LE SILVERSITY A M

Faculty of SCIENCE
Department of MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS

Facsimile: (403) 282-5150 Telephone (403) 220-5202

November 15, 1991

2500 University Drive N.W., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N/N

Sherwood Washburn, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Seton Hall University, South Orange NJ 07079, U.S.A.

Dear Sherwood Washburn,

Thank you for your 91-10-25 letter and your note on Mousetrap. I'm not sure that I properly understand the rules. What might be of interest is to extend the array (which I calculated separately, according to my interpretation of the rules):

							1						
						0		1					
					1		0		1		,		
				2		2		0		2			
			9		6		3		0		6		
		44		31		19		11		0		1 <b>5</b>	
	265		180		112		53		32		0		78
1854		1267??	[la	ast lin	ne dou	btfu	l, do	ne by	y har	nd]			

but, as you will see from the references below, this may be well known to those who well know it.

While I can see that you disagree with Cayley, it seems to me that you should also disagree with him about the perms 2,1,3,4 and 2,4,3,1, which, according to me, should throw out all four cards (in the orders 3,2,1,4 and 3,1,4,2 respectively).

Rouse Ball (& Coxeter), Math Recreations & Essays, 12th edition, U of Toronto Press, 1974, p. 336(-7), quotes the second Cayley paper, but gives (effectively) the row of the above array starting with 9, which seems to agree with neither Cayley's table nor yours, as you state them.

I haven't checked the Steen reference, Quart. J. Math., 15(1878) 230-241, but I wouldn't be surprised if it contained much of what you want.

There is another paper of Cayley: Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin., 9(1878) 388-391. See also

Louis Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, D. Reidel, 1974, pp. 180ff.; p. 199, Exx. 4, 5; p. 201, Ex. 13; p. 256, Ex. 7 — and chase up the references there to Appell, Carlitz and Tricomi. On p. 257, Ex. 8, "p. 000" should read "pp. 51, 242-243."

I think that this will give you more than you want!

It's not difficult to write down the permutation which throws out all the cards in order: 1, 12, 132, 1423, 13254, 142563, 1527436, 16245378, 142863795, 182973X564, ..., this is a bit reminiscent of the Josephus problem.

Best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Richard K. Guy,

Emeritus Professor of

Richard R. Huy

Mathematics.

RKG/rkg

 $\phi$ c: Richard J. Nowakowski,

Department of Mathematics & Statistics & Computing Science,

Dalhousie University,

Halifax, N.S. B3H 3J5.

Neil J.A. Sloane,

## Part of letter to RJN, giving more information.

November 18, 1991

Richard J. Nowakowski, Department of Mathematics & Statistics & Computing Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S. B3H 3J5

## Dear Richard,

Thankyou for a valuable (to me) visit. This is to cover several things, not all of which will get done for a day or two. But the first, letter to Sherwood Washburn about Mousetrap, is on its way. Unfortunately, as you can tell from the alterations on your copy, I've got hooked on this, and I suspect that you may be also. Before we spend too much time on it, would be a good idea to check 3 Sylvester refs and Steen (see enclosed letter) and also to chase up Appell, Carlitz and Tricomi — even to read Comtet more carefully than I've done!

Having made no real progress on extending the table in the letter (except to note, of course, that the left entry on row n, for n cards, counting  $n=0,1,\ldots$ , is d(n), the number of derangements, discordant perms, subfactorial n, for which recursions are well known to those who well know them — sequence 766 in Sloane's first edition) I started to produce another array, for the numbers of perms from which just the single card, card #c,  $1 \le c \le n$  is thrown out. I think I've had a little more luck:

(E&OE, of course) At first sight, not much new, except that d(n-1) appears at both left and right (we're now starting with n=1). That is, the number of perms which throw out card #1 (only) and card #n. However, if I've got the answer right, I think that I can prove that card #2 (only) is thrown out by

$$d_2(n) = (n-3)d(n-2) + (n-4)d(n-3)$$

perms. It may be a little less opaque to write this as

$$d_2(n) = d(n-2) + (n-4)d'(n-2)$$

0/0

where d'(n) is the number of derangements of n when one of the hats has lost its label, e.g., d'(4) is the number of ways 1, 2, 3 and 4 can put on hats 1, 3, 4 and an unlabelled one, with noone having his right label (or hats 1, 2, 4, 5, say). If I've got it right, there's a fairly simple recursion:

$$d'(n+1) = d(n) + nd'(n)$$

so that d'(n) = d(n) + d(n-1). This gives Seq 1166 in Sloane I:

1, 1, 3, 11, 53, 309, 2119, 16687, 148329, ... for which the refs are Riordan, Intro to Combin Anal, p. 188, (where I discover my ignorance of rook polynomials — the array there is not the same as either of mine, but is presumably related — how?),  $Math\ Gaz$  52(1968) 381 (Max Rumney & EJF Primrose do some nice algebra and show connexion with  $\underline{d}(\underline{n})$ , but give no motivation or combin interpretation) and David, Kendall & Barton, Sym Fn & Allied Tables, p. 263 (not immediately available).

But the seq  $d_2$  may be the first contribution to Sloane III:

0, 0, 1, 5, 31, 203, 1501, 12449, 114955, 1171799, 13082617, 158860349, 2085208951, 29427878435, 444413828821, 7151855533913, 122190894996451, 2209057440250799, ...

Best wishes to you and Fran from Louise and

Yours sincerely,



Richard K. Guy Emeritus Professor of Mathematics

RKG/rkg

encl: copy of letter to Washburn, copy of, copy of letter to, copy of letter to Andrew B., recent version of nitelite.



2500 University Drive N.W., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

Faculty of SCIENCE Department of MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS

Facsimile: (403) 282-5150 Telephone (403) 220-5202

December 11, 1991

Sherwood Washburn, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Seton Hall University, South Orange NJ 07079, U.S.A.

Dear Sherwood Washburn,

I continue my recent letter. Richard Nowakowski has run (our version of) Mousetrap on a machine. and corrects and extends the table I sent.

							1								
						0		1							
					1		0		1						
				2		2		0		2					
			9		6		3		0		6				
		4	4	31		19		11		0		15			
		265	180		105		54		32		0		84		
	1854	12	55	771		411		281		138		0		330	
14	833	9949	6052	2	3583	}	2057	7	1366	<b>j</b>	668		0	18	12
133496	89163	2 553	40	3213	5 1	902	6	1268	5	6753	,	4305	ı	0	9978

As I said in my earlier letter, I haven't checked the Steen reference, Quart. J. Math., 15(1878) 230-241, but Richard Nowakowski notes that it is made by Sloane after Seq. 1186, The Game of Mousetrap:

1, 3, 13, 65, 403, 2885, 23515, 214805

which I haven't yet succeeded in relating to any of our calculations. It's interesting that Sloane gives only these terms, as if Steen didn't have any general formula.

In Sloane's 2nd edition (not out yet!) there's a sequence, provisionally labelled A6347, which looks close to things we want. It satisfies the recurrence  $a(n) = (n+1)a(n-1) + (-1)^n$ :

 $0, 1, 3, 16, 95, 666, 5327, 47944, 479439, \dots$ 

as well as Seq. 1221, discordant permutations, from the first edition:

1, (1, 0,) 3, 16, 95, 672, 5397, 48704.

Again, only a finite number of terms. The reference is to Scripta Math., 19(1953) 118, which I have pursued.

25 1966 - 1991 V OF

The next sequence (1222) is relevant:

(0, 0,) 1, 3, 16, 96, 675, 5413, 48800, 488592, ...

There is a reference to Ahrens which I should look up. Also to a paper of Max Wyman & Leo Moser, Canad. Math. J., 10(1958) 468-480 and to Riordan, Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, p. 198. The W & M paper gives nice asymptotic formulas which enable the ménage numbers to be calculated exactly (i.e., the error is only a small fraction of an integer). They don't actually sum the ménage numbers, but it is the sums which give the numbers of perms which throw out just card #(n-1) from n cards (according to the rules I'm using).

Here's my array for card #c (only) thrown out from n cards:

which has slightly fewer errors than before, but has been calculated by hand. The two outside diagonals on each side are in Sloane's Handbook. I have a formula for the second from the left (which I believe I gave in a letter to Nowakowski and sent to you). I can give an algorithm for calculating  $d_3(n)$ , the number of perms which eject just card #3. Start from the following array:

This is just a difference table for n!, and the first 5 diagonals on the right appear in Sloane with a reference to *Crelle*, 198(1957) 61. The first 2 diags on the left are what I've called d(n) and d'(n) and these and only these appear in Sloane. Think of the entries as the numbers of perms of n which are discordant with a given perm in r places. The two diags just mentioned are r = n and r = n - 1. The general formula for an entry is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^{i} \binom{r}{i} (n-i)!$$

Then the number of perms which eject just card #3 is

$$d_3(n) = 5d'(n-4) + (13n-68)d''(n-4) + (n-6)(7n-39)d'''(n-4) + (n-6)^2(n-7)d^{iv}(n-4)$$

where the ds are entries in successive diagonals, reading from the left, in the above array. So the sequence for  $d_3$  reads:

 $0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 5, 25, 167, 1267, 10745, 101005, 1044395, 11795863, 144605933, 1913265985, \dots [E\&OE, as usual]$ 

In theory one could express  $d_4$  in terms of d'(n-5), etc. as a sum of five terms with polynomial coefficients of degrees 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. And so on, but there may be simpler expressions and they may be in the literature, which I still haven't searched.

Best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Richard K. Guy,

Emeritus Professor of Mathematics.

RKG/rkg

 $\phi$ c: Richard J. Nowakowski,

Department of Mathematics & Statistics & Computing Science,

Dalhousie University,

Halifax, N.S. B3H 3J5.

Neil J.A. Sloane,

A.T.&T. Bell Laboratories 2C-376,

600 Mountain Avenue,

Murray Hill NJ 07974, U.S.A.