Partial sums of the harmonic series. II.

R.P. Boas

It has been conjectured that if the partial sums of the harmonic series exceed the integer  $A \ge 2$  for the first time at  $n = n_A$ , then  $n_A$  is the integer closest to  $e^{A-\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma$  is Juler's constant 0.57721 56649 ... ( $\gamma$  is now known to 7114 decimal places [1].) It was shown in [2] that this holds provided that  $e^{A-\gamma} + 1/2$  is not too close to an integer; specifically, if  $|e^{A-\gamma} - m| \le 2 - 0.1/m$  or  $\ge 2 + 1/m$ , where  $m = [e^{A-\gamma}]$ .

In this note I prove the following more precise result. Theorem.  $n_A = [e^{A-\gamma}]$  if  $e^{A-\gamma} - m < \% + (\frac{1}{24} - \epsilon)/m$ , and  $n_A = [e^{A-\gamma}] + 1$  if  $e^{A-\gamma} - m > \% + 1/(24m)$ , where  $e \Rightarrow 0$  as  $A \Rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon$  can be taken to be 0.006 for all  $A \ge 2$ .

This does not disprove the conjecture, although it makes it seem somewhat less plausible. A machine computation of  $n_A$  for  $A \le 200$  revealed no case where even the cruder criterion of [2] was not more than adequate to determine  $n_A$ . As a curiosity, I note that  $e^{200-Y} = 4.05709 \ 15001 \ 19742 \ 42417 \ 27292 \ 15083 \ 27003 \ 86982 \ 29075 \ 38568 \ 62003 \ 86928 \ 96447 \ 08306 \ 50133 \ 72179 \ 59917 \ 61318 \ 27522 \times 10^{86},$ 

so that  $n_{200}$  is the integral part of this number (ending in ...99176).

I am indebted to John J. Jrench, Jr. for the 150 D value of  $e^{-\gamma}$  that made the computations possible; and

fol/

to Lester M. Carlyle, Jr. for communicating the results of some computations which suggested that a theorem of this kind should exist.

I take this opportunity to note the following errata to [2]: In Theorem 1, last line, read m for n (twice). On p. 866, in the line before formula (1), read  $-\frac{1}{8}n^{-2}$ . On p. 868, lines 9 and 10 (statements (ii) and (iii)) read m for n.

Proof of the theorem. If s<sub>n</sub> is the nth partial sum of the harmonic series, the Luler-Maclaurin formula yields

Sn=y+logn+ 1 - 12n2+R,

where R can be estimated much as in [4, p. 539] and satisfies  $0 \le R < 0.004 \text{ n}^{-4}$ .

Suppose now that  $s_n > A$ , and write  $m = [e^{A-\gamma}]$ . We know from [3] or [2] that  $n \ge m$ , and that  $n_A$ , the smallest value of n, is at most m+1.

In the first place, since  $s_n > A$ , we have

and hence

(1) 
$$n \exp \left[\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2} + R\right] > e^{A-r}$$

How expand the exponential in (1) in powers of the quantity in square brackets, with remainder of order 4, and collect terms. Te find that

(2) 
$$n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24n} - \frac{1}{48n^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{n^3} > e^{A-\gamma}$$

where  $|\epsilon| < 0.007$  if  $n \ge 2$ . Suppose now that

(3) 
$$e^{A-7} > m + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24m}$$

Then

$$m + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24n} - \frac{1}{48n^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{n^2} > m + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24m}$$

i.e.

But since  $n \ge m$  and  $|\varepsilon| < 1/48$ , this implies that n > m. Hence  $n_A > m$ . Since  $n_A \le m+1$ , this means that  $n_A = m+1$  if (3) holds.

In fact, the argument establishes somewhat more, namely that  $n_{\Lambda}$  = m+1 if

where  $\eta < 0.002$ .

On the other hand, we have  $s_n < A$  when  $n = n_A - 1$ . With this value of n, then,

and hence

Suppose that

where n > 0.006. Then

$$n < m - \frac{1}{24n} + (\frac{1}{24} - \eta) \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{48n^2} + \frac{|E|}{n^3}$$
< m.

But  $n = n_A - 1$  so  $n_A < m+1$ , whence  $n_A = m$ .

## References

- 1. W.A. Beyer and M.S. Waterman, Error analysis of a computation of Euler's constant, Math. of Comp. 28 (1974), 599-604.
- 2. R.P. Boas, Jr. and J.W. Wrench, Jr., Partial sums of the harmonic series, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), 864-870.
- 3. L. Comtet, Problem 5346, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 209.
- 4. K. Knopp, Theory and application of infinite series.
  London-Glasgow, 1928.

Northwestern University