

**Queensland University of Technology Brisbane Australia** 

This may be the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

Ye, H., [Liu, Fawang,](https://eprints.qut.edu.au/view/person/Liu,_Fawang.html) & [Anh, Vo](https://eprints.qut.edu.au/view/person/Anh,_Vo.html) (2015) Compact difference scheme for distributed-order time-fractional diffusionwave equation on bounded domains. *Journal of Computational Physics*, *298*, pp. 652-660.

This file was downloaded from: <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/101398/>

# **c Consult author(s) regarding copyright matters**

This work is covered by copyright. Unless the document is being made available under a Creative Commons Licence, you must assume that re-use is limited to personal use and that permission from the copyright owner must be obtained for all other uses. If the document is available under a Creative Commons License (or other specified license) then refer to the Licence for details of permitted re-use. It is a condition of access that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. If you believe that this work infringes copyright please provide details by email to qut.copyright@qut.edu.au

**License**: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 2.5

**Notice**: *Please note that this document may not be the Version of Record (i.e. published version) of the work. Author manuscript versions (as Submitted for peer review or as Accepted for publication after peer review) can be identified by an absence of publisher branding and/or typeset appearance. If there is any doubt, please refer to the published source.*

*<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2015.06.025>*

# Compact difference scheme for distributed-order time-fractional diffusion-wave equation on bounded domains

H. Ye<sup>a</sup>, F. Liu<sup>b,\*</sup>, V. Anh<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Applied Mathematics, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, P. R. China* <sup>b</sup>*Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, Qld. 4001, Australia*

## Abstract

In this paper, we derive and analyse a compact difference scheme for a distributed-order time-fractional diffusion-wave equation. The distributedorder diffusion-wave equation is approximated with a multi-term fractional diffusion-wave equation, which is then solved by a compact difference scheme. The unique solvability of the difference solution is discussed. Using discrete energy method, we prove the compact difference scheme is unconditionally stable and convergent. Finally, numerical results are presented to support our theoretical analysis.

Keywords: distributed-order fractional derivative; diffusion-wave equation; compact difference scheme; stability; convergence

# 1. Introduction

An important application of distributed-order equations is to model ultraslow diffusion where a plume of particles spreads at a logarithmic rate [1–3]. When the order of the fractional derivative is distributed over the unit interval, it is useful for modeling a mixture of delay sources [4]. Also, distributed-order equations may be viewed as consisting of viscoelastic and visco-inertial elements when the order of the fractional derivative varies

*Preprint submitted to Elsevier March 21, 2014*

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author *Email address:* f.liu@qut.edu.au (F. Liu )

from zero to two [5, 6]. Motivated by these applications, some attention has been paid to the fractional partial differential equations (FPDEs) with distributed-order [7–10].

Chechkin et al. [11] proposed diffusionlike equations with time fractional derivatives of the distributed order for the kinetic description of anomalous diffusion and relaxation phenomena and proved the positivity of the solutions of their proposed equations. They demonstrated that retarding subdiffusion and accelerating superdiffusion were governed by distributed-order fractional diffusion equation [12]. The fundamental solutions for the one-dimensional time fractional diffusion equation and multi-dimensional diffusion-wave equation of distributed order were obtained by Mainardi et al. [13, 14] and Atanackovic et al. [15], respectively. Atanackovic et al. [16] also proved the existence of the solution to the Cauchy problem for the time distributed order diffusion equation and calculated it by the use of Fourier and Laplace transformations. Furthermore, they studied waves in a viscoelastic rod of finite length, where viscoelastic material was described by a constitutive equation of fractional distributed-order type (see [17]). Luchko [18] proved the uniqueness and continuous dependence on initial conditions for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation of distributed order on bounded domains. Meerschaert et al. [4] provided explicit strong solutions and stochastic analogues for distributed-order time-fractional diffusion equations on bounded domains, with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

On the other hand, different numerical methods for solving FPDEs have been proposed [19–22]. Recently, Liu et al. [23] proposed some computationally effective numerical methods for simulating the multi-term time-fractional wave-diffusion equations. There are also some papers discussing numerical methods of the distributed-order equations. For example, Diethelm and Ford [24] developed a numerical scheme for the solution of a distributed-order FODE and gave a convergence theory for their method. Based on the matrix form representation of discretized fractional operators (see [25]), Podlubny et al. [26] extended the range of applicability of the matrix approach to discretization of distributed-order derivatives and integrals, and to numerical solution of distributed-order differential equations (both ordinary and partial). Katsikadelis [27] presented an efficient numerical method to solve linear and nonlinear distributed-order FODEs. However, published papers on the numerical methods of the distributed-order FPDEs are sparse. This motivates us to consider effective numerical methods for distributed-order time-fractional diffusion-wave equations.

In this paper, we first approximate the integral term in the distributedorder diffusion-wave equation using numerical approximation. Then the given distributed-order equation can be written as a multi-term time fractional diffusion-wave equation. We derive a compact difference scheme which is uniquely solvable for the multi-term fractional diffusion-wave equation. Using the discrete energy method, we prove the compact difference scheme is unconditionally stable and convergent. Finally, two numerical examples are provided to show the effectiveness of our method.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a compact difference scheme is derived. Section 3 presents the solvability, stability and convergence for the compact difference scheme. Two examples are given in Section 4 and some conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

#### 2. Compact difference scheme

Consider the following distributed-order time-fractional diffusion-wave equations

$$
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\varpi(\alpha)}u(x,t) = K\frac{\partial^{2}u(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} + f(x,t)
$$
\n(2.1)

in an open bounded domain  $0 < x < L, 0 < t < T$ . Here  $K > 0$ , x and t are the space and time variables. The time-fractional derivative  $\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\varpi(\alpha)}$  of distributed order is defined by

$$
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\varpi(\alpha)}u(x,t) = \int_{0}^{2} {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(x,t)\varpi(\alpha)d\alpha \qquad (2.2)
$$

with the left-side Caputo fractional derivative  ${}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}$  defined as (see [28])

$$
{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{\partial^{n}u}{\partial \tau^{n}}(x,\tau) d\tau, & n-1 < \alpha < n, \\ \frac{\partial^{n}u}{\partial t^{n}}(x,t) & \alpha = n. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}
$$

and with a continuous non-negative weight function  $\varpi : [0, 2] \to \mathcal{R}$  that is not identically equal to zero on the interval  $[0, 2]$ , such that the conditions

$$
0 \le \varpi(\alpha), \varpi \ne 0, \alpha \in [0, 2], \int_1^2 \varpi(\alpha) d\alpha = W > 0
$$
 (2.4)

hold true, where  $W$  is a positive constant.

In this paper, the initial-boundary conditions

$$
u(x,0) = \phi_1(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = \phi_2(x), \qquad 0 \le x \le L,\tag{2.5}
$$

$$
u(0,t) = \psi_1(t), \quad u(L,t) = \psi_2(t), \qquad 0 \le t \le T \tag{2.6}
$$

for Eq. (2.1) are considered.

Now, we state our numerical method as follows.

Step 1: Discretize the integral term in the distributed-order equation.

Let us discretize the interval [0,2], in which the order  $\alpha$  is changing, using the grid  $0 = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_q = 1 < \xi_{q+1} < \xi_{q+2} < \cdots < \xi_{2q} = 2(q \in \mathcal{N}),$ with the steps  $\Delta \xi_s$  not necessarily equidistant. We obtain

$$
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\varpi(\alpha)}u(x,t) \approx \sum_{s=1}^{2q} \varpi(\alpha_{s}) \left(^{c}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha_{s}}u(x,t)\right) \Delta \xi_{s}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{s=1}^{2q} d_{s} {^{c}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha_{s}}u(x,t)}, \qquad (2.7)
$$

where  $\alpha_s \in (\xi_{s-1}, \xi_s], d_s = \varpi(\alpha_s) \Delta \xi_s, \Delta \xi_s = \xi_s - \xi_{s-1}, s = 1, 2, \cdots, 2q.$ 

For simplicity of the presentation, but without loss of generality, we take  $\Delta \xi_s = \frac{1}{q} = \sigma(q \in \mathcal{N})$  and  $d_s = \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q}$  $\frac{\alpha_s}{q}$ . We can use the mid-point quadrature rule for approximating the integral (2.2). Let  $\alpha_s = \frac{\xi_{s-1} + \xi_s}{2} = \frac{2s-1}{2q}$  $\frac{s-1}{2q}, s =$  $1, 2, \cdots, 2q$ . Then,

$$
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\varpi(\alpha)}u(x,t) = \sum_{s=1}^{2q} d_{s} \, {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha_{s}}u(x,t) + R_{1}, \qquad (2.8)
$$

where  $R_1 = O(\sigma^2)$  (see [29]). Consider the following multi-term fractional diffusion-wave equation

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{2q} d_s \left( {}_0^c D_t^{\alpha_s} u(x, t) \right) + R_1 = K \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + f(x, t), \tag{2.9}
$$

with the initial-boundary conditions  $(2.5)-(2.6)$ .

Step 2: Solve the multi-term equation.

We assume that we are working on a uniform grid  $x_i = ih, i = 0, 1, \dots, M;$  $Mh = L; t_k = k\tau, k = 0, 1, \dots, N; N\tau = T.$  The domain  $[0, L] \times [0, T]$  is covered by  $\Omega_h \times \Omega_\tau$ , where  $\Omega_h = \{x_i | x_i = ih, 0 \le i \le M\}$  and  $\Omega_\tau = \{t_k | t_k = \tau_k\}$ 

 $k\tau, 0 \leq k \leq N$ . Suppose  $u = \{u_i^k | 0 \leq i \leq M, 0 \leq k \leq N\}$  is a grid function on  $\Omega_h \times \Omega_\tau$ . Introduce the following notations:

$$
u_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(u_i^k + u_i^{k-1}), \qquad \delta_t u_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\tau}(u_i^k - u_i^{k-1}),
$$
  

$$
\delta_x u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^k = \frac{1}{h}(u_i^k - u_{i-1}^k), \qquad \delta_x^2 u_i^k = \frac{1}{h}(\delta_x u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^k - \delta_x u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^k).
$$

Now we show a compact difference scheme for solving the multi-term equation  $(2.9)$  with the initial-boundary conditions  $(2.5)-(2.6)$ .

Define, on  $\Omega_h \times \Omega_\tau$ , the following grid functions  $U_i^k = u(x_i, t_k)$ ,  $f_i^k =$  $f(x_i, t_k), 0 \le i \le M, 0 \le k \le N$ . Suppose  $u(x, t) \in C_{x,t}^{6,3}([0, L] \times [0, T]).$ 

For  $0 < \alpha_s < 1$ , adopting the L1 discrete scheme in [30], we discretize the Caputo derivative as (see [31])

$$
{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha_{s}}U_{i}^{k} = \frac{\tau}{\mu_{s}}\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_{s}} \delta_{t} U_{i}^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + R_{2}^{s}(x_{i}, t_{k}), \qquad (2.10)
$$

where

$$
a_k^{\alpha_s} = (k+1)^{1-\alpha_s} - k^{1-\alpha_s}, \qquad \mu_s = \tau^{\alpha_s} \Gamma(2-\alpha_s), \tag{2.11}
$$

$$
|R_2^s(x_i, t_k)| \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha_s)} \left[ \frac{1 - \alpha_s}{12} + \frac{2^{2 - \alpha_s}}{2 - \alpha_s} - (1 + 2^{-\alpha_s}) \right] \max_{0 \le t \le t_k} |\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}| \tau^{2 - \alpha_s},
$$
\n
$$
s = 1, 2, \cdots, q.
$$
\n(2.12)

For  $1 < \alpha_s < 2$ , using a fully discrete difference scheme derived by Sun and Wu [32] and noting the initial condition (2.5), we have

$$
{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha_{s}}U_{i}^{k-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\tau}{\bar{\mu}_{s}} \left[ \delta_{t}U_{i}^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_{s}} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_{s}} \right) \delta_{t}U_{i}^{j-\frac{1}{2}} - b_{k-1}^{\alpha_{s}} \phi_{2}(x_{i}) \right] + R_{3}^{s}, \tag{2.13}
$$

where

$$
b_k^{\alpha_s} = (k+1)^{2-\alpha_s} - k^{2-\alpha_s}, \qquad \bar{\mu}_s = \tau^{\alpha_s} \Gamma(3-\alpha_s), \tag{2.14}
$$

$$
|R_3^s| \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(3-\alpha_s)} \left[ \frac{2-\alpha_s}{12} + \frac{2^{3-\alpha_s}}{3-\alpha_s} - (1+2^{1-\alpha_s}) + \frac{1}{12} \right] \max_{0 \le t \le t_k} |\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3}| \tau^{3-\alpha_s},
$$
  
(2.15)

Considering the equation (2.9) at the point  $(x_i, t_{k-\frac{1}{2}})$ , it is natural to have

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t U_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t U_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \left[ \delta_t U_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \right) \delta_t U_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} - b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \phi_2(x_i) \right] \n+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{q} \left[ R_2^s(x_i, t_k) + R_2^s(x_i, t_{k-1}) \right] + \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} R_3^s + R_1 \n= \frac{K}{2} \left[ U_{xx}(x_i, t_k) + U_{xx}(x_i, t_{k-1}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(x_i, t_k) + f(x_i, t_{k-1}) \right]. \tag{2.16}
$$

Denote an average operator  ${\mathcal P}$  as follows:

$$
\mathcal{P}u_i^k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12} \left( u_{i-1}^k + 10u_i^k + u_{i+1}^k \right), & 1 \le i \le M - 1, \quad 0 \le k \le N\\ u_i^k, & i = 0, M, \quad 0 \le k \le N. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}
$$

Acting the operator  $P$  on both sides of  $(2.16)$  and noticing that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{P} U_{xx}(x_i, t_k) + \mathcal{P} U_{xx}(x_i, t_{k-1}) \right] = \delta_x^2 U_i^{k - \frac{1}{2}} + O(h^4), \tag{2.18}
$$

we obtain

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \mathcal{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t U_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t U_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \mathcal{P}\left[\delta_t U_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s}\right) \delta_t U_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} - b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \phi_2(x_i)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= K \delta_x^2 U_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P} f_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} + R_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \le i \le M-1, 1 \le k \le N, \quad (2.19)
$$

where

$$
R_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^q \mathcal{P}\left[R_2^s(x_i, t_k) + R_2^s(x_i, t_{k-1})\right] - \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \mathcal{P}R_3^s + O(h^4) + O(\sigma^2),
$$

and there exists a positive constant  ${\cal C}_1$  such that

$$
|R_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}}| \le C_1(\tau^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}/\sigma + h^4 + \sigma^2). \tag{2.20}
$$

In addition, it follows from the initial and boundary value conditions that

$$
U_i^0 = \phi_1(x_i), \qquad 0 \le i \le M,\tag{2.21}
$$

$$
U_0^k = \psi_1(t_k), \quad U_M^k = \psi_2(t_k), \qquad 0 \le k \le N. \tag{2.22}
$$

Let  $u_i^k$  be the numerical approximation to  $u(x_i, t_k)$ . We can derive the following compact difference numerical scheme

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \mathcal{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t u_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t u_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \mathcal{P}\left[\delta_t u_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s}\right) \delta_t u_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} - b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \phi_2(x_i)\right] \n= K\delta^2 u_k^{k-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}f_k^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \qquad 1 \le i \le M-1 \qquad 1 \le k \le N \tag{2.23}
$$

$$
= K\delta_x^2 u_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}f_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \le i \le M-1, \quad 1 \le k \le N,
$$
\n
$$
u_i^0 = \phi_1(x_i), \qquad 0 \le i \le M,
$$
\n
$$
(2.24)
$$

$$
u_0^k = \psi_1(t_k), \quad u_M^k = \psi_2(t_k), \qquad 0 \le k \le N. \tag{2.25}
$$

#### 3. Analysis of the compact difference scheme

#### 3.1. Solvability

It is clear to see that at each time level,  $(2.23)-(2.25)$  is a linear tridiagonal system that need to be solved. Since the coefficient matrix is strictly diagonally dominant, the difference scheme (2.23)-(2.25) has a unique solution. This can be written as the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** The compact difference scheme  $(2.23)-(2.25)$  is uniquely solvable.

#### 3.2. Stability

Denote the grid function space on  $\Omega_h$  by  $\mathcal{V}_h = \{v|v = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{M-1}, v_M),\}$  $v_0 = v_M = 0$ . For any  $u, v \in V_h$ , we define the discrete inner product

$$
(u, v) = h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u_i v_i
$$

and denote  $L_2$  norm  $||u|| = \sqrt{(u, u)}$ . The  $H^1$  seminorms  $||\cdot||_1, ||\cdot||_A$  and the maximum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  are as follows:

$$
\langle \delta_x u, \delta_x v \rangle = h \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \left( \delta_x u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \delta_x v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right), |u|_1 = \sqrt{\langle \delta_x u, \delta_x u \rangle}, ||u||_{\infty} = \max_{0 \le i \le M} |u_i|
$$

$$
\langle \delta_x u, \delta_x v \rangle_A = \langle \delta_x u, \delta_x v \rangle - \frac{h^2}{12} (\delta_x^2 u, \delta_x^2 v), \qquad \|\delta_x u\|_A = \sqrt{\langle \delta_x u, \delta_x u \rangle_A}.
$$

**Lemma 3.1.** If the grid function  $\{v_i^k | 0 \le i \le M, 0 \le k \le N\}$  satisfies  $v_0^k = 0, v_M^k = 0, 0 \le k \le N$ , then

$$
-\left(\delta_x^2 v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left(\|\delta_x v^k\|_A^2 - \|\delta_x v^{k-1}\|_A^2\right), \quad 1 \le k \le N.
$$

PROOF. See Lemma 4.2 in [33].

**Lemma 3.2.** [34] Let  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$  be a sequence of real numbers with the properties

$$
a_n \ge 0
$$
,  $a_n - a_{n-1} \le 0$ ,  $a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1} \ge 0$ .

Then for any positive integer M, and for each vector  $(V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_M)$  with M real entries,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{M} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} a_p V_{n-p} \right) V_n \ge 0.
$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\{v_i^k | 0 \leq i \leq M, 0 \leq k \leq N\}$  be the solution of the following difference system

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \mathcal{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t v_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t v_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \mathcal{P}\left[\delta_t v_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s}\right) \delta_t v_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} - b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \phi_2(x_i)\right] \n= K \delta_x^2 v_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} + g_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \le i \le M-1, \quad 1 \le k \le N,
$$
\n(3.1)

$$
v_i^0 = \phi_1(x_i), \qquad 0 \le i \le M,\tag{3.2}
$$

$$
v_0^k = 0, \quad v_M^k = 0, \qquad 0 \le k \le N. \tag{3.3}
$$

Then it holds that

$$
\|\delta_x v^k\|_A^2 \leq \|\delta_x \phi_1\|_A^2 + \frac{\tau}{K} t_k^{1 - \frac{1}{2q}} \frac{1}{\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(2-\alpha_s)}} \sum_{l=1}^k \|g^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{K} t_k^{1 - \frac{1}{2q}} \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(3-\alpha_s)} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2, \qquad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (3.4)
$$

PROOF. Taking the inner product of (3.1) with  $\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ , we obtain

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] + \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \left[ \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \right) \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] - b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \phi_2(x), \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \Big] = K \left( \delta_x^2 v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \left( g^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right).
$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, noticing that both  $b_{k}^{\alpha_s}$  $k-1$ and  $b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s}$  are positive, we have

$$
\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 + \frac{K}{2\tau} \left( \|\delta_x v^k\|_A^2 - \|\delta_x v^{k-1}\|_A^2 \right) \n= \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \right) \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P}\phi_2(x), \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \left( g^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \n- \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \n\leq \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\bar{\mu}_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \right) \left( \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 + \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \right) \right] \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\bar{\mu}_s} b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2 + \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \right) + \left| (g^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}) \right|
$$

$$
- \sum_{s=1}^q \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right].
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 + \frac{K}{\tau} \left( \|\delta_x v^k\|_A^2 - \|\delta_x v^{k-1}\|_A^2 \right) \n\leq \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \right) \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \right] \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} b_{k-1}^{\alpha_s} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2 + 2|g^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}})| \n- \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\mu_s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right].
$$

Denote  $F^0 = \frac{K}{\tau}$  $\frac{K}{\tau}$ || $\delta_x v^0$ ||<sup>2</sup><sub>A</sub> and

$$
F^{k} = \frac{K}{\tau} \|\delta_x v^{k}\|_{A}^{2} + \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \|\mathcal{P}\delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \right).
$$

Then,

$$
F^{k} \leq F^{k-1} + \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_{s}}{\bar{\mu}_{s}} b_{k-1}^{\alpha_{s}} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_{2}\|^{2} + 2|(g^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{k-\frac{1}{2}})|
$$
  
- 
$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_{s}}{\mu_{s}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_{s}} \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_{s}} \left( \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right].
$$

Replacing  $k$  by  $l$  and summing up for  $l$  from 1 to  $k$ , we obtain

$$
F^{k} \leq F^{0} + \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_{s}}{\bar{\mu}_{s}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} b_{l-1}^{\alpha_{s}} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_{2}\|^{2} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_{s}}{\bar{\mu}_{s}} b_{k-l}^{\alpha_{s}}}\|g^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\|^{2} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_{s}}{\bar{\mu}_{s}} b_{k-l}^{\alpha_{s}}\right) \|\mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\|^{2} - \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_{s}}{\mu_{s}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{l-j}^{\alpha_{s}} \left(\mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_{l-j-1}^{\alpha_{s}} \left(\mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P}\delta_{t}v^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right].
$$

An application of Lemma 3.2 yields

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\mu_s} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{l-j}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_{l-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \left( \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{P} \delta_t v^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \geq 0.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\|\delta_x v^k\|_A^2 \leq \|\delta_x \phi_1\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\tau}{\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} b_{k-l}^{\alpha_s}} \|g^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau^2 d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \sum_{l=1}^k b_{l-1}^{\alpha_s} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2, \qquad 1 \leq k \leq N.
$$

Observing that  $b_{k-l}^{\alpha_s} \geq (2 - \alpha_s)(k - l + 1)^{1-\alpha_s} \geq (2 - \alpha_s)k^{1-\alpha_s}$ , we have

$$
\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} b_{k-l}^{\alpha_s} \ge \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} t_k^{1-\alpha_s} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(2-\alpha_s)} \ge t_k^{\frac{1}{2q}-1} \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(2-\alpha_s)}.
$$

Also, since  $\sum_{l=1}^{k} b_{l-1}^{\alpha_s} = k^{2-\alpha_s}$ , it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{K} \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau^2 d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \sum_{l=1}^k b_{l-1}^{\alpha_s} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} t_k^{2-\alpha_s} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(3-\alpha_s)} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{K} t_k^{1-\frac{1}{2q}} \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(3-\alpha_s)} \|\mathcal{P}\phi_2\|^2.
$$

Finally, we obtain the inequality (3.4) and the theorem is proved.

Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following stability statement.

**Theorem 3.3.** The compact difference numerical scheme  $(2.23)-(2.25)$  is unconditionally stable to the initial values  $\phi_1(x)$  and  $\phi_2(x)$  and the forcing term f.

# 3.3. Convergence

We now consider the convergence of the difference approximation. Noticing that  $U_i^k$  is the exact solution of the system  $(2.1)$ ,  $(2.5)-(2.6)$  and  $u_i^k$  is the numerical solution of the compact difference approximation  $(2.23)-(2.25)$ , we denote the error

$$
e_i^k = U_i^k - u_i^k, \qquad 0 \le i \le M, \quad 0 \le k \le N.
$$

Subtracting  $(2.23)-(2.25)$  from  $(2.19),(2.21)-(2.22)$ , we obtain the error equations

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\tau d_s}{2\mu_s} \mathcal{P} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t e_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} \delta_t e_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \n+ \sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\tau d_s}{\bar{\mu}_s} \mathcal{P} \left[ \delta_t e_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( b_{k-j-1}^{\alpha_s} - b_{k-j}^{\alpha_s} \right) \delta_t e_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \n= K \delta_x^2 e_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}} + R_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \le i \le M-1, 1 \le k \le N, \tag{3.5}
$$
\n
$$
e_i^0 = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le M, \tag{3.6}
$$

$$
e_0^k = 0, \quad e_M^k = 0, \qquad 0 \le k \le N. \tag{3.7}
$$

Theorem 3.2 implies the error satisfies

$$
\|\delta_x e^k\|_A^2 \le \frac{\tau}{K} t_k^{1-\frac{1}{2q}} \frac{1}{\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(2-\alpha_s)}} \sum_{l=1}^k \|R^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2, \qquad 1 \le k \le N. \tag{3.8}
$$

Since

$$
|R_i^{k-\frac{1}{2}}| \le C_1(\tau^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}/\sigma + h^4 + \sigma^2),
$$

and

$$
\sum_{s=q+1}^{2q} \frac{\varpi(\alpha_s)}{q\Gamma(2-\alpha_s)} \to \int_1^2 \frac{\varpi(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} d\alpha = C_2 > 0,
$$

there exists a positive  $C$ , such that

$$
\|\delta_x e^k\|_A^2 \leq C T^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma} (\tau^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}/\sigma + h^4 + \sigma^2)^2.
$$

To proceed further, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** [31] For any mesh function  $u \in V_h$ , it holds that

$$
\frac{2}{3}|u|_1^2 \le ||\delta_x u||_A^2 \le |u|_1^2.
$$

**Lemma 3.4.** [35] For any mesh function  $u \in V_h$ , it holds that

$$
||u||_{\infty} \le \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2}|u|_{1}.
$$

Now, we can state the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose that the continuous problem  $(2.1)$ ,  $(2.5)-(2.6)$  has a smooth solution  $u(x,t) \in C_{x,t}^{6,3}(\Omega)$ , and let  $u_i^k$  be the solution of the difference scheme (2.23)-(2.25). If  $\tau^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma} = o(\sigma)$ , then the solution  $u_i^k$  converges to  $u(x_i, t_k)$  as  $h, \tau$  and  $\sigma$  tend to zero. Furthermore, there is a positive constant C such that the error satisfies

$$
||e^k||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{6LCT^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}} (\tau^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}/\sigma + h^4 + \sigma^2), \quad 0 \le k \le N.
$$

## 4. Numerical results

In order to illustrate the behaviour of our numerical method and demonstrate the effectiveness of our theoretical analysis, two examples are now presented.

Example 1. Consider the following distributed-order diffusion-wave equation:

$$
\int_0^2 \nu^{\alpha} \, {}^c_0 D_t^{\alpha} u(x, t) d\alpha = K \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < x < 1, 0 < t \le T,\tag{4.1}
$$

where  $\nu$  is a positive constant that can be physically interpreted as the relaxation time, K is also a positive constant representing the diffusion coefficient. Here, the initial-boundary conditions

$$
u(x,0) = x2(1 - x2), \quad ut(x,0) = 0, \quad 0 \le x \le 1,
$$
 (4.2)

$$
u(0,t) = 0, \quad u(1,t) = 0, \qquad 0 \le t \le T \tag{4.3}
$$

for Eq.  $(4.1)$  are considered.

Using the numerical method described in Sec. 2, we obtain the numerical solutions (Fig.1) of the fractional diffusion equation for  $K = 1, T = 1.5$ ,  $\nu = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$  respectively, with  $h = 0.02, \tau = 0.015, \sigma = 0.1$ .



Example 2. Consider the following distributed-order time-fractional diffusionwave equation:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\int_0^2 \Gamma(4-\alpha)_{0}^c D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) d\alpha = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t), \\
0 < x < \pi, \quad 0 < t \le T, \\
u(x,0) = 4\sin x, \quad u_t(x,0) = 2\sin x, \quad 0 \le x \le \pi, \\
u(0,t) = u(\pi,t) = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le T,\n\end{cases} (4.4)
$$

where

$$
f(x,t) = \sin x \left[ t^3 + 2t + 4 + \frac{6t^3 + 6t - 4}{\log t} + \frac{6 - 10t}{(\log t)^2} + \frac{4t - 4}{(\log t)^3} \right].
$$

The exact solution of the above problem is  $u(x,t) = (t^3 + 2t + 4)sinx$ .



A comparison of the exact solution and the numerical solution with  $h =$  $\pi/100, \tau = 0.01, \sigma = 0.1$  at  $t = 0.3$  (triangles),  $t = 0.6$  (stars) and  $t = 0.9$ (squares) is shown in Fig. 2. From Fig. 2, it can be seen that the numerical solution is in good agreement with the exact solution.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, a compact difference scheme for the distributed-order timefractional diffusion-wave equations on bounded domains has been described. We prove that the compact difference scheme is stable and convergent. Two numerical examples demonstrate the effectiveness of theoretical results.

## References

- [1] Y. G. Sinai, The limiting behavior of a one-dimensional random walk in a random medium, Theory of Probability & Its Applications 27 (1982) 256–268.
- [2] A. V. Chechkin, J. Klafter, I. M. Sokolov, Fractional Fokker-Planck equation for ultraslow kinetics, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 63 (2003) 326.
- [3] A. N. Kochubei, Distributed order calculus and equations of ultraslow diffusion, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 340 (2008) 252–281.
- [4] M. M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, P. Vellaisamy, Distributed-order fractional diffusions on bounded domains, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 379 (2011) 216–228.
- [5] C. F. Lorenzo, T. T. Hartley, Variable order and distributed order fractional operators, Nonlinear Dynamics 29 (2002) 57–98.
- [6] T. T. Hartley, C. F. Lorenzo, Fractional-order system identification based on continuous order-distributions, Signal processing 83 (2003) 2287–2300.
- [7] M. Naber, Distributed order fractional sub-diffusion, Fractals 12 (2004) 23–32.
- [8] I. Sokolov, A. Chechkin, J. Klafter, Distributed-order fractional kinetics, arXiv preprint cond-mat/0401146 (2004).
- [9] C. H. Eab, S. C. Lim, Fractional Langevin equations of distributed order, Physical Review 83 (2011) 031136.
- [10] Z. Jiao, Y. Chen, I. Podlubny, Distributed-Order Dynamic Systems: Stability, Simulation, Applications and Perspectives, Springer, 2012.
- [11] A. V. Chechkin, R. Gorenflo, I. M. Sokolov, V. Y. Gonchar, Distributed order time fractional diffusion equation, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 6 (2003) 259–280.
- [12] A. V. Chechkin, R. Gorenflo, I. M. Sokolov, Retarding subdiffusion and accelerating superdiffusion governed by distributed-order fractional diffusion equations, Physical Review E 66 (2002) 046129.
- [13] F. Mainardi, G. Pagnini, R. Gorenflo, Some aspects of fractional diffusion equations of single and distributed order, Applied Mathematics and Computation 187 (2007) 295–305.
- [14] F. Mainardi, A. Mura, G. Pagnini, R. Gorenflo, Time-fractional diffusion of distributed order, Journal of Vibration and Control 14 (2008) 1267– 1290.
- [15] T. M. Atanackovic, S. Pilipovic, D. Zorica, Time distributed-order diffusion-wave equation. II. Applications of Laplace and Fourier transformations, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science 465 (2009) 1893–1917.
- [16] T. M. Atanackovic, S. Pilipovic, D. Zorica, Existence and calculation of the solution to the time distributed order diffusion equation, Physica Scripta 2009 (2009) 014012.
- [17] T. M. Atanackovic, S. Pilipovic, D. Zorica, Distributed-order fractional wave equation on a finite domain. Stress relaxation in a rod, International Journal of Engineering Science 49 (2011) 175–190.
- [18] Y. Luchko, Boundary value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation of distributed order, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal 12 (2009) 409–422.
- [19] F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical solution of the space fractional fokker–planck equation, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 166 (2004) 209–219.
- [20] F. Liu, P. Zhuang, V. Anh, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Stability and convergence of the difference methods for the space–time fractional advection– diffusion equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation 191 (2007) 12–20.
- [21] F. Liu, P. Zhuang, K. Burrage, Numerical methods and analysis for a class of fractional advection–dispersion models, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 64 (2012) 2990–3007.
- [22] P. Zhuang, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical methods for the variable-order fractional advection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear source term, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 47 (2009) 1760–1781.
- [23] F. Liu, M. M. Meerschaert, R. J. McGough, P. Zhuang, Q. Liu, Numerical methods for solving the multi-term time-fractional wave-diffusion equation, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 16 (2013) 9–25.
- [24] K. Diethelm, N. J. Ford, Numerical analysis for distributed-order differential equations, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 225 (2009) 96–104.
- [25] I. Podlubny, Matrix approach to discrete fractional calculus, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 3 (2000) 359–386.
- [26] I. Podlubny, T. Skovranek, B. M. V. Jara, I. Petras, V. Verbitsky, Y. Chen, Matrix approach to discrete fractional calculus III: nonequidistant grids, variable step length and distributed orders, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 371 (2013).
- [27] J. T. Katsikadelis, Numerical solution of distributed order fractional differential equations, Journal of Computational Physics 259 (2014) 11– 22.
- [28] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [29] J. D. Faires, R. L. Burden, Numerical Methods, Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning, 2013.
- [30] K. B. Oldham, J. Spanier, The fractional calculus, Academic press, New York, 1974.
- [31] G. Gao, Z. Sun, A compact finite difference scheme for the fractional sub-diffusion equations, Journal of Computational Physics 230 (2011) 586–595.
- [32] Z.-z. Sun, X. Wu, A fully discrete difference scheme for a diffusion-wave system, Applied Numerical Mathematics 56 (2006) 193–209.
- [33] J. Ren, Z.-z. Sun, Numerical algorithm with high spatial accuracy for the fractional diffusion-wave equation with Neumann boundary conditions, Journal of Scientific Computing (2013) 1–28.
- [34] J. Lopez-Marcos, A difference scheme for a nonlinear partial integrodifferential equation, SIAM journal on numerical analysis 27 (1990) 20–31.
- [35] A. Samarskii, V. Andreev, Difference methods for elliptic equations, Nauka, Moscow, 1976.