

SCEC Broadband Platform Validation Introduction

Norm Abrahamson

Objective

- Evaluation of current BBP for estimation of elastic response spectral values
 - Which modules/methods are ready for engineering applications
 - What are the reliable ranges (Mag, dist, freq) for application
 - Which modules needs additional work
 - Snapshot in time

Short Term Need for Validations

- Projects
 - NGA-East
 - SWUS - Ground motion models for Southwestern US
 - Nuclear power plant application

Short Term Need for Validations

- Use of Results – Elastic Spectral Values at 5% Damping
 - NGA-east
 - Constrain low frequency scaling of PSA to guide double-corner point source model
 - Develop alternative GMPE based on FF simulations
 - NPP application
 - Provide estimates of PSA (0.1 to 100 Hz) for cases not well constrained in empirical GMPEs
 - Provide constraints on epistemic uncertainty
 - Broaden range of empirical GMPEs
 - Use of simulated time histories for fragilities may be considered later (1-2 yrs)

Required Schedule for SWUS

- Aug 2013
 - Selected set of simulation methods (modules) that pass the validation
 - “None” is an acceptable answer
- Oct 2013
 - Initial results from forward simulations from methods that passed validation
 - Explanation of the causes of differences
- Feb 2014
 - Final results from second set of forward simulations

Validation of BBP

- GMSV TAG has a broad scope for validation
 - Afternoon presentations address more advanced validation
- Separate accelerated validation effort for just elastic spectral values
- Moving the BBP from a research tool to a usable engineering tool
 - Requires fixed versions of BBP to allow QA (repeatability of results)
 - Change in focus from understanding of earthquake physics to understanding impacts of results

BBP

- Move from a research tool to a resource available for engineering projects
 - Change of focus to results, not basic understanding of physics

Moving Forward with BBP: Topics for Discussion

- New Features in BBP
 - Improved inputs (easier to understand)
 - Plots of inputs for checking
 - Computation of GF within the BBP
 - Allow for non-planar ruptures

Moving Forward with BBP: Topics for Discussion

- Validation – response spectral values (median)
 - 1-D crustal models
 - Complete validation for remaining 13 active crustal region earthquakes
 - Validation for 3 EUS earthquakes
 - 3-D crustal models
 - Repeat validation using 3-D crustal models
 - What is the improvement compared to 1-D models?

Moving Forward with BBP: Topics for Discussion

- Validation – response spectral values (median)
 - 1-D crustal models
 - Complete validation for remaining 13 active crustal region earthquakes
 - Validation for 3 EUS earthquakes
 - 3-D crustal models
 - Repeat validation using 3-D crustal models
 - What is the improvement compared to 1-D models?