Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 22 (2019),
Article 19.3.8

On the period mod m of polynomially-recursive sequences: a case study

Cyril Banderier
Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris Nord
Université de Paris Nord
93430 Villetaneuse
France
https://lipn.fr/~banderier

Florian Luca
School of Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand
Wits 2050, Johannesburg
South Africa
&
Research Group of Algebraic Structures and Applications
King Abdulaziz University
21589 Jeddah
Saudi Arabia
&
Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)
58089 Morelia
Mexico
https://scholar.google.com/

Abstract

Many polynomially-recursive sequences have a periodic behavior mod m. In this paper, we analyze the period mod m of a class of second-order polynomially-recursive sequences. Starting with a problem originally coming from an enumeration of avoiding pattern permutations, we give a generalization which appears to be linked with nice number theory notions (the Carmichael function, algebraic integers, Wieferich primes).

1 Introduction

In his analysis of sorting algorithms, Knuth introduced the notion of forbidden pattern in permutations, which later became a field of research per se [11]. By studying the basis of such forbidden patterns for permutations reachable with k right-jumps from the identity permutation, the authors of [1] discovered that the permutations of size n in this basis were enumerated by the sequence of integers $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ given by $b_0 = 1,\ b_1 = 0$,

$$b_{n+2} = 2nb_{n+1} + (1 + n - n^2)b_n \qquad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

This is sequence A265165 in the OEIS[1]; it starts like 0, 1, 2, 7, 32, 179, 1182, 8993, 77440, 744425, 7901410, 91774375...

Such a sequence defined by a recurrence with polynomial coefficients in n is called *P-recursive* (for *polynomially recursive*). Some authors also call such sequences *holonomic*, or *D-finite* (see, e.g., [5, 7, 13, 16]). The D-finite (for *differentially finite*) terminology comes from the fact that a sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence with polynomial coefficients in n if and only if its generating function $F(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n z^n$ satisfies a linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients in z. Accordingly, P-recursive sequences and D-finite functions satisfy many closure properties: this contributes to make them ubiquitous in combinatorics, number theory, analysis of algorithms, computer algebra, mathematical physics, etc. It is not always the case that such sequences have a closed form. In our case, the generating function of $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ has in fact a nice closed form involving the golden ratio. Indeed, putting

$$\alpha := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$$

for the two roots of the quadratic equation $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$, it was shown in [1] that the exponential generating function of $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$, namely

$$B(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}, \qquad \text{satisfies} \quad B(x) = \frac{\beta}{\beta-\alpha}(1-x)^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}(1-x)^\beta - 1. \tag{2}$$

It should be stressed here that our sequence $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an instance of a noteworthy phenomenon: it is one of the rare combinatorial sequences exhibiting an irrational exponent

[1] OEIS stands for the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, see https://oeis.org.

in its asymptotics:

$$\frac{b_n}{n!} \sim \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{5}\Gamma(\alpha-1)} n^{\alpha-2}(1+o(1)) \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{z-1}\exp(-t)dt$ is the Euler gamma function. We refer to the wonderful book of Flajolet and Sedgewick [5] for a few other examples of such a phenomenon in analytic combinatorics, and to [1, Section 4] for further comments on the links between G-functions and (ir)rational exponents in the asymptotics of the coefficients.

P-recursive sequences are also of interest in number theory, where there is a vast literature analyzing the modular congruences of famous sequences, e.g., for the binomial coefficients, or the Fibonacci, Catalan, Motzkin, Apéry numbers, see [3, 6, 9, 14, 19]. For example, the Apéry numbers satisfy $A(p^e q) = A(p^{e-1}q) \mod p^{3e}$, in which the exponent $3e$ in the modulus grows faster than the exponent e in the function argument. This phenomenon is sometimes called "supercongruence", and find roots in seminal works by Kummer and Ramanujan (see [8, 12, 17] for more recent advances on this topic). Accordingly, many articles consider sequences modulo $m = 2^r$, or $m = 3^r$, or variants of power of a prime number.

We now restate an important result which holds for any m (not necessarily the power of a prime number).

Theorem 1 (Congruences and periods for P-recursive sequences [1, Theorem 7]). *Consider any P-recurrence of order r:*

$$P_0(n)u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{r} P_i(n)u_{n-i}\,,$$

where the polynomials $P_0(n), \ldots, P_r(n)$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}[n]$, and where the polynomial $P_0(n)$ is invertible $\bmod m$. *Then the sequence $(u_n \bmod m)_{n\geq 0}$ is eventually periodic[2]. In particular, sequences such that $P_0(n) = 1$ are periodic* $\bmod m$. *Additionally, the preperiod and the period p are bounded by m^{2r+1}, therefore one can efficiently compute them via the Knuth–Floyd cycle-finding algorithm (the tortoise and the hare algorithm).*

N.B.: It is not always the case that P-recursive sequences are periodic mod p. E.g., it was proven in [10] that Motzkin numbers are not periodic mod m, and it seems that

$$(n+3)(n+2)u_n = 8(n-1)(n-2)u(n-2) + (7n^2+7n-2)u(n-1)\,, \quad u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1\,,$$

is also not periodic mod m, for any $m > 2$ (this P-recursive sequence counts a famous class of permutations, namely, the Baxter permutations). This is coherent with Theorem 1, as the leading term in the recurrence (the factor $(n+3)(n+2)$) is not invertible mod m, for infinitely many n.

[2] An eventually periodic sequence of period p is a sequence for which $u_{n+p} = u_n$ for all $n \geq n^*$ (n^* is called the preperiod). Some authors use the terminology "ultimately periodic" instead. In the sequel, as the context is clear, we will often omit the word "eventually".

For our sequence $(b_n)_{n\geq 1}$ (defined by recurrence (1)), this theorem explains the periodic behavior of $b_n \bmod m$. Thanks to the bounds mentioned in Theorem 1, we can get $b_n \bmod m$, by brute-force computation, for any given m. For example $b_n \bmod 15$ is periodic of period 12 (after a preperiod $n^* = 9$):

$$(b_n \bmod 15)_{n\geq 9} = (10, 5, 10, 10, 0, 10, 5, 10, 5, 5, 0, 5)^\infty.$$

The period can be quite large, for example $b_n \bmod 3617$ has period 26158144. More generally, for every positive integer m, the sequence $(b_n \bmod m)_{n\geq 1}$ is eventually periodic, for some period p depending on m, as defined in the footnote on the previous page. For each m, let T_m be the smallest possible period p. In this paper, we study some properties of $(T_m)_{m\geq 1}$.

This is sequence A306699 in the OEIS; here are its first few values $T_2, \ldots, T_{100}$:
2, 12, 8, 1, 12, 84, 8, 36, 2, 1, 24, 104, 84, 12, 16, 544, 36, 1, 8, 84, 2, 1012, 24, 1, 104, 108, 168, 1, 12, 1, 32, 12, 544, 84, 72, 2664, 2, 312, 8, 1, 84, 3612, 8, 36, 1012, 4324, 48, 588, 2, 1632, 104, 5512, 108, 1, 168, 12, 2, 1, 24, 1, 2, 252, 64, 104, 12, 2948, 544, 3036, 84, 1, 72, 10512, 2664, 12, 8, 84, 312, 1, 16, 324, 2, 13612, 168, 544, 3612, 12, 8, 1, 36, 2184, 2024, 12, 4324, 1, 96, 18624, 588, 36, 8.
Do you detect some hidden patterns in this sequence? This is what we tackle in the next section.

2 Periodicity mod m and links with number theory

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence defined by the recurrence of Formula 1. The period T_m of this sequence $b_n \bmod m$ satisfies:*

a) If $m = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ (where $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ are distinct primes), then[3]

$$T_m = \operatorname{lcm}(T_{p_1^{e_1}}, \ldots, T_{p_k^{e_k}}).$$

b) We have $T_m = 1$ if and only if m is the product of primes $p \equiv 0, 1, 4 \pmod 5$.

c) For every prime p, we have $T_p \mid 2p \operatorname{ord}_5(p)$.

d) If $T_m > 1$ then $2 \mid T_m$ if m is even, and $4 \mid T_m$ if m is odd.

e) For $m \geq 3$, we have $T_m = 2$ if and only if m is even and $\frac{m}{2}$ is the product of primes $p \equiv 0, 1, 4 \pmod 5$.

f) For every prime p, we have $T_{p^k} \mid 2p^k(p-1)$.

[3] As usual, lcm stands for the *least common multiple*.

The function T_m thus shares some similarities with the Carmichael function introduced in [2, p. 39], and it is expected that its asymptotic behavior is also similar (following, e.g., the lines of [4]). In this article, we focus on the rich arithmetic properties of this function. Note that Theorem 2 allows computing T_m in a much faster way than the brute-force algorithm mentioned in Section 1: the complexity goes from m^{2r+1} via brute-force to $\ln(m)^3$ via Shor's factorization algorithm [15] (or to sub-exponential complexity in $\ln(m)$ with other efficient algorithms, if one does not want to rely on the use of quantum computers!).

Proof of Part a). The proof will use a little preliminary result. We call T_m the "eventual period of the sequence mod m", or, for short, the "period", even if the sequence starts with some terms which does not satisfy the periodic pattern. The following lemma holds for all eventually periodic sequences of integers.

Lemma 3. *T_m divides all other periods of $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ modulo m.*

Proof. Let $T_m = a$ and assume there is b (not a multiple of a) which is also a period modulo m. Thus, there are $n_a,\ n_b$ such that $u_{n+a} \equiv u_n \pmod{m}$ for all $n > n_a$ and $u_n \equiv u_{n+b} \pmod{m}$ for all $n > n_b$. Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$. By Bézout's identity, one has then $d = Aa + Bb$ for some integers $A,\ B$. Let $n_{a,b} = \max\{n_a, n_b\} + |A|a + |B|b$ and assume that $n > n_{a,b}$. Then $u_{a+d} = u_{n+Aa+Bb} \equiv u_{(n+Aa)+bB} \pmod{m} \equiv u_{n+Aa} \pmod{m} \equiv u_n \pmod{m}$ so $d < a$ is a period of $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ modulo m, contradicting the minimality of a. □

An immediate consequence is the following:

Corollary 4. *We have $T_{\operatorname{lcm}(m_1,\ldots,m_r)} = \operatorname{lcm}(T_{m_1}, \ldots, T_{m_r})$.*

Proof. First consider $r = 2$, and let $a := m_1$, $b := m_2$. Since $\operatorname{lcm}(T_a, T_b)$ is a multiple of both T_a and T_b, it follows that it is a period of $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ modulo both a and b, so modulo $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$. It remains to prove that it is the minimal one. To this aim, suppose that $T_{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b)} < \operatorname{lcm}(T_a, T_b)$. Then either $T_a \nmid T_{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b)}$ or $T_b \nmid T_{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b)}$. Since the two cases are similar, we only deal with the first one. In this case we would have that both T_a and $T_{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b)}$ would be periods modulo a. By the previous lemma, this would force $\gcd(T_a, T_{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b)}) < T_a$, which would obviously be a contradiction. Now, a trivial induction on the number $r \geq 2$ gives that

$$T_{\operatorname{lcm}(m_1,\ldots,m_r)} = \operatorname{lcm}(T_{m_1}, \ldots, T_{m_r})$$

holds for all positive integers $m_1, \ldots, m_r$. □

In particular Part a) of Theorem 2 holds: $T_m = \operatorname{lcm}(T_{p_1^{e_1}}, \ldots, T_{p_k^{e_k}})$. Let us now tackle the proofs of Parts b)–f).

Proof of Part b). We use the generating function (2), which tells us that

$$[x^n]B(x) = \frac{b_n}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\alpha \binom{\beta}{n} - \beta \binom{\alpha}{n} \right). \tag{3}$$

Thus,

$$b_n = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\beta\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-(n-1)) - \alpha\beta(\beta-1)\cdots(\beta-(n-1))\right). \tag{4}$$

By Fermat's little theorem,

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(X-k) = X^p - X \pmod{p}. \tag{5}$$

Now, assume that $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod 5$. Then

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\alpha-k) \equiv \alpha^p - \alpha \pmod{p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

where for the last congruence we used the law of quadratic reciprocity: since $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod 5$, we have

$$\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = 1,$$

where $\left(\frac{\bullet}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Thus,

$$\alpha^p = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^p \equiv \frac{1+\sqrt{5}\cdot 5^{(p-1)/2}}{2^p} \pmod{p} \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}, \tag{6}$$

because $5^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{5}{p}\right) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Euler's criterion.

In the above and in what follows, for two algebraic integers δ, γ and an integer m we write $\delta \equiv \gamma \pmod{m}$ if the number $(\delta-\gamma)/m$ is an algebraic integer. This shows that

$$\frac{1}{p}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\alpha-k)$$

is an algebraic integer. The same is true with α replaced by β. Now take $r \geq 1$ be any integer and take $n \geq pr$. Then, for each $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1$, we have that both

$$\frac{1}{p}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\alpha-(p\ell+k)) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\beta-(p\ell+k))$$

are algebraic integers. Thus, if $n \geq pr$, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{5}b_n}{p^r} = (-1)^{n-1}\left(\beta\prod_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\alpha-(p\ell+k))\prod_{k=pr}^{n-1}(\alpha-k) - \alpha\prod_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\beta-(p\ell+k))\prod_{k=pr}^{n-1}(\beta-k)\right)$$

is an algebraic integer. Thus, $5b_n^2/p^{2r}$ is an algebraic integer and a rational number, so an integer. Since $p \neq 5$, it follows that $p^{2r} \mid b_n^2$, so $p^r \mid b_n$ for $n \geq pr$. This shows that $T_{p^r} = 1$ for all such primes p and positive integers r. The same is true for $p = 5$. There we use that $\alpha - 3 = \sqrt{5}\beta$, so $\sqrt{5} \mid \alpha - 3$. Thus, if $n \geq 10r$, we have that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n}(\alpha - k) \quad \text{is a multiple of} \quad \prod_{\ell=0}^{2r-1}(\alpha - (3 + 5\ell)) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{5})/2],$$

which in turn is a multiple of $5^r = \sqrt{5}^{2r}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{5})/2]$. Thus, if $n \geq 10r$, then $5^r \mid b_n$. This shows that also $T_{5^r} = 1$ and in fact, $m \mid b_n$ for all $n > n_m$ if m is made up only of primes $0, 1, 4 \pmod 5$. This finishes the proof of b).

Proof of Part c). The claim is satisfied for $p = 2$, as $(b_n \bmod 2)_{n \geq 0} = (1, 0)^\infty$, thus $T_2 = 2 \mid 4$. Consider now $p > 2$. By Part b), it suffices to consider odd primes $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 5$. Evaluating Formula (5) at $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, one has

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(\alpha - k) \equiv \alpha^p - \alpha \pmod p.$$

Since $5^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod p$, the argument from (6) shows that $\alpha^p \equiv \beta \pmod p$. Thus

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2p}(\alpha - k) = \prod_{k=1}^{p}(\alpha - k) \prod_{k=p+1}^{2p}(\alpha - k) \equiv (\beta - \alpha)^2 \pmod p \equiv 5 \pmod p.$$

The same is true for α replaced by β. Thus, it follows that for $n > 2p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+2p} &= \frac{(-1)^{n+2p-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\beta \prod_{k=0}^{n+2p-1} (\alpha - k) - \alpha \prod_{k=0}^{n+2p-1} (\beta - k) \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}} 5 \left(\beta \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\alpha - k) - \alpha \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\beta - k) \right) \pmod p \\ &\equiv 5b_n \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this k times, we get

$$b_{n+2pk} \equiv 5^k b_n \pmod p.$$

Taking $k = p-1$ and applying Fermat's little theorem $5^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod p$, we get $T_p \mid 2p(p-1)$. We can optimize this idea by taking $k = \mathrm{ord}_p(5)$, where $\mathrm{ord}_p(5)$ is the order of 5 modulo p (the smallest $k > 0$ such that $5^k \equiv 1 \pmod p$), this gives the stronger wanted claim: $T_p \mid 2p\,\mathrm{ord}_p(5)$.

Proof of Part d). By a), we know that $T_p \mid T_{pm}$. Taking $p = 2$, one gets $2 \mid T_m$. Now, if $T_m > 1$, by b), there is at least a prime $p = 2, 3 \pmod 5$ such that $p \mid m$. We then have $T_p \mid T_m$ by a). We now prove by contradiction that T_p is a multiple of 4.

Take a prime $p \geq 3$ and assume $\nu_2(T_p) < 2$, where $\nu_q(a)$ is the exponent of q in the factorization of a. That is, T_p would either be odd or 2 times an odd number. Since $T_p \mid 2p(p-1)$, it would follow that if we write $p - 1 = 2^a k$, where k is odd, then $T_p \mid 2pk$. Thus, one would have

$$b_n \equiv b_{n+2pk} \equiv 5^k b_n \pmod p \tag{7}$$

for all $n > n_p$. Since $p = 2, 3 \pmod 5$, 5 is not a quadratic residue, and thus $5^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod p$ (since $-1 \equiv 5^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (5^k)^{2^{a-1}} \pmod p$). So, the above congruence (7) would imply that $p \mid (5^k - 1)b_n$ but $p \nmid 5^k - 1$, so $b_n \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for all large n. Take n and $n+1$ and rewrite what we got, i.e., $b_n \equiv b_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod p$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ as

$$\begin{aligned} b_n = \beta \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\alpha - k) - \alpha \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\beta - k) \quad &\equiv \quad 0 \pmod p, \\ b_{n+1} = \beta \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\alpha - k)\right)(\alpha - n) - \beta \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\beta - k)\right)(\beta - n) \quad &\equiv \quad 0 \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

We treat this as a linear system in the two unknowns

$$(X, Y) = \left(\beta \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\alpha - k), \alpha \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\beta - k)\right)$$

in the field with p^2 elements $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. This is homogeneous. None of X or Y is 0 since p cannot divide $\beta \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\alpha - k)$. Thus, it must be that the determinant of the above matrix is 0 modulo p, but this is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ \alpha - n & -(\beta - n) \end{vmatrix} = \sqrt{5},$$

which is invertible modulo p. Thus, indeed, it is not possible that b_n and b_{n+1} is a multiple of p for all large n, getting a contradiction. This shows that T_p is a multiple of 4.

Proof of Part e). Let m be of shape different from the one required in Part b), i.e., m has now at least one prime $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 5$ such that $p \mid m$. Then $4 \mid T_p$ by what we have done above, and so $4 \mid T_m$ by a). Thus, such m cannot participate in the situations described either at d) or e). Further, one has $T_4 = 8$ as $(b_n \bmod 4)_{n \geq 0} = (1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 0, 3, 2)^\infty$. Thus, if $4 \mid m$, then $8 \mid T_m$. Hence, if $T_m = 2$, then the only possibility is that $2 \mid m$ and $m/2$ is a product of primes congruent to $0, 1, 4$ modulo 5. Conversely, if m has such structure then $T_m = 2$ by a) and the fact that $T_2 = 2$ and $T_{p^r} = 1$ for all odd prime power factors p^r of m. This ends the proof of e).

Proof of Part f). Finally, f) is based on a preliminary result: a slight generalization of (5), namely

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p^r-1}(X-k) \equiv (X^p-X)^{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r} \tag{8}$$

valid for all odd primes p and $r \geq 1$. Let us prove (8) by induction on r. We first prove it for $r=2$. We return to (5) and write

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(X-k) = X^p - X + pH_1(X),$$

where $H_1(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Changing X to $X-p\ell$ for $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, we get that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(X-(p\ell+k)) = (X-p\ell)^p-(X-p\ell)+pH(X-p\ell) \equiv (X^p-X-pH(X))-p\ell \pmod{p^2}.$$

In the above, we used the fact that $H(X-p\ell) \equiv H(X) \pmod p$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=0}^{p^2-1}(X-k) &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{p-1}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(X-(p\ell+k)) \\
&\equiv \prod_{k=0}^{p-1}((X^p-X-pH(X))-p\ell) \pmod{p^2} \\
&\equiv (X^p-X-pH(X))^p-(X^p-X-pH(X))^{p-1}p\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1}\ell\right) \pmod{p^2} \\
&\equiv (X^p-X)^p-(X^p-X-pH(X))^{p-1}p\left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\right) \pmod{p^2} \\
&\equiv (X^p-X)^p \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, we used the fact that p is odd so $p(p-1)/2$ is a multiple of p. This proves (8) for $r=2$. Now, assuming that (8) holds for p^r, for some $r \geq 2$, we get that for all $\ell \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=0}^{p^r-1}(X-(p^r\ell+k)) &\equiv ((X-p^r\ell)^p-(X-p^r\ell))^{p^{r-1}}+p^rH_r(X-p^r\ell) \pmod{p^{r+1}} \\
&\equiv (X^p-X)^{p^{r-1}}+p^rH_r(X) \pmod{p^{r+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $H_r(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. This allows concluding the induction step, and thus the generalization (8) that we wanted:

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=0}^{p^{r+1}-1}(X-k) &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{p}\prod_{k=0}^{p^r-1}(X-(p^r\ell+k)) \\ &\equiv ((X^p-X)^{p^{r-1}}+p^rH_r(X))^p \pmod{p^{r+1}} \\ &\equiv (X^p-X)^{p^r} \pmod{p^{r+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Equipped with this preliminary result, letting $p>2$ be congruent to $2,3 \pmod 5$, evaluating the above identity in α, and using that $\alpha^p \equiv \beta \pmod p$, we get that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p^r-1}(\alpha-k) \equiv (X^p-X)^{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r} \equiv (\alpha^p-\alpha)^{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r} \equiv (\beta-\alpha)^{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r}.$$

This shows that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{2p^r-1}(\alpha-k) \equiv (\beta-\alpha)^{2p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r} \equiv 5^{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r}.$$

The same is true for β; this leads to

$$b_{n+2p^r} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{n+2p^r-1}}{\sqrt{5}}5^{p^{r-1}}\left(\beta\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\alpha-k)-\alpha\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\beta-k)\right) \pmod{p^r} \equiv 5^{p^{r-1}}b_n \pmod{p^r}.$$

Thus, applying this k times, we get

$$b_{n+2p^rk} \equiv 5^{p^{r-1}k}b_n \pmod{p^r}. \tag{9}$$

By Euler's theorem $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod n$, one has $5^{p^{r-1}(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}$. Thus, taking $k=p-1$ in (9), we get $b_{n+2p^r(p-1)} \equiv b_n \pmod{p^r}$. Therefore, $T_{p^r} \mid 2p^r(p-1)$.
N.B.: As in the proof of c), we can optimize this idea; indeed $\mathrm{ord}_5(p^r) = p^{r-1}\mathrm{ord}_5(p)$ and thus taking $k=\mathrm{ord}_5(p)$, one gets $T_{p^r} \mid 2p^r\mathrm{ord}_5(p)$.

Finally, it remains to prove f) for $p=2$. Here, by inspection, we have

$$\prod_{k=0}^{7}(X-k) \equiv (X^2-X)^4 \pmod 4.$$

By induction on $r \geq 2$, one shows that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{2^{r+1}-1}(X-k) \equiv (X^2-X)^{2^r} \pmod{2^r}.$$

Evaluating this in α, we get

$$\prod_{k=0}^{2^{r+1}-1} (\alpha - k) \equiv (\alpha^2 - \beta)^{2^r} \equiv 5^{2^{r-1}} \pmod{2^r}.$$

The same holds for β, so

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+2^{r+1}} &= \frac{(-1)^{n+2^{r+1}-1}}{\sqrt{5}} 5^{2^{r-1}} \left(\beta \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha - k) - \alpha \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\beta - k) \right) \pmod{2^r} \\ &\equiv 5^{2^{r-1}} b_n \pmod{2^r} \equiv b_n \pmod{2^r} \end{aligned}$$

showing that $T_{2^r} \mid 2^{r+1}$ for all $r \geq 2$.

3 Comments and generalizations

Along the proof of our main result we showed that if $p \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod 5$, then

$$b_{n+2p} \equiv 5 b_n \pmod p.$$

From here we deduced that $T_p \mid 2p(p-1)$ via the fact that $5^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod p$. One may ask whether it can be the case that

$$T_{p^2} \mid 2p(p-1), \text{ for some prime } p? \tag{10}$$

Well, first of all, it implies that $5^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. This makes p a base-5 Wieferich prime[4]. Despite the fact that it is conjectured that there are infinitely many such primes, only 7 base-5 Wieferich primes are currently known! (They are listed as A123692). Amongst them, only $p = 2, 40487, 1645333507$, and 6692367337 are additionally congruent to $2 \pmod 5$, and none is known to be congruent to $3 \pmod 5$. Note that the condition of $p \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod 5$ being base-5 Wieferich is not sufficient to have the divisibility property (10). So, how many other primes could lead to $T_{p^2} \mid 2p(p-1)$? A close analysis of our arguments show that in addition to be a base-5 Wieferich prime, it should also hold that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{2p-1} (\alpha - k) - 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

and if this is the case then indeed $T_{p^2} \mid 2p(p-1)$. Since the integer

$$\frac{1}{p} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{2p-1} (\alpha - k) - 5 \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$$

[4]A prime p is a Wieferich prime in base b if $b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. This notion was introduced (with $b = 2$) by Arthur Wieferich in 1909 in his work on Fermat's last theorem [18].

should be the zero element in the finite field $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, with p^2 elements, it could be that the "probability" that this condition happens is $1/p^2$. By the same logic, the "probability" that p is base-5 Wieferich should be $1/p$. Assuming these events to be independent, we could infer that the probability that both these conditions hold is $1/p^3$. Then, as the series

$$\sum_{p\equiv 2,3 \pmod 5} \frac{1}{p^3}$$

is convergent, this heuristically suggests that there should be only finitely many primes $p \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod 5$ such that $T_{p^2} \mid 2p(p-1)$.

Finally, our results apply to other sequences as well. More precisely, let $a,\ b$ be integers and let $\alpha,\ \beta$ be the roots of $x^2 - ax - b$. Let

$$B(x) = \frac{\beta}{\beta-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}(1-x)^{\beta} - 1 = \sum_{n\geq 0} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Accordingly, the sequence $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = 0$, and, for $n \geq 0$

$$b_{n+2} = (2n - a + 1)b_{n+1} + (b + an - n^2)b_n.$$

What are the periods mod m of such sequences?

- In case α and β are rational (hence, integers), $B(x)$ is a rational function, so $b_n = n!u_n$, where $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is binary recurrent with constant coefficients. It then follows that $b_n \equiv 0 \pmod m$ for all m provided $n > n_m$ is sufficiently large. Thus, $T_m = 1$.

- In case α, β are irrational, then we get a result similar to Theorem 2 (where we had $(a, b) = (1, 1)$). Namely, $b_n \equiv 0 \pmod m$ for all n sufficiently large whenever m is the product of odd primes p for which the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 0, 1$, where $\Delta = a^2 + 4b$ is the discriminant of the quadratic $x^2 - ax - b$. In case p is odd and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = -1$, we have that $T_p \mid 2p(p-1)$ and T_p is a multiple of 4. Also, $T_{p^r} \mid 2p^r(p-1)$ for all $r \geq 1$ in this case. The proofs are similar. In the case of the prime 2, one needs to distinguish cases according to the parities of a, b. For example, if a and b are odd, then $\Delta \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, so 2 is not a quadratic residue modulo Δ, so $T_{2^r} \mid 2^{r+1}$ for all $r \geq 1$, whereas if a is odd and b is even then $T_2 = 1$.

This concludes our analysis of the periodicity of such P-recursive sequences mod m.

4 Acknowledgments

We thank the editor and the referee for comments which improved the quality of our paper. This work has been initiated when the second author was invited professor at the Paris Nord University, in April 2018. In addition, Florian Luca was supported in part by grant CPRR160325161141 and an A-rated scientist award both from the NRF of South Africa.

References

[1] Cyril Banderier, Jean-Luc Baril, and Céline Moreira Dos Santos. Right-jumps and pattern avoiding permutations. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, **18** (2017), 1–17.

[2] Robert D. Carmichael. *The Theory of Numbers*. John Wiley & Sons, 1914.

[3] Emeric Deutsch and Bruce E. Sagan. Congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers and related sequences. *J. Number Theory*, **117** (2006), 191–215.

[4] Paul Erdős, Carl Pomerance, and Eric Schmutz. Carmichael's lambda function. *Acta Arith.*, **58** (1991), 363–385.

[5] Philippe Flajolet and Robert Sedgewick. *Analytic Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[6] Ira M. Gessel. Combinatorial proofs of congruences. In *Enumeration and Design (Waterloo, Ont., 1982)*, Academic Press, 1984, pp. 157–197.

[7] Ira M. Gessel. Symmetric functions and P-recursiveness. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **53** (1990), 257–285.

[8] Victor J. W. Guo and Wadim Zudilin. A q-microscope for supercongruences. *Adv. Math.*, **346** (2019), 329–358.

[9] Manuel Kauers, Christian Krattenthaler, and Thomas W. Müller. A method for determining the mod-2^k behaviour of recursive sequences, with applications to subgroup counting. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **18** (2011), 83p.

[10] Martin Klazar and Florian Luca. On integrality and periodicity of the Motzkin numbers. *Aequationes Math.*, **69** (2005), 68–75.

[11] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming. Vol. 3.* Addison-Wesley, 1998. Sorting and searching, Second edition (1st ed: 1973).

[12] Robert Osburn and Carsten Schneider. Gaussian hypergeometric series and supercongruences. *Math. Comp.*, **78** (2009), 275–292.

[13] Marko Petkovšek, Herbert S. Wilf, and Doron Zeilberger. *$A = B$*. A K Peters, 1996.

[14] Eric Rowland and Doron Zeilberger. A case study in meta-automation: automatic generation of congruence automata for combinatorial sequences. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, **20** (2014), 973–988.

[15] Peter W. Shor. Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM J. Comput.*, **26** (1997), 1484–1509.

[16] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[17] Armin Straub. Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147** (2019), 1023–1036.

[18] Arthur Wieferich. Zum letzten Fermatschen Theorem *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **136** (1909), 293–302.

[19] Guoce Xin and Jing-Feng Xu. A short approach to Catalan numbers modulo 2^r. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **18** (2011), 12p.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B50; Secondary 11B39, 11B85, 05A15.
Keywords: D-finite function, Modular properties, P-recursive sequence, supercongruence, Carmichael function, Wieferich primes.

(Concerned with sequences A123692, A265165, and A306699.)

Received March 5 2019; revised version received July 15 2019. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, July xx 2019.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.