

# Formulae for some classical constants

Alexandru Lupas\*

(to appear in Proceedings of ROGER-2000)

The goal of this paper is to present formulas for Apéry Constant , Archimede's Constant , Logarithm Constant , Catalan's Constant. Such formulas are useful for high precision calculations frequently appearing in number theory. Also , one motivation for computing digits of some constants is that these are excellent test of the integrity of computer hardware and software.

## 1. The Apéry's Constant $\zeta(3)$

The Apéry's Constant is defined as

$$\zeta(3) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} =$$

$$= 1.20205690315959428539973816151144999076498629234049... \quad .$$

The designation of  $\zeta(3)$  as Apéry's constant is new but well-deserved. In 1979, Roger Apéry stunned the mathematical world with a miraculous proof that  $\zeta(3)$  is irrational (see [4], [16]). The above expression is very slow to converge. Another formula is

### • Roger Apéry ([4]-1979)

$$(1) \quad \zeta(3) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}}$$

which was the starting point of Apéry's incredible proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$  . Since 1980, many formulas for  $\zeta(3)$  were discovered.

---

\*Ro-Ger University, Calea Dumbrăvii 28-32, 2400-Sibiu, E-Mail : *lupas@jupiter.sibiu.ro*

For instance

- T.Amderberhan ([2]-1996) proved that

$$(2) \quad \zeta(\mathbf{3}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\mathbf{k}-1} \frac{(56\mathbf{k}^2 - 32\mathbf{k} + 5)((\mathbf{k} - 1)!)^3}{(2\mathbf{k} - 1)^2(3\mathbf{k})!}$$

$$(3) \quad \zeta(\mathbf{3}) = \frac{1}{18} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\mathbf{k}-1} \frac{(\mathbf{k}!)^2(2\mathbf{k})! \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k})}{(4\mathbf{k})!\mathbf{k}^3 \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k})}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} A(k) = 5265(k-1)^4 + 13878(k-1)^3 + 13761(k-1)^2 + \\ \quad + 6120(k-1) + 1040 \\ B(k) = (3k-1)^2(3k-2)^2 \end{cases}$$

- T.Amdeberhan and Doron Zeilberger ([2]-1996), ([3]-1997)

$$(4) \quad \zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{Q(k)}{k^5 \binom{2k}{k}^5}$$

where

$$Q(k) = 205k^2 - 160k + 32 \quad .$$

- (A. Lupas)

$$(5) \quad \zeta(\mathbf{3}) = \frac{3752}{3125} + \frac{1}{6250} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\mathbf{k}-1} \frac{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{k}^5 \binom{2\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}}^5 (2\mathbf{k} + 1)^5}$$

with

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) = 14760\mathbf{k}^4 + 28010\mathbf{k}^3 + 19505\mathbf{k}^2 + 5920\mathbf{k} + 672 \quad .$$

The proof of (5) was performed by means of WZ-method (see [17] , [19] also [2] , [3] ) followed by a Kummer transformation.

Let us remind that a discrete function  $a(n, k)$  defined for  $n, k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$  is called a *Closed Form* = (CF) *in two variables* when the ratios  $\frac{a(n+1, k)}{a(n, k)}$  ,  $\frac{a(n, k+1)}{a(n, k)}$  are both rational functions. A pair  $(F, G)$  of CF functions which satisfy

$$(6) \quad F(n+1, k) - F(n, k) = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k)$$

is a so-called *WZ-form*. There are many investigations connected with such WZ-forms (today known as WZ-theory) : see [2], [3] , [17] , [19].

In the 1990's , the WZ theory has been the method of choice in resolving conjectures for hypergeometric identities. The key of the WZ-theory is the following theorem

**Theorem 1** (D. Zeilberger-[17], 1993 ) *For any WZ-form  $(F, G)$*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G(k, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( F(k+1, k) + G(k, k) \right),$$

*whenever either side converges.*

Let us remark that all formulas which are listed in (1)-(5) are of the form

$$(7) \quad \zeta(3) = K_1 + K_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k \quad , a_k > 0$$

where  $K_1, K_2$  are some real constants. Supposing that we have also another representation, namely

$$(8) \quad \zeta(3) = K'_1 + K'_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} b_k \quad , b_k > 0$$

we shall say that (7)  $\ll$  (8) iff

$$a_n = \mathcal{O}(\zeta_n) \quad , \quad b_n = \mathcal{O}(\eta_n) \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\zeta_n}{\eta_n} = 0 .$$

Formula	$a_n = \mathcal{O}(\zeta_n)$
(1)	$a_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{4^n \cdot n^2 \sqrt{n}}\right)$
(2)	$a_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{27^n \cdot n^2}\right)$
(3)	$a_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{64^n \cdot n^2}\right)$
(4)	$a_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{1024^n \cdot \sqrt{n}}\right)$
(5)	$a_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{1024^n \cdot n^3 \sqrt{n}}\right)$

Therefore

$$(5) \ll (4) \ll (3) \ll (2) \ll (1) .$$

G.Fee and Simon Plouffe used (4) in their evaluation of  $\zeta(3)$  with 520,000 digits (see [3]). Later, by means of the same formula (4) B.Haible and T.Papanikolau computed  $\zeta(3)$  to 1,000,000 digits.

**Open problem :** If  $T_n(x) = \cos(n \cdot \arccos x)$  is the Chebychev's polynomial of the first kind, and (see (5) )

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(j) = 14760j^4 + 28010j^3 + 19505j^2 + 5920j + 672 \\ S_k = \frac{1}{6250} \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \frac{P(j)}{j^5 \binom{2j}{j}^5 (2j+1)^5} \\ \mathcal{A}_n = \frac{1}{T_n(3)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n}{n+k} \binom{n+k}{2k} 4^k S_k \\ \mathcal{B}_n = \frac{3752}{3125} + \mathcal{A}_n \end{array} \right. .$$

then prove or disprove that

$$|\zeta(3) - \mathcal{B}_n| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{5939^n \cdot n^3 \sqrt{n}}\right) , \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

The following proposition generalize an identity proved by R.Ap'ery (  $x = 0$  , [4] ) .

**Theorem 2** For  $x \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(k+x)^3} &= \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^3 \binom{2k+x}{k} \binom{k+x}{k}} \left(1 - \frac{2x(3k+2x)}{5(k+x)^2}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k^3 \binom{n+k+x}{k} \binom{n+x}{k}} . \end{aligned}$$

A more general function than  $\zeta(s)$  is

$$\zeta(s, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+x)^s} , \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 , \quad x > 0 .$$

**Corollary 1** If  $x > 0$  , then

$$\zeta(3, x+1) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^3 \binom{2k+x}{k} \binom{k+x}{k}} \left(1 - \frac{2x(3k+2x)}{5(k+x)^2}\right) .$$

## 2. The constants $\ln 2$ , $G$ , $\pi$

**Theorem 3** *Let  $x > 0, y > 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left( \frac{(x)_k}{(x+2y)_k} \right)^2 = \\ (9) \quad & = \frac{y}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{16^k} \frac{\left( (2y+1)_{2k} \right)^3}{\left( (y+1)_k (x+2y)_{2k+1} \right)^2} \frac{10(k+y)^2 + (6x+1)(k+y) + x^2}{k+y}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Define

$$A(j) = A(j, x, y) = y \frac{(-1)^j \left( (2y+1)_{2j} \right)^3}{16^j \left( (y+1)_j \right)^2}$$

$$\lambda(n, k) = (-1)^n \left( \frac{(x)_n}{(x+2y)_{n+k}} \right)^2$$

and

$$G(n, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y+j} \lambda(n, 2j) A(j) & , \quad k = 2j \\ 2(y+j+x+n) \lambda(n, 2j+1) A(j) & , \quad k = 2j+1 \end{cases}.$$

Further

$$F(n, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda(n, 2j)}{2(y+j)} A(j) & , \quad k = 2j \\ (n+x+3y+3j+\frac{1}{2}) \lambda(n, 2j+1) A(j) & , \quad k = 2j+1 \end{cases}.$$

If  $G(n, k)$  and  $F(n, k)$  are defined as above, then

$$F(n+1, k) - F(n, k) = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k) \quad ,$$

that is  $(F, G)$  is a WZ-form. By applying WZ-method we find (9).

Further, by  $G$  is denoted the Catalan constant  $G$  which is defined as

$$G = \beta(2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} = 0.91596554\dots ,$$

$\beta(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^x}$  being the Dirichlet's beta function .

Some particular cases of (9) are

$x$	$y$	Identity	$\mathbf{a}_k$	The order of $a_n$ ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ )
$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\pi = 4 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k$	$\frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{\binom{4k}{2k}^2} \frac{40k^2+16k+1}{2k(4k+1)^2}$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{64^n \cdot \sqrt{n}}\right)$
1	1	$\ln 2 = \frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k$	$\frac{1}{16^k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{5k+1}{k(k+\frac{1}{2})}$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{4^n \cdot n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$G = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k$ (Catalan's Constant)	$\frac{2^{8k} \cdot (40k^2 - 24k + 3)}{k^3 \cdot \binom{4k}{2k}^2 \binom{2k}{k} 64(2k-1)}$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{14^n \cdot \sqrt{n}}\right)$
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\pi^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k$	$\frac{1}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \cdot \frac{14k^2 - 9k + 2}{3(2k-1)}$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{4^n \cdot n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$

The mathematicians have assumed that there is no shortcut to determining just the  $n$ -th digit of  $\pi$  . Thus it came as a great surprise when such a scheme was in 1997 discovered [6] (see (11) from below ). This formula was discovered empirically, using months of PSLQ computations , see [8]. This is likely the first instance in history that a significant new formula for  $\pi$  was discovered by a computer. In the following, we present an identity which gives a proof of (11).

**Proposition 1** *If  $|z+1| < \sqrt{2}$  ,  $r \in \mathbf{C}$  , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad & \pi + 4 \arctan z + (2 + 8r) \ln \frac{1 - 2z - z^2}{1 + z^2} = (4 + 8r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+1}}{8k+1} \frac{1}{16^k} - \\
& - 8r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+2}}{8k+2} \frac{1}{16^k} - 4r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+3}}{8k+3} \frac{1}{16^k} - \\
& - (2 + 8r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+4}}{8k+4} \frac{1}{16^k} - (1 + 2r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+5}}{8k+5} \frac{1}{16^k} - \\
& - (1 + 2r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+6}}{8k+6} \frac{1}{16^k} + r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{8k+7}}{8k+7} \frac{1}{16^k} \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

A proof of the above assertion is given in [15].

If in (10) we select  $(z, r) = (0, -\frac{1}{2})$  then

$$\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{8k+2} + \frac{2}{8k+3} + \frac{2}{8k+4} - \frac{1}{2(8k+7)} \right) \frac{1}{16^k}.$$

Likewise, using the identity

$$\arctan 1 + \arctan z = \arctan t, \quad t := \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (z < 1).$$

one finds

$$\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{8k+1} + \frac{2}{8k+2} + \frac{1}{8k+3} - \frac{1}{2(8k+5)} - \frac{1}{2(8k+6)} - \frac{1}{4(8k+7)} \right) \frac{1}{16^k}.$$

Let us remark that for  $(z, r) = (0, 0)$  we find from (10) the remarkable **BBP formula** (attributed to David Bailey, Peter Borwein and Simon Plouffe) for  $\pi$ , that is

$$(11) \quad \pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{8k+1} - \frac{2}{8k+4} - \frac{1}{8k+5} - \frac{1}{8k+6} \right) \frac{1}{16^k}.$$

Also when  $(z, r) = (0, r)$ ,  $r \in \mathbf{C}$  one finds another formula for  $\pi$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4+8r}{8k+1} - \frac{8r}{8k+2} - \frac{4r}{8k+3} - \frac{2+8r}{8k+4} - \frac{1+2r}{8k+5} - \frac{1+2r}{8k+6} + \frac{r}{8k+7} \right) \frac{1}{16^k} \end{aligned}$$

which was discovered by Victor Adamchik and Stan Wagon in their interesting HTML paper [1].

## References

- [1] V. Adamchik, S. Wagon, Pi : A 2000 -Year Search Changes Direction, see <http://www.members.wri.com/victor/articles/pi/pi.html>
- [2] T. Amderberhan, *Faster and faster convergent series for  $\zeta(3)$* , Electronic J. Combinatorics 3 (1996).
- [3] T. Amderberhan, D. Zeilberger, *Hypergeometric series acceleration via the WZ method*, Electronic J. Combinatorics (Wilf Festschrift Volume) 4 (2) (1997).

- [4] R. Apéry , Irrationalité de  $\zeta(2)$  et  $\zeta(3)$  , Astérisque 61 (1979) 11-13.
- [5] D.H. Bailey , *The Computation of Pi to 29,360,000 Decimal Digits Using Borweins' Quartically Convergent Algorithm* , Mathematics of Computation, Jan. (1988) 283-296.
- [6] D.H. Bailey , P.B. Borwein , S. Plouffe , *On the Rapid Computation of Various Polylogarithmic Constants* , Mathematics of Computation 66 (1997) 903-913.
- [7] D.H. Bailey , J.M. Borwein , S. Plouffe , *The Quest for Pi* , The Mathematical Intelligencer 19(1) (1997) 50-57.
- [8] D.H. Bailey , D.J. Broadhurst , *Parallel Integer Relation Detection : Techniques and Applications* , Mathematics of Computation (to appear), see also <http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.NA/9905048>
- [9] J.M. Borwein , D.M. Bradley , R.E. Crandall , *Computational strategies for the Riemann zeta function* , CECM preprint 98:118 (1998).
- [10] P. Borwein , *An Efficient Algorithm for the Riemann Zeta Function* , (to appear).
- [11] D.J. Broadhurst , *Polylogarithmic ladders , hypergeometric series and the ten millionth digits of  $\zeta(3)$  and  $\zeta(5)$*  , (Preprint) (1998).
- [12] R.E. Crandall , *Topics in Advanced Scientific Computation*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [13] S. Finch , *Favorite Mathematical Constants* , <http://www.mathsoft.com/asolve/constant/apery/apery.html>.
- [14] S. Finch , *The Miraculous Bailey-Borwein-Plouffe Pi Algorithm*, (forthcoming), <http://www.mathsoft.com/asolve/plouffe/plouffe.html>
- [15] A. Lupaş , *Some BBP-Functions*, DVI- paper , (see [13]-[14] )
- [16] A. van der Poorten , *A Proof that Euler missed ... Apéry's proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$*  , Math.Intelligencer 1 (1979) , 196-203.
- [17] D. Zeilberger , *Closed Form (pun intended !)* , Contemporary Mathematics 143 (1993) 579-607.
- [18] H.S. Wilf , D. Zeilberger , *Rational functions certify combinatorial identities*, Jour. Amer.Math.Soc. 3 (1990) 1147-158.
- [19] H. Wilf , *Accelerated series for universal constants , by the WZ method* , Discr.Math.Theoret.Comp.Sci. 3 (1999) 189-192 .