Diagnosing and Fixing Memory Leaks in Web Applications: Tips from the Front Line

Mark Thomas, Staff Engineer



CONFIDENTIAL

© 2010 SpringSource, A division of VMware. All rights reserved

Introduction

Mark Thomas

Involved in Apache Tomcat for 7 years

- Wrote the first memory leak detection and prevention implementation for Tomcat
- Implemented a large proportion of Servlet 3.0, JSP 2.2 & EL 2.2 for Tomcat 7
- Currently the Tomcat 7.0.x release manager
- Created Tomcat's security pages
- Committer, PMC member

Apache Software Foundation

- Member
- Part of the infrastructure team

Staff Engineer at VMware

- Tomcat / httpd consulting and training
- Lead the SpringSource security team

Agenda

How it all started

How memory leaks occur

Debugging a leak – demonstration

Root causes of leaks

- Those already fixed
- Future plans

Questions

How it all started



- Presenting on Servlet 3.0 etc to an audience like this
- Made an off the cuff remark
 - "Permgen errors on reload are not caused by Tomcat bugs, they are caused by application bugs"
- That generated a lot of discussion
- Spent the rest of the conference debugging memory leaks with attendees
 - Tomcat wasn't causing the leaks
 - Neither were the applications, at least not directly
 - Root cause often in JRE code, triggered by 3rd party library
- Wrote some fixes for the specific issues seen



How it all started

- Patterns soon started to emerge
- Realised that Tomcat could provide generic fixes
- Start of what became: org.apache.catalina.core.JreMemoryLeakPreventionListener
- Then ran some tests with some leaky applications
 - Spring sample applications
 - Test cases provided by users
 - A couple of internal web applications
- Added additional detection and prevention based on these
- The user community has provided additional ideas and feedback



How memory leaks occur



- A class is uniquely identified by
 - Its name
 - The class loader that loaded it
- Hence, you can have a class with the same name loaded multiple times in a single JVM, each in a different class loader
- Web containers use this for isolating web applications
- Each web application gets its own class loader
- Web application A can use Spring 2.5.6 whilst web application B can use Spring 3.0.2 without any conflicts
- Other containers, e.g. OSGI, use a similar approach
- Classes are loaded into the Permanent Generation



How memory leaks occur: Reference chains

- An object retains a reference to the class it is an instance of
- A class retains a reference to the class loader that loaded it
- The class loader retains a reference to every class it loaded
- Retaining a reference to a single object from a web application pins every class loaded by the web application in the Permanent Generation
- These references often remain after a web application reload
- With each reload, more classes get pinned in the Permanent Generation and eventually it fills up



Debugging a leak - demonstration

Apache Tomcat 7, YourKit Java Profiler, Simple web application



- Reload the application once
- Force GC
- Look for org.apache.catalina.loader.WebappClassLoader instances
- There should be exactly one per deployed application
- If you have more than that
 - look for the instance where started = false
 - trace its GC roots
 - that will tell you what is holding the reference
 - finding what created the reference might be harder
- A profiler makes this easy
- There are lots of good profilers available
 - Full disclosure: I use YourKit because they give me a free copy to use with Tomcat



Root causes

JRE triggered leaks



JRE triggered leaks

- All take a similar form
- Singleton / static initialiser
 - Can be a Thread
 - Something that won't get garbage collected
- Retains a reference to the context class loader when loaded
- If web application code triggers the initialisation
 - The context class loader will be web application class loader
 - A reference is created to the web application class loader
 - This reference is never garbage collected
 - Pins the class loader (and hence all the classes it loaded) in memory
- Prevented by the JreMemoryLeakPreventionListener



JRE triggered leaks: sun.awt.AppContext

Triggered by

- Use of javax.imageio (e.g. Google Web Toolkit)
- Use of java.beans.Introspector.flushCaches()
 - Ironically, Tomcat calls this to try and prevent memory leaks through the bean cache
- Probably many others

Prevented in Tomcat by:

- Calling ImageIO.getCacheDirectory()
- Pins Tomcat's common class loader in memory
- This is fine don't expect to throw this one away
- Might be different if embedding Tomcat



JRE triggered leaks: sun.misc.GC.requestLatency(long)

- Starts a GC Daemon thread
- Thread's context class loader will be context class loader when thread is started
- Triggered by:
 - javax.management.remote.rmi.RMIConnectorServer.start()
 - Possibly others

Prevented in Tomcat by:

- Calling sun.misc.GC.requestLatency(long)
- Has to use reflection
- JVM specific so need to handle other JVMs
- Pins Tomcat's class loader in memory
- Should be OK (remember embedding)



JRE triggered leaks: More threads

- Both very similar to previous slide
- sun.net.www.http.HttpClient
 - Starts an HTTP keep-alive thread
 - Triggered by URL. openConnection()
 - Prevented in Tomcat by loading the sun.net.www.http.HttpClient class
 - JVM specific

Java Cryptography Architecture

- Starts a Token poller thread
- Triggered by creating a message digest (under certain conditions)
- Prevented in Tomcat by calling java.security.Security.getProviders();



JRE triggered leaks: JarURLConnections

- URL connections are cached by default
- An open JarURLConnection locks the JAR file

Affects all operating systems

- Harder to ignore on Windows
- · Prevents web applications from being undeployed
- Potential security risk

Triggered by

- log4j 1.2.15 and earlier
- javax.xml.bind.JAXBContext.newInstance()

Prevented in Tomcat by:

• Disable caching by default



JRE triggered leaks: XML parsing

- Don't know why this triggers a leak
- No GC roots reported by profilers
 - JVM bug
 - http://bugs.sun.com/bugdatabase/view_bug.do?bug_id=6916498
- Made it very difficult to track down
- Triggered by:
 - DocumentBuilderFactory.newInstance();
- Prevented in Tomcat by:
 - DocumentBuilderFactory.newInstance();



Root causes

Application triggered leaks



Application triggered leaks

- All take a similar form
- Application registers an object with a JRE provided registry
- JRE registry is loaded by the system class loader
- Not cleared when web application is reloaded
- Reference chain
 - Registry retains a reference to the object
 - Object retains a reference to its class
 - Class retains a reference to its class loader (web application class loader)
 - Class loader retains references to all classes it loaded
- Applications are responsible for clearing references they create
- Failure to do so is logged on application stop

Application triggered leaks: JDBC drivers

- JDBC drivers are automatically registered with java.sql.DriverManager
 - When loaded
 - Through the services API
- JDBC drivers are NOT automatically de-registered
- Applications must de-register JDBC drivers when stopped
- Use a javax.servlet.ServletContextListener
 - contextDestroyed() event
- Tomcat will de-register JDBC drivers if the applications forgets



Application triggered leaks: Threads

- Threads started by a web application will have the web application class loader as the context class loader
- Applications must stop threads they start
- Tomcat will log an error if applications forget
- Tomcat can try and stop the thread (requires configuration)
 - TimerThread via reflection fairly safe
 - If started via an Executor via reflection-fairly safe
 - Thread.stop() unsafe

Stopping threads

- Code is not thread safe
- Often causes a JVM crash

Application triggered leaks: ThreadLocals

- The lifecycle of a ThreadLocal must match that of a request
- An application may never see a Thread again
 - No way to remove the ThreadLocal later
- Applications must clear any ThreadLocals they create in the same request
- Tomcat will log an error if applications forget
- Tomcat can try and clear the ThreadLocal (requires configuration)
 - Code is not thread safe
 - Not seen a problem in testing

sun.rmi.transport.Target

- Nothing the application can do to clear these
- Tomcat does it silently via reflection

ResourceBundle

- Uses a weak reference
- Still appears to trigger leaks
- Tomcat clears the references silently via reflection

static final reference

- Not cleared by GC in some (very) old JVMs
- Code still present
- Disabled by default in Tomcat 7

Tomcat also clears references it creates

• loggers, introspection utils

Future plans



Future plans

See https://issues.apache.org/bugzilla

Bugs

• Leaks triggered by JSP pages aren't detected or cleared (48837)

Enhancements

- Generic solution to ThreadLocal issues Renew the thread pool after application reload (49159)
- Add the start date when reporting leaks in the manager app (49395)

Can we reduce the leak by somehow manipulating the class loader?

No success so far



Useful links



Useful links

- http://tomcat.apache.org/
- http://svn.apache.org/viewvc/tomcat/trunk/java/org/apache/ catalina/core/JreMemoryLeakPreventionListener.java catalina/loader/WebappClassLoader.java
- http://wiki.apache.org/tomcat/MemoryLeakProtection

Mailing lists

- announce@tomact.apache.org
- users@tomcat.apache.org
- dev@tomcat.apache.org

Questions

