

Convex Lattice Polygons

STEVEN FINCH

December 18, 2003

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. A convex lattice n -gon is a polygon whose n vertices are points on the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 and whose interior angles are strictly less than π . Let a_n denote the least possible area enclosed by a convex lattice n -gon, then [1, 2, 3]

$$\{a_n\}_{n=3}^\infty = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{5}{2}, 3, \frac{13}{2}, 7, \frac{21}{2}, 14, x, 24, \frac{65}{2}, 40, y, 59, z, 87, w, 121, \dots \right\}$$

where the unknown values $x, y, z,$ and w are known to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in \left\{ \frac{39}{2}, \frac{41}{2}, \frac{43}{2} \right\}, & y &\in \left\{ \frac{99}{2}, \frac{101}{2}, \frac{103}{2} \right\}, \\ z &\in \left\{ \frac{147}{2}, \frac{149}{2}, \frac{151}{2} \right\}, & w &\in \left\{ \frac{209}{2}, \frac{211}{2}, \frac{213}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, Rabinowitz [4] and Colburn & Simpson [5] demonstrated that $a_n \leq Cn^3$ for some constant $C > 0$; Zunic [6] later proved that $C \leq 1/54$. On the other hand, Andrews [7] and Arnold [8] were the first to show that $a_n \geq cn^3$ for some $c > 0$; other proofs appear in [9, 10, 11, 12]. Bárány & Tokushige [13] succeeded in proving that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/n^3$ actually exists and computed that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n^3} = 0.0185067\dots < \frac{1}{54}$$

via a heuristic solution of $\approx 10^{10}$ constrained minimization problems. Further, the shape of the minimizing n -gon is approximated by that of the ellipse

$$\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} = 1$$

where $A = (0.003573\dots)n^2$ and $B = (1.656\dots)n$.

Much less can be said about the higher dimensional analog. A d -dimensional convex lattice polytope with n vertices has volume v_n satisfying [7, 9, 14, 15]

$$v_n \geq c_d n^{\frac{d+1}{d-1}}$$

but little else is known.

⁰Copyright © 2003 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

0.1. Integer Convex Hulls. Before discussing integer convex hulls, let us mention ordinary convex hulls. Given n points chosen at random in the unit disk D , the convex hull C_n is the intersection of all convex sets containing all n points. The boundary of C_n is a polygon; let N_n denote the number of vertices of the polygon. It can be proved that [16, 17, 18]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(N_n)}{n^{1/3}} = 2\pi\xi, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var}(N_n)}{n^{1/3}} = 2\pi\eta$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right) = 0.5384576135\dots, \\ \eta &= \frac{16\pi^2\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-3} - 57}{27}\xi = 0.1316029298\dots = 2(0.3350302716\dots) - \xi. \end{aligned}$$

We point out that this is more complicated than the corresponding result when the unit disk is replaced by the unit square [16, 17, 19]:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(\tilde{N}_n)}{\ln(n)} = \frac{8}{3}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var}(\tilde{N}_n)}{\ln(n)} = \frac{40}{27}.$$

In the integer case, we consider not n random points in D , but rather *all* lattice points in rD , the disk of radius r , where r is large. The convex hull C_r of all these lattice points is clearly a convex lattice polygon, together with its interior. Motivation for studying this polygon comes from integer programming: When maximizing a linear function φ on the lattice points in rD (or any given convex set in \mathbb{R}^2), one looks for the maximum point of φ on C_r . The size of the programming problem is hence proportional to N_r , the number of vertices of C_r , and thus we wish to have bounds on N_r .

Balog & Bárány [20, 21] proved that, for sufficiently large r ,

$$0.33r^{2/3} \leq N_r \leq 5.54r^{2/3}$$

but confessed that it isn't clear whether $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} N_r r^{-2/3}$ exists. It is possible, however, to obtain asymptotics for the average value of N_r , defined in a special way:

$$\mathbf{E}_\theta(N_r) = \frac{1}{r^\theta} \int_r^{r+r^\theta} N_\rho d\rho$$

where the parameter θ satisfies $0 < \theta < 1$. (Actually, the only feature needed of r^θ is that it increases with r , but less rapidly than r itself.) Balog & Deshouillers [22] proved that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}_\theta(N_r)}{r^{2/3}} = \frac{6 \cdot 2^{2/3}}{\pi} \chi = 3.4536898915\dots$$

independently of θ , where χ is defined later. The growth rate $2/3$ is what we would expect on the basis of the probabilistic model (ordinary convex hull case), but the preceding constant $3.453\dots$ is slightly different from $2\pi\xi = 3.383\dots$. In this sense, lattice points do not behave in the same way as random points.

Another occurrence of the constant χ is as follows. For real x , let $\|x\|$ denote the distance from x to the nearest integer. Then, for $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, we have [22]

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{(b-a)\lambda^{1/3}} \int_a^b \min_{t \neq 0} (|\alpha t| + \lambda t^2) d\alpha = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \chi.$$

If $\lambda = 0$, the integral clearly is zero since, for any α , the point $t = 1/\alpha$ gives the minimum. If $\lambda > 0$, this strategy no longer works because the penalty term $\lambda t^2 = \lambda/\alpha^2$ would be large.

Let Δ denote the triangular region bounded by the lines $y = x$, $y = 1 - x$ and $x = 1$. Partition Δ into four domains:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : 1 \leq xy(x+y)\}, \\ \Delta_2 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : xy(x+y) \leq 1 \leq x(x+y)(x+2y)\}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : x(x+y)(x+2y) \leq 1 \leq x(x+y)(2x+y)\}, \\ \Delta_4 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : x(x+y)(2x+y) \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4 - x^3 - y^3 & \text{in } \Delta_1, \\ \frac{1}{xy(x+y)} + 2 - (x+y)(x-y)^2 & \text{in } \Delta_2, \\ \frac{1}{y(x+y)(x+2y)} + 6 - (x+y)(3x^2 + 2xy + y^2) & \text{in } \Delta_3, \\ \frac{1}{x(x+y)(2x+y)} + \frac{1}{y(x+y)(x+2y)} + 4 - (x+y)(x^2 + xy + y^2) & \text{in } \Delta_4, \end{cases}$$

then χ is given by

$$\chi = \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1-x}^x F(x, y) dy dx.$$

Again, much less can be said about the higher dimensional analog. Let B_d denote the d -dimensional unit ball. The number of vertices, N_r , of the integer convex hull of rB_d satisfies [23]

$$c_d r^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d+1}} \leq N_r \leq C_d r^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d+1}}$$

but an asymptotic average value for N_r is not known for any $d \geq 3$.

0.2. Addendum. The d -dimensional unit cube has 2^d vertices. Randomly select $n = n(d)$ vertices with replacement and form the ordinary convex hull of these points. If V_d denotes its expected volume, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, [24, 25]

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} V_d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n(d) \leq (2/\sqrt{e} - \varepsilon)^d, \\ 1 & \text{if } n(d) \geq (2/\sqrt{e} + \varepsilon)^d. \end{cases}$$

This is an interesting occurrence of the constant $2/\sqrt{e} = 1.2130613194\dots$, which is surprisingly small (relative to 2)! If instead the n points are selected uniformly in the interior of the d -cube, then the same threshold phenomenon occurs, with constant $2/\sqrt{e}$ replaced by

$$\exp \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{e^x - 1} \right)^2 dx \right) = 2.1396909474\dots$$

In fact, a closed-form expression is possible since

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{e^x - 1} \right)^2 dx = \ln(2\pi) - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} = 0.7606614015\dots$$

and the details underlying this formula appear in [26]. See [25] for relevant discussion of the d -dimensional unit ball.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Simpson, Convex lattice polygons of minimum area, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 42 (1990) 353–367; MR1083272 (91k:52023).
- [2] C. Landauer, Computational Search for Minimum Area n -gon, <http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~sfinch/csolve/cnvxl.html>.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, A063984, A070911, and A089187.
- [4] S. Rabinowitz, On the number of lattice points inside a convex lattice n -gon, *Proc. 20th Southeastern Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Boca Raton, 1989, ed. F. Hoffman, R. C. Mullin, R. G. Stanton and K. B. Reid, Congr. Numer. 73, Utilitas Math., 1990, pp. 99-124; MR1041842 (91a:52019).
- [5] C. J. Colbourn and R. J. Simpson, A note on bounds on the minimum area of convex lattice polygons, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 45 (1992) 237–240; MR1155481 (93d:52010).

- [6] J. Zunic, Notes on optimal convex lattice polygons, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1998) 377–385; MR1620817 (99k:11102).
- [7] G. E. Andrews, A lower bound for the volume of strictly convex bodies with many boundary lattice points, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 106 (1963) 270–279; MR0143105 (26 #670).
- [8] V. I. Arnold, Statistics of integral convex polygons (in Russian), *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, v. 14 (1980) n. 2, 1–3; English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, v. 14 (1980) n. 2, 79–81; MR0575199 (81g:52011).
- [9] W. M. Schmidt, Integer points on curves and surfaces, *Monatsh. Math.* 99 (1985) 45–72; MR0778171 (86d:11081).
- [10] I. Bárány and J. Pach, On the number of convex lattice polygons, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 1 (1992) 295–302; MR1211319 (93m:52017).
- [11] S. Rabinowitz, $O(n^3)$ bounds for the area of a convex lattice n -gon, *Geombinatorics*, v. 2 (1993) n. 4, 85–88; MR1214699 (94b:52028).
- [12] T.-X. Cai, On the minimum area of convex lattice polygons, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 1 (1997) 351–354; MR1486557 (98m:52026).
- [13] I. Bárány and N. Tokushige, The minimum area of convex lattice n -gons, *Combinatorica* 24 (2004) 171–185; MR2071331 (2005e:52024).
- [14] S. V. Konyagin and K. A. Sevastyanov, Estimate of the number of vertices of a convex integral polyhedron in terms of its volume (in Russian), *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* v. 18 (1984) n. 1, 13–15; English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, v. 18 (1984) n. 1, 11–13; MR0739085 (86g:52020).
- [15] I. Bárány and A. M. Vershik, On the number of convex lattice polytopes, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 2 (1992) 381–393; MR1191566 (93k:52013).
- [16] A. Rényi and R. Sulanke, Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. I, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 2 (1963) 75–84; II, 3 (1964) 138–147; also in *Selected Papers of Alfréd Rényi*, v. 3, Akadémiai Kiadó, 1976, pp. 143–152 and 242–251; MR0156262 (27 #6190) and MR0169139 (29 #6392).
- [17] P. Groeneboom, Limit theorems for convex hulls, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 79 (1988) 327–368; MR0959514 (89j:60024).
- [18] S. Finch and I. Hueter, Random convex hulls: A variance revisited, *Adv. Appl. Probab.* 36 (2004) 981–986; MR2119851 (2005m:60015).

- [19] S. R. Finch, Geometric probability constants, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 479–484.
- [20] A. Balog and I. Bárány, On the convex hull of the integer points in a disc, *Discrete and Computational Geometry: Papers from the DIMACS Special Year*, Proc. 1989/1990 New Brunswick workshops, ed. J. E. Goodman, R. Pollack and W. Steiger, Amer. Math. Soc., 1991, pp. 39–44; *Proc. 7th ACM Symp. on Computational Geometry (SCG)*, North Conway, ACM, 1991, pp. 162–165; MR1143287 (93b:11083).
- [21] I. Bárány, Random points, convex bodies, lattices, *International Congress of Mathematicians*, v. 3, Proc. 2002 Beijing conf., Higher Ed. Press, pp. 527–535; math.CO/0304462; MR1957558 (2004a:52003).
- [22] A. Balog and J.-M. Deshouillers, On some convex lattice polytopes, *Number Theory In Progress*, v. 2, Proc. 1997 Zakopane-Kościelisko conf., ed. K. Györy, H. Iwaniec and J. Urbanowicz, de Gruyter, 1999, pp. 591–606; MR1689533 (2000f:11083).
- [23] I. Bárány and D. G. Larman, The convex hull of the integer points in a large ball, *Math. Annalen* 312 (1998) 167–181; MR1645957 (99i:52014).
- [24] M. E. Dyer, Z. Füredi and C. McDiarmid, Volumes spanned by random points in the hypercube, *Random Structures Algorithms* 3 (1992) 91–106; http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~cstone/Prof_C_McDiarmid_publications.htm; MR1139489 (92j:52010).
- [25] M. E. Dyer, Z. Füredi and C. McDiarmid, Random volumes in the n -cube, *Polyhedral Combinatorics*, Proc. 1989 Morristown conf., ed. W. Cook and P. D. Seymour, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990, pp. 33–38; <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~z-furedi/publ.html>; MR1105114 (92i:52006).
- [26] S. Finch and P. Sebah, Comment on “Volumes spanned by random points in the hypercube”, *Random Structures Algorithms* 35 (2009) 390–392; MR2548520.