	Provided/delivered external to the ciphertext, a possible security issue	No MOO, all data needed is encrypted within the ciphertext using the <u>same</u> encryption methodology
When the user needs 1 or more characters from the ciphertext when searching for an SS or credit #, how much work is involved?	The entire block has to be decrypted before access is provided for one character	Individual characters from the ciphertext can be decrypted without processing the entire block

How can the speed increase with no loss of security?

- The AES relies on repeated mathematical processing of the entire block to provide the security required. This results in an average of 245 computer steps executed per character (Visual Basic version of the AES).
- The speed increase in this design is the result of using a combination of a well known cryptographic algorithm plus Algebraic law, involving only 2 steps per character as detailed in this presentation. Repetitious processing bogs down the process and allows for possible attacks.
- With significantly fewer steps to take per character, there is a very significant improvement in execution speed.

Key requirements and methodology for construction

- Key storage will be discussed later in this presentation.
- The AES's 'gkey' function was expanded to produce a **base array** of 2,097,184 (0 to 20001Fh) pseudo-random long words from the input 256-bit key.
- The 8,388,736 (0 to 80007Fh) byte main key this design uses is created by extracting 4 bytes from each **base array** long word.
- Two chain keys, 8,388,608 (0 to 7FFFFFFh) long words each, are also created using the **base array** as the initializer and construction 'director'.
- The function of a chain key and the methodology used to construct this key is illustrated next.

What is the makeup and function of a 'chain key'?

- The key array contains all numbers within a stated range, access chained into a single loop pseudo-randomly. An example of a chain key using 0 through 9:

$\text{chn}(0)=4, \text{chn}(4)=7, \text{chn}(7)=3, \text{chn}(3)=9, \text{chn}(9)=2,$
 $\text{chn}(2)=5, \text{chn}(5)=6, \text{chn}(6)=1, \text{chn}(1)=8, \text{chn}(8)=0$

- The function of the key is to use all numbers only once within the effective range beginning anywhere when accessing all locations as above within the key array. In the above case, 0 through 9, in pseudo-random order. ⁶

What is the second 'chain key'?

- The second chain key is the first key in the reverse chain direction. Here's the 'forward' chain example from the previous slide:

$\text{chn}(0)=4, \text{chn}(4)=7, \text{chn}(7)=3, \text{chn}(3)=9, \text{chn}(9)=2,$
 $\text{chn}(2)=5, \text{chn}(5)=6, \text{chn}(6)=1, \text{chn}(1)=8, \text{chn}(8)=0$

- Here is the same chain key in reverse:

$\text{chn}(0)=8, \text{chn}(8)=1, \text{chn}(1)=6, \text{chn}(6)=5, \text{chn}(5)=2,$
 $\text{chn}(2)=9, \text{chn}(9)=3, \text{chn}(3)=7, \text{chn}(7)=4, \text{chn}(4)=0$

What are the sizes of the 'chain keys' and how are they used in this design?

- Both of this cipher engine's chain keys are 8,388,608 (0 to 7FFFFFFh) long words.
- After 4 array pointers used in this methodology are randomly initialized using the PRNG for the first block only, these pointers are advanced for subsequent blocks using the first chain key to change their reference into the main key.
- Because the pointers use the chain key, a total of 8,388,608 sets of non-repeated pointers are created for up to that number of blocks. You will see why these pointers must not repeat later.
- The second chain key is used in the process to encrypt the starting pointers for the decrypt engine's use.

An actual chain table

- Pictured on the right is a randomly selected start and end point of the 8+ million chain table used in the current demonstration application, illustrating how the chain is used, starting and ending at the randomly selected point in the key, address 5,209,185.
- The file pictured is 270+ Mbytes in size so this is why only the beginning and ending of the file are illustrated. Searching for the starting address 5,209,185 is found in only 2 places, the start and end as pictured. Notice the scroll bars show the segments shown are at the start and end.
- Searching for ANY other address results in only two adjacent lines containing the address searched. For example, searching for 6,914,872 occurs in only the two adjacent lines indicated in the entire file.

```
Forward Chained Key Table .dat - ...
File Edit Format View Help
chainTbl(5,209,185) = 7,063,039
chainTbl(7,063,039) = 108,223
chainTbl(108,223) = 523,029
chainTbl(523,029) = 4,049,418
chainTbl(4,049,418) = 234,987
chainTbl(234,987) = 5,193,794
chainTbl(5,193,794) = 4,099,816
chainTbl(4,099,816) = 7,228,667
chainTbl(7,228,667) = 2,147,303
chainTbl(2,147,303) = 5,817,229
chainTbl(5,817,229) = 1,502,551
chainTbl(1,502,551) = 1,953,048
chainTbl(1,953,048) = 8,257,071
chainTbl(8,257,071) = 6,914,872
chainTbl(6,914,872) = 1,039,448
chainTbl(1,039,448) = 6,528,871
chainTbl(6,528,871) = 7,788,926
chainTbl(7,788,926) = 519,594
chainTbl(519,594) = 3,843,379
chainTbl(3,843,379) = 5,898,993
chainTbl(5,898,993) = 7,114,159
chainTbl(7,114,159) = 3,592,552
chainTbl(3,592,552) = 3,780,282
chainTbl(3,780,282) = 6,647,073
chainTbl(6,647,073) = 6,264,702
chainTbl(6,264,702) = 1,973,655
chainTbl(1,973,655) = 5,756,438
chainTbl(5,420,633) = 4,632,353
chainTbl(4,632,353) = 7,330,651
chainTbl(7,330,651) = 1,424,733
chainTbl(1,424,733) = 8,129,443
chainTbl(8,129,443) = 6,771,674
chainTbl(6,771,674) = 4,507,064
chainTbl(4,507,064) = 2,551,203
chainTbl(2,551,203) = 6,967,173
chainTbl(6,967,173) = 5,117,436
chainTbl(5,117,436) = 5,989,968
chainTbl(5,989,968) = 5,668,738
chainTbl(5,668,738) = 615,101
chainTbl(615,101) = 7,028,503
chainTbl(7,028,503) = 7,603,373
chainTbl(7,603,373) = 3,155,378
chainTbl(3,155,378) = 5,909,682
chainTbl(5,909,682) = 5,209,185
```

A reverse chain table

- On the near right is a reverse chain table beginning at the last address on the top portion of the forward chain table, address 1,973,655.
- If you follow it down, it matches the reverse sequence of the forward table right through the ending.

The image shows two Notepad++ windows side-by-side. The left window is titled 'Reverse Chained Key Table .dat - ...' and contains a list of entries for 'revChain'. The right window is titled 'Forward Chained Key Table .dat - ...' and contains a list of entries for 'chainTbl'. The entries in both windows are arranged in reverse chronological order, starting from the highest address at the top and ending at the lowest address at the bottom. The data in the reverse chain table is the reverse of the data in the forward chain table.

Reverse Chain Table (revChain)	Forward Chain Table (chainTbl)
revChain(1,973,655) = 6,264,702	chainTbl(5,209,185) = 7,063,039
revChain(6,264,702) = 6,647,073	chainTbl(7,063,039) = 108,223
revChain(6,647,073) = 3,780,282	chainTbl(108,223) = 523,029
revChain(3,780,282) = 3,592,552	chainTbl(523,029) = 4,049,418
revChain(3,592,552) = 7,114,159	chainTbl(4,049,418) = 234,987
revChain(7,114,159) = 5,898,993	chainTbl(234,987) = 5,193,794
revChain(5,898,993) = 3,843,379	chainTbl(5,193,794) = 4,099,816
revChain(3,843,379) = 519,594	chainTbl(4,099,816) = 7,228,667
revChain(519,594) = 7,788,926	chainTbl(7,228,667) = 2,147,303
revChain(7,788,926) = 6,528,871	chainTbl(2,147,303) = 5,817,229
revChain(6,528,871) = 1,039,448	chainTbl(5,817,229) = 1,502,551
revChain(1,039,448) = 6,914,872	chainTbl(1,502,551) = 1,953,048
revChain(6,914,872) = 8,257,071	chainTbl(1,953,048) = 8,257,071
revChain(8,257,071) = 1,953,048	chainTbl(8,257,071) = 6,914,872
revChain(1,953,048) = 1,502,551	chainTbl(6,914,872) = 1,039,448
revChain(1,502,551) = 5,817,229	chainTbl(1,039,448) = 6,528,871
revChain(5,817,229) = 2,147,303	chainTbl(6,528,871) = 7,788,926
revChain(2,147,303) = 7,228,667	chainTbl(7,788,926) = 519,594
revChain(7,228,667) = 4,099,816	chainTbl(519,594) = 3,843,379
revChain(4,099,816) = 5,193,794	chainTbl(3,843,379) = 5,898,993
revChain(5,193,794) = 234,987	chainTbl(5,898,993) = 7,114,159
revChain(234,987) = 4,049,418	chainTbl(7,114,159) = 3,592,552
revChain(4,049,418) = 523,029	chainTbl(3,592,552) = 3,780,282
revChain(523,029) = 108,223	chainTbl(3,780,282) = 6,647,073
revChain(108,223) = 7,063,039	chainTbl(6,647,073) = 6,264,702
revChain(7,063,039) = 5,209,185	chainTbl(6,264,702) = 1,973,655
revChain(5,209,185) = 5,909,682	chainTbl(1,973,655) = 5,756,438
revChain(5,909,682) = 3,155,378	
revChain(3,155,378) = 7,603,373	chainTbl(5,420,633) = 4,632,353
revChain(7,603,373) = 7,028,503	chainTbl(4,632,353) = 7,330,651
revChain(7,028,503) = 615,101	chainTbl(7,330,651) = 1,424,733
revChain(615,101) = 5,668,738	chainTbl(1,424,733) = 8,129,443
revChain(5,668,738) = 5,989,968	chainTbl(8,129,443) = 6,771,674
revChain(5,989,968) = 5,117,436	chainTbl(6,771,674) = 4,507,064
revChain(5,117,436) = 6,967,173	chainTbl(4,507,064) = 2,551,203
revChain(6,967,173) = 2,551,203	chainTbl(2,551,203) = 6,967,173
revChain(2,551,203) = 4,507,064	chainTbl(6,967,173) = 5,117,436
revChain(4,507,064) = 6,771,674	chainTbl(5,117,436) = 5,989,968
revChain(6,771,674) = 8,129,443	chainTbl(5,989,968) = 5,668,738
revChain(8,129,443) = 1,424,733	chainTbl(5,668,738) = 615,101
revChain(1,424,733) = 7,330,651	chainTbl(615,101) = 7,028,503
revChain(7,330,651) = 4,632,353	chainTbl(7,028,503) = 7,603,373
revChain(4,632,353) = 5,420,633	chainTbl(7,603,373) = 3,155,378
revChain(5,420,633) = 6,324,766	chainTbl(3,155,378) = 5,909,682
revChain(6,324,766) = 324,410	chainTbl(5,909,682) = 5,209,185
revChain(324,410) = 5,784,126	
revChain(5,784,126) = 2,506,173	
revChain(2,506,173) = 3,658,558	
revChain(3,658,558) = 801,960	
revChain(801,960) = 6,312,697	
revChain(6,312,697) = 2,525,779	
revChain(2,525,779) = 97,529	
revChain(97,529) = 383,364	

Constructing an 8 million long word chain key from only 2 million numbers

- The absolute value of a **base array** location is selected and the value Mod 8,388,608 (800000h) is used as a 'start-load-at' number.
- A **source array** of 8,388,608 (0 to 7FFFFFFh) long words is loaded starting at position 0 loading the 'start-load-at' value and loading the locations with a round-robin incremented value to complete the load.
- Within the **source array**, every value from 0 to 8,388,607 inclusive is recorded only once.
- The build function then loops through the **base array**.

Constructing an 8 million long word chain key from only 2 million numbers

- If the absolute number in the **source array** within this loop at the **base array** pointer has not been used, it is transferred to the **chain key array** in the location 'previous-value'.
- The number loaded becomes the new 'previous value' location, the number in the **source array** is flagged 'used'.
- The location in the **reverse chain key array** is initialized by using the address as the data and the data as the address.
- Every time the loop completes using the **base array**, the **source array** is cleared of 'used' locations.

Constructing an 8 million long word chain key from only 2 million numbers

- The number of available values is used to Mod the value from the **base array** during the next loop through the **source array**.
- The **base array** is reused as many times as needed until the **chain key array** is fully constructed.
- When the **chain key array** has been completely loaded from the **source array**, the saved 'starting-initial-value', set at the start of construction, is transferred to the location indicated in 'previous-value' to close the chain, and the **reverse chain key array** is also closed using the reverse set of data and address.

Here's the AES Visual Basic Encryption Code

- To calculate 'Y(j)', this code executes 70 steps.

```
For i = 1 To m_Nr - 1
  For j = 0 To m_Nb - 1
    m = j * 3
    Y(j) = m_ekey(k) Xor m_etable(X(j) And &HFF&) Xor _
      RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m)), 8) And &HFF&), 8) Xor _
      RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 1)), 16) And &HFF&), 16) Xor _
      RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 2)), 24) And &HFF&), 24)
    k = k + 1
  Next
  t = X
  X = Y
  Y = t
Next
```

- If you would like to see proof of the 70 steps, it can be shown after this presentation.

Here's the AES Visual Basic Encryption Code

- The **inner loop** executes 8 times. $70 \times 8 = 560$ steps

```
For i = 1 To m_Nr - 1
    For j = 0 To m_Nb - 1
        m = j * 3
        Y(j) = m_ekey(k) Xor m_etable(X(j) And &HFF&) Xor _
            RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m)), 8) And &HFF&), 8) Xor _
            RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 1)), 16) And &HFF&), 16) Xor _
            RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 2)), 24) And &HFF&), 24)
        k = k + 1
    Next
    t = X
    X = Y
    Y = t
Next
```

Here's the AES Visual Basic Encryption Code

- The **outer loop** 13 times. $560 \times 13 = 7,280$ steps.

```
For i = 1 To m_Nr - 1
    For j = 0 To m_Nb - 1
        m = j * 3
        Y(j) = m_ekey(k) Xor m_etable(X(j) And &HFF&) Xor _
            RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m)), 8) And &HFF&), 8) Xor _
            RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 1)), 16) And &HFF&), 16) Xor _
            RotateLeft(m_etable(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 2)), 24) And &HFF&), 24)
        k = k + 1
    Next
    t = X
    X = Y
    Y = t
Next
```

Here's the AES Visual Basic Encryption Code

- This **8-step loop** executes once at the end of the encryption sequence for the block.
- $7,280 + (8 \times 70) = 7,840$

```
For j = 0 To m_Nb - 1
    m = j * 3
    Y(j) = m_ekey(k) Xor m_fbsub(X(j) And &HFF&) Xor _
        RotateLeft(m_fbsub(RShift(X(m_fi(m)), 8) And &HFF&), 8) Xor _
        RotateLeft(m_fbsub(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 1)), 16) And &HFF&), 16) Xor _
        RotateLeft(m_fbsub(RShift(X(m_fi(m + 2)), 24) And &HFF&), 24)
    k = k + 1
Next
```

The proposed cipher processes 128 characters per block

- AES takes 7,840 steps to encrypt 32 characters
- This cipher design encrypts 128 characters per block or 4 blocks of AES plaintext.
- $4 \times 7,840 = 31,360$ steps to encrypt 128 characters of plaintext for the AES.
- This is deliberately conservative as the single instructions in **blue** either side of the main instruction are not counted.

This cryptographic engine's Visual Basic code

- The `'key'` is the 8,388,736 byte (0 to 80007Fh) key constructed by the `gkey` function.
- The `'Ptrx'` pointers are initially randomly set between 0 and 8,366,607 inclusive by the PRNG during block 1 and modified by the chain key for each succeeding block.
- The `'str1'` is the string holder that will contain the ciphertext or plaintext block characters.
- The `'str2'` is the string holder that contains the plaintext or ciphertext block characters.

This cryptographic engine's Visual Basic code

- This **loop** executes 2 steps for each of 128 characters:

```
For i = 0 To 127
    str1 = str1 + chr$(Asc(Mid$(str2, i + 1, 1)) Xor _
        key(Ptr1 + i) Xor key(Ptr2 + i) Xor _
        key(Ptr3 + i) Xor key(Ptr4 + i))
Next i
```

- Notice there are only table references, not functions called, to obtain the values to Xor together.
- How does this compare to the 31,360 steps (245 steps for each character) of the AES encryption for the same 128 plaintext characters?

What happens after the first block?

- After the first and subsequent blocks are processed and the engine is about to encrypt the next block, each pointer accesses the chain key. The pointers are all reset to different reference points within the main key.
- Even if only one pointer was changed by 1, the EKS would be almost entirely different – this can be demonstrated.
- Since all 4 pointers will change to constantly pseudo-different values, the EKS will be a non-repeating stream through the 8 million+ block size of the chain key.

Does any attacker have any Possibility of reconstructing the entire key?

- Unlike most other ciphers, it is impossible to reconstruct the entire key if it were possible to determine the key streams used for one block.
- 4 streams of 128 bytes used per block = 512 bytes of the 8,388,736 byte key.
- Even if they could reconstruct the 512 bytes, they would have less than 0.007% of the entire 8,388,736 byte key, not to mention a critical failure of where those streams should be placed in the 8 Mbyte array.

What if the number of blocks exceeds 8,388,607 (1.73 Gbytes of plaintext)?

- The four pointers are Xor'ed together, result is then Mod 15.
- The result selects which set of 4 pointers, 1, 2, 3 or all 4, are to be additionally advanced, 15 possible combinations.
- For each pointer being additionally advanced, the location at the initial address of that pointer is Mod 8 + 1.
- Each pointer selected is then advanced using the chain key that number of times.
- For subsequent encryptions of large files, the set of pointers modified changes because the initial pointers are randomly set and may never be the same.
- On the next 2 slides are examples of advancements done.

An example of the pointer advancements:

```
transitions1.dat - Notepad
File Edit Format View Help
At block # 1, pointers are: P1=4,684,513, P2= 705,725, P3=5,027,114 and P4=1,800,674
At block # 8,388,608, pointers are: P1=4,684,513, P2= 705,725, P3=5,027,114 and P4=3,928,585 (#4 - 7x)
At block # 16,777,216, pointers are: P1=1,598,733, P2=4,519,858, P3=1,582,428 and P4=7,788,800 (#1 - 3x, #2 - 8x, #3 - 6x, #4 - 3x)
At block # 25,165,824, pointers are: P1=1,322,359, P2=4,519,858, P3=6,358,919 and P4=3,818,818 (#1 - 8x, #3 - 7x, #4 - 2x)
At block # 33,554,432, pointers are: P1=1,322,359, P2=7,707,445, P3=4,264,574 and P4=5,058,165 (#2 - 4x, #3 - 2x, #4 - 7x)
At block # 41,943,040, pointers are: P1=6,491,597, P2=7,707,445, P3=1,734,403 and P4=5,058,165 (#1 - 6x, #3 - 4x)
At block # 50,331,648, pointers are: P1=6,491,597, P2=7,707,445, P3=7,630,835 and P4=4,583,813 (#3 - 5x, #4 - 8x)
At block # 58,720,256, pointers are: P1=6,491,597, P2=7,707,445, P3=2,423,866 and P4=5,600,836 (#3 - 3x, #4 - 2x)
At block # 67,108,864, pointers are: P1=7,724,258, P2=3,383,667, P3=4,132,010 and P4=5,600,836 (#1 - 4x, #2 - 3x, #3 - 1x)
At block # 75,497,472, pointers are: P1=7,724,258, P2=8,318,349, P3=5,234,518 and P4=2,510,961 (#2 - 7x, #3 - 4x, #4 - 3x)
At block # 83,886,080, pointers are: P1=2,415,421, P2=8,318,349, P3=5,234,518 and P4=2,510,961 (#1 - 4x)
At block # 92,274,688, pointers are: P1= 435,488, P2=8,318,349, P3=7,645,753 and P4=6,666,237 (#1 - 1x, #3 - 5x, #4 - 6x)
At block # 100,663,296, pointers are: P1=5,759,238, P2=3,986,517, P3=1,145,519 and P4=6,666,237 (#1 - 3x, #2 - 2x, #3 - 1x)
At block # 109,051,904, pointers are: P1=5,412,168, P2=4,867,196, P3=5,289,109 and P4=6,666,237 (#1 - 5x, #2 - 3x, #3 - 2x)
At block # 117,440,512, pointers are: P1=5,412,168, P2=4,867,196, P3=4,156,093 and P4=6,666,237 (#3 - 4x)
At block # 125,829,120, pointers are: P1=5,670,572, P2=1,102,989, P3=2,348,813 and P4=1,917,636 (#1 - 2x, #2 - 2x, #3 - 3x, #4 - 7x)
At block # 134,217,728, pointers are: P1=3,167,957, P2= 375,031, P3=2,348,813 and P4=6,536,545 (#1 - 7x, #2 - 2x, #4 - 1x)
At block # 142,606,336, pointers are: P1=1,992,587, P2= 375,031, P3=1,751,049 and P4=6,474,774 (#1 - 3x, #3 - 1x, #4 - 7x)
At block # 150,994,944, pointers are: P1=1,992,587, P2= 375,031, P3=6,524,070 and P4=1,227,883 (#3 - 2x, #4 - 5x)
At block # 159,383,552, pointers are: P1=7,613,508, P2= 375,031, P3=2,038,560 and P4=6,853,540 (#1 - 4x, #3 - 1x, #4 - 6x)
At block # 167,772,160, pointers are: P1=7,728,253, P2=4,045,798, P3=2,016,905 and P4=5,753,969 (#1 - 3x, #2 - 5x, #3 - 3x, #4 - 5x)
At block # 176,160,768, pointers are: P1=7,728,253, P2=1,236,076, P3=1,171,766 and P4= 671,183 (#2 - 6x, #3 - 4x, #4 - 5x)
At block # 184,549,376, pointers are: P1=7,728,253, P2=6,990,812, P3=7,624,959 and P4= 671,183 (#2 - 3x, #3 - 5x)
At block # 192,937,984, pointers are: P1=7,049,387, P2=6,990,812, P3=7,624,959 and P4=5,505,420 (#1 - 1x, #4 - 8x)
At block # 201,326,592, pointers are: P1=7,102,106, P2=6,990,812, P3=7,624,959 and P4=5,505,420 (#1 - 2x)
At block # 209,715,200, pointers are: P1=7,102,106, P2=5,757,545, P3=7,624,959 and P4=1,274,784 (#2 - 1x, #4 - 3x)
At block # 218,103,808, pointers are: P1=7,479,264, P2=3,489,732, P3=7,624,959 and P4=1,274,784 (#1 - 7x, #2 - 7x)
At block # 226,492,416, pointers are: P1=7,479,264, P2=2,322,184, P3=7,624,959 and P4=1,274,784 (#2 - 5x)
At block # 234,881,024, pointers are: P1=7,479,264, P2=3,092,303, P3=7,394,267 and P4=2,085,100 (#2 - 1x, #3 - 3x, #4 - 7x)
At block # 243,269,632, pointers are: P1=7,479,264, P2=7,798,192, P3=8,185,070 and P4=1,579,479 (#2 - 1x, #3 - 4x, #4 - 6x)
```

A second example of the pointer advancements:

```
transitions2.dat - Notepad
File Edit Format View Help
At block # 1, pointers are: P1=4,811,195, P2=6,873,135, P3=2,534,100 and P4=5,292,260
At block # 8,388,608, pointers are: P1=4,811,195, P2=7,589,035, P3=3,301,814 and P4=1,510,750 (#2 - 5x, #3 - 2x, #4 - 8x)
At block # 16,777,216, pointers are: P1=7,802,498, P2=7,589,035, P3=3,301,814 and P4=1,510,750 (#1 - 4x)
At block # 25,165,824, pointers are: P1=3,557,401, P2=6,570,665, P3=4,953,856 and P4=1,510,750 (#1 - 3x, #2 - 6x, #3 - 4x)
At block # 33,554,432, pointers are: P1=5,022,456, P2=6,570,665, P3=4,953,856 and P4= 330,169 (#1 - 7x, #4 - 8x)
At block # 41,943,040, pointers are: P1=7,891,669, P2=5,429,516, P3=3,784,654 and P4= 330,169 (#1 - 3x, #2 - 5x, #3 - 7x)
At block # 50,331,648, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=5,429,516, P3=3,784,654 and P4=1,857,226 (#1 - 1x, #4 - 1x)
At block # 58,720,256, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=3,586,888, P3=3,784,654 and P4=2,623,823 (#2 - 3x, #4 - 2x)
At block # 67,108,864, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=6,582,624, P3=3,784,654 and P4=2,623,823 (#2 - 2x)
At block # 75,497,472, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=7,762,870, P3=3,784,654 and P4=2,623,823 (#2 - 6x)
At block # 83,886,080, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=7,762,870, P3= 992,012 and P4=2,623,823 (#3 - 8x)
At block # 92,274,688, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2= 606,231, P3= 850,483 and P4=5,820,088 (#2 - 3x, #3 - 7x, #4 - 3x)
At block # 100,663,296, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=7,906,964, P3= 14,110 and P4=5,820,088 (#2 - 8x, #3 - 8x)
At block # 109,051,904, pointers are: P1=2,825,200, P2=7,906,964, P3= 14,110 and P4=1,917,402 (#4 - 4x)
At block # 117,440,512, pointers are: P1=2,324,895, P2=7,906,964, P3= 14,110 and P4=6,715,550 (#1 - 2x, #4 - 6x)
At block # 125,829,120, pointers are: P1=7,593,407, P2=5,450,044, P3=5,433,591 and P4=5,336,874 (#1 - 6x, #2 - 3x, #3 - 8x, #4 - 1x)
At block # 134,217,728, pointers are: P1= 962,858, P2=2,211,811, P3=5,433,591 and P4=5,336,874 (#1 - 1x, #2 - 8x)
At block # 142,606,336, pointers are: P1=6,379,238, P2=2,211,811, P3=5,433,591 and P4=1,554,956 (#1 - 3x, #4 - 5x)
At block # 150,994,944, pointers are: P1=5,443,862, P2=5,002,993, P3=5,433,591 and P4=4,675,422 (#1 - 1x, #2 - 8x, #4 - 5x)
At block # 159,383,552, pointers are: P1=1,791,526, P2=5,002,993, P3=5,433,591 and P4=2,691,309 (#1 - 5x, #4 - 8x)
At block # 167,772,160, pointers are: P1=1,791,526, P2=5,002,993, P3=5,921,539 and P4=2,691,309 (#3 - 4x)
At block # 176,160,768, pointers are: P1=1,791,526, P2=5,002,993, P3=5,921,539 and P4=3,860,298 (#4 - 3x)
At block # 184,549,376, pointers are: P1=2,547,950, P2= 674,371, P3=5,921,539 and P4=4,259,757 (#1 - 2x, #2 - 4x, #4 - 1x)
At block # 192,937,984, pointers are: P1=4,477,477, P2=3,643,670, P3=5,921,539 and P4=3,491,832 (#1 - 6x, #2 - 5x, #4 - 1x)
At block # 201,326,592, pointers are: P1=4,477,477, P2=3,677,089, P3=5,921,539 and P4=7,906,531 (#2 - 6x, #4 - 2x)
At block # 209,715,200, pointers are: P1=4,477,477, P2= 506,893, P3=5,921,539 and P4=7,906,531 (#2 - 5x)
At block # 218,103,808, pointers are: P1=1,319,535, P2=5,978,504, P3=5,614,049 and P4=7,366,973 (#1 - 2x, #2 - 5x, #3 - 3x, #4 - 8x)
At block # 226,492,416, pointers are: P1=1,319,535, P2=5,978,504, P3= 218,103 and P4=1,906,648 (#3 - 2x, #4 - 6x)
At block # 234,881,024, pointers are: P1=1,319,535, P2= 400,004, P3= 218,103 and P4=1,906,648 (#2 - 5x)
At block # 243,269,632, pointers are: P1=1,319,535, P2= 400,004, P3=2,184,526 and P4=1,906,648 (#3 - 4x)
```

Two important questions to answer concerning this algorithm

- What does Algebraic law say about anyone being able to ever solve this one equation for the correct single values of the 4 unknowns?
- Does this provide adequate protection for the values within the fixed 8,388,736 byte key array 'key'?

```
For i = 0 To 127
  ctx = ctx + chr$(Asc(Mid$(ptx, i + 1, 1)) Xor _
    key(Ptr1 + i) Xor key(Ptr2 + i) Xor _
    key(Ptr3 + i) Xor key(Ptr4 + i))
Next i
```

Two more important questions to answer concerning this algorithm

- Suppose the 4 table values were Xor'ed together and the result was loaded into `temp`, and this single location was Xor'ed with the plaintext ASCII number producing the ciphertext character.
- What **decades-old** cipher algorithm is the second expression?
- Does this provide protection at least equal to the AES in protecting the plaintext characters from discovery?

```
For i = 0 To 127
    temp = key(Ptr1 + i) Xor key(Ptr2 + i) Xor _
           key(Ptr3 + i) Xor key(Ptr4 + i)
    ctx = ctx + chr$(Asc(Mid$(ptx, i + 1, 1)) Xor temp)
Next i
```

One last question:

- What would be the mathematical process of obtaining the values of **Ptr1 - Ptr4** used in this engine using only the plaintext and ciphertext ASCII characters that any attacker would use?
- Keep in mind that for each individual value in this equation, there are well over 32,000 locations within the 8,388,736 byte key with that same value. So, is it possible?

```
For i = 0 To 127
  ctx = ctx + chr$(Asc(Mid$(ptx, i + 1, 1)) Xor _
    key(Ptr1 + i) Xor key(Ptr2 + i) Xor _
    key(Ptr3 + i) Xor key(Ptr4 + i))Next i
Next i
```

Key table storage

- Since key changes will no longer be needed since there is no more concern about potential future breaches or key table theft during new key transport, key storage can be within the image itself.
- The image is secure within the computer chip, so if the key is there also, it too will be just as safe.
- The 32 bytes are individually stored throughout the source file in random locations.
- The key input function merely calls the 32 load subroutines and wherever they are within the image, they are put in the proper order in the 32-number key array.

The plaintext encryption process

Actual extraction #1 from the demonstration output application:

THE ENCRYPTION OF THE PLAINTEXT:

The xor'ing of the 4 key streams producing the Effective Key Stream:

```
Key Stream @ 757,173 - A1120D0157ABA83B68E0E54AD1A0491FD5F32CFA9B4532CBB6221F9BBA9B9AA8198997A94E223F922AFEDAF19F46B6EE4C
Key Stream @4,381,761 - 32BEDB5CB0FCA6A6BA13CEC3EA9AF94A4FCC15C83750D7F1C68D1085655B38312326D36B8DDE75CF37CDBB0EDF5542E2D0
Key Stream @5,734,046 - FA01811AB1903EBB10B7A1B3869FC63407DCA03F82B7389831902BCCC0F77D4A716BE8E0523ADA5B5E8D7BEAD812CDBD88
Key Stream @2,223,494 - CA0909787271F7326AF8E7B13E6A7710BAA10C55AFF4C3ADA182C6F79706755B3796E2DC108991FA9F00872EEE67F7E54.
Effective Key Stream - A3A45E3F24B6C714A8BC6D8B83CF017127429558815D91989B2708BD6647B886F8BDC20F50CE0919EA4E126776E746CF40
```

ASCII of the ciphertext of the plaintext flagged with a '1', '2', '3' character are mathematically combined in that order to determine where (position) and what numbers are selected for the pointers to encrypt the plaintext pointers, and where and in what order the pointer ciphertext will be placed within the ciphertext block.

```

          1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
-----v-----1-----v-----3-----v-----
Input Plaintext Text - V E R S I O N   5 . 0 0 ? ? o b j e c t   =   x " { F 6 1 5 7 8 x 0 9 - 1 1 0 A - 4 9 C 1 x - 9 8
Input Plaintext Hex - 56455253494F4E20352E30300D0A4F626A656374203D20782227B4636313537387830392D313130412D34394331782D3938
Effective Key Stream - A3A45E3F24B6C714A8BC6D8B83CF017127429558815D91989B2708BD6647B886F8BDC20F50CE0919EA4E126776E746CF40
Output Ciphertext Hex - F5E10C6C6DF989349D925DBB8EC54E134D27F62CA160B1E0B95C4E8B57728FBEB808DFB2261FF3958C77A2B24479F6BF678
Out Ciphertext Text - ô á ? l m ù % 4 ' ] » Ž Ā N ■ M ' ö , i ` ± à ' \ N < W r % € û " a ý 9 X Ç z + $ G Ÿ k ö x l l
Ptr ctext overwrites -                                     M                                     È                                     t
```


How are the main pointers encrypted and delivered to the decrypt cipher in the first block?

Actual extraction #2 from the demonstration output application:

```
out of the first 20 ciphertext characters, numbers 9 (7Dh), 11 (B6h) and 2 (A1h)
were mathematically combined forming 8,238,753 (7DB6A1h). That address was
converted using the chain key to 1,067,295.
```

```
Referencing the main key at that address and obtaining new positions between 1 and
20, ciphertext characters 11 (0Bh), 7 (07h) and 14 (0Eh) were combined producing
722,702 (0B070Eh). That address was converted using the chain key to 1,892,936.
Variable placement numbers were obtained where the 3 ciphertext characters that,
when their ASCII's are combined, produce the starting value for the 4 pointers to
encrypt the plaintext pointers. The first 3 numbers from the main key starting
at that address making sure there were no duplicates: > 113, 127 and 100
```

- These two sections are executed either side of the encrypt operation on the next slide, but shown together here because the top sequence obtains data the bottom sequence needs to execute

THE ENCRYPTION OF THE PLAINTEXT POINTERS:

```
The pointers to encrypt the plaintext pointers were obtained from combining the ciphertext characters at
positions: 113 (43), 127 (8) and 100 (105), the ASCII numbers of them are 43, 8 and 105 respectively
Mathematically combined, they formed the starting address 2,820,201. Using the REVERSE chain key, the
pointers were initialized as: 4,712,161, 4,561,151, 2,558,867 and 5,755,520
```

```
Pointers being encrypted: P1 = 106,191, P2 = 1,937,651, P3 = 3,188,872, P4 = 8,034,248
```

	pointer1	pointer2	pointer3	pointer4
4 Pointers separated into 3 Hex Bytes each - -	01 9E CF	1D 90 F3	30 A8 88	7A 97 C8
Pointer #1 = revChain(2,820,201) = 4,712,161				
Pointer #2 = revChain(4,712,161) = 4,561,151	CC 06 72	10 3B 13	E0 D3 A5	AA 46 C0
Pointer #3 = revChain(4,561,151) = 2,558,867	EC 04 1F	C6 03 56	A7 57 E6	3C C8 F8
Pointer #4 = revChain(2,558,867) = 5,755,520	EC 05 B3	3F AA A6	19 D0 81	1F 2B B7
	D6 2B A7	79 D8 91	29 74 62	DF ED 08
Pointer ciphertext bytes - - - - -	1B B2 B6	8D DA 81	47 88 28	2C DF 4F

```
The resulting encrypted pointer string to be fractured and placed in the ciphertext line > ?^!0G^C,8o <
Ciphertext will be inserted in locations: 64, 88, 70, 106, 108, 43, 24, 79, 118, 110, 56, 60
```

The plaintext encryption process

Actual extraction #2 from the demonstration output application:

THE ENCRYPTION OF THE PLAINTEXT:

The xor'ing of the 4 key streams producing the Effective Key Stream:

```
Key Stream @ 106,191 - 18CC771EA98F33E2CEBA536AC775EF1C43A773D24E700D30B3C55ADD476D60625FF1A8B766809557A8C6C63F6FB129232C
Key Stream @1,937,651 - 89ECC6C5552B1AF474BDC4A8F74ADF826334F8254434B026E18000B033C8432D4A2487E81F099F1C6BB45DBC9C8D83F16F
Key Stream @3,188,872 - 937174BEC5B548689398A2D76955B27C5201143C08DC4972C70B1C5908299DB69B5AEF0860EDA76043C3FD072C964F7B7A
Key Stream @8,034,248 - 66B598FAFC9AF17961D2B3CEEA8819ED20603DB1C8EE94CE39E0106C154EF35C71F965A6CD37AF722AC7AA0AFE4F54E9DE
Effective Key Stream - 64E45D9FC58B9007484D86DBB3E29B0F52F2A27ACA7660AAACAE565869C24DA5FF76A5F1D4530259AA76CC8E21E5B140E7
```

ASCII of the ciphertext of the plaintext flagged with a '1', '2', '3' character are mathematically combined in that order to determine where (position) and what numbers are selected for the pointers to encrypt the plaintext pointers, and where and in what order the pointer ciphertext will be placed within the ciphertext block.

```

          1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
          1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
-----v-----v-----
Input Plaintext Text - V E R S I O N   5 . 0 0 ? ? o b j e c t   =   x " { F 6 1 5 7 8 0 9 - 1 1 0 A - 4 9 x C 1 - 9 8 D
Input Plaintext Hex - 56455253494F4E20352E30300D0A4F626A656374203D2078227B46363135373830392D313130412D34397843312D393844
Effective Key Stream - 64E45D9FC58B9007484D86DBB3E29B0F52F2A27ACA7660AAACAE565869C24DA5FF76A5F1D4530259AA76CC8E21E5B140E7
Output Ciphertext Hex - 32A10FCC8CC4DE277D63B6EBBEE8D46D3897C10EEA4B40D28ED5106E58F77A9DCF4F88C0E56343749E4FB4CD10C88878A3
Out Ciphertext Text - 2 ; ? Ì Æ Ä Þ ' } c ¶ ë % è Ò m 8 - Á ? ê K @ ò Ž Ó † n X ÷ z İ O ^ À à c C t ž o ' í † È ^ x £ ,
Ptr ctext overwrites -
```


How are the main pointers obtained by the decrypt cipher?

Actual extraction #1 from the demonstration output application:

Out of the first 20 ciphertext characters, numbers 9 (9dh), 11 (5dh) and 2 (E1h) were mathematically combined forming 1,924,577 (1D5DE1h). That address was converted using the chain key to 7,843,272.

Referencing the main key at that address and obtaining new positions between 1 and 20, ciphertext characters 1 (01h), 10 (0Ah) and 17 (11h) were combined producing 68,113 (010A11h). That address was converted using the chain key to 6,281,019. Variable placement numbers were obtained where the 3 ciphertext characters that, when their ASCII's are combined, produce the starting value for the 4 pointers to encrypt the plaintext pointers. The first 3 numbers from the main key starting at that address making sure there were no duplicates: > 27, 82 and 37

THE DECRYPTION OF THE PLAINTEXT POINTERS:

Ciphertext will be obtained from locations: 128, 64, 97, 122, 70, 24, 111, 113, 106, 33, 46, 95

Those 3 ciphertext characters in positions 27, 82 and 37 (4Eh, 7Bh, 61h) formed 5,143,393 (4E7B61h) Using pointer ciphertext string: [M-tZ*MA,£Et?]:

Pointer	Ciphertext bytes	- - - - -	pointer1	pointer2	pointer3	pointer4
			4D 96 10	5A 94 4D	C0 84 A3	C8 74 09
Pointer #1	= revChain(5,143,393)	= 4,728,169	5B BC 6D	4F 0D 18	DC ED C2	78 8D 4F
Pointer #2	= revChain(4,728,169)	= 3,260,142	29 2C D8	67 25 79	D7 C5 7F	45 56 2B
Pointer #3	= revChain(3,260,142)	= 7,966,779	DD 58 55	A4 61 27	30 07 94	74 1A 87
Pointer #4	= revChain(7,966,779)	= 2,577,032	E9 D3 45	94 01 4A	AC D5 14	A0 58 6C
4 Pointers separated into 3 Hex Bytes each	- -		0B 8D B5	42 DC 41	57 7E 9E	21 ED 86

Pointers decrypted: P1 = 757,173, P2 = 4,381,761, P3 = 5,734,046, P4 = 2,223,494

The plaintext decryption process

Actual extraction #1 from the demonstration output application:

THE DECRYPTION OF THE CIPHERTEXT:

The Xor'ing of the 4 key streams producing the Effective Key Stream:

```
Key Stream @ 757,173 - A1120D0157ABA83B68E0E54AD1A0491FD5F32CFA9B4532CBB6221F9BBA9B9AA8198997A94E223F922AFEDAF19F46B6EE4CB79A18D1F4A8
Key Stream @4,381,761 - 32BEDB5CB0FCA6A6BA13CEC3EA9AF94A4FCC15C83750D7F1C68D1085655B38312326D36B8DDE75CF37CDBB0EDF5542E2D030392AE780EE
Key Stream @5,734,046 - FA01811AB1903EBB10B7A1B3869FC63407DCA03F82B7389831902BCCC0F77D4A716BE8E0523ADA5B5E8D7BEAD812CDBD88E885B8065AB3
Key Stream @2,223,494 - CA0909787271F7326AF8E7B13E6A7710BAA10C55AFF4C3ADA182C6F79706755B3796E2DC108991FA9F00872EEE67F7E54477B46363D37
Effective Key Stream - A3A45E3F24B6C714A8BC6D8B83CF017127429558815D91989B2708BD6647B886F8BDC20F50CE0919EA4E126776E746CF40285DCC0613C2
```

The input being Xor'ed with the Effective Key Stream producing the output:

```
Input Ciphertext Text - ó á ? l m ù % 4 ' ] » ž Ā N ■ M ' ö , j ` ± M ' \ N < w r % È û " a ÿ 9 X Ç z + $ G t k ö x l l á B $ ô ç §
Input Ciphertext Hex - F5E10C6C6DF989349D925DBB8EC54E134D27F62CA160B14DB95C4E8B57728FBEC88DFB2261FF3958C77A2B2447746BF6786C6CE14224F4
Effective Key Stream - A3A45E3F24B6C714A8BC6D8B83CF017127429558815D91989B2708BD6647B886F8BDC20F50CE0919EA4E126776E746CF40285DCC0613C2
Output Plaintext Hex - 56455253494F4E20352E30300D0A4F626A656374203D20D5227B4636313537383030392D313130412D34394331932D393844312D443736
Output Plaintext Text - V E R S I O N 5 . 0 0 ? ? o b j e c t = 0 " { F 6 1 5 7 8 0 0 9 - 1 1 0 A - 4 9 C 1 " - 9 8 D 1 - D 7 6
```

Raw plaintext prior to extraction of the 12 pointer ciphertext digits:

```
[VERSION 5.00??object = 0"{F61578009-110A-49C1"-98D1-D76C839B7B7C8}#1.00#0"; "QWQNG.dll"??Begin" RVB.Form Úntit.aEnium ?? 0 BaM!]
```

Plaintext after extraction of the 12 pointer ciphertext digits:

```
[VERSION 5.00??object = "{F6157809-110A-49C1-98D1-D76C839B7B78}#1.0#0"; "QWQNG.dll"??Begin VB.Form nTitanium ?? BaM]
```

Xor'ed all ASCII of this plaintext block, it equaled 0, it Passed - eliminated the last character that made the Xor value 0.

The plaintext decryption process

Actual extraction #2 from the demonstration output application:

THE DECRYPTION OF THE CIPHERTEXT:

The Xor'ing of the 4 key streams producing the Effective Key Stream:

```
Key Stream @ 106,191 - 18CC771EA98F33E2CEBA536AC775EF1C43A773D24E700D30B3C55ADD476D60625FF1A8B766809557A8C6C63F6FB129232C80BA770B10B7
Key Stream @1,937,651 - 89ECC6C5552B1AF474BDC4A8F74ADF826334F8254434B026E18000B033C8432D4A2487E81F099F1C6BB45DBC9C8D83F16F25ACEB5C171C
Key Stream @3,188,872 - 937174BEC5B548689398A2D76955B27C5201143C08DC4972C70B1C5908299DB69B5AEF0860EDA76043C3FD072C964F7B7A975B477E4B12
Key Stream @8,034,248 - 66B598FAFC9AF17961D2B3CEE8819ED20603DB1C8EE94CE39E0106C154EF35C71F965A6CD37AF722AC7AA0AFE4F54E9DE2F6F581AC3FD
Effective Key Stream - 64E45D9FC58B9007484D86DBB3E29B0F52F2A27ACA7660AAACAE565869C24DA5FF76A5F1D4530259AA76CC8E21E5B140E71D2283338F44
```

The input being Xor'ed with the Effective Key Stream producing the output:

```
Input Ciphertext Text - 2 ; ? Ì Æ Ä Þ ' } c ¶ ë % è Ò m 8 - Á ? ê k @ G Ž Œ † n X ÷ z ï o ^ A â c c t ž o í † È ^ x £ , ? Ç † ' • ß L
Input Ciphertext Hex - 32A10FCC8CC4DE277D63B6EBBEE8D46D3897C10EEA4B40478ED5106E58F77A9DCF4F88C0E56343749E4F81CD10C88878A32C0FC704B907
Effective Key Stream - 64E45D9FC58B9007484D86DBB3E29B0F52F2A27ACA7660AAACAE565869C24DA5FF76A5F1D4530259AA76CC8E21E5B140E71D2283338F44
Output Plaintext Hex - 56455253494F4E20352E30300D0A4F626A656374203D20ED227B46363135373830392D313130412D34394D43312D393844312D44373643
Output Plaintext Text - V E R S I O N 5 . 0 0 ? ? o b j e c t = " i " { F 6 1 5 7 8 0 9 - 1 1 0 A - 4 9 M C 1 - 9 8 D 1 - D 7 6 C
```

Raw plaintext prior to extraction of the 12 pointer ciphertext digits:

```
[VERSION 5.00??object = i"{F6157809-110A-49MC1-98D1-D76C8390B7Bf78}#1.0#0"; "ùQWQNG.dl•l"??Begin VB.FormU Én?Titanium?? BaM]
```

Plaintext after extraction of the 12 pointer ciphertext digits:

```
[VERSION 5.00??object = "{F6157809-110A-49C1-98D1-D76C839B7B78}#1.0#0"; "QWQNG.dl"??Begin VB.Form nTitanium?? BaM]
```

Xor'ed all ASCII of this plaintext block, it equaled 0, it Passed - eliminated the last character that made the Xor value 0.

What about a non-repeating key to Xor with the plaintext, required for the Vernam algorithm?

- 4 pseudo-randomly selected key streams from the fixed 8,388,608 byte key are Xor'ed together. The pointers are changed for each block using the chain key array, producing up to 8,388,608 non-repeating Effective Key Streams.
- There are 6 sets of 65,536 files to prove this methodology produces non-repeating key streams ready for examination.
- The EKS streams were sorted so that the contents of each file contains streams with the same first 4 hex digits.
- After this presentation, proof is available that any of the 100 million entries in any of these files was produced with this single 8 Mbyte key.

What about a non-repeating key to Xor with the plaintext, required for the Vernam algorithm?

- This test created 6 sets of 65,536 files for 100 million blocks of Effective Key Streams, needed to encrypt 12.8 Gigabytes of plaintext, created using the 8,388,608 byte key and chain keys.
- With each pointer having a possibility between 0 and 8,388,607 inclusive, there are $8,388,608^4 = 4.951 \times 10^{27}$ sets of non-repeating Effective Key Streams of virtually any size that could be produced.
- These 6 sets of 65,536 files were produced using only six of the possible 4.951×10^{27} sets of 4 starting pointers. This should indicate how many possible strings of 100 million non-repetitive Effective Key Streams this methodology could produce, satisfying the requirement for the Vernam algorithm.

What about a non-repeating key to Xor with the plaintext, required for a Vernam algorithm?

- Even if a potential attacker could find two ciphertext files with blocks that have the same Effective Key Stream (EKS), ***Algebraic law prohibits the correct determination of the content of the 4 key streams used to create that EKS.***
- The app that produced these files used the first 25 Effective Key Stream hex numbers creating the 50-digit strings, plus the pointers used, recorded in each of the 65,536 files, about 140 Kbytes for each file.
- At the end of creating the files, it then opened each file and compared each EKS with every other EKS within the file.

What about a non-repeating key to Xor with the plaintext, required for a Vernam algorithm?

- It did not find any duplicates in any of the 65,536 files in any of the 6 example sets.
- Each example produced 256 files in each of 256 subdirectories. 128 subdirectories are available on each of 2 data DVD's for each example for your examination, along with the app to prove they are correct.
- They will be shown and demonstrated later in this presentation.
- A test showed that no duplicates were encountered after 2 Billion blocks.

Demonstration Application in Demo mode, actual encryption example

Vernam Two - Internal Data and Methodology Display

Demonstration Text:
 This is sample text to demonstrate these steps of the 'Vernam Two' methodology using new UNATTACKABLE Algebraic law for security

To provide pointers, input here: To duplicate this display, use these values:

Plaintext	ASCII	Hex	-	54	68	69	73	20	69	73	20	73	61	6D	70	6C	65	20	74	65	78	74	20	74	6F	20	64	65	6D	6F	6E	73	74
				11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
< >	Key Stream	@745,441	-	1F	15	3D	67	DE	DC	C8	89	B0	11	F7	4A	7B	B1	8E	D5	39	4E	F1	D2	81	50	86	06	B9	8C	EB	6F	0B	3A
< >	Key Stream	@1,250,749	-	95	F8	7C	45	F5	F6	44	B6	8A	C8	B8	69	5E	D8	05	28	38	BE	1C	B6	03	F9	4A	F0	8F	72	6C	58	98	D6
< >	Key Stream	@3,654,186	-	BA	F6	98	FB	72	F3	4B	90	88	7D	57	74	A5	E9	4C	41	08	95	69	94	3F	E8	75	6D	A2	73	B0	D8	B4	A1
< >	Key Stream	@5,133,026	-	EF	C7	6C	52	99	08	D4	57	FF	78	07	1C	C6	90	8C	47	06	15	B6	D7	97	CF	D1	29	86	20	FE	76	E1	B2
				11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Ciphertext	Out Block	-	8B	B4	DC	F8	E0	B8	60	D8	3E	BD	72	3B	2A	75	6B	8F	6A	08	46	07	5E	E1	48	D6	77	C0	A6	F7	B5	8B	
Effective Key Stream	-	DF	DC	B5	8B	C0	D1	13	F8	4D	DC	1F	4B	46	10	4B	FB	0F	70	32	27	2A	8E	68	B2	12	AD	C9	99	C6	FF		

128 character Ciphertext Block:

< ' Ūsà , `Ø>²r ; *ukj F•^âHŌwâ |÷p<}×p|ĀG|ĀĒĪ~>J'ā) ' ĩEi?FĒA?ŽVâú%N00-ó(ŌŪiS5ÿ.Ē¶āĀ>ngÿÿŪ??h/¶)Š+iQâ+8Uù` \$y,ĐqPŌŽg2!!ŷW·@/;Wwfŷ?,Ā

Demonstration Application in Demo mode, bogus key encryption example

Vernam Two - Internal Data and Methodology Display

Write this entire window to an output file **Encrypt the Sample Text** Backup Show a sample pointer advancement sequence End Close

Demonstration Text:
 This is sample text to demonstrate these steps of the 'Vernam Two' methodology using new UNATTACKABLE Algebraic law for security

To provide pointers, input here: Load Them

Plaintext ASCII Hex	-	54	68	69	73	20	69	73	20	73	61	6D	70	6C	65	20	74	65	78	74	20	74	6F	20	64	65	6D	6F	6E	73	74
< > Key Stream @?,???,???	-	49	64	75	F2	BD	0B	93	EE	10	2F	A7	E1	58	FE	70	7B	34	DA	0E	0E	0E	08	E2	5A	92	9A	A4	9C	6E	99
< > Key Stream @?,???,???	-	EA	73	75	59	23	24	E1	B7	BD	42	44	4B	6C	F6	D2	39	3E	C5	99	BC	E8	94	E9	BE	55	DE	06	BB	6B	33
< > Key Stream @?,???,???	-	AC	14	3F	95	06	D1	69	45	39	95	21	FA	5B	DC	7C	BB	71	FF	05	48	89	46	75	4F	19	2C	B4	CF	97	F2
< > Key Stream @?,???,???	-	D0	DF	8A	B5	58	2F	08	E4	D9	24	DD	1B	29	C4	95	02	74	90	A0	DD	45	54	16	19	CC	C5	DF	71	54	A7
		11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Ciphertext Out Block	-	8B	B4	DC	F8	E0	B8	60	D8	3E	BD	72	3B	2A	75	6B	8F	6A	08	46	07	5E	E1	48	D6	77	C0	A6	F7	B5	8B
Xor of Bogus Key #'s	-	DF	DC	B5	8B	C0	D1	13	F8	4D	DC	1F	4B	46	10	4B	FB	0F	70	32	27	2A	8E	68	B2	12	AD	C9	99	C6	FF

Hide the Key Make Bogus Key Display Correct Key Create Random Ciphertext **Show just the Original Key Streams** Select EKS Freeze Display Return Next Back

128 character Ciphertext Block:

< ' Ūsà , `Ø>²r ; *ukj F•^âHŌwÄ |÷p<}×p|AG|ÄEi~>J ä) ' íEi?FĒA?ŽVâú%N00-ó(ŌúíS5ý.È¶áÄ>ngýýÚ??h/¶)»Š+iQâ†8Uù` \$y,ĐqPŌŽg2!!ŹW·©/;WwfŹ?,Ā

Demonstration Application in Demo mode, bogus key encryption example

Vernam Two - Internal Data and Methodology Display

Write this entire window to an output file **Encrypt the Sample Text** **Backup** Show a sample pointer advancement sequence **End** **Close**

Demonstration Text:
 This is sample text to demonstrate these steps of the 'Vernam Two' methodology using new UNATTACKABLE Algebraic law for security

To provide pointers, input here: **Load Them**

Plaintext	ASCII	Hex	-	54	68	69	73	20	69	73	20	73	61	6D	70	6C	65	20	74	65	78	74	20	74	6F	20	64	65	6D	6F	6E	73	74	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		8B	73	7F	7D	AD	3A	C4	2D	52	CF	15	1B	B5	4E	44	2A	53	5E	8D	EA	0D	8C	E7	9B	9E	E6	88	64	40	DB	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		6C	5C	4A	3B	49	24	BA	A5	A4	FB	45	E7	77	FC	A4	49	02	A3	4E	05	49	BB	96	51	D3	84	03	A6	A0	29	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		5F	62	75	32	BD	76	26	41	90	F1	CE	19	DF	32	B2	0C	D7	75	DD	9C	5A	0E	C9	5E	C7	E4	4F	0E	0A	31	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		67	91	F5	FF	99	B9	4B	31	2B	19	81	AE	5B	90	19	94	89	F8	2C	54	34	B7	D0	26	98	2B	0D	55	2C	3C	
				11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
	Ciphertext	Out Block	-	8B	B4	DC	F8	E0	B8	60	D8	3E	BD	72	3B	2A	75	6B	8F	6A	08	46	07	5E	E1	48	D6	77	C0	A6	F7	B5	8B	
	Xor of Bogus Key #'s		-	DF	DC	B5	8B	C0	D1	13	F8	4D	DC	1F	4B	46	10	4B	FB	0F	70	32	27	2A	8E	68	B2	12	AD	C9	99	C6	FF	

Hide the Key **Make Bogus Key** **Display Correct Key** **Create Random Ciphertext** **Show just the Original Key Streams** **Select EKS** **Freeze Display** **Return** **Next** **Back**

128 character Ciphertext Block:

< ' Ūsà , `Ø>²r ; *ukj F•^âHŌwÄ |÷p<}×p†ĀGĬĀĒĬ~>Jā)' ĩEi?FĒA?ŽVâŭ%N00-ó(ŌŪiS5ÿ.ÈĬāĀ>ngÿÿŪ??h/Ĭ»Š+iQâ†8Uù`Šy,ĐqPŌŽg2!!ſW·©/;Wwfſ?,Ā

Demonstration Application in Demo mode, bogus ciphertext encryption example

Vernam Two - Internal Data and Methodology Display

End Close

Write this entire window to an output file Encrypt the Sample Text Backup Show a sample pointer advancement sequence

Demonstration Text:
 This is sample text to demonstrate these steps of the 'Vernam Two' methodology using new UNATTACKABLE Algebraic law for security

To provide pointers, input here: Load Them

Plaintext	ASCII	Hex	-	54	68	69	73	20	69	73	20	73	61	6D	70	6C	65	20	74	65	78	74	20	74	6F	20	64	65	6D	6F	6E	73	74	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		26	1B	6E	B5	3A	21	CC	7A	73	C4	62	F4	DF	30	4F	94	C7	1C	23	7E	E0	25	22	80	B1	69	D4	51	47	67	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		E6	62	EA	2F	2E	AD	4E	9C	0B	99	4C	7B	06	13	AD	65	F1	67	F8	E5	5F	2D	90	7E	66	78	0C	FD	98	41	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		0B	5C	51	82	6A	27	66	CA	F8	A0	F5	0A	0D	B7	13	A3	27	37	9A	2A	75	03	D6	41	AA	94	6F	B5	00	B2	
< >	Key Stream	@?, ???, ???-		C9	7C	23	60	CB	7D	CF	FA	22	CD	B8	38	21	BA	B4	1F	32	82	27	36	65	F7	A6	9A	28	87	26	6A	FC	D8	
	Ciphertext	Out Block	-	56	31	9F	0B	95	BF	58	F6	D1	51	0E	CD	99	4B	65	39	46	B6	12	A7	DB	93	E2	41	30	6F	FE	1D	50	38	
	Xor of Bogus	Key #'s	-	02	59	F6	78	B5	D6	2B	D6	A2	30	63	BD	F5	2E	45	4D	23	CE	66	87	AF	FC	C2	25	55	02	91	73	23	4C	

Hide the Key Make Bogus Key Display Correct Key Create Random Ciphertext Show just the Original Key Streams Select EKS Freeze Display Return Next Back

128 character Ciphertext Block:

v1Y?•,x0ñQ?i™ke9Fq1[SÜ`âA0opP8m'OU•@PZ51äÜE`i£³; [ÖÚI?o≠<lyJñix|iI<L'T'>Ši !!=B?...YÜÇ],%~69Ü]N7b7@''sD¹0EttK²qEÄÖ¼ZM±â#?³B?kIz

Is this a cryptography first?

- The first 3 bogus key streams were randomly created and the 4th stream was calculated to provide the needed results.
- Therefore, in any vertical column, 3 of the numbers can have any value from 0 to 255. There are $256^3 = 16,777,216$ possible sets of 4 key stream numbers for each column.
- With 128 columns per block, there are $16,777,216^{128}$ possible key streams that will result in the plaintext to ciphertext conversion. **Attackers have no single key goal.**
- How many keys will correctly translate an AES ciphertext to the plaintext? Do attackers have a one key goal to reach? ⁵⁰

What should be the conclusions of this new design?

- The fixed key is protected from discovery by Algebraic law.
- The plaintext is protected from discovery by the Vernam Algorithm.
- The values of the pointers are protected by simple mathematics.
- There is no mathematical process available that could ever distinguish the plaintext ciphertext from the pointer ciphertext because both processes use the same methodology of encryption.
- The pointer ciphertext characters are pseudo-randomly mixed together with the plaintext ciphertext characters in different positions in different orders in different ciphertext files.
- The two processes (plaintext and pointer processing) use different sets of 4 pseudo-randomly set pointers.