Expected Lifetimes and Inradii

STEVEN FINCH

February 23, 2005

In earlier essays [1, 2], we examined 1-dimensional Brownian motion starting at 0; here, we generalize. A d-dimensional stochastic process $\{W_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a **Brownian motion** with arbitrary starting point W_0 if the component processes

$$W_{t,1} - W_{0,1}, W_{t,2} - W_{0,2}, \ldots, W_{t,d} - W_{0,d}$$

are independent 1-dimensional Brownian motions starting at 0 and, further, are independent of $W_{0,1}, W_{0,2}, \ldots, W_{0,d}$.

It is remarkable that d-dimensional Brownian motion can be used to represent the solution of the heat PDE [3, 4]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u, & t \ge 0, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,\xi) = f(\xi), & f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \text{ piecewise continuous} \end{cases}$$

in the following sense:

$$u(t,\xi) = \mathbb{E}\left(f(W_t) \mid W_0 = \xi\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\omega) \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi - \omega|^2}{2t}\right) d\omega.$$

As a corollary, if f is the Dirac impulse at 0, then u simplifies to

$$u(t,\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2t}\right);$$

that is, the *heat kernel* coincides with the *Brownian transition density* starting at 0. Also, let D denote an open, simply connected domain in \mathbb{R}^d with piecewise smooth, closed, orientable boundary C. The solution of the Laplace PDE (Dirichlet boundary value problem):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \triangle v = 0, & \xi \in D, \\ v(\xi) = g(\xi), & \xi \in C, \ g: C \to \mathbb{R} \ \text{piecewise continuous} \end{array} \right.$$

⁰Copyright © 2005 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

can be written as

$$v(\xi) = \operatorname{E}\left(g(W_{\tau}) \mid W_0 = \xi\right)$$

where τ is the **lifetime** or **first exit time** of Brownian motion in D:

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : W_t \notin D \right\}.$$

Consequently, if $C = C_0 \cup C_1$, $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ and $g(\xi) = k$ for $\xi \in C_k$, then $v(\xi)$ is the probability that a Brownian particle which starts at $\xi \in D$ stops at some point $\eta \in C_1$.

These two examples are special cases of a more general principle that solutions of any parabolic or elliptic PDE can be represented as expectations of certain stochastic functionals. (A hyperbolic PDE such as the wave equation $\partial^2 u/dt^2 = (1/2)\Delta u$ apparently cannot be solved in this manner.)

So far we have seen how probability is a servant of analysis. An example of how analysis serves probability is that the expected lifetime $v(\xi) = \operatorname{E}(\tau \mid W_0 = \xi)$ satisfies the Poisson PDE

$$\begin{cases} \triangle v = -2, & \xi \in D, \\ v(\xi) = 0, & \xi \in C. \end{cases}$$

For instance, if D is the ball of radius r in \mathbb{R}^d centered at 0, then $v_D(\xi) = (r^2 - |\xi|^2)/d$. In the remainder of this essay, let d = 2. If T is the equilateral triangular region in \mathbb{R}^2 with vertices (0, 2a/3), $(\pm a/\sqrt{3}, -a/3)$, then

$$v_T(x,y) = \frac{1}{2a} \left(y - \sqrt{3}x - \frac{2}{3}a \right) \left(y + \sqrt{3}x - \frac{2}{3}a \right) \left(y + \frac{1}{3}a \right).$$

If S is the square region in \mathbb{R}^2 with vertices $(\pm b, \pm b)$, then [5]

$$v_S(x,y) = \frac{32b^2}{\pi^3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^3} \left[1 - \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi y}{2b}\right) \right] \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi x}{2b}\right).$$

The lifetime functions $v_D(x, y)$, $v_T(x, y)$ and $v_S(x, y)$ are each maximized when x = y = 0. Define, for b = 1/2,

$$\gamma = v_S(0,0) = \frac{8}{\pi^3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^3} \left[1 - \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}\right) \right] = 0.1473427065....$$

This constant will be useful in the following; we wonder whether it has a closed-form expression.

When $r = 1/\sqrt{\pi}$, $a = \sqrt[4]{3}$ and b = 1/2, each of D, T and S have area 1 and

$$v_D(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} = 0.159... > v_S(0,0) = \gamma = 0.147... > v_T(0,0) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{27} = 0.128...$$

In fact, among all planar regions of fixed area, the disk possesses the longest lifetime [6]. No such region with shortest lifetime exists, for consider the $c \times (1/c)$ finite strip as $c \to \infty$.

When r = 1, a = 3 and b = 1, each of D, T and S have inradius 1 (meaning the radius of the largest inscribed disk is unity) and

$$v_D(0,0) = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5 < v_S(0,0) = 4\gamma = 0.589... < v_T(0,0) = \frac{2}{3} = 0.666...$$

Clearly, among all planar regions of fixed inradius, the disk possesses the shortest lifetime. By way of contrast with the preceding, finding such a region with longest lifetime is an unsolved problem. Let

$$K = \sup_{D} \sup_{(x,y)\in D} E(\tau | W_0 = (x,y)),$$

where the outer supremum is over all simply connected domains D in \mathbb{R}^2 of unit inradius; thus $K \geq 2/3$. The $2 \times \infty$ infinite strip improves this inequality to $K \geq 1$ and is the best such convex domain [7, 8]. Bañuelos & Carroll [9, 10] demonstrated that 1.584 < K < 3.228; they speculated that the associated nonconvex domain D is extremal for certain other optimization problems as well.

0.1. Fundamental Drum Frequency. The bass tone of a kettledrum, whose head shape is a simply connected domain D in \mathbb{R}^2 , is the square root of the smallest eigenvalue λ of [11, 12]

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta u = -\lambda\,u, & \quad \xi \in D, \\ u(\xi) = 0, & \quad \xi \in C. \end{array} \right.$$

For instance, if D is the disk of radius r centered at (0,0), then the first eigenfunction/eigenvalue pair is

$$u_D(x,y) = J_0\left(\frac{j_0\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{r}\right), \quad \lambda_D = \left(\frac{j_0}{r}\right)^2$$

where $J_0(z)$ is the zeroth Bessel function of the first kind and $j_0 = 2.4048255576...$ is its smallest positive zero. If T is the equilateral triangular region of height a centered at (0, a/6), then [13, 14]

$$u_T(x,y) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\left(y - \sqrt{3}x - \frac{2}{3}a\right)\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\left(y + \sqrt{3}x - \frac{2}{3}a\right)\right) - \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\left(y + \frac{1}{3}a\right)\right),$$
$$\lambda_T = \frac{4\pi^2}{a^2}.$$

If S is the square region of side 2b centered at (0,0), then

$$u_S(x,y) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2b}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi y}{2b}\right), \quad \lambda_S = \frac{\pi^2}{2b^2}.$$

When D, T and S each have area 1,

$$\lambda_D = \pi j_0^2 = 18.168... < \lambda_S = 2\pi^2 = 19.739... < \lambda_T = \frac{4\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}} = 22.792....$$

The Faber-Krahn inequality states that, among all planar regions of fixed area, the disk possesses the lowest bass tone. No such region with highest bass tone exists, for consider the $c \times (1/c)$ finite strip as $c \to \infty$.

When D, T and S each have inradius 1,

$$\lambda_D = j_0^2 = 5.783... > \lambda_S = \frac{\pi^2}{2} = 4.934... > \lambda_T = \frac{4\pi^2}{9} = 4.386...$$

Clearly, among all planar regions of fixed inradius, the disk possesses the highest bass tone. Finding such a region with lowest bass tone is an unsolved problem. Let

$$\Lambda = \inf_{D} \lambda_{D}$$

where the infimum is over all simply connected domains D in \mathbb{R}^2 of unit inradius; thus $\Lambda \leq 4\pi^2/9$. The $2 \times \infty$ infinite strip improves this inequality to $\Lambda \leq \pi^2/4 = 2.467...$ and is the best such convex domain [15, 16, 17]. In the other direction, Makai [18, 19, 20, 21, 22] proved that $\Lambda \geq 1/4$. The best bounds currently known [9] are $0.6197 < \Lambda < 2.1292$ and the associated nonconvex domain D is conjectured to be the same as before.

What does this have to do with Brownian motion? We give just one (of several) formulas [10, 23]:

$$\Lambda_D = 2 \sup \left\{ c \ge 0 : \sup_{(x,y) \in D} \operatorname{E} \left(e^{c\tau} \mid W_0 = (x,y) \right) < \infty \right\}$$

for bounded, simply connected D. In words, the fact that $\lambda_D \geq \Lambda/\rho^2 > 0$ for D of inradius ρ means that if a drum produces an arbitrarily low bass tone, then it must contain an arbitrarily large circular subdrum.

0.2. Torsional Rigidity. Let us return to the expected lifetime function v(x, y) and evaluate not its maximum value in the domain D, but rather twice its average value

$$\mu = \frac{2}{\operatorname{area}(D)} \int_{D} \operatorname{E}(\tau \mid W_0 = (x, y)) dx dy.$$

For instance, if D is the disk of radius r centered at (0,0), then $\mu_D = r^2/2$. If T is the equilateral triangular region of height a centered at (0,a/6), then $\mu_T = a^2/15$. If S is the square region of side 2b centered at (0,0), then [5]

$$\mu_S = \frac{4b^2}{3} \left[1 - \frac{192}{\pi^5} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^5} \tanh\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}\right) \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} b^2 (2.2492322392...) = b^2 (0.5623080598...) = 4b^2 (0.1405770149...).$$

Again, we wonder about the possibility of closed-form evaluation.

When $r = 1/\sqrt{\pi}$, $a = \sqrt[4]{3}$ and b = 1/2,

$$\mu_D = \frac{1}{2\pi} = 0.159... > \mu_S = 0.140... > \mu_T = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{15} = 0.115....$$

This can be expressed in the language of elasticity theory. Pólya [24, 25, 26, 27] proved Saint Venant's conjecture that, among all cylindrical beams of prescribed cross-sectional area, the circular beam has the highest torsional rigidity. No such beam with lowest torsional rigidity exists, for consider the $c \times (1/c)$ rectangle as $c \to \infty$.

When r = 1, a = 3 and b = 1,

$$\mu_D = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5 < \mu_S = 0.562... < \mu_T = \frac{3}{5} = 0.6.$$

Among all cylindrical beams of prescribed cross-sectional inradius, the circular beam has the lowest normalized torsional rigidity (normalized by area, as defined earlier). Finding such a beam with highest normalized torsional rigidity is an unsolved problem. Let

$$M = \sup_{D} \mu_D$$

where the supremum is over all simply connected domains D in \mathbb{R}^2 of unit inradius; thus $M \geq 3/5$. The $2 \times c$ rectangle improves this inequality, as $c \to \infty$, to $M \geq 4/3$ and is the best such convex domain [28]. For nonconvex domains, we have the upper bound 6.456 [9], but little else is known about this problem.

0.3. Conformal Mapping. If E is an open, simply connected region in \mathbb{C} , define $\rho(E)$ to be the inradius of E. The univalent Bloch-Landau constant Θ is given by [29]

$$\Theta = \inf_{f} \, \rho(f(D))$$

where the infimum is over all one-to-one analytic functions f defined on the open unit disk D satisfying f(0) = 1, f'(0) = 1. Let g denote the conformal mapping of

D onto the infinite strip $-\pi/4 < \text{Im}(z) < \pi/4$:

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k+1}}{2k+1},$$

hence $\Theta \geq \pi/4$. Szegö [30, 31] further proved that, if f(D) is convex, then $\rho(f(D)) \leq \rho(g(D))$. For the nonconvex scenario, the best bounds currently known [9, 32, 33] are $0.57088 < \Theta < 0.65642$ and the associated nonconvex region f(D) is conjectured to be the same as the nonconvex domain for the constants K and Λ .

0.4. Addendum. The constant γ indeed has a closed-form expression [34, 35]:

$$\gamma = 4 \frac{{}_{4}F_{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, 1; 1\right)}{B\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} = 0.1473427065... = \frac{1}{2}(0.2946854131...)$$

where ${}_{p}F_{q}$ is the generalized hypergeometric function [36] and B is the Euler beta function (B(x,y)=I(1,x,y)) in [37]). An interesting double series representation:

$$\gamma = \frac{32}{\pi^4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{(2m-1)(2n-1)\left[(2m-1)^2 + (2n-1)^2\right]}$$

follows from a formula in [38] which, in turn, was corrected in [39]. See also [40].

Both λ and μ can be defined via the calculus of variations [26]. It is more customary to take area $(D)\mu$ as torsional rigidity and this is equal to [41, 42]

$$\frac{1}{12} - \frac{16}{\pi^5} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^5} \coth\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}\right) = 0.0260896517...$$

for an isosceles right triangle with sides 1, 1, $\sqrt{2}$ and [43, 44]

$$9\left[\frac{17\sqrt{3}}{192} - \frac{1}{\pi^5} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^5} \left\{ 2 \tanh\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) - 9 \tanh\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) + (-1)^k 9\sqrt{3} \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) + 27\sqrt{3} \sin\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{3}\right) \right\} \right]$$

$$= 0.0044516625... = \frac{9}{16}(0.0079140667...)$$

for a 30°-60°-90° triangle with sides 1/2, $\sqrt{3}/2$ and 1. The corresponding value for a regular hexagon of unit side has attracted considerable attention [45, 46, 47, 48] – see history in [42] – a complicated formula in [49] gives ≈ 1.035459 , as reported in [50], and verifies an unpublished calculation in [51].

References

- [1] S. R. Finch, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, unpublished note (2004).
- [2] S. R. Finch, Variants of Brownian motion, unpublished note (2004).
- [3] R. Durrett, *Brownian Motion and Martingales in Analysis*, Wadsworth, 1984, pp. 36–39, 219–270; MR0750829 (87a:60054).
- [4] R. Durrett, Stochastic Calculus: A Practical Introduction, CRC Press, 1996, pp. 125–166; MR1398879 (97k:60148).
- [5] S. Timoshenko and J. N. Goodier, Theory of Elasticity, 3rd ed, McGraw-Hill, 1970, pp. 297–301, 309–315; MR0045547 (13,599i).
- [6] R. Bañuelos, Queen Dido's property for eigenvalues and Brownian motion, unpublished lecture (2001).
- [7] L. E. Payne, Bounds for the maximum stress in the Saint Venant torsion problem, *Indian J. Mech. Math.*, special issue (1968/69), part I, 51–59; MR0351225 (50 #3714).
- [8] R. P. Sperb, Maximum Principles and their Applications, Academic Press, 1981, pp. 85–89; MR0615561 (84a:35033).
- [9] R. Bañuelos and T. Carroll, Brownian motion and the fundamental frequency of a drum, *Duke Math. J.* 75 (1994) 575–602; addendum 82 (1996) 227; MR1291697 (96m:31003) and MR1387227 (97f:31004).
- [10] T. Carroll, Old and new on the bass note, the torsion function and the hyperbolic metric, *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* 47 (2001) 41–65; MR1880191 (2002k:58072).
- [11] S. R. Finch, Sobolev isoperimetric constants, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 219–225.
- [12] S. R. Finch, Bessel function zeroes, unpublished note (2003).
- [13] M. A. Pinsky, The eigenvalues of an equilateral triangle, SIAM J. Math. Anal.
 11 (1980) 819-827; 16 (1985) 848-851; MR0586910 (82d:35077) and MR0793926 (86k:35115).
- [14] L. M. Cureton and J. R. Kuttler, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian on regular polygons and polygons resulting from their dissection, J. Sound Vibration 220 (1999) 83-98; MR1672258 (99j:35025).

- [15] J. Hersch, Sur la fréquence fondamentale d'une membrane vibrante: évaluations par défaut et principe de maximum, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 11 (1960) 387–413; MR0125319 (23 #A2622).
- [16] R. Bañuelos, R. Latala and P. J. Méndez-Hernández, A Brascamp-Lieb-Luttinger-type inequality and applications to symmetric stable processes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001) 2997–3008; MR1840105 (2002c:60125).
- [17] P. J. Méndez-Hernández, Brascamp-Lieb-Luttinger inequalities for convex domains of finite inradius, *Duke Math. J.* 113 (2002) 93–131; MR1905393 (2003b:31005).
- [18] E. Makai, A lower estimation of the principal frequencies of simply connected membranes, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 16 (1965) 319–323; MR0185263 (32 #2732).
- [19] W. K. Hayman, Some bounds for principal frequency, *Applicable Anal.* 7 (1977/78) 247–254; MR0492339 (58 #11468).
- [20] R. Osserman, A note on Hayman's theorem on the bass note of a drum, Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977) 545–555; MR0459099 (56 #17297).
- [21] M. E. Taylor, Estimate on the fundamental frequency of a drum, *Duke Math. J.* 46 (1979) 447–453; MR0534061 (81g:35097).
- [22] C. B. Croke, The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for plane domains, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1981) 304–305; MR0593476 (82e:35061).
- [23] S. E. Graversen and M. Rao, Brownian motion and eigenvalues for the Dirichlet Laplacian, *Math. Z.* 203 (1990) 699–708; MR1044073 (91f:35197).
- [24] G. Pólya, Torsional rigidity, principal frequency, electrostatic capacity and symmetrization, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 6 (1948) 267–277; MR0026817 (10,206b).
- [25] G. Pólya and A. Weinstein, On the torsional rigidity of multiply connected cross-sections, *Annals of Math.* 52 (1950) 154–163; MR0040159 (12,652e).
- [26] G. Pólya and G. Szegö, Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics, Princeton University Press, 1951, pp. 87–100, 121, 251–261; MR0043486 (13,270d).
- [27] C. Bandle, *Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications*, Pitman, 1980, pp. 63–67, 158–159; MR0572958 (81e:35095).

- [28] E. Makai, On the principal frequency of a membrane and the torsional rigidity of a beam, Studies in Mathematical Analysis and Related Topics: Essays in Honor of George Pólya, ed. G. Szegö, C. Loewner, S. Bergman, M. M. Schiffer, J. Neyman, D. Gilbarg, and H. Solomon, Stanford Univ. Press, 1962, pp. 227–231; MR0167004 (29 #4277).
- [29] S. R. Finch, Bloch-Landau constants, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 456–459.
- [30] G. Szegö, Über eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Theorie der schlichten Abbildungen, Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Mathematische Gesellschaft 22 (1923) 38–47; corrigendum 23 (1924) 64; Collected Papers, v. 1, ed. R. Askey, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1982, pp. 607-618.
- [31] D. Minda, Lower bounds for the hyperbolic metric in convex regions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 13 (1983) 61–69; MR0692577 (84j:30039).
- [32] E. Beller and J. A. Hummel, On the univalent Bloch constant, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* 4 (1985) 243-252; MR0801641 (86i:30005).
- [33] S. Y. Zhang, On the schlicht Bloch constant, Beijing Daxue Xuebao 25 (1989) 537-540 (in Chinese); MR1045870 (91a:30014).
- [34] G. Markowsky, On the expected exit time of planar Brownian motion from simply connected domains, *Elec. Commun. Probab.* 16 (2011) 652–663; arXiv:1108.1188; MR2853103 (2012j:60228).
- [35] G. Markowsky, A method for deriving hypergeometric and related identities from the H^2 Hardy norm of conformal maps, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 24 (2013) 302–313; arXiv:1205.2458; MR3037253.
- [36] S. R. Finch, Random triangles III, unpublished note (2010).
- [37] S. R. Finch, Gambler's ruin, unpublished note (2008).
- [38] F. B. Knight, Essentials of Brownian Motion and Diffusion, Amer. Math. Soc. 1981, pp. 70–71; MR0613983 (82m:60098).
- [39] K. Helmes, S. Röhl and R. H. Stockbridge, Computing moments of the exit time distribution for Markov processes by linear programming, *Oper. Res.* 49 (2001) 516–530; MR1852358.
- [40] M. W. Coffey, Expected exit times of Brownian motion from planar domains: Complements to a paper of Markowsky, arXiv:1203.5142.

- [41] B. G. Galerkin, Torsion d'un prisme triangulaire, Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences de Russie 13 (1919) 111–118.
- [42] T. J. Higgins, A comprehensive review of Saint-Venant's torsion problem, Amer. J. Phys. 10 (1942) 248–259.
- [43] G. E. Hay, The method of images applied to the problem of torsion, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 45 (1939) 382–397; MR0000116 (1,21c).
- [44] L.-C. Pan, Equilibrium, buckling and vibration of a 30°-60°-90°-triangular plate simply supported at the edges, *Sci. Sinica* 6 (1957) 347–379; MR0091635 (19,998c).
- [45] T. Tsumura, On the torsion of prismatic cylinder with a regular polygonal section, *Journal of the Society of Mechanical Engineers (Tokyo)* 36 (1933) 60–63.
- [46] J. L. Synge, The Hypercircle in Mathematical Physics: A Method for the Approximate Solution of Boundary Value Problems, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1957, pp. 214–270; MR0097605 (20 #4073).
- [47] E. Romanelli, M. J. Maurizi and L. A. Patricio, Torsion of bars of regular polygonal cross section, Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division (ASCE) 98 (1972) 415–424.
- [48] W. C. Hassenpflug, Torsion of uniform bars with polygon cross-section, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 46 (2003) 313–392; MR2015439 (2004i:74069).
- [49] B. R. Seth. Torsion of beams whose cross-section is a regular polygon of n sides, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 30 (1934) 139–149.
- [50] E. E. Jones, The torsional rigidity of anisotropic prisms, *J. Engineering Math.* 9 (1975) 39–51; MR0411328 (53 #15064).
- [51] M. I. Aissen, On the Estimation and Computation of Torsional Rigidity, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford Univ., 1952.