Weinert L, Klass M, Schneider G, Heinze O. Exploring Stakeholder Requirements to enable research and development of AI algorithms in a hospital based generic in-frastructure: Results of a multi-step mixed-methods study

## **Appendix: GRIPP2 Short-form checklist**

Section and topic	Item
1: Aim	To explore stakeholders' requirements for developing AI in
Report the aim of the study	partnership with an academic hospital and granting AI experts
	access to anonymized personal health data.
2: Methods	Researchers and employees from stakeholder organizations were
Provide a clear description	invited to participate in semi-structured interviews. Questionnaires
of the methods used for PPI	were developed based on the participants' answers and distributed
in the study	among the stakeholders' organizations. Additionally, patients and
	physicians were interviewed as well.
3: Results	Stakeholders informed the study team about necessary
Outcomes—Report the	requirements for the development of AI and the use of their data
results of PPI in the study,	for health research.
including both positive and	
negative outcomes	
4: Discussion	The study led to the identification of necessary requirements for
Outcomes—Comment on	the development, testing, and validation of AI applications within
the extent to which PPI	a hospital-based generic infrastructure. A model was developed,
influenced the study overall.	which will inform the next steps in the development of an AI
Describe positive and	Innovation Environment at our institution. Requirements derived
negative effects	from the PPI interviews influenced every aspect of the newly
	developed model.
5: Reflection	PPI was fruitful and important insights were collected. However,
Critical perspective—	recruitment for study participation was very challenging. This
Comment critically on the	could influence the results and requirements identified.
study, reflecting on the	In a future replication of a similar study design, it could be helpful
things that went well and	to involve stakeholders at an earlier time so the study design itself
those that did not, so others	(including recruitment methods) could be co-developed.
can learn from this	
experience	

GRIPP2-SF adapted from: Staniszewska S, Brett J, Simera I, Seers K, Mockford C, Goodlad S, Tysall C. GRIPP2 reporting checklists: tools to improve reporting of patient and public involvement in research. Research Involvement and Engagement. 2017 3 (1)